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Abstract

Graves’ orbitopathy (GO) is the main extrathyroidal manifestation of Graves’ disease (GD). Choice of treatment should be based on the assessment of clinical activity and severity of GO. Early referral to specialized centers is fundamental for most patients with GO. Risk factors include smoking, thyroid dysfunction, high serum level of thyrotropin receptor antibodies, radioactive iodine (RAI) treatment, and hypercholesterolemia. In mild and active GO, control of risk factors, local treatments, and selenium (selenium-deficient areas) are usually sufficient; if RAI treatment is selected to manage GD, low-dose oral prednisone prophylaxis is needed, especially if risk factors coexist. For both active moderate-to-severe and sight-threatening GO, antithyroid drugs are preferred when managing Graves’ hyperthyroidism. In moderate-to-severe and active GO i.v. glucocorticoids are more effective and better tolerated than oral glucocorticoids. Based on current evidence and efficacy/safety profile, costs and reimbursement, drug availability, long-term effectiveness, and patient choice after extensive counseling, a combination of i.v. methylprednisolone and mycophenolate sodium is recommended as first-line treatment. A cumulative dose of 4.5 g of i.v. methylprednisolone in 12 weekly infusions is the optimal regimen. Alternatively, higher cumulative doses not exceeding 8 g can be used as monotherapy in most severe cases and constant/inconstant diplopia. Second-line treatments for moderate-to-severe and active GO include (a) the second course of i.v. methylprednisolone (7.5 g) subsequent to careful ophthalmic and biochemical evaluation, (b) oral prednisone/prednisolone combined with either cyclosporine or azathioprine; (c) orbital radiotherapy combined with oral or i.v. glucocorticoids, (d) teprotumumab; (e) rituximab and (f) tocilizumab. Sight-threatening GO is treated with several high single doses of i.v. methylprednisolone per week and, if unresponsive, with urgent orbital decompression. Rehabilitative surgery (orbital decompression, squint, and eyelid surgery) is indicated for inactive residual GO manifestations.
**Introduction**

Graves’ orbitopathy (GO), also called thyroid eye disease or thyroid-associated orbitopathy, is the major extrathyroidal manifestation of Graves’ disease (GD), although it may less frequently occur in patients with chronic autoimmune thyroiditis (1). GO is relatively rare (estimated incidence: 0.54–0.9 cases/100 000/year in men, 2.67–3.3 cases/100 000/year in women) with more commonly mild and non-progressive cases and moderate-to-severe forms accounting for 5–6% of cases only (2, 3). GO has an impact on quality of life (QoL), even within mild disease (4, 5, 6) and poses a significant public health burden, in terms of direct and indirect costs (7). GO is a major therapeutic challenge in its moderate-to-severe forms, often incompletely responsive to available medical treatments. After the publication of the 2016 European Thyroid Association (ETA)/European Group on GO (EUGOGO) guidelines for the management of GO (8), several relevant studies have been published, particularly randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of newer biological agents for the treatment of moderate-to-severe and active GO (1, 9, 10, 11, 12). This prompted the EUGOGO Executive Committee to appoint an *ad hoc* task force committed to updating the guidelines, focusing on the medical management of GO. A synopsis of recommendations is presented in Table 1. Emergency and rehabilitative surgery of GO is briefly discussed, as it will be separately addressed by the ophthalmologist members of EUGOGO.

**Methods**

**Literature search**

Data acquisition was based on PubMed search strategies, with particular regard to papers published subsequent to the 2016 ETA/EUGOGO guidelines (8). In addition, the list of references of relevant citations and chapters of major textbooks were evaluated for any additional appropriate citation.

**Grading**

The GRADE system was used to make recommendations and express the quality of the evidence (13). The task force used the following coding system (a) indicates a strong recommendation and is associated with the phrase ‘we recommend’, and (b) denotes a weak recommendation and is associated with the phrase ‘we suggest’. Evidence grading: ØØØØ denotes very-low quality evidence; ØØØO, low quality; ØØØØ, moderate quality; ØØØØ, high quality. The draft was submitted to all members of EUGOGO and commented on by e-mail. All members of the task force unanimously approved the final version and the 32 recommendations. Each EUGOGO site identified 1–2 members to be acknowledged as contributors. Among 48 potential contributors, written permission for being acknowledged was granted by 48 (100%).

**Classification of GO**

Treatment decisions are based on clinical activity, severity, and duration of GO (8, 14) as anti-inflammatory/immunosuppressive treatment is significantly less effective after 18 months of disease duration (15) (Recommendation #1).

**Activity**

The clinical activity score (CAS) is the best validated scoring system, although it has limitations, such as its binary (yes/no) feature, and should be, therefore, used for assessing activity (16). CAS is composed of seven items: GO is defined as active if CAS is ≥ 3/7 (Table 2). A ten-item CAS, including an increase in exophthalmos ≥ 2 mm, a decrease of eye movements in any direction of gaze ≥ 8°, and a decrease of visual acuity ≥ 1 line on the Snellen chart during a period of 1–3 months, is useful to evaluate recent progression and, therefore, the activity of GO (16). A picture atlas to ensure consistent CAS assessment has been published (17). Other inflammation scores, such as the VISA score (18) may be useful, but an adequate validation is not available. Specific MRI sequences may be useful in quantifying disease activity and predicting response to anti-inflammatory treatment and outcome of GO (19, 20, 21); however, costs and availability substantially limit MRI application in daily practice.

**Severity**

The EUGOGO classification into mild, moderate-to-severe, and sight-threatening GO (Table 3) has been validated in clinical and research studies (8, 22) and should, therefore, be used. Other scorings systems, that is, VISA (18), NOSPECS (23, 24), total eye score (25) allow quantification. MRI and CT scans provide information on the amount and distribution of orbital tissue expansion (muscle thickening, fat volume increase, apical crowding). Overall, orbital MRI is indicated in patients with unilateral or strongly
**Table 1** The EUGOGO evidence-based recommendations for the management of Graves' orbitopathy (GO).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Specialized centers and risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Quit smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Thyroid dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Glucocorticoid prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Local treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mild GO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mild GO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Counseling and selection of treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cumulative dose of i.v. glucocorticoids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Strength of recommendation and level of evidence**

1, ØØØO

2, ØØØO

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Strength of recommendation and level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cumulative and single dose of i.v. glucocorticoids</td>
<td>An intermediate dose of i.v. glucocorticoids, that is, a starting dose of 0.5 g i.v. methylprednisolone once weekly for 6 weeks, followed by 0.25 g once weekly for 6 weeks, cumulative dose 4.5 g, should be used in most cases of moderate-to-severe and active GO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cumulative and single dose of i.v. glucocorticoids</td>
<td>High-dose regimen, that is, a starting dose of 0.75 g i.v. methylprednisolone once weekly for 6 weeks, followed by 0.5 g once weekly for 6 weeks, cumulative dose 7.5 g, should be reserved for the more severe cases (constant/inconstant diplopia, severe proptosis, severe soft-tissue pathology or involvement) within the moderate-to-severe and active GO spectrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Glucocorticoid withdrawal</td>
<td>Clinicians should monitor each individual patient receiving glucocorticoid therapy for response to treatment and adverse events. When drug-induced side effects outweigh benefits, clinicians should consider withdrawing glucocorticoid treatment in favor of another modality, or watchful monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Local injections of triamcinolone</td>
<td>Local subconjunctival/periocular injections of triamcinolone acetate may be considered when systemic glucocorticoids are absolutely contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mycophenolate</td>
<td>Mycophenolate has a positive efficacy/safety profile in patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO, both as monotherapy and in combination with i.v. glucocorticoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Orbital radiotherapy</td>
<td>Orbital radiotherapy is considered an effective second-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO, in combination with glucocorticoids, particularly in the presence of diplopia and/or restriction of extraocular motility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
<td>The combination of cyclosporine and oral glucocorticoids is a valid second-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>Consideration can be given to azathioprine as a second-line and glucocorticoid-sparing agent in combination with oral glucocorticoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Teprotumumab</td>
<td>Very promising drug with a strong reduction of exophthalmos, diplopia, and improvement of QoL. Currently, second-line option as longer-term data, availability, affordability, costs, and need for subsequent rehabilitative surgery are pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>Rituximab can be considered a second-line treatment for patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO of recent onset (&lt;12 months) if refractory to i.v. glucocorticoids, as long as dysthyroid optic neuropathy (DON) is excluded. We strongly recommend that such treatment be applied in experienced centers only that manage potentially serious adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tocilizumab</td>
<td>Tocilizumab may be given consideration as a second-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active glucocorticoid-resistant GO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>First-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO</td>
<td>Intravenous methylprednisolone in combination with oral mycophenolate sodium (or mofetil) represents the first-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>First-line treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO</td>
<td>In the more severe forms of moderate-to-severe and active GO, including constant/inconstant diplopia, severe inflammatory signs and exophthalmos &gt; 25 mm, i.v. methyl-prednisolone at the highest cumulative dose (7.5 g per cycle) as monotherapy represents an additional valid first-line treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
asymmetric exophthalmos, suspected optic neuropathy, and euthyroidism with normal thyroid serology, while orbital CT is indicated prior to decompression surgery (19, 20, 21).

**Early referral to specialized centers**

In the last 30 years, a reduction in the incidence of GO in GD patients, as well as of its severity when present, has been reported (2, 3, 26) and recently confirmed by meta-analyses and meta-regression of published studies (27). This secular trend is multifactorial in origin (e.g. decrease in smoking habits, earlier diagnosis, and better control of thyroid dysfunction). Improved interaction between endocrinologists and ophthalmologists leads to early diagnosis and treatment. In addition, mild GO can progress to a more severe disease requiring expert advice and guidance for a general management plan (28). Therefore, it is fundamental to refer patients with overt GO and those at risk for deterioration of GO (mild and active GO, smokers, severe/unstable hyperthyroidism, high serum level of thyrotropin receptor antibodies (TSHR-Ab)) (8, 29) to thyroid-eye clinics, namely

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Strength of recommendation and level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Second-line treatments for moderate-to-severe and active GO</td>
<td>1, ØØØO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Combination of orbital radiotherapy and i.v. glucocorticoids</td>
<td>2, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Treatment of sight-threatening GO</td>
<td>1, ØØØO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Treatment of sight-threatening GO</td>
<td>2, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Thyroid treatment in patients with GO</td>
<td>1, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Mild and active GO: antithyroid drugs (ATDs) or thyroidectomy is preferred and prednisone/prednisolone prophylaxis should be used if RAI treatment is selected</td>
<td>1, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe, longstanding and inactive GO: as for mild and inactive GO, but consideration should be given to prednisone/prednisolone prophylaxis if RAI treatment is selected and risk factors (smoking, high TSHR-Ab) are present</td>
<td>1, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Moderate-to-severe and active GO: hyperthyroidism should be treated with ATDs until treatment of GO is completed</td>
<td>1, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sight-threatening GO: in this emergency condition, treatment of GO is an absolute priority; hyperthyroidism should be treated with ATDs until treatment of GO is completed</td>
<td>1, ØØOO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
specialized centers providing combined endocrine and ophthalmic expertise (30, 31), as this will provide an accurate and timely diagnosis to improve prognosis and QoL. Indeed, GO patient satisfaction was greater in those who attended such clinics (32). Primary care physicians, general practitioners, internists, endocrinologists, or general ophthalmologists can manage the mildest cases without risk factors unless progression occurs (Recommendation #2).

### Assessment of treatment outcomes

Evaluation of treatment outcome should be standardized by using both a subjective primary outcome (patient-reported outcome, PRO) and an objective primary outcome (clinician-reported outcome, CRO), assessed at a fixed time interval after the end of the intervention.

The preferred PRO is the validated disease-specific GO-QoL questionnaire (33, 34). The most appropriate CRO depends on the type of intervention. For moderate-to-severe and active GO, a recently revised composite index is suggested (30). It is composed of entirely objective measures: ≥2-mm reduction of lid aperture, ≥1 point reduction in five-item CAS (excluding subjective, patient-reported spontaneous or gaze-evoked pain), ≥2 mm reduction in exophthalmos, ≥8° increase of eye muscle duction (34). Improvement in ≥2 features in one eye without deterioration in the other eye might be considered a positive response to treatment (34). Other individual ocular, serological, and imaging features can be included as secondary outcomes, including exophthalmos, eyelid aperture, ocular motility, visual acuity, CAS, intraocular pressure, orbital volume assessment, MRI, and TSHR-Ab measurement. Optimally, the outcome of treatment should be assessed 3 months after the last therapeutic intervention (34), but, in addition, changes after 6 months can also be considered.

### General measures for all patients (Recommendations #3–6)

#### Control of risk factors

Every effort should be made to remove risk factors in order to prevent de novo occurrence and/or progression of GO, regardless of clinical phenotype, when a patient is suspected with GD. Adequate control of thyroid dysfunction is of paramount importance. Both hyper- and hypothyroidism negatively impact GO (35, 36, 37). In line with the expression of the TSHR as autoantigen on orbital target cells (38, 39) in patients with GD/GO, high

### Table 2 Assessment of activity by the clinical activity score (CAS)*. CAS < 3 = inactive GO; CAS ≥ 3 = active GO. A ten-item CAS, including an increase in exophthalmos of ≥2 mm, a decrease in eye motility of ≥8° or a decrease in visual acuity in the last 1–3 months, is useful to assess progression of GO after the first visit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of activity</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Spontaneous retrobulbar pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pain on attempted upward or downward gaze</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Redness of eyelids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Redness of conjunctiva</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Swelling of caruncle or plica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Swelling of eyelids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Swelling of conjunctiva (chemosis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified according to Wiersinga et al. (14) and reproduced with permission.

### Table 3 Classification of severity of Graves’ orbitopathy (GO).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild GO</td>
<td>Patients whose features of GO have only a minor impact on daily life that have insufficient impact to justify immunomodulation or surgical treatment. They usually have one or more of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• minor lid retraction (&lt;2 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• mild soft-tissue involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• exophthalmos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• &lt;3 mm above normal for race and gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• no or intermittent diplopia and corneal exposure responsive to lubricants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moderate-to-severe GO</th>
<th>Patients without sight-threatening GO whose eye disease has sufficient impact on daily life to justify the risks of immunosuppression (if active) or surgical intervention (if inactive). They usually have two or more of the following:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• lid retraction ≥ 2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• moderate or severe soft-tissue involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• exophthalmos ≥ 3 mm above normal for race and gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• inconstant or constant diplopia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sight-threatening (very severe) GO | Patients with dysthyroid optic neuropathy and/or corneal breakdown |
serum concentrations of TSHR-Ab (>five-fold increase) are associated with the presence of GO both in children and adults with GD and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis (40, 41, 42, 43). Although neither regularly done in commercial laboratories nor routinely available to the clinician, dilution analysis of serum TSHR-Ab is both predictive for the occurrence of GO (positive and negative predictive values of 100% with a cut-off dilution titer > 4) (29), as well as for the response to antithyroid treatment of associated Graves’ hyperthyroidism (42, 44).

All patients with GD, irrespective of the presence of GO, should be urged to quit smoking. The association between GO and smoking is evidence-based (3, 45, 46). Smoking increases the risk of GO in patients with GD (3); smokers have more severe GO (3); development or progression of GO after radioactive iodine (RAI) treatment is more frequent in smokers (47, 48); smokers have a delayed or worse outcome of immunosuppressive treatments (47, 49, 50); smoking cessation is possibly associated with a better outcome of GO (51).

RAI bears a consistent risk of causing progression and/or de novo occurrence of GO (48, 52, 53, 54, 55). In a large RCT, progression of GO occurred in 23 of 150 patients given RAI (15%), being persistent in 8 (5%), hence requiring immunosuppressive treatment for GO (54). Both de novo occurrence and progression of GO are more likely in smokers (47, 48), in patients with duration of GD <5 years (56), and less likely in patients with long-standing and inactive GO (37). RAI-associated progression of GO can be prevented by a concomitant short-term course of oral prednisone (52, 54, 56, 57). The original regimen used a starting daily dose of 0.3–0.5 mg/kg/bodyweight, gradually tapered and withdrawn after 3 months (47). Lower doses of oral prednisone (0.1–0.2 mg/kg/bodyweight as starting dose, gradually tapered and withdrawn after 6 weeks) (58) showed similar beneficial effects. As previously recommended (7), the 0.3–0.5 mg dose should be used in patients who are at risk for progression and/or de novo development of GO (smokers, high TSHR-Ab levels, severe hyperthyroidism, preexisting GO). Prophylaxis using low-dose i.v. glucocorticoids has been proposed, but this requires 1 day of hospitalization per week for 4 weeks (56). Glucocorticoid prophylaxis is not only effective but also safe (59).

High cholesterol is an emerging and potential risk factor for GO (60). The use of statins was associated with a reduced risk of GO occurrence in a large cohort retrospective study (61) and in a retrospective registry-based study (62). Association of high total and LDL-cholesterol with the presence of GO was reported in one cross-sectional and one retrospective study (63, 64). Finally, in a retrospective study, the outcome of GO following i.v. glucocorticoid treatment was worse in patients with high LDL cholesterol (65). These findings may reflect a pro-inflammatory action of cholesterol. Alternatively, they might be related to an anti-inflammatory effect of statins, irrespective of cholesterol levels. RCTs are lacking, but control of hypercholesterolemia by statins may be considered in patients with GO.

Local treatments

Ocular surface inflammation and dry eye are frequent in GD patients; regardless of the presence of overt GO (66, 67, 68). In GO patients, several factors contribute to drying of the eye, that is, increased width of the palpebral fissure, exophthalmos, blinking rate, lid lag, lagophthalmos, poor Bell’s phenomenon due to restrictive elevation deficit, and altered tear film osmolality (69, 70, 71). Treatment with artificial tears during the day and ophthalmic gels/ointments with a possible taping of the lids or using swimming goggles at nighttime when severe lagophthalmos is present in the absence of an adequate Bell’s phenomenon are recommended to GO patients since first observation and to patients with GD without overt GO but with dry eye symptoms (72). Botulinum toxin injection in the levator muscle may reduce the palpebral aperture (73).

Management of mild GO

Most patients with mild GO experience spontaneous resolution of eye manifestations. Therefore, a watchful strategy and local treatments are sufficient (8) (Fig. 1). Patients living in selenium-deficient areas can benefit from oral selenium supplementation. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of patients with mild GO, performed in Europe, reported a higher rate of improvement in both GO-QoL and overall ophthalmic outcome and a lower rate of progression to more severe GO in patients receiving sodium selenite (200 µg (91.2 µg selenium) daily for 6 months), compared to the placebo group (74). The benefit of selenium was maintained 6 months after treatment withdrawal. According to country availability, sodium selenite can be replaced by selenomethionine (100 µg daily). Whether selenium administration is of benefit in selenium-replete areas has to be confirmed. An European survey has shown that selenium supplementation is recommended by the majority of clinicians, both in patients...
with mild and moderate-to-severe GO (75), but there is no evidence of a beneficial adjuvant effect of selenium in patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO.

While a wait-and-see strategy is feasible in the majority of patients with mild GO, a very few patients may experience or develop a profound impact on QoL: in these exceptional patients, low-dose immunomodulation may be proposed if GO is active or rehabilitative surgery if GO is inactive, subsequent to extensive counseling and shared decision (8) (Recommendations #7–8).

Management of moderate-to-severe and active GO

After an initial phase in which inflammation and its manifestations are predominant (active phase), GO stabilizes (plateau phase) and then slowly remits leaving typical residual signs and symptoms (inactive phase); the whole process (natural history) is believed to last 18–24 months in untreated patients (3). In patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO, the initial goal is to shorten the active phase of the disease and improve subjective and objective eye manifestations. Results of treatment are usually better if GO is treated early, within 1 year from its onset. Efficacy of immunosuppressive therapy varies between 50 and 80% according to the published trials (76) but rarely leads to a complete satisfactory response. Residual inactive disease benefits from rehabilitative surgery. Non-responders may require a second course of immunosuppressive therapy using different drugs/treatments, alone or in combination. Few patients still remain unresponsive or partially responsive and will need a surgical approach.

Extensive counseling is required when discussing the treatment plan. The patient should be informed that

Figure 1
Algorithm for the management of mild Graves' orbitopathy. TSHR-Ab, thyrotropin receptor antibody; GO, Graves' orbitopathy; GD, Graves' disease.
he/she would be engaged in a complex journey, clearly explaining the benefits and risks of the various therapeutic options. Response to initial therapy cannot be predicted. The patient should be aware that additional medical and/or surgical treatments might be needed, particularly rehabilitative surgery, even in responders, in an attempt to restore the pre-disease eye appearance and function. Selection of treatment follows several considerations, for example, evidence-based effectiveness, short-term/long-term safety, evaluation of costs, reimbursement by the health system, drug-availability, infrastructure facilities for delivering highly specialized treatments, and personal choice of the informed patient within a shared decision-making process (Recommendation #9).

**Systemic and locally injected glucocorticoids (Recommendations #10-14)**

High-dose systemic glucocorticoids have potent anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects (77, 78) that have been applied successfully for the management of moderate-to-severe and active GO. Intravenous glucocorticoids have been indicated as the first-line treatment in moderate-to-severe and active GO (22, 79). A proof-of-concept RCT showed a significant improvement of GO outcome in patients treated with i.v. methylprednisolone compared to placebo (response rate 83% vs 11%) (80). Although oral glucocorticoids are effective, glucocorticoids are preferentially administered i.v. as the i.v. route has been shown in RCTs to be more effective (77–88% vs 51–63%) and better tolerated (81, 82). The most common protocol employs a cumulative dose of 4.5 g methylprednisolone, given in 12 weekly infusions (six infusions of 0.5 g, followed by six infusions of 0.25 g) (82). This 4.5 g regimen is very well tolerated (83) and significantly improves QoL (84). While this regimen is appropriate for most patients, a higher cumulative dose of 7.5 g (starting with 0.75 g as a single i.v. dose) is reserved for more severe cases within the spectrum of moderate-to-severe and active GO, as the higher dose bears a higher risk of drug-induced adverse events (AEs) (85). Safety data suggest that, with the exception of sight-threatening GO, single i.v. doses should not exceed 0.75 g, cumulative doses should be less than 8.0 g per cycle, and consecutive-day therapy should be avoided, because these schedules are associated with a significantly higher rate and clinically relevant glucocorticoid-induced AEs, including liver toxicity and serious cardiovascular AEs (86, 87, 88, 89, 90).

Infusions should be performed slowly (1–2 h) under strict surveillance. Therefore, prior to starting treatment and after ruling out infections (white blood cell count), cardiovascular risk, liver enzymes, and markers of viral hepatitis are evaluated, in order to assess risks and contraindications (8). In addition, liver enzymes are closely monitored during treatment (89). Recent viral hepatitis, significant hepatic dysfunction, severe cardiovascular morbidity, or psychiatric disorders represent absolute contraindications to i.v. glucocorticoid treatment (78, 79), while diabetes and hypertension should be well controlled before starting treatment (8). Bone protection is recommended, and proton pump inhibitors are used as appropriate (8). Response to i.v. glucocorticoids usually occurs early, but it may be delayed to the second half of the treatment course (91). This is why partial responders to i.v. glucocorticoids should be offered to complete the 12-week regimen. In contrast, a clinical deterioration of clinical ophthalmic signs and symptoms requests a shift to second-line treatments (8, 91).

Intravenous glucocorticoid treatment needs to be carried out in specialized centers and facilities that may not be easily available in all countries. This partly explains why the oral route is still widely used either alone (92) or after initiating a treatment course with a few i.v. infusions (93) to reduce hospital admissions. In the case of oral glucocorticoids, treatment should start, as suggested by several RCTs (77, 81, 82), either with a fixed dose of 100 mg prednisone/prednisolone or, preferably, 1 mg/kg bodyweight and be gradually tapered down by 5–10 mg/week until withdrawal (4–6 months). Combination with other treatments, including orbital radiotherapy or non-steroidal immunosuppressive drugs, (i.e. mycophenolate or cyclosporine) may work as a steroid-sparing procedure and increase the effectiveness of oral glucocorticoids.

Local (subconjunctival or parabulbar) glucocorticoid administration has been used in a few patients. In a RCT, retrobulbar injections of methylprednisolone acetate were less effective than systemic glucocorticoids when combined with orbital cobalt radiotherapy (94). In a prospective, single-blind, placebo-controlled RCT, orbital injections of triamcinolone acetate into the inferolateral quadrant (4 weekly injections of 40 mg) reduced diplopia and extraocular muscle size (95). Furthermore, in a small RCT, subconjunctival upper eyelid injections of triamcinolone (1–3 injections of 20 mg) were reported to be effective for the treatment of upper eyelid retraction in patients with a short duration of GO (96). However, local glucocorticoid treatment has a significant risk of intraocular pressure elevation, may be associated with increased orbital lipomatosis, and bears a small but significant risk of retrobulbar hemorrhage, especially in patients with dual
platelet inhibition (97, 98). Hence, local glucocorticoids may be considered in patients with contraindications to systemic administration of glucocorticoids only.

**Mycophenolate (Recommendation #15)**

Mycophenolate competitively and reversibly inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, resulting in decreased antibody production by B cells and dual antiproliferative effect on both B- and T-cells (99). Mycophenolate induces apoptosis of activated T-cells, inhibits expression of adhesion molecules and recruitment of immune cells (100). In addition, mycophenolate inhibits fibroblast proliferation and functions (101, 102, 103, 104). The drug is available worldwide as mycophenolate mofetil and/or enteric-coated mycophenolate sodium (105). Fractionated doses per day taken with the meals improve gastrointestinal tolerance. A systematic review of the gastrointestinal side effects between the two formulations did not demonstrate significant differences between gastro-intestinal-related QoL for patients using either form as maintenance immunosuppression (106).

In a single-center trial, 174 euthyroid patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO were randomized to either an unusual combination of three infusions of i.v. glucocorticoids followed by oral glucocorticoids or mycophenolate mofetil (1 g daily), both for 24 weeks (107). Mycophenolate mofetil demonstrated a superior overall response rate (79%/91% at week 12/24 vs 51%/68% in the glucocorticoid group). Disease inactivation was observed in 94% of mycophenolate mofetil group (vs 69% in the combined glucocorticoid group) at week 24. Mycophenolate mofetil also performed well in proptosis and diplopia. Six percent of glucocorticoid patients developed disease reactivation, while none in the mycophenolate mofetil group relapsed.

In the EUGOGO's observer-masked multicenter trial (108, 109), 164 euthyroid patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO were randomized to weekly i.v. methylprednisolone for 12 weeks or a combination of i.v. methylprednisolone for 12 weeks and mycophenolate sodium 0.72 g daily (which is equivalent to 1 g mycophenolate mofetil/day) for 24 weeks. In the intention-to-treat population at 12 weeks, responses were observed in 36 (49%) of 73 patients in the monotherapy group and 48 (63%) of 76 patients in the combination group, giving an odds ratio (OR) of 1.76 (95% CI: 0.92–3.39, P=0.089). At week 24, 38 of 72 patients remaining in the monotherapy group and 53 of 75 patients remaining in the combination therapy group had responded to treatment (OR: 2.16, CI: 1.09–4.25, P= 0.026). At week 36, 31 of 68 patients in the monotherapy group and 49 of 73 patients in the combination group had a sustained response (OR: 2.44, CI: 1.23–4.82, P= 0.011). Thus, the combination group displayed statistically significant superior response rate at week 24 (71% vs 53%) and a sustained response rate at week 36 (67% vs 45.5%). Overall, combination treatment demonstrated more significant improvements in CAS, swelling of eyelids and caruncle, orbital pain, chemosis, downgazeduction and elevation, as well as GO-QoL visual functioning score.

Evaluating both randomized trials, mycophenolate-treated groups demonstrated superior response rates at 12 (107), 24 (107, 108), and 36 weeks (108) when compared to their respective glucocorticoid monotherapy groups. Approximately 70% (vs 90% in the mycophenolate group) and 30% (vs 60–70% in the mycophenolate group) of patients achieved endpoints in most individual visual parameters of activity and severity, respectively. In addition, the mycophenolate sodium + glucocorticoid group of the EUGOGO trial performed better than mycophenolate alone (107) in terms of improvement of pain and eye movement. However, longer-term follow-up and subsequent rehabilitative surgery data are currently not available and may be regarded as limitations of both RCTs.

Higher mean age, more prevalent smoking habit, longer disease duration, and a greater proportion of TSHR-Ab-positive patients may explain the lower response rates in the EUGOGO trial. Neither trial reported any serious infection nor treatment-related mortality (110, 111). The combination treatment did not increase the risk of infection and hepatotoxicity when compared to i.v. methylprednisolone monotherapy. Furthermore, 'real-world' efficacy and safety of mycophenolate mofetil in patients with active moderate-to-sight-threatening GO was demonstrated over a 4-year observation period (112). Therefore, the risk-benefit ratio of low-dose mycophenolate, either as monotherapy or in combination with i.v. glucocorticoids treatment in active moderate-to-severe GO, is highly favorable given its reassuring safety profile and promising efficacy (110, 111). Hence, the combination of low-dose mycophenolate sodium and i.v. methylprednisolone was both safe and affordable in view of its superior efficacy compared to the current standard of care.

**Orbital radiotherapy (Recommendation #16)**

Orbital radiotherapy for GO has been shown by several RCTs which were found to be more effective than sham
irradiation in improving diplopia and ductions (113, 114), although its efficacy was questioned by two additional RCTs (115, 116). In another RCT, orbital radiotherapy was found to be as effective as oral prednisone (117), and other RCTs have shown that orbital radiotherapy synergistically potentiates the effects of oral glucocorticoids (118, 119). RCTs showing that this synergistic effect holds true using i.v. glucocorticoids are missing. However, two retrospective studies showed that a combination of orbital radiotherapy and i.v. glucocorticoids was more effective than i.v. glucocorticoids alone in improving eye motility and reducing GO severity (120, 121). Usually, a 20 Gray (Gy) cumulative dose per orbit fractionated in ten daily doses over 2-weeks is given (122). However, a regimen of one Gy per week over 20-weeks was shown to be equally effective and better tolerated (123). Mild and transient exacerbation of ocular symptoms may occur during orbital radiotherapy, which is controlled by concomitant administration of low-dose oral prednisone. Although orbital radiotherapy is safe (124, 125, 126, 127), it should be avoided in patients with hypertensive or diabetic retinopathy, or, in view of a remote carcinogenic risk, in patients younger than 35 years (8). In a 17-year long-term follow-up study comparing a single dose of one Gy vs two Gy, radiation-induced retinopathy was observed in 5% of patients with GO, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension approximately 10 years after orbital radiotherapy, however, in none of those irradiated with the lower dose (128). In summary, orbital radiotherapy is effective, particularly on ocular motility, and safe, being devoid of major adverse events even after a long-term follow-up (122).

Cyclosporine (Recommendation #17)

Cyclosporine is a potent immunosuppressive agent that inhibits the calcineurin pathway reducing T-cell proliferation and IL-2 secretion. Two small, early RCTs have assessed its efficacy in patients with moderate-to-severe GO. The combination of cyclosporine (initial dose: 5–7.5 mg/kg bodyweight/day) and oral prednisolone (initial dose: 50–100 mg/day) had a better ophthalmic outcome and a lower relapse rate than oral prednisolone monotherapy (129). In another study (130), significantly fewer patients responded to cyclosporine (7.5 mg/kg bodyweight/day) as compared to oral prednisolone (initial dose 60 mg/daily) (22% vs 61%). However, more than half of the non-responders to either drug alone showed subsequent improvement with prednisolone–cyclosporine combination confirming the potential beneficial effect of combined cyclosporine–glucocorticoid treatment and its superiority vs either cyclosporine or oral glucocorticoid monotherapy. Of note, there are no RCTs comparing cyclosporine with i.v. glucocorticoids for the treatment of GO.

Azathioprine (Recommendation #18)

Azathioprine is an antiproliferative agent with a similar mode of action to mycophenolate, frequently used as a ‘steroid-sparing agent’ in autoimmune/inflammatory conditions. Azathioprine was ineffective in GO as a single agent (131), but observational studies suggested benefits in combination with low-dose glucocorticoids (132). In the randomized and blinded CIRTED study (n = 126), azathioprine over 12 months was studied in combination with high-dose oral glucocorticoids and orbital radiotherapy in a factorial designed trial (116). Although the majority of patients returned for primary end-point review (82%), 66% of participants allocated to azathioprine and 45% of those allocated to placebo did not complete the full 48 weeks of treatment. Withdrawals from the azathioprine group were for known AEs of azathioprine. Despite low adherence rates, in intention-to-treat analyses, the point estimate for the OR for improvement in patients treated with azathioprine was substantial (OR: 2.56, 95% CI: 0.98–6.66; P = 0.054) and a sensitivity analysis in which patients who withdrew during the trial were recorded to unfavorable outcomes regardless of their status at 48 weeks, the effect of azathioprine treatment was enhanced (OR: 3.65, 95% CI: 1.34–9.86; P = 0.011). In addition, in a post-hoc per protocol analysis of patients who completed their allocated therapy, the OR for improvement on azathioprine was large (OR: 6.83, 95% CI: 1.66–28.1; P = 0.008). The major benefit was a reduction in the relapse rate after glucocorticoid withdrawal. Hence, azathioprine may be a valuable steroid-sparing agent when continued after an oral glucocorticoid taper, although it is frequently not well tolerated. Benefits in combination with i.v. glucocorticoids are unknown.

Teprotumumab (Recommendation #19)

The insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) receptor is over-expressed in GO orbital fibroblasts and lymphocytes (133, 134). It forms a functional complex with the TSHR and mediates TSHR downstream signaling (135). Teprotumumab is a fully humanized immunoglobulin (Ig) G1 monoclonal inhibiting antibody, which binds to the extracellular portion of IGF-1R and blocks its...
activation and signaling by endogenous ligands. Binding of teprotumumab also leads to internalization and degradation of IGF-1R resulting in up to 95% reduction of accessible receptor protein on the cell surface (135).

The safety and efficacy of teprotumumab were evaluated sequentially in two RCTs, which comprised 170 patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO (136, 137). Both trials had similar designs and patients were randomly assigned to teprotumumab (83 patients; once every 3 weeks i.v. for eight doses over 24 weeks) or placebo (87 patients). Seventy-three percent in teprotumumab groups (vs 14% in placebo groups) were overall responders with both CAS and proptosis improvement. Individually, CAS of 0–1 (62% vs 22%) and proptosis response (77% vs 15%) were much more common in teprotumumab groups. Proptosis response occurred early at week 6 in most patients. The mean reduction in proptosis by week 24 ranged from 2.9 to 3.3 mm. Teprotumumab treatment was also associated with a significant improvement in GO-QoL score. Recently, systematic analyses and off-treatment follow-up results from the two RCTs were published (138). One year after the final dose, integrated proptosis, diplopia, and composite responses were 67, 69, and 83%, respectively. In the teprotumumab group, orbital decompression surgery was required in a few patients during the follow-up observation period and dysthyroid optic neuropathy (DON) occurred in one patient 4 months after the last teprotumumab infusion. The most common AEs reported with teprotumumab included muscle spasms (25%), nausea (17%), alopecia (13%), diarrhea (13%), fatigue (10%), hearing impairment (10%), and hyperglycemia (8%). Also, teprotumumab is contraindicated for those with inflammatory bowel disease and in pregnancy. In the systematic analysis, most teprotumumab AEs were mild-moderate during treatment, with three related serious AEs (diabetes, infusion reaction, and Hashimoto’s encephalopathy/confusion) leading to study discontinuation. In line with this, a case of serious teprotumumab-induced amyloid encephalopathy was recently reported, which was unresponsive to high-dose glucocorticoids or immunoglobulin G therapy, but remitted after plasmapheresis (139). The current dosing regimen of teprotumumab has proven effective for GO; however, dose-ranging studies including variable concentrations, infusion frequencies, and durations of teprotumumab therapy in the setting of GO have not been performed (140). Therefore, although teprotumumab has become the first drug approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of adult GO, its incorporation into routine clinical practice is currently limited by the lack of comprehensive long-term efficacy and safety data, absence of head-to-head comparison with i.v. glucocorticoids, restricted geographical availability, reimbursement (outside the US), and costs.

**Rituximab (Recommendation #20)**

Rituximab is a chimeric human and mouse MAB against CD20 surface antigen expressed on B cells that causes immunosuppression through B-cell depletion. After several retrospective case series (141, 142, 143) suggested a potential benefit of rituximab for the treatment of GO, two double-blind, but low-powered single-center RCTs have evaluated rituximab in patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO with conflicting results. The US study (144) randomized 25 patients to receive two infusions of either rituximab (1000 mg each) or placebo (saline) 2 weeks apart: no additional advantage of rituximab over placebo was found in reducing CAS or severity of GO at 24 or 52 weeks. In contrast, the Italian study (145) demonstrated better ophthalmic and QoL outcomes with rituximab as compared to i.v. glucocorticoids: 32 patients were randomly assigned to receive either rituximab (two doses of 1000 mg 2 weeks apart or a single dose of 500 mg) or i.v. glucocorticoids (cumulative dose, 7.5 g). At 24 weeks, all patients treated with rituximab showed inactivation of GO as compared to 69% in the i.v. glucocorticoid group. At 52 weeks, none in the rituximab group and 31% in the i.v. glucocorticoid group had reactivation of GO (145). As compared to the US study (144), participants in the Italian trial had a shorter average duration of GO (4.5 vs 12.2 months), which may explain the discrepant results.

In the above studies, DON developed in two patients and vasculitis in one rituximab-treated patient (144). A severe cytokine release syndrome presenting with marked periorbital edema and decrease of vision, then controlled with glucocorticoids, occurred in two patients (145). A recent non-randomized prospective study of 17 patients (8 steroid naïve, 9 unresponsive to i.v. glucocorticoids) has suggested an efficacy of a low dose (100-mg single infusion) of rituximab in moderate-to-severe and active GO (146). Over 90% of these patients showed disease inactivation by 12 weeks, and no patient had reactivation after a 76-week follow-up. Mild infusion-related AEs frequently seen with the higher dose of rituximab were rare; nevertheless, one patient developed a cytokine release syndrome (146).
Tocilizumab (Recommendation #21)

Tocilizumab is a humanized MAB against the interleukin (IL)-6 receptor approved for use in rheumatoid arthritis. In addition to playing a role in T and B cell activation as a pro-inflammatory cytokine, IL-6 also acts directly on orbital pre-adipocytes to promote volume expansion (147). In an RCT of GO patients considered to have failed initial glucocorticoid therapy (n = 32), subjects treated with i.v. tocilizumab monotherapy on weeks 0, 4, 8, 12 showed greater reductions in CAS (86% achieving CAS <3 vs 35% in the placebo group, P < 0.005) at week 16 (148). Tocilizumab was generally well-tolerated, but there was a higher rate of infections and headache in the tocilizumab group; the benefit was predominantly on soft-tissue signs (148). In an uncontrolled observational study of 48 patients, resistant to established therapies (mostly i.v. glucocorticoids, 90%), tocilizumab given monthly i.v. or weekly subcutaneously was well tolerated and the majority of patients showed improvement (92%) (149). Likewise, a small study of eight glucocorticoid-resistant patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO showed a beneficial effect of tocilizumab on CAS and exophthalmos (150). Similar findings were recently reported in a single-center retrospective observation over 9 years with 54 patients analyzed (151), nevertheless larger RCTs including tocilizumab in naive patients with GO of short duration are warranted. Currently, data suggest that tocilizumab may cause rapid resolution of inflammatory signs in glucocorticoid-resistant moderate-to-severe and active GO.

Other immunomodulators

Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment resulted in decreased specific autoantibody titers and clinical improvement in several autoimmune diseases (152). The random i.v. administration of anti-idiotype immunoglobulins (1 g/kg/bodyweight) in patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO was as effective as (62% response rate) and better tolerated than oral prednisolone (153). High costs, the need for i.v. administration, and its small potential risk for transmitting infectious agents limit the routine use of i.v. immunoglobulins in the treatment of GO. In comparison, when randomly tested in moderate-to-severe and active GO, ciamexone did not show any beneficial effect vs placebo (154). Also negative was four RCTs evaluating somatostatin-analogs (either octreotide or lanreotide) in GO (155, 156, 157, 158). The level of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα) is elevated in GO patients compared to controls (159, 160). However, selected anti-TNFα agents tested in uncontrolled, small studies of GO patients had limited efficacy (161, 162, 163). Adding methotrexate to i.v. glucocorticoids in an uncontrolled small study in patients with active GO was safe and allowed reduced administration of glucocorticoids without compromising their efficacy (164).

First-line and second-line treatments for moderate-to-severe and active GO

When reviewing all currently published RCTs and evaluating pros and cons, efficacy and safety, as well as comparing the present evidence-based reports with those available 6 years ago, the following first-line treatments (Fig. 2) and alternative second-line approaches (Fig. 3) are recommended.

First-line treatments

As demonstrated by two large RCTs (107, 108) including more than 300 patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO and involving mycophenolate and glucocorticoids, this combination therapy shows a beneficial efficacy/safety profile (110) with a statistically significant and clinically relevant higher benefit than i.v. glucocorticoid monotherapy. Hence, and as shown in Fig. 2, the combination of i.v. methylprednisolone (moderate cumulative dose of 4.5 g over 12 weeks)+mycophenolate sodium 0.72 g per day for 24 weeks is recommended as first-line treatment for most patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO. If the enteric-coated mycophenolate sodium is not available, mycophenolate mofetil is administered (1 g of mofetil is equivalent to 0.72 g of the sodium formulation).

In the most severe forms (including constant/inconstant diplopia, severe soft-tissue signs) within the spectrum of moderate-to-severe and active GO, a higher cumulative dose of i.v. methylprednisolone (7.5 g) as monotherapy is also recommended as an alternative first-line approach. RCTs comparing the higher dose (7.5 g) in combination with mycophenolate to i.v. glucocorticoid monotherapy are not available.

Second-line treatments

As already recommended in the 2016 ETA/EUGOGO guidelines (8) and subsequent to a careful ophthalmic and
biochemical (liver enzymes) evaluation, after 3–4 weeks, a second course of i.v. methylprednisolone monotherapy administering the higher cumulative dose of 7.5 g and starting with single doses of 0.75 g for 6 weeks is a further acknowledged and valid second-line therapy. Of note, a cumulative dose of 8 g i.v. methylprednisolone per cycle is allowed. Alternatively, the combination of oral prednisone/ prednisolone and cyclosporine is recommended, as two RCTs demonstrated the benefits of this combination (8, 129, 130). In addition, azathioprine can be used together with oral glucocorticoids because of its steroid-sparing action in one RCT (Fig. 3).

The largest clinical experience as of today for an alternative evidence-based therapy is with the combination of oral prednisone/prednisolone and orbital radiotherapy (118, 119). However, in view of the evidence that i.v. glucocorticoids are more effective and better tolerated than oral glucocorticoids (1) and that i.v. glucocorticoids combined with orbital radiotherapy are more effective than oral glucocorticoids combined with orbital radiotherapy (83), we suggest that orbital radiotherapy combined with i.v. glucocorticoids can be considered a second-line therapy (expert opinion), particularly in patients with eye muscle dysfunction, although a prospective RCT
**Figure 3**
Algorithm for the second-line management of moderate-to-severe and active Graves' orbitopathy. There are currently six alternative second-line treatments ('six pathways') for persistent moderate-to-severe and active GO as a non-response to a first-line treatment: (i) the second cycle of iv methylprednisolone (starting with 0.75 g per infusion per week, allowed is a cumulative dose of 8 g per cycle) and subsequent to careful ophthalmic and biochemical (liver enzymes) evaluation; (ii) oral glucocorticoids with either cyclosporine or azathioprine; (iii) orbital radiotherapy with either oral or i.v. glucocorticoids; (iv) teprotumumab (availability and affordability pending); (v) rituximab (not in patients at risk for optic neuropathy); (vi) tocilizumab (considered in glucocorticoid-resistant patients). Head-to-head comparison data are available for rituximab against iv methylprednisolone (145). Overall and based on the features of GO that they are most likely to be effective for i.v. glucocorticoids, mycophenolate, tocilizumab, rituximab, and cyclosporine substantially decreased inflammatory ophthalmic signs while orbital radiotherapy (preferably in combination with glucocorticoids) significantly improved eye muscle motility and/or diplopia. In comparison, Teprotumumab showed the strongest effect on exophthalmos. Of note, with the exception of teprotumumab (FDA cleared for the treatment of active and moderately severe GO in January 2020), all drugs stated in Figs 2 and/or 3 can be given as off-label treatment. GO, Graves' orbitopathy; GD, Graves' disease.

Directly comparing i.v. glucocorticoid monotherapy vs i.v. glucocorticoids combined with orbital radiotherapy is not available and an evidence-based recommendation for a single glucocorticoid dosage is missing.

Rituximab can be recommended as second-line treatment at the dosage reported in one RCT (one 500 mg shot) (145) or at lower dose (one 100 mg shot) (145), however not in patients with a potential risk for DON (144, 145). Rituximab is the only drug with a head-to-head comparison of i.v. glucocorticoids (145). Tocilizumab may also be considered in glucocorticoid-resistant patients, though robust data concerning efficacy and safety are still missing. Finally, Teprotumumab, as a promising and effective drug for GO (136, 137), is currently available in the US only. Subsequent to its clearance by the European Medicine Agency, publication of long-term efficacy and safety data, information on need for post-teprotumumab rehabilitative surgery, and, optimally, subsequent to head-
to-head comparison with i.v. glucocorticoids within a future RCT, teprotumumab will likely play a relevant role in the management of patients with moderate-to severe and active GO provided it is available and affordable to each patient (Recommendations #22–25).

Overall and based on the features of GO that they are most likely to be effective for i.v. glucocorticoids, mycophenolate, tocilizumab, rituximab, and cyclosporine substantially decreased inflammatory ophthalmic signs while orbital radiotherapy (preferably in combination with glucocorticoids) significantly improved eye muscle motility and/or diplopia. In comparison, teprotumumab showed the strongest effect on exophthalmos.

Management of sight-threatening GO

Sight-threatening GO is an emergency that is treated immediately. Impairment or loss of vision can be due to DON, severe corneal exposure breakdown, and, in rare cases, eyeball subluxation causing acute optic neuropathy due to stretching of the optic nerve, increase in the intraocular pressure, and/or corneal breakdown. A small RCT ([165]) showed that in DON patients immediate decompression did not result in a better outcome compared to i.v. glucocorticoids given as first-line treatment. In a retrospective study of 24 DON patients (40 eyes), more than 40% of patients showed permanent restoration of normal vision.

Figure 4

Algorithm for the management of sight-threatening Graves’ orbitopathy. The first-line treatment for optic neuropathy is high-dose iv methylprednisolone (single doses of 500 to 1000 mg) for three consecutive days or most preferably and for safety reasons on every second day (alternate days) during the first week, which can be repeated for another week. When the response is absent or poor with a deterioration in visual acuity or visual fields, urgent orbital decompression surgery is mandatory. GO, Graves’ orbitopathy; GD, Graves’ disease.
visual function after high-dose i.v. methylprednisolone therapy (88). Based on these results, the first-line treatment of DON is high-dose (single doses of 500 to 1000 mg) i.v. methylprednisolone for three consecutive days or more preferably (for safety reasons) (86, 90) on every second day (alternate days) during the first week, which can be repeated for another week (Fig. 4). When the response is absent or poor with a deterioration in visual acuity or visual fields, urgent orbital decompression surgery is mandatory. Blepharorrhexis, tarsorrhaphy, lid lengthening, extraocular muscle recession, gluing, antibiotics, and transplantation are used to protect the cornea when severe corneal exposure (ulceration) or corneal breakdown occurs. Subluxation of the eyeball is treated with orbital decompression (Recommendations #26–27).

**Orbital/ophthalmic surgery in the treatment of GO**

In the active phase of GO, decompression surgery is indicated in patients with severe exposure keratopathy and, as second-line treatment, in patients with DON not responding to i.v. glucocorticoids. Local treatment (tarsorrhaphies, corneal patches, or gluing) can be used in the same phase as temporary measures to shield the cornea for superficial damages or to correct extreme corneal thinning, thus decreasing risks of spontaneous eyeball perforation or perforation in the course of subsequent decompression surgery. In the post-inflammatory, inactive phase, residual disfigurements (exophthalmos, lid retractions, eyelid, and periorbital puffiness, strabismus, and correlated symptoms such eye grittiness, retro/peri-ocular tension, and diplopia) can be treated by a combination of decompression, ophthalmic plastic, and strabismus surgery (166).

**Treatment of hyperthyroidism in patients with GO (Recommendations #28–32)**

Graves’ hyperthyroidism can be managed by thionamide antithyroid drugs (ATDs), RAI treatment, or, less frequently, total thyroidectomy (Tx) (42, 167). ATDs and Tx per se do not modify the natural history of mild GO (53, 54, 168), although RCTs on moderate-to-severe GO are lacking. Long-term ATD treatment is beneficial for GO due to the normalization of thyroid function and the associated decline of TSHR-Ab serum levels (169), which are a biomarker for GO (43, 170). Avoiding iatrogenic hypothyroidism in treating patients with GD/GO (Figs 1, 2, 3 and 4) is an important principle of medical management. With this respect, within a large EUGOGO prospective multicenter observational cohort study of 344 patients with Graves’ hyperthyroidism, the prevalence of biochemical euthyroidism during treatment with ATDs was higher during the ATD titration regimen compared to the ‘block and replace’ regimen (De novo development of GO did not differ significantly between the two regimens (171).

A small RCT (172) and a retrospective case study (173) showed that early Tx may be associated with a better outcome of immunosuppressive treatment for moderate-to-severe and active GO. At variance, RAI treatment is associated with a definite risk for GO, which can be prevented, in patients at risk, by oral low-dose and short-term prednisone prophylaxis, given concomitantly with RAI treatment, and prompt correction of post-RAI hypothyroidism. Total thyroid ablation (i.e. Tx followed by RAI ablation of thyroid remnants) has been proposed, and two RCTs have shown a beneficial effect of this procedure following i.v. glucocorticoid treatment in patients with moderate-to-severe and active GO, in the short, but not in the long term (174, 175, 176).

The optimal treatment for hyperthyroidism in patients with GO is an unsolved dilemma, and there is no current evidence as to the superiority of the conservative approach (ATDs) with respect to the ablative approach (Tx, RAI, total thyroid ablation) or vice versa (177). If GO, either mild or moderate-to-severe is stably and longstanding inactive, any treatment for hyperthyroidism can be selected, based on standardized criteria and patient preference after extensive counseling (167), as it is unlikely to cause recurrence or progression of GO (37, 178). In patients with residual, but long-standing inactive moderate-to-severe GO, oral glucocorticoid prophylaxis can be considered if RAI treatment is selected and risk factors are still present. If GO is active and mild, ATD treatment (or Tx) is mostly preferred. RAI treatment can be used in combination with oral prednisone/prednisolone prophylaxis (167). When GO is moderate-to-severe and active, management of GO should be prioritized, because delayed treatment is associated with a lower response rate (8). While GO is being treated, hyperthyroidism is controlled by ATDs, possibly given longer than the usual 18–24 months (178, 179). Large thyroid glands (>50 mL) and/or nodular goiters can be surgically treated if mechanical signs of tracheal compression or suspicion of thyroid cancer are present. When GO is sight threatening, its emergency treatment either medical and/or surgical is an absolute priority;
hyperthyroidism is stabilized by ATDs until treatment of GO is completed (8).

Management of GO during viral pandemic

Patients with mild GO should receive the usual local treatment (Fig. 1) and should be urged to quit smoking to prevent progression of GO (180). As to moderate-to-severe and active GO, glucocorticoids and other immunosuppressive agents could make patients more susceptible to infections (1). Discontinuation of long-term glucocorticoid treatment may be associated with adrenal failure, which, in turn, increases the risk of developing infections and related mortality, likely including COVID-19 (181). Nevertheless, unless immunized or having had COVID, all of us have no immunity to COVID, so adding immunosuppression will not increase that risk. Furthermore, dexamethasone or methylprednisolone or tocilizumab has now become the standard of care for COVID (182, 183). Also, it is now clear that very high-dose steroids used in COVID does not cause adrenal suppression, and normal adrenal function was observed in patients who survived COVID-19 Infection (184). In line with this, withdrawal of i.v. glucocorticoid treatment in GO patients is not associated with adrenal failure (185, 186).

No studies are available on the use of i.v. glucocorticoids or other immunosuppressive agents for GO during the current pandemic. With ongoing vaccination of the population, the risk related to immunosuppression will gradually decrease. Although the impact of immunomodulatory/immunosuppressive therapies on the efficacy of vaccination against COVID is not known, and steroids are known to decrease the efficacy of other vaccines, it seems reasonable to propose that patients already under treatment continue i.v. glucocorticoids or other immunosuppressive treatments under careful monitoring (180). Oral treatments can be continued at home, strictly following rules of social distancing, shielding, and hygiene. Sight-threatening GO is an emergency and should be treated as such, irrespective of a viral pandemic.

Conclusions and perspectives

It is reasonable to recommend the combination of i.v. methylprednisolone and mycophenolate (sodium) as the updated standard of care in moderate-to-severe and active GO, in view of its practicability and superior efficacy to weekly i.v. glucocorticoid monotherapy. Biologicals, especially teprotumumab and, to a lesser degree, tocilizumab or rituximab, hold great promise in the future management of GO and can be useful if patients are intolerant or resistant to standard immunosuppressive treatment. However, they were not rigorously tested in large RCTs against the current standard of care, namely, i.v. glucocorticoids. The fact that they may not be widely available or affordable, as well as the lack of information, say, on the need for subsequent rehabilitative surgery, further add to their current limitations. As multiple pathogenic pathways are implicated in GO, several targeted therapies are worth exploring in clinical trials, for example, monoclonal antibodies and/or small molecules targeting the TSHR (187, 188) or the CD40 molecule expressed in both thyrocytes and orbital fibroblasts (189), or anti-IL-23/anti-IL-17 for the IL-23/IL-17 axis and sirolimus for the mTOR pathway (190). worthwhile is also a modulating impact on the microbiome in patients with GO (191). Overall, any novel therapeutic strategy in GO must be examined in RCTs, hopefully adopting the same assessment of treatment primary outcomes, before any conclusion regarding efficacy (i.e. proptosis and diplopia) and safety can be drawn.
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