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Abstract

Glucocorticoids are a class of systematically secreted hormones, vital for mammalian life, which are intensively investigated for more than 80 years. They regulate multiple body processes like metabolism, fluid homeostasis, immune and stress system responsivity, as well as brain function. Glucocorticoids have a complex rhythm by which they are released to circulation from the adrenal cortex. The hormone exhibits a circadian variation, with high hormonal levels being secreted just prior and during the active part of the day, and progressively lower and lower amounts being released during the inactive part of it. Underlying this diurnal variation there is a more dynamic, ultradian rhythm composed of frequent episodes of glucocorticoid secretion (hormonal pulses). Accumulating evidence from observational, in silico, in vitro and in vivo, preclinical and clinical studies suggest that both aspects of glucocorticoid rhythmicity are preserved among mammalian species and are important for brain function. The central nervous system is exposed to both aspects of the hormonal rhythm and has developed mechanisms able to perceive them and translate them to differential cellular events, genomic and non-genomic. Thus, glucocorticoid rhythmicity regulates various physiological neural and glial processes, under baseline and stressful conditions, and hormonal dysrhythmicity has been associated with cognitive and behavioural defects. This raises a number of clinical
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implications concerning (i) glucocorticoid involvement in neuropsychiatric disease and (ii) improving the therapeutic efficacy or expanding the role of glucocorticoid-based treatments in such conditions.

**Introduction**

Glucocorticoids (GCs, corticosterone in rodents and predominantly cortisol in human) are a class of steroid hormones, vital for mammalian life, which are synthesised by the adrenal glands, secreted into the systemic circulation and travel throughout the body to exert their pleiotropic effects on cellular function, primarily affecting metabolism, the immune system and cognitive and emotional functions. The complexity of their biology is illustrated by the fact that after almost 80 years of intensively investigating these molecules, we still have only superficial understanding of their molecular effects and the system level homeostatic functions they control.

Despite these caveats, almost all clinical specialties use natural or synthetic GCs to treat multiple conditions, primarily exploiting their immunomodulatory actions on high doses; from gastroenterologists (inflammatory bowel disease), dermatologists (serious allergies, psoriasis), rheumatologists (rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus and other autoimmune disorders) and pulmonologists (asthma) to surgeons (serious bacterial infections and shock), oncologists (in combination with first-line anti-neoplastic drugs under multi-drug schemes), nephrologists (some forms of glomerulonephritis), anesthesiologists (in combination with first-line painkillers under multi-drug schemes), neurologists (multiple sclerosis, other inflammatory or traumatic encephalopathies, myelopathies and neuropathies) and endocrinologists (mainly for replacement therapy in adrenal insufficiency) (1, 2, 3).

The need to fully elucidate GCs’ biological relevance is crucial since GCs are a fundamental aspect of the non-specific neuroendocrine response of the mammalian body to multiple internal and external stressors. One of the major systems affected in these states is the central nervous system, and it is well recognised that long-term or high-dose GC therapy is associated with neuropsychiatric disorders.

Two of the most characteristic features of GC physiology, well explained in relevant medical textbooks, are their circadian variation and their central role in stress responses. Indeed, GCs are a paradigm for the role of internal biological clocks, regulating the variations in biological needs across the 24-h day. A few hours before awakening (morning in human and night in rodents), the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) reduces its inhibitory input to the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) and median eminence (4), which in turn allows an increase in the secretion of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) into the hypophyseal portal circulation. Consequently, CRH upregulates corticotrophin (ACTH) secretion by corticotropic cells of the anterior pituitary, which travel via the systemic circulation to adrenal glands and stimulate GC biosynthesis/release. This results to the natural circadian peak of GCs, followed by a gradual fall to reach nadir levels during the inactive part of the day. The circadian characteristics of GC secretion may vary both within and between individuals. They depend on genetic, epigenetic, age- and gender-related variables (5, 6, 7), intrinsic environmental factors and long-term neurocognitive adaptations to perceived stress, as well as the integrity of the corresponding anatomical structures involved in the feedforward-feedback circuits (8), and the mode of function of peripheral clocks, regulating for instance the circadian variation of the adrenal sensitivity to ACTH stimulation (9).

Responses to external as well as internal stressful stimuli also elicit a dramatic increase in GC secretion. Both brainstem and limbic structures are important in these responses. The hippocampus for example exerts an inhibitory effect over HPA activity at the onset and termination of the stress response (10), while the amygdala enhances the stress-related GC secretion in a region-specific manner, with central and medial amygdaloidal nuclei being responsive to different stressful stimuli (intrinsic-inflammatory and extrinsic-environmental respectively) and subsequently contributing to the acute stress responses. On the other hand, the basolateral amygdala has a role in the chronic stress integration. Parts of the prefrontal cortex also regulate HPA activity, and consequently, GC secretion. All these brain structures project via the bed nucleus of stria terminalis to subcortical, hypothalamic and brainstem regions that in turn innervate...
the medial parvocellular part of PVN (11). This implies that, in the context of stress responses, multiple steps are involved in the chain of regulatory control initiated by central stimuli, with the final message though eventually translated into changes in hypothalamic CRH secretion (consequently leading to changes in ACTH secretion and thus changes to GC secretion).

What has been much less clear in textbooks on medical physiology is the fact that under baseline conditions the GC circadian variation is actually made up from an underlying, more dynamic rhythm; oscillatory pulses of ACTH and GCs. This is the ultradian rhythm of the hormone. Where does this ultradian rhythm derive from? And is it biologically significant, especially for brain function? And if yes, are there any clinical implications concerning GC involvement in neuropsychiatric disease or improving the therapeutic efficacy of GC-based treatments or even expanding their role in neuropsychiatric conditions? This review will try to answer some of these questions by providing a summary of the relevant scientific evidence.

Is there an ultradian rhythm? Observational and in silico studies on GC pulsatility

GC pulsatility is a conserved mechanism in mammalian species

Surprisingly, despite the fact that GC pulsatility had been observed as early as the 1970s, there has been little or no investigation of its biological importance until the last decade. There are no mammalian species studied which lack GC pulsatility, and this includes rodents, sheep, deer and cows (12, 13) as well as horses and monkeys. The baseline frequency of this ultradian rhythm may alter with the size and the developmental stage of the animal, being less than 60 min for rodents and late-gestation fetal horses (14), more than 60 for rhesus macaques (15, 16) and deer (17), and 90 min in sheep (18). All these studies have also demonstrated the existence of a strong correlation between ACTH and GC ultradian rhythms (14, 16, 19). In this context, a more recent study on rodents provided strong evidence that ACTH pulsatility is necessary for GC pulsatile biosynthesis and secretion, and indeed the exposure of adrenal glands to non-pulsatile ACTH abolished their capacity to produce a pulsatile transcriptional activity of genes involved in steroidogenesis, leading to a loss of adrenal corticosterone secretion (20). Moreover, the experimental disruption of circadian inputs to the HPA activity (for instance lesioning hypothalamic nuclei or exposing animals to constant light conditions) did not interfere with the ultradian component of GC rhythmicity (21).

Multiple clinical observational studies have also confirmed the presence of the ultradian GC rhythm in man, under healthy conditions (19, 22, 23, 24, 25), as well as under pathological conditions related to chronic stress system activation, including neurodegenerative disorders (26), depression (27), fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome (28) or obstructive sleep apnoea (29). The GC pulses vary in amplitude and duration throughout the day due to variable input from hypothalamic nuclei, and a typical human 24-h profile, under healthy and non-stressful conditions, contains approximately 8–16 glucocorticoid pulses (occurring every 60–180 min) (23, 30). The ultradian rhythm of GC secretion is also preserved across gender (31) and, despite changes in pulse amplitude and duration, even during acute stress responses (32, 33). But where does this ultradian rhythm come from?

Origin of GC pulsatility

Since the ultradian rhythm of GC secretion is not abolished by the removal of hypothalamic CRH circadian cues, we focused on the characteristics of the interplay between the anterior pituitary and adrenal glands. As mentioned earlier, ACTH plays a key role on this: after reaching the steroidogenic cells of the zona fasciculata, it binds to its specific receptor melanocortin type-2 (MC2R), causing an increase in the intracellular levels of cAMP, which in turn activate the protein kinase A pathway, leading to post-translational modifications (mainly phosphorylation/activation) of proteins involved in cholesterol metabolism like the hormone-sensitive lipase and the steroidogenic acute regulatory protein, which regulate the levels of intracellular cholesterol and its transport within the mitochondrial matrix to initiate the steroidogenic process (34). Therefore, ACTH exerts a positive feedforward regulation on GC biosynthesis.

After release into the systemic circulation, GCs feedback on corticotropic cells of the anterior pituitary to inhibit the release of ACTH. This results in a negative (self)regulation on GC biosynthesis. This positive feedforward–negative feedback loop is characterised by built-in delays (i.e. there is an inherent temporal distance between each positive feedforward activation of MC2Rs by ACTH and the subsequent release of GCs due to the need for de novo GC biosynthesis). Using mathematical biomodelling approaches, accommodating the previously mentioned dynamics between ACTH and GC secretion
with the inherent delays, as well as other parameters related to GC clearance through liver (bile acids) and kidneys (urine) (35), we were able to demonstrate that the interplay between pituitary and adrenals creates a system that leads its components (ACTH, GCs) to a self-sustaining oscillatory activity (21, 36, 37), independent of any other cues. What we have described is in effect a sub-hypothalamic pulse generator (Fig. 1).

This leads us to the key question: as the brain is naturally exposed to these GC pulses, how are brain cells able to perceive GC pulsatility and translate for appropriate signalling events? Furthermore, what are the implications of this for therapeutics – both replacement therapy and synthetic corticosteroid treatment?

The neurobiological significance of the GC circadian rhythm

Before focusing on the ultradian rhythm of GCs, we should not underestimate the significance of their diurnal variation for brain function. GC circadian rhythmicity is an integral feature of the regulation of glucose homeostasis, impacting directly on neuronal and glial homeostasis (38). The GC circadian rhythm is synchronised with the rhythm of other major, brain-specific stimuli such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor, which has a direct interaction with GCs regulating fundamental neural and circuital processes like neurogenesis, dendritic remodelling and synaptic plasticity (39). The GC surge of the diurnal peak also modulates the rhythmic expression of various GC-sensitive genes in a brain region-specific manner, like tryptophan hydroxylase-2 in the raphe neurons (40) or period-2 in the central nucleus of the amygdala (41) and promotes stimulus-driven, non-genomic events, like the postsynaptic dendritic spine formation in the cortex after motor skill learning. At the same time, GC circadian troughs are required for stabilising newly formed spines crucial for long-term memory retention. Conversely, chronic and excessive exposure to GCs eliminates learning-associated new spines and disrupts previously acquired memories (42).

In addition, the circadian rhythm of GCs has enormous, multi-level effects on behaviour, psychophysiology and pathology: (i) changes in the characteristics of the diurnal variation (steeper peaks or flatter slopes) have been linked to an increased self-reported negative affect (43), and an inverse relationship has been reported between the diurnal rhythms of cortisol and positive affect (44). (ii) The diurnal cortisol profile has also been associated

with the neural activity in parts of the medial prefrontal cortex (ventromedial and orbitofrontal), an association that is lost in anhedonic subjects (45). (iii) Enhancement of the diurnal peak of GCs (without changing the overall

Figure 1

Regulation of glucocorticoid (GC) circadian and ultradian oscillations. In hypothalamus, the suprachiasmatic nucleus regulates the circadian changes in secretion of the corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) from the neighbouring paraventricular nucleus. This in turn provides the diurnal pattern of activation of the pituitary corticotropes (green arrow) which secrete corticotropin (ACTH) into the circulation and thence the adrenal cortex where it initiates a feedforward activation of GC biosynthesis (green arrow). This necessity for de novo GC biosynthesis (which cannot be stored in vesicles due to its lipophilic nature) results in a built-in delay before the metabolic product can be released, and feedback at the level of the pituitary to suppress ACTH (red arrow). Mathematical biomodelling suggests that such a positive feedforward–negative feedback system with built-in delays leads to a self-sustaining oscillatory activity and is the basis for ultradian GC pulsatility. Changes in hypothalamic drive can superimpose on this rhythm, by modifying the amplitude and magnitude of each ACTH pulse, and thus establishing the well-recognised diurnal rhythm. This is itself modified by feedback inhibition from the circulating levels of GCs (red arrow). The adrenal cortex itself has a local clock mechanism that also contributes to circadian variation by altering adrenal sensitivity to ACTH stimulation across the circadian cycle. The activity of corticolimbic brain regions (in response to external cues or internal states), brainstem (responding to inflammatory stimuli or pain) as well as other peripheral stimuli (for instance inflammatory cues or stressors) may affect the downstream pathways either controlling the secretion of CRH or the tissues’ sensitivity to the ACTH or GC stimulation.
amount of daily GC exposure or any other aspects of the HPA activity) may exert anxiolytic effects (46). (iv) Elimination of the GC circadian peak leads to a significant reduction in locomotor activity during the active periods of the day, comparable to the inactive parts of it (47). (v) Circadian misalignment due to GC circadian rhythm phase shifts has been linked to acute episodes (mania or depression) in the context of bipolar disorder (48). (vi) The diurnal variation in circulating GCs modulates the analgesic effect of morphine by regulating the expression of the μ-opioid receptors in brainstem (49).

It is clear that the GC circadian rhythm provides a strong chronobiological signal controlling the daily homeostasis of energy balance in brain cells, as well as fundamental aspects of neural survivability, plasticity and multi-neuronal network characteristics. These effects are linked to both genomic and non-genomic cellular events, and eventually contribute to the circadian variability of mood and behaviour, whose disruption is linked to psychiatric symptomatology (Fig. 2). Thus, over a period of 24h, the alternation of the circulating GC levels between a state of high abundance and a state of low bioavailability seems to be crucial for brain physiology. The next question is whether the ultradian pattern of GC rhythm could be of similar neurobiological significance. Is it possible that the circadian variation of the hormone can only be optimally translated into its neurobiological effects if delivered in a pulsatile manner?

**Preclinical studies on the neurobiological significance of GC pulsatility**

**Does the brain perceive GC circadian and ultradian rhythms?**

The debate around the significance of GC rhythmicity on brain function would be pointless if the nervous system was not exposed to oscillating signals of extracellular GCs. In the systematic circulation, GCs are bound to GC carrier proteins and albumin, and it is only the free fraction of cortisol that is active and available to diffuse into the central nervous system. And even then, this active fraction of GCs can get excreted at the site of the blood–brain barrier (due to the activity of the P-glycoprotein) and locally, in the microenvironment of neurons and glia, be converted to inactive forms (50). In *vivo* micro-dialysis studies in rodents have demonstrated, though, that both the circadian and ultradian rhythms of free GCs are maintained in the systemic circulation, the nervous system and the subcutaneous tissue (51). These observations are gender independent (52). It is worth noting though, that this synchronicity between plasma and brain free GC oscillations might be modified under conditions of acute changes in the mode of the GC rhythm, as in the context of an acute stress response (53). These results have partially also been confirmed in man (54).
Is the brain able to translate GC pulsatility into cellular events?

The debate around the significance of GC pulsatility on brain function would also be pointless if the brain cells did not possess the means to translate dynamic hormonal oscillations into differential signalling events. Neurons and glial cells have developed ways to sense GC pulsatility. The basis of this sensation lies in the properties of the two classes of GC-sensitive receptors, the mineralocorticoid receptors (MRs) and the glucocorticoid receptors (GRs), found in the central nervous system. Since many areas of the brain lack the enzyme 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase isofrom II, cortisol and corticosterone can activate both GRs and MRs in these areas. The most prominent sites of MR expression in the central nervous system include hippocampus, lateral septum, amygdala and to a lesser extent cortical cortex, cerebellum, caudate-putamen complex and hypothalamus, while areas of GR expression include cingulate cortex, hippocampus, PVN and supraoptic nucleus, lateral geniculate, lateral and medial amygdala, thalamus, cerebellum and cerebral cortex (55, 56, 57, 58).

MRs have a much higher affinity for binding with GCs compared to GRs; consequently, MRs remain occupied even during low GC levels, while GR binding requires higher GC concentrations, like those during the peak of individual pulses or following acute stress (59). Moreover, over the last two decades, it has been gradually realised that these classes of receptors, although considered as transcription factors (i.e. regulators of gene expression) with delayed effects, also possess rapid, non-genomic effects in brain cells; these effects have been attributed to non-nuclear variants of these receptors, and for those effects higher GC levels are required as well. Thus, depending on the GC levels, a different combination of MRs and GRs get activated, resulting in a different set of rapid and delayed effects (60).

The ultradian GC rhythm determines the cyclical shift in the location of GRs and to a lesser extent MRs. At the peak of an endogenous pulse, GRs translocate to the nucleus and bind to glucocorticoid response elements on the DNA, initiating chromatin modifications including histone acetylation and docking of RNA polymerase 2 to initiate gene transcription. At the trough of each pulse, GRs will come off the DNA and either remain in the nucleus bound to chaperone proteins or be ubiquitinated and enter the nuclear proteosome for degradation (61, 62, 63). Duration of GC exposure also differentially regulates GR and MR expression, as well as determining the binding properties of MR- and GR-related coactivators and corepressors, and the formation of MR–GR heterodimeric complexes (64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70).

The overall result of this is that corticolimbic regions of the brain – in particular – are equipped with the molecular machinery to sense GC pulsatility; the next question arising therefore is where do all these events lead to? What aspects of neural and brain function are regulated by GC pulsatility?

Which aspects of neural and brain physiology are modulated by GC pulsatility?

Over the last decade, research efforts exploring the neurobiological significance of GC ultradian rhythmicity have intensified. A variety of neural processes seem to be sensitive to GC pulsatility ranging from genomic events to rapid modifications in synaptic plasticity, hippocampal neurogenesis (71) and, eventually, behavioural phenotypes.

GC-dependent genomic events are sensitive to the dynamic pattern of the hormonal oscillations and form transcriptional patterns that respond differentially to specific aspects of GC rhythmicity in a brain region-specific manner. The latter has been shown by both, in vivo and in vitro experimentation. For instance, hourly corticosterone pulses in rodents induced episodic bursts of transcription of the gene period-1 in the hippocampus. This led to a plateau in the accumulative mature transcript throughout the time course of the pulsatile exposure, indicating that GC pulsatility works optimally for steady state period-1 expression. The plateau dropped to baseline within 2h of the final pulse, indicating that any perturbation to the pulse frequency or duration would have rapid quantitative effects on the levels of the gene products (72). A similar pulsatile motif, following in vitro exposure to a pulsatile GC treatment, on the transcription of GR-regulated genes has been reported for sulfite oxidase, a mitochondrial enzyme involved in cellular energy production, GC-induced leucine zipper, a transcription factor, tissue transglutaminase, a protein regulating cytoskeletal properties and involved in neurodegenerative processes and melatonin receptor 1B. That pulsatile motif of gene expression is lost if the GC rhythm switches from pulsatile to non-pulsatile or if natural GCs are replaced with synthetic ones with a huge potency for GRs, like dexamethasone (73). Increased sensitivity to GC pulsatility has also been observed for serum/GC regulated kinase 1, implicated in the
regulation of ion channels, cell survivability and long-term memory formation, and pro-opiomelanocortin, the ACTH precursor, in pituitary but not in prefrontal cortex of rodents (74). Finally, gene ontology analysis of the transcriptome of HeLa cells contrasting in vitro pulsatile vs continuous cortisol exposure revealed expression differences in genes involved in cytoskeletal homeostasis and cell adhesion (75).

Aside the delayed, genomic events synchronised with the dynamic hormonal oscillations, rapid, non-nuclear events have also been described, indicating that spikes in GC concentrations can very quickly regulate neural processes, like neurotransmission and synaptic plasticity in a brain region-specific manner. For instance, GCs enhance transiently the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic potentials in CA1 (hippocampal) pyramidal neurons, pointing to a hormone-dependent enhancement of glutamate release probability via a pathway involving membrane-located MRs (76). A similar phenomenon has been observed in the basolateral amygdala; contrary to the hippocampus, though, the upregulation in glutamatergic neurotransmission is long lasting and greatly affects the responsiveness to subsequent surges of GCs in a GR-dependent manner (77). More recent studies additionally showed that the frequency of the hormonal pulses differentially regulate the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents, AMPA receptor trafficking and the induction of long-term potentiation in cultures of hippocampal neurons and dorsal hippocampal slices from rodent brains (78, 79). Related to this, GC-activated membrane-associated GRs promote the interaction between phospho-CREB and CREB-binding proteins, leading to epigenomic events (histone acetylation) in both the hippocampus and insular cortex, following training on object recognition, associated with memory consolidation (80). Finally, it has been illustrated that acute psychological stress resulted in the upregulation of the neuroplasticity-associated immediate-early genes c-Fos and Egr-1 in granule neurons of the dentate gyrus (hippocampus), following the serine-10 phosphorylation and lysine-14 acetylation in histone H3, which were induced by the activation of the nuclear kinases MSK1 and Elk-1. The latter required a rapid protein–protein interaction between the phosphorylated ERK1/2 and GC-activated GRs, linked to long-lasting behavioural responses to stress (81).

Eventually, GC pulsatility affects behavioural responses (82) and the readiness of the stress system for an effective mobilisation. Emotional and motor responses to external stressors or aggressive challenges are more prominent when the cue coincides with the rising phase of the ultradian GC pulse compared to the falling phase (83). Moreover, disruption of the normal ultradian GC rhythm has been associated with changes in the stress responsiveness and a dissociation between hormonal and behavioural responses to stress (84). Furthermore, in silico approaches also strongly suggest that the presence of pulsatility in homeostatic HPA function confers the potential for increased acute stress responsiveness (85).

**How does brain physiology incorporate the different aspects of GC rhythmicity?**

In parallel to findings in peripheral tissues (9, 38, 86), which possess local circadian clocks regulating the diurnal variation in GC sensitivity, similar mechanisms occur in different brain regions, that could modulate fundamental circadian processes, like metabolism, oxidative stress response, DNA repair and autophagy (at a cellular level), or memory, sleep–awake cycles, mood and eventually behaviour (at a systems level) (87). Subject to brain region-specific and (in some cases possibly) temporally varying hormonal sensitivity, GC pulsatility optimises the circadian sustainability of GC stimulation, applies a temporal filter on GC effects (especially those mediated by GRs and non-nuclear MRs), as well as keeps the nervous system competent for properly integrating external stimuli or changes in internal states.

A typical example on the sustainability of GC stimulation is the fact that GC pulsatility preserves the stock of available mature transcript of the period-1 gene in hippocampal cells (72), as we mentioned earlier. Perhaps though, the most crucial aspect of GC pulsatility is that it offers the brain an extended temporal window (on a daily basis) for effective, immediate responses to internal or external challenges (83), as well as successful, long-term adaptation. Pulsatility enables the maintenance of a reactive and responsive signalling system which is not downregulated by constant receptor activation. Moreover, in the context of confronting a challenging situation, the subsequent activation of such a range of different types of GC-sensitive receptors contributes to an ability to have temporally specific responses to a stressor: non-nuclear MRs seem to be necessary for coordinating the initial brain response to stress (in accordance with their fast, non-genomic actions), while at a later stage, GRs initiate the processes responsible for reestablishing homeostasis and mediating the successful neurobehavioral adaptations to increase effectiveness towards confronting future incidences (60). Furthermore, outside the context of stress
induction, the frequent GC surges increase the probability of GC stimulation coinciding with (or dissociating from) activation by other, interacting biomolecules, with which GCs have additive or nullifying effects. A prominent example is brain-derived neurotrophic factor (88).

Finally, it is worth mentioning that body states accompanied by disruptions of GC pulsatility, leading to a prolonged exposure to high GC levels, have been linked to a weakened GR activation. For instance, rapid GR-dependent negative feedback regulation of ACTH release under basal conditions or acute stress (24) is reduced in major depression, a condition accompanied with an overactive HPA axis (89). Other examples involve the reduction of immune system’s sensitivity to GCs’ immunosuppressive effects during chronic psychological stress (90) or the selective downregulation of hippocampal GRs under sustained stress in rodents and non-human primates (91) or after the experimental induction of viral encephalitis in rats (92).

The GC ultradian rhythm appears to provide a very important neurobiological signal which differentially regulates the gene expression profile and various second messenger systems of intracellular signal transduction of brain cells and, eventually, impacts cognition, behaviour and stress responsiveness (Fig. 2). Similar to the hormone’s circadian rhythm, disruption of the normal characteristics of the ultradian rhythm have, very recently, been linked to animal models of neuropsychiatric disease (93). But what are the clinical implications of all these? Does GC rhythmicity have a similar significance for human brain function?

**Clinical studies: is GC rhythmicity important for the human brain?**

**Effects of oral GC administration on the human brain**

Before focusing on the relevance of GC rhythmicity for the human brain function, we need to establish which domains of human cognition are influenced by GC input. A number of clinical trials in healthy subjects, using functional neuroimaging (fMRI) techniques and psychological experiments, have added valuable insights. In these studies, participants were receiving one dose of hydrocortisone or placebo, usually orally, and subsequently underwent some form of a cognitive or psychological task, measuring an aspect of human brain function, with or without the concurrent application of an fMRI protocol. The timepoints for applying the outcome measures after hydrocortisone administration were either 60–120 min, reflecting the rapid effects of the hormone, and/or 180–240 min, reflecting the delayed effects of the hormone.

Under such experimental settings, it has been shown that GCs interfere with various systems of memory processing. For instance, it has been shown that (i) intravenous 100 mg hydrocortisone infusion acutely increases the involvement of the prefrontal and parietal cortex, while reducing the involvement of the hippocampus, in a working memory task (n-back) (94), (ii) 10 mg of hydrocortisone improves working memory performance in the same kind of task (n-back) 240 min after their per os administration, an effect related to increased neuronal activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (95), (iii) 20 mg of hydrocortisone reduce prefrontal and hippocampal responses during memory encoding sessions 180 min after their per os administration (96) and (iv) 10 mg of oral hydrocortisone uptake increase the neural processing of the anteromedial prefrontal cortex during sessions of autobiographical memory retrieval 60 min post administration (97).

Under such experimental settings, it has been also illustrated that GCs facilitate the neurocognitive transitions between the unstressed brain, its stressed and its post-stress state. In particular, data suggest that (i) cortisol levels are positively correlated with a functional coupling between amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex under relatively non-stressful conditions (98), but negatively correlated with a sustained functional connectivity between amygdala and hippocampus during the post-stress period (99), (ii) 10 mg of hydrocortisone reduce the interaction of amygdala with areas responsible for initiating and preserving a stress response (locus coeruleus, hypothalamus, and hippocampus), while they increase the interaction of amygdala with areas associated with executive functions (middle frontal and temporal gyrus) 105 min after their per os administration (100), (iii) a stress-induced increase in GC levels augments the functional coupling between amygdala and dorsal striatum (101), but reduces the learning-related hippocampal processing in an MR-dependent manner, during a combined trace and delay fear conditioning paradigm (102, 103).

Finally, under such experimental settings, it has been shown that GCs interfere with emotional processing. Thus, (i) 10 mg of hydrocortisone reduces amygdala responsivity to emotional faces 75 and 285 min after their per os administration, while slowly strengthening the functional coupling between amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex, leading to a normalised response to the negative
emotional stimuli (104) and (ii) 10 mg of hydrocortisone modulates the impact of emotional distraction of attentive processing in a time-specific manner; 60 min after per os administration, there is increased emotional interference (associated with reduced amygdala inhibition to aversive words and enhanced amygdala connectivity with fronto-parietal brain regions), but later on (270 min after their per os administration) decreased overall activity in the cuneus, possibly indicating reduced bottom-up attentional processing, and disrupted amygdala connectivity to the insula, potentially reducing emotional interference (105).

Given these findings on GC involvement in memory, emotional processing and stress-related neural processing, it is of no surprise that one of the most well-described effects of GCs on the human brain (supported by integrative research, combining preclinical experimentation and clinical studies) involves the modulation of the mnemonic processing of emotionally arousing experiences (106). In the context of a stress response, GCs enhance memory consolidation and impair memory retrieval. This phenomenon is associated with a shift from a hippocampus-controlled to a dorsal striatum-controlled cognitive processing. This shift requires the involvement of the amygdala, and GCs enhance in a rapid, GR-dependent manner the noradrenaline-induced rise in intraneuronal cAMP levels in the basolateral amygdala, which upregulate the protein kinase A-dependent downstream pathways, involving among others the endocannabinoid system (107).

GCs clearly exert important effects over the human brain function, as anticipated by the strong preclinical evidence presented before. But is their rhythmicity so important from a clinical point of view as well?

**Observational studies on the relationship between GC dysrhythmicity and brain pathology**

The most obvious sources of GC dysrhythmicity are conditions directly impacting GC biosynthesis; either adrenal insufficiency (for instance Addison’s disease, AD or congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH)), leading to hypocortisolism or Cushing’s syndrome (CAH), leading to hypercortisolism. In the former cases, GCs are replaced orally, in a manner that does not replicate either the circadian or the ultradian rhythm of the hormone (3). Cushing’s syndrome has been correlated with brain atrophy, memory impairment and depression, while correction of hypercortisolism (but not the optimal daily GC rhythm), though attenuating brain atrophy, does not successfully reverse cognitive deficits (108, 109).

In relation to these results, a recent study highlighted the presence of functional alterations in emotional processing of amygdala and hippocampus in adolescents with chronic endogenous hypercortisolemia due to Cushing’s syndrome, that are not associated with affective or memory symptoms (110). These trends have been recently confirmed by a large review of 19 related studies, covering 339 patients with Cushing’s syndrome (111). On the other hand, hypocortisolism also exerts damaging effects centred on the corticolumbic areas of the brain, and age seems to be reversely associated with the degree of brains’ susceptibility to absence of GCs; there is some evidence that CAH is correlated with decreased growth, development and dysregulated function of the amygdala (112, 113), disrupted white matter integrity (114), bilateral periventricular white matter hyperintensities and cortico-subcortical atrophy (115, 116), as well as cognitive deficits (117, 118).

Brain pathology however that is totally separate from the circuits regulating HPA activity can also lead to GC dysrhythmicity. In a study of stroke patients with right-sided infarction (119), researchers observed an altered tonic and phasic cortisol secretion and a damaged stress response compared to stroke patients with left-sided infarction or healthy age-matched controls.

Where things become more complicated are in various neuropsychiatric disorders, where it is difficult to establish whether GC dysrhythmicy has a causal relationship with the neuropathological sequel or whether it is the result of the neuropathological process. In such cases, a vicious cycle develops between these two variables. Such conditions include patients with Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and post-traumatic stress disorder, which show disruptions in the circadian and ultradian GC rhythimcy (26, 120, 121), subgroups of patients with major depression, fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome, with the HPA being overactive in the former (122) and malfunctioning in the two latter cases (123, 124, 125). Very recently, Vargas et al. (126) proposed that a disrupted ultradian cortisol rhythm could be a potential neurobiological substrate for chronic insomnia.

It is also worth mentioning that genetic variations and epigenomic mechanisms (for instance, DNA methylation) related to early-life events impact GR expression and its sensitivity to GCs, consequently altering the temporal characteristics and the strength of the GR-mediated negative feedback control of GCs on the pituitary and hypothalamus. Based on our biomodelling approaches (37), the lower the sensitivity of GR-mediated signalling to GCs, the greater the amplitude of the hormonal pulses,
especially around the period of the circadian peak. Inter-individual differences in GR sensitivity will therefore lead to altered physiological GC rhythms and could affect neurodevelopment, predisposing towards certain behavioural phenotypes and psychopathology (127).

In addition to these endogenous perturbations the most frequent clinical causes of GC dysrhythmicity is the exogenous, systemic administration of synthetic GCs. These interfere with GC signalling cascades, as well as disrupt both the physiological feedforward–feedback interplay between adrenal glands and pituitary, which gives rise to the ultradian rhythm, and the negative feedback effect of natural GCs on the hypothalamus, which modulates the circadian properties of the hormonal rhythm. Are GC-based therapies then linked to neuropsychiatric symptomatology?

Is there a relationship between GC-based therapeutics and neuropsychiatric symptomatology?

The prolonged use of GC-based regimes and/or their administration in doses is accompanied by numerous adverse effects, including neuropsychiatric (128). The list of symptoms spans almost every kind of cognitive or emotional disturbance: memory impairments (declarative memory, working memory and explicit memory), agitation, anxiety, fear, hypomania, irritability, lethargy, mood lability and psychosis. Individuals who develop psychiatric manifestations on short courses of GCs most commonly report euphoria, while those on long-term therapy tend to develop depressive symptoms. The timing of GC administration has been strongly linked to sleep disturbances as well.

The most striking finding, however, is the poor clinical outcome in the simplest therapeutic situation when GCs are prescribed as replacement therapy in primary adrenocortical insufficiency (129), even when the daily amount of GCs administered does not differ from that produced by the human body under physiological conditions. In 2002, Lovás et al. (130) reported that Addisonian patients receiving substitution therapy (cortisone acetate and fludrocortisone) had reduced general health perception and vitality, and increased fatigue, as assessed by psychological self-evaluation scales (Short Form 36 and the Fatigue questionnaires). Recent studies, over a decade later, confirmed these observations, that health-related quality of life is significantly impaired in Addisonian patients compared with the age-matched and gender-matched general population, despite the proper use of the recommended oral hydrocortisone doses (131, 132). The mental fatigue, accounting for a significant portion of these patient’s poorer quality of life, is characterised by higher prevalence of mood disorders (mainly depression), memory impairment and sleep disorders (133). Similar findings have been reported in patients with Cushing’s syndrome after long-term remission, where the health-related quality of life remains impaired regardless of aetiology, presence of hormonal deficiencies and different treatment strategies (134). Therefore, the fact that restoration of the physiological GC levels might not be sufficient for them to exert their normal neurobiological effects provides support for the idea that the pattern of GC rhythmicity may be crucial even for basic mood regulation.

What do GC replacement therapies tell us about the significance of GC rhythmicity for the human brain?

Current protocols on GC replacement in states of adrenal insufficiency recommend the oral administration of hydrocortisone 2–3 times daily (or longer acting synthetic prednisolone once daily in the morning), with the morning dose being at least 50% of the total daily GC dose. Such a pattern of GC administration cannot replicate either the circadian or the ultradian rhythm of the hormone. For example, the natural circadian peak of GCs in human anticipates the need for morning activities by commencing secretion several hours prior the morning awakening, whereas the morning dose of oral replacement therapy (which is responsible of creating the diurnal peak in patients with adrenal insufficiency) is taken post-awakening resulting in a hormonal peak about one hour later. Furthermore, three doses of oral GC replacement create a form of hormonal ultradian rhythm characterised by a much smaller number of daily pulses, with a much longer duration and inter-pulse intervals than normally present. This raises the question whether an improvement in the pharmacological replication of the circadian and ultradian rhythm of GC substitution could also be followed by an improvement in clinical markers of brain function in patients with adrenal insufficiency, which would also be a strong indication of the neurobiological significance of GC rhythmicity for the human brain physiology.

Five clinical studies and three case reports have been published over the last decade, comparing the administration of hydrocortisone by continuous smooth subcutaneous infusion mimicking the diurnal but not the ultradian pattern of plasma cortisol (SCHI), with...
currently considered optimal oral therapy (OT) (135) in patients with AD or CAH. The main focus of these studies was markers on the endocrine and metabolic state of the patients (136) together with other questions related to personalised medicine (137, 138, 139). Compared to OT, the SCHI was found to improve the self-perceived mood, feelings of fatigue, vitality and physical function in Addisonian patients, while not affecting subjective or objective measures of sleep behaviour at 12 weeks (140). These favourable effects developed over a period of many weeks both in this and a similar concurrent clinical trial (141). A similar, favourable effect in markers of fatigue, mood and vitality has been observed in SCHI over OT in CAH patients at 6 months (142), which were maintained at 18 months (143).

More direct evidence on the neurobiological importance of GC rhythmicity for the human brain has been published recently. We created a human model of adrenal insufficiency by pharmacologically blocking GC biosynthesis (oral administration of metyrapone three times daily) and replacing the hormone in three different modes, using either (i) oral treatment (OT), (ii) constant subcutaneous infusion (SCHI) or (iii) a novel, subcutaneous, pump-based method, delivering different size pulses of hydrocortisone every 3 h, which reproduced both the natural circadian and ultradian patterns of cortisol. We then examined the neurocognitive effects of these different GC rhythms using functional neuroimaging techniques and a set of cognitive and behavioural tests, markers of sleep behaviour, working memory and emotional processing, in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study (144). We were able to demonstrate that non-pulsatile GC exposure (i.e. SCHI) correlates with poorer quality of sleep and that both SCHI and OT were associated with poorer working memory performance under increased

Figure 3
The importance of glucocorticoid (GC) pulsatility for the human brain. Comparing circadian patterns of cortisol infused in physiological pulses (PT) with the same dose of circadian cortisol infused as a smooth infusion (non-pulsatile infusion, NPT), brain function was investigated by neuroimaging and psychological measures, focusing on three domains: sleep behaviour, working memory and, primarily, emotional processing. Subjects on the NPT experienced poorer quality of sleep and working memory performance compared to the PT arm of the study. Moreover, subjects on PT preferentially engaged with positively valenced facial expressions and showed a reduced accuracy in correctly discriminating between negatively valenced human faces (i.e. increased ambiguity in perceiving negative emotional stimuli), a response similar to that seen in healthy subjects and depressed patients receiving antidepressants. The between-treatment group changes in emotional ambiguity were linked to changes in the underlying role and functional connectivity among corticolimbic regions, mediating emotional processing. While in PT the functional connectivity between amygdala and insula, and striatum and insula, during encoding of emotional cues is strong, and the intensity of the neural processing in all these structures (especially for the amygdala) is associated with the degree of uncertainty in discriminating between emotional valences, this association is lost in NPT, combined with a reduction in the functional connectivity between amygdala and insula. Collectively, these data support the notion that GC pulsatility may facilitate the optimal functioning of neural mechanisms underlying emotional processing, and perhaps a protective mechanism against susceptibility to depression.
cognitive demands. Moreover, we were able to illustrate that different patterns of plasma GC oscillations have a differential impact on the participation and functional connectivity of brain regions underlying emotional processing (amygdala, dorsal striatum, insula, orbitofrontal cortex) affecting attentional bias to and recognition accuracy of emotional cues (145). These data support the notion that changes in GC rhythmicity can modulate the neural dynamics regulating mood and anxiety in man (Fig. 3).

Future studies should systematically explore the clinical utility of manipulating features of GC rhythmicity both to improve personalised treatment strategies and neuropsychiatric disease subclassification. We believe a better chronobiological approach to GC therapeutics is urgently needed.
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