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Abstract

Objective: To collect outcome data in a large cohort of patients with aggressive pituitary tumours (APT)/carcinomas (PC) and specifically report effects of temozolomide (TMZ) treatment.


Results: Reports on 166 patients (40 PC, 125 APT, 1 unclassified) were obtained. Median age at diagnosis was 43 (range 4–79) years. 69% of the tumours were clinically functioning, and the most frequent immunohistochemical subtype were corticotroph tumours (45%). Ki-67 index did not distinguish APT from PC, median 7% and 10% respectively. TMZ was first-line chemotherapy in 157 patients. At the end of the treatment (median 9 cycles), radiological evaluation showed complete response (CR) in 6%, partial response (PR) in 31%, stable disease (SD) in 33% and progressive disease in 30%. Response was more frequent in patients receiving concomitant radiotherapy and TMZ. CR was seen only in patients with low MGMT expression. Clinically functioning tumours were more likely to respond than non-functioning tumours, independent of MGMT status. Of patients with CR, PR and SD, 25, 40 and 48% respectively progressed after a median of 12-month follow-up. Other oncological drugs given as primary treatment and to TMZ failures resulted in PR in 20%.

Conclusion: This survey confirms that TMZ is established as first-line chemotherapeutic treatment of APT/PC. Clinically functioning tumours, low MGMT and concurrent radiotherapy were associated with a better response. The limited long-term effect of TMZ and the poor efficacy of other drugs highlight the need to identify additional effective therapies.

Introduction

Pituitary tumours are usually benign and slowly growing, but a subset has a more aggressive clinical course. Such tumours often present as invasive macroadenomas and grow rapidly from the outset. Others may initially respond to standard therapy for long periods and only later change their characteristics (1, 2). Recently, it was recommended that ‘the diagnosis of an aggressive pituitary tumour should be considered in patients with a radiologically invasive tumour and unusually rapid tumour growth rate or clinically relevant tumour growth despite optimal standard therapies (surgery, radiotherapy and conventional medical treatments)’ (3). Pituitary
carcinomas (PC) are defined by the presence of systemic and/or cerebrospinal metastases (4) and account for 0.2% pituitary tumours (5). Aggressive pituitary tumours (APT) often exhibit histological features consistent with increased proliferation, Ki-67 indices above 3%, increased mitotic numbers and p53 expression (6, 7). However, the presence of these features does not reliably predict future aggressive behaviour and the prognostic value of these markers is controversial. Two studies taking invasive growth and proliferative markers into account found that tumours exhibiting both criteria had a higher rate of progression/recurrence at follow-up (8, 9).

Older chemotherapy regimes typically have limited efficacy; at the most, partial regression or transient stabilisation is achieved (1). Two-thirds of patients are deceased within 12 months of carcinoma diagnosis (10, 11, 12). Recently, life expectancy in patients with locally aggressive pituitary tumours (APT) was also found to be markedly reduced (13, 14).

Temozolomide (TMZ) is a peroral alkylating chemotherapeutic drug approved for treatment of glioblastomas (15). TMZ methylates DNA resulting in irreversible DNA damage and cell death. A DNA repair enzyme, O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), counteracts the effect of TMZ by removing added methyl groups. The clinical experience with TMZ in APT has grown over the past ten years since the initial successful reports were published in 2006 (16, 17, 18). Earlier small series reported about 75% regression rates. Subsequent larger studies, still with limited number of patients: 13 (19), 24 (2), 31 (13) and 41 (14) patients have described lower response rates, about 45%, likely reflecting an early publication bias of patients with successful outcomes. In most cases, TMZ has been used as a single agent, others have used TMZ in combination with other drugs, such as capecitabine (20) or concurrent with radiotherapy (21, 22, 23), which is the standard treatment for glioblastomas (15). Whether such combinations result in an improved outcome in patients with APT, compared to monotherapy, has not been ascertained. In series with longer follow-up after TMZ discontinuation, many pituitary tumours have been found to eventually recur (2, 13, 14). The role of a second course of TMZ, vs other chemotherapy regimes, novel drugs interfering with growth receptors/growth receptor signalling pathways and/or peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in this scenario remains to be established.

The purpose of the present survey was to collect clinical and treatment outcome data in a large number of patients with APT and PC and specifically to report the experience with TMZ as monotherapy or concomitant with other treatments, and the use of other drugs in TMZ non-responders. Other aims were to identify predictors of response to treatment and to obtain more information as to what extent pathological markers can predict aggressive tumour behaviour.

Methods

A patient survey developed by the task force on Aggressive Pituitary Tumours, a task force appointed by the European Society of Endocrinology (ESE), was made available for download at the ESE homepage 2015–2016. ESE members were alerted of the survey via email from ESE, and in addition, larger pituitary centres were contacted by the task force members. Participants were requested to fill in a predefined form for patients with APT and submit to the ESE office.

No specific criteria were set in advance for selection and inclusion of patients in the survey in order to collect information on how APTs were defined in clinical practice and to gather a broad and comprehensive experience with the use of TMZ and other agents. Patient demographics, tumour subtype (hormonal immunostaining), tumour size and grade at diagnosis, prior treatments (surgery, radiotherapy, standard medical treatments), clinical features associated with aggressive tumour behaviour and pathological tumour markers (Ki-67 index, numbers of mitoses/per 10 HPFs, p53 immunodetection and MGMT), as assessed by the local laboratories were asked for. Details of treatments given as first- and second-line regimes, outcome and side effects were requested. Following compilation of initial survey results, an additional focused set of information aimed at clarifying data and gathering further follow-up was sent out to participating ESE members throughout 2016 with final closure of case submission in November 2016 (Fig. 1).

Overall response to drugs was assessed by radiological criteria according to MRI imaging at the completion of treatment or for patients still on treatment at last
follow-up. A complete response (CR) was defined as no visible tumour, partial response (PR) as at least 30% tumour regression, stable disease (SD) as less 30% regression but no more than 10% tumour size increase, and progressive disease (PD) as more than 10% tumour size increase or demonstration of new metastatic deposits. In clinically functioning tumours, complete biochemical response was defined as normalisation of hormone levels, PR as more than 20% reduction in hormone, stable disease less then but no more than 20% change in hormone and PD as more than 20% increase in hormone levels. MGMT immunohistochemical expression was categorised into low (<10% positive cells), intermediate (10–50% positive cells) and high (>50% positive cells). Data on MGMT methylation assessed by PCR (n=20) are not shown since the majority of tumours were reported to be unmethylated regardless of the level of MGMT immunoreactivity.

All data were entered anonymously into a Microsoft Excel 2011 computer database centrally. Analysis was performed using STATA, version 14.0 (STATA Corp.).

Results

Patient cohort and tumour characteristics

A total of 166 patients were included in the study cohort, with case submission from 67 contributors across 17 European countries, Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Columbia, Japan and USA. Treatment was given between 2006 and 2016. Tumours with metastases were classified as PC (n=40) and without metastases as APT (n=125, Table 1). For one patient, the diagnosis was not provided. The APTs were characterised by invasive growth in 109/125 (87%), tumour growth after radiotherapy in 87/125 (70%), tumour growth following 2 prior surgeries in 86/125 (69%) and resistance to medical therapy in 68/125 (54%). Mean age at diagnosis of the pituitary tumour was 43 years (range: 4–79 years), 64% were men. Patients underwent a mean of 2.7 pituitary surgeries and 1.2 courses of radiotherapy. The majority of the tumours (69%) were clinically functioning at the time of data collection (Table 1 for immunohistochemical subtypes). Out of 68 clinically silent tumours at the time of diagnosis, 17 (25%) subsequently became functional.

Markers of proliferation, p53 and MGMT

At the last surgery, 82% (109/131) tumours showed a Ki-67 index ≥3% with no clear difference between APT and PC with a median 7% and 10% respectively (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Furthermore, Ki-67 index ≥10% also did not discriminate between APT and PC, even after performing a sensitivity analysis in which two APT patients with very high Ki67 (60% and 90%) were excluded. Other markers were reported in fewer patients. In PC, mitotic rates ≥2 per 10 high power fields (HPF) were more prevalent compared with APT, p53 expression did not differ between APT and PC (Table 1). All three markers were examined in 39 patients only and were more often positive in patients with PC compared with APT, P=0.08 (Table 2). MGMT immunohistochemistry was examined in 65 tumours. Low MGMT expression was seen in 63%, intermediate in 11% and high in 26%, with no difference between APT and PC (Table 1). Immunonegative tumours (no hormonal content) had a higher proportion of high MGMT expression (5/8, 63%) compared with immunopositive tumours (12/57, 21%), P=0.02 (Table 1).

First-line treatments of aggressive pituitary tumours/carcinomas

TMZ was chosen as first-line chemotherapy in 157 cases, 116/125 APT, all 40 PC and the patient with unclassified tumour extension. An additional 7 patients with APT received other cytotoxic or biological therapies as first line with variable outcomes (3 PR, 4 PD). First-line treatment with peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) was used in 2 APT of which 1 had a PR and the other SD.

Temozolomide as first-line treatment – treatment schedules

Most frequently (139/150, 93%), the standard dosing regimen (150–200mg/m² for 5 days in 28 day cycles) was used as monotherapy. In 6 patients, the ‘Stupp’ protocol was employed, 75mg/m² TMZ daily for 6 weeks during radiotherapy followed by 6–12 months of standard dosing (15). Other dosing variations included continuous daily dosing with 50mg/m² (n=2) and a dose-dense regime comprising 21 days of 140mg/m² daily followed by 7 days off in a 28-day cycle (n=1). 136 patients received TMZ for a median of 9 months (range 1–36). Treatment duration was pre-prescribed by the treating team in 68 patients, other reasons for cessation of TMZ were tumour progression or lack of regression (n=53) and side effects (n=16).

TMZ was used concomitantly with radiotherapy in 14 patients (10 APT, 4 PC). In 6 patients, an additional...
Chemotherapeutic agent was used in combination with first-line TMZ: capecitabine (3), bevacizumab (1), thalidomide and BCNU (1 each).

Response to first-line temozolomide treatment

Biochemical response was recorded as complete in 19% (21/113), partial in 34% (38/113), stable in 27% (30/113) and progression in 21% (24/113). Of those with partial biochemical regression, 53% (20/38) had more than 75% reduction in hormone levels. The overall radiological response rate to TMZ was 37% (Table 3). Length of TMZ therapy was longer in responders (median 12 months) compared to progressors (median 5.5 months). In the 59 patients who achieved a biochemical response (>20% decrease in hormone levels), a radiological response (>30% tumour regression) was obtained in 73%. Time to maximal radiological response was reported in 39 patients and occurred within the first 3 cycles in 23% and by month 6 in 59% patients. Among those with PR, 52% had >50% tumour size reduction. Clinically functioning tumours were more likely to demonstrate regression on TMZ compared with non-functioning tumours (45% vs 17%, \(P=0.01\), Fig. 3), an effect that was independent of the MGMT status (odds ratio 3.35, 95% CI 1.03–10.9). There was no clear difference in efficacy between men and women, among functioning tumour subtypes or between APT and PC.
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Response to temozolomide in combination with radiotherapy or other cytotoxic drugs

Concomitant radiotherapy and TMZ given to 14 patients was associated with an increased response rate compared to TMZ monotherapy ($P = 0.02$; Fig. 4). A CR or PR was seen in 71% compared with 34% of those receiving TMZ monotherapy. The two treatment groups did not differ clearly with respect to age, the proportion of patients with PC and tumour subtypes.

Two of the 6 cases treated with TMZ in combination with another chemotherapeutic agent achieved a PR (1 case with bevacizumab and 1 with capecitabine). Stable disease was seen in another case using capecitabine and another with thalidomide, while PD was seen in two cases with BCNU and capecitabine, respectively.

Tumour histopathological characteristics and the response to temozolomide

Tumours with low MGMT expression often achieved regression during TMZ treatment, 19/41 (46%), whereas tumours with high MGMT expression more often showed no response (13/17, 76%) (Fig. 5). A complete response (CR) was only seen among tumours with low MGMT expression. Progression was more frequent in tumours with Ki-67 index $\geq 10\%$ vs $<10\%$ (18/44 (41$\%$) vs 12/51 (24$\%$), $P=0.023$). Response rates were not different between p53-positive or -negative tumours (Table 3). Effect modification by mitotic count could not be determined due to few data.

Side effects

Clinically relevant side effects from TMZ treatment were reported in 33/157 patients, the most common being development of cytopaenias ($n=14$; thrombocytopenia $n=7$, leukopenia $n=2$ or combination $n=5$), fatigue ($n=11$) and nausea/vomiting ($n=10$). One patient developed sensorineural hearing loss. In 3 of 4 cases treated with etoposide serious side effects were reported (cytopaenias, hyperemesis). No side effects were reported in the 7 patients treated with PRRT.

Tumour status after temozolomide cessation

At the close of case submissions, 12 patients were still receiving TMZ treatment. Of 148 patients who had completed a first course of TMZ, the median follow-up was 21 months (range 0–102 months) after drug cessation, similar for PC (18 months) and APT (22 months). Tumour progression was common after TMZ cessation among the patients who had CR, PR or SD while on TMZ (Fig. 6). In total, progression occurred in 38 while a treatment effect was sustained in 52 patients. The median time to progression after TMZ cessation was 12 (range 1–60) months with no difference among those with PR or SD. In 2 of 9 patients with CR, progression was detected in 2 carcinomas after 48 and 60 months.

Second-line treatments – outcome

Of the 48 patients in whom PD was seen while receiving TMZ, 24 subsequently received alternative second- or third-line therapies with other drugs. Two of these achieved PR (Table 4). A second course of TMZ given in 4 patients (in 3 combined with either capecitabine ($n=1$) or bevacizumab ($n=2$)) resulted in further progress in 3, the outcome on one is still awaited. Twenty patients received no further treatment.

Of the 38 patients who progressed after cessation of a first course of TMZ, 24 received a second course of...
Six progressors received alternative second- or third-line therapies (Table 4), and in 8 patients, no further treatment was given. In 18 patients, the outcome of a second course of TMZ was reported. Two achieved PR (1 on monotherapy, 1 on TMZ + bevacizumab), 5 had SD (however in 3 additional treatment was also given) and 11 had PD.

Radiotherapy given in 10 patients as a second- or third-line treatment, either in an attempt to prevent tumour progression after TMZ cessation (n = 8) or to arrest progressively growing tumours during a second course of TMZ (n = 2), had limited effects (Table 4).

Of the seven patients in whom alternative first-line chemotherapy was given, three went on to receive second-line therapy with TMZ using a standard dosing regime. Two patients had PR and SD, respectively, but progressed following TMZ cessation. The third patient had PD while on TMZ.

PRRT was administered as second- or third-line treatment in 5 patients, but all continued to demonstrate tumour progression. In addition, pasireotide was given in 5 patients with corticotroph tumours with no effect.

**Mortality**

Reflecting the aggressive nature of the tumours in this cohort, 28% of patients with APT and 42.5% of PC were reported deceased. The median duration from initial diagnosis of pituitary tumour to death was 11 years across the cohort. Mortality was more common in PC (42.5%) compared with APT (28%). The median duration from initial diagnosis to death in PC was 6.5 years compared with 11 years in APT.

**Figure 3**

Radiological response to TMZ in 157 tumours divided into clinically functioning (n = 110) and non-functioning (n = 47). Response defined as complete = no visible tumour; partial response = 30–99% regression, stable <30% regression and <10% progression, progression = >10% progression.

---

**Table 3** Effect of treatment with temozolomide. Data are presented as n (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Complete regression</th>
<th>Partial regression</th>
<th>Stable disease</th>
<th>Progression</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9 (6%)</td>
<td>49 (31%)</td>
<td>52 (33%)</td>
<td>47 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 55)</td>
<td>6 (11%)</td>
<td>16 (29%)</td>
<td>19 (35%)</td>
<td>14 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 101)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>32 (32%)</td>
<td>33 (33%)</td>
<td>33 (33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive pituitary tumour (n = 116)</td>
<td>5 (4%)</td>
<td>36 (31%)</td>
<td>45 (39%)</td>
<td>30 (26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pituitary carcinoma (n = 40)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td>13 (33%)</td>
<td>7 (18%)</td>
<td>16 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What was the clinical subtype?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically functioning (n = 94)</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
<td>37 (39%)</td>
<td>27 (29%)</td>
<td>24 (26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initially silent becoming functioning (n = 16)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
<td>5 (31%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
<td>7 (44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically non-functioning (n = 47)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
<td>23 (49%)</td>
<td>16 (34%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological subtype(s) at last surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticotroph (n = 73)</td>
<td>6 (8%)</td>
<td>22 (30%)</td>
<td>20 (27%)</td>
<td>25 (34%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadotroph (n = 6)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (17%)</td>
<td>4 (67%)</td>
<td>1 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatotroph (n = 14)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
<td>4 (29%)</td>
<td>4 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunonegative (n = 22)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (14%)</td>
<td>11 (50%)</td>
<td>8 (36%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactotroph (n = 38)</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
<td>17 (45%)</td>
<td>10 (26%)</td>
<td>9 (24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyrotroph (n = 4)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (75%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67 index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3% (n = 23)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (22%)</td>
<td>10 (44%)</td>
<td>7 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3% (n = 58)</td>
<td>5 (9%)</td>
<td>17 (29%)</td>
<td>21 (36%)</td>
<td>15 (26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10% (n = 44)</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
<td>17 (39%)</td>
<td>7 (16%)</td>
<td>18 (41%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53 immunodetection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (n = 18)</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
<td>6 (33%)</td>
<td>6 (33%)</td>
<td>4 (22%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive (n = 53)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>14 (26%)</td>
<td>15 (28%)</td>
<td>22 (42%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT immunohistochemical expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (n = 41)</td>
<td>6 (15%)</td>
<td>13 (32%)</td>
<td>15 (37%)</td>
<td>7 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate (n = 7)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (43%)</td>
<td>2 (29%)</td>
<td>2 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (n = 17)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (24%)</td>
<td>6 (35%)</td>
<td>7 (41%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the cohort (range 1–31), 11 and 12 years respectively among APT and PC. The highest mortality was seen among patients who progressed while receiving TMZ (26/47, 55%) with no deaths in those patients who had a CR to TMZ.

Discussion

The present survey reports the largest cohort of patients with APT and carcinomas, including 100 previously unpublished cases. With data collected from 21 countries these results represent the current management of these rare and challenging tumours in clinical practice.

Almost all patients, 95%, had received TMZ as first-line chemotherapy when standard treatment regimens had failed. Tumour regression occurred in 58/157 (37%), confirming the response rate reported in previous smaller series (2, 13, 19, 24). Early assessment of treatment is important given that maximal radiological response occurred within 6 months in 60% of patients. Stable disease was reported in a significant number of patients (33%) in whom 60% continued treatment beyond 6 months. Depending on the pretreatment growth rate, stable disease may represent a positive outcome of TMZ therapy.

Nine patients (6%) had complete regression, an effect achieved both in aggressive APT and PC. A comparable response has not been reported with conventional cytotoxic drugs, which, at the most, have produced a partial, and usually transient, tumour regression (10). CR was only seen in patients with low MGMT expression, whereas no tumour regression was seen in 13/17 patients with high MGMT. MGMT status by methylation PCR was assessed in only a few cases, but as has been shown previously for pituitary tumours, there was a poor correlation between methylation result and immunohistochemical expression (24, 25, 26, 27). Low MGMT has been shown to predict the response to TMZ in malignant gliomas (28) as well as in some neuroendocrine tumours (29). In APTs, the predictive value has been debated. Methodological problems including absence of an internal positive control (vascular endothelial cells), lack of uniform cut-off levels for defining low, intermediate and high staining patterns, inter-observer variations (25), as well as differing response
Aggressive pituitary tumours

Table 4  Alternate oncological treatment (non-TMZ drugs and radiotherapy) as second- and third-line treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Progression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin + etoposide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboplatin + etoposide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin + adriablastin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capecitabine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin + capecitabine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin + SFU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin + SFU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin + SFU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide + cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everolimus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapatinib</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitib</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Alternate oncological treatment (non-TMZ drugs and radiotherapy) as second- and third-line treatments.

criteria, all likely contribute to the reported discrepancies. All these limitations must be considered when interpreting the data in the present survey. In a series of patients where all specimens were investigated by a single pathologist and the criteria for tumour regression uniform, low MGMT expression was found to predict a good effect of TMZ (2). Besides the problems mentioned earlier, tumour heterogeneity not recognised in small specimens may complicate the picture, since parts of the pituitary tumour with low MGMT content may respond, leading to an initial regression of tumour mass (2). However, factors other than MGMT may modulate the effect of TMZ. Data on MSH6 immunohistochemistry was not available in this cohort but has been described to influence response to TMZ in a limited number of cases (19, 30) but not in other studies (2, 20). A novel finding in this survey was that functioning tumours responded better than non-functioning tumours, an observation that was not related to MGMT status. As a group functional tumours tended to have higher Ki-67 indices, but markers of proliferation and p53 did not influence the outcome.

TMZ is considered to be a radiosensitiser based on data from vitro studies (31, 32). Fourteen patients in the present study had received TMZ and radiotherapy (RT) concurrently, 6 in accordance with the standard regime in glioblastoma (15). In this group, 71% achieved tumour regression vs 34% (45/131) in the larger group treated with TMZ monotherapy. There were no clear clinical or pathological differences between the two groups. In the majority of patients in the TMZ+RT group, their initial course of radiotherapy was delivered with TMZ; however, room for additional radiation was considered in 2 cases. Prolonged tumour control following combination TMZ and radiotherapy in APT has also been suggested by others (13, 33); however, it should be pointed out that no studies have compared TMZ monotherapy to TMZ+RT in a randomised design.

Six patients in the survey had received TMZ in combination with other cytotoxic drugs including capecitabine in three cases. While 2 cases receiving first-line combination treatment with TMZ (1 bevacizumab, the other capecitabine) reported partial regression, it is possible these cases would have responded to TMZ alone. Given the small numbers treated, no firm conclusions can be drawn about upfront combination therapy with TMZ. In a previous report on a successful outcome of TMX+capecitabine in four aggressive corticotroph tumours, the two drugs were given sequentially starting with capecitabine, which theoretically could be an advantage (20). In other studies, addition of capecitabine in TMZ failures did not alter the clinical course, similar to the present data (2, 13).

One of the most difficult clinical dilemmas is when to discontinue TMZ in responding patients. In this survey, the median treatment duration was 9 months; this reflects that in close to 50% of patients, the treatment period was pre-determined as 6 or 12 months, based on the concept of directed therapy in TMZ in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Twenty-one patients received 18–36 months of TMZ therapy. Longer treatment duration was associated with a higher response rate; however, it seems likely that physicians would continue treatment for a longer time in responding patients. Thus, a true effect on length of therapy and response rate could not be determined in this observational study. TMZ was generally well tolerated, 11% of patients discontinued due to adverse events, mostly due to myelosuppression, especially leukopenia and/or thrombocytopenia. One case of sensorineural hearing loss was described, this complication has been reported in another pituitary patient and among non-pituitary tumours (25, 34). Alternative TMZ dosing regimens were described in only 3 cases. One patient receiving a dose-dense regime for 12 months had partial tumour regression while the 2 on continuous low-dose daily administration exhibited stable disease after 12–15 months of TMZ. In patients with glioblastomas, dose-dense protocols have been accompanied by more side effects, especially pronounced leukopenia, but not a higher response rate (35).

The high proportion of patients demonstrating tumour progression after TMZ cessation, in combination
with a poor response to alternative treatments, may support continuing treatment with TMZ beyond the commonly used 6–12 months, especially in patients with few side effects. In 18 of 51 (35%) patients, who initially responded to TMZ, and 20 of 49 (41%) with stable disease on treatment, subsequent tumour progression was documented during a median follow-up of 21 months across the cohort. Eighteen of these cases received a second course of TMZ, but a subsequent partial regression was seen in only two (11%), one of whom was treated with TMZ in combination with bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody directed against the vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A). This is in line with a poor response of TMZ given a second time in other studies (2, 13). Possibly, initially TMZ-sensitive tumour clones had been ameliorated, leaving drug-resistant clones to develop, reflecting the innate biology of many aggressive tumours. Other drugs given as second- or third-line treatments in a total of 30 patients led to partial tumour regression in 2 cases, and radiotherapy in this setting had limited effects.

Data on 12 patients with PRRT and specific follow-up data available have been reported in the literature: 4 × 111Indium (36, 37), 5x 177Lutetium (2, 38, 39) and 4x 90Y (2, 40), with tumour regression in 4 and stable disease for 8 years in 1 patient. Therapy was limited to patients with prior demonstration of marked uptake in tumour tissue by either octreoscan or 68Ga-DOTATOC-PET, and therefore, presents a highly selected patient group. The survey contained 7 patients, with PR in 1 and SD in 1, both of whom received PRRT as first-line treatment. The other 5 received PRRT as second- or third-line treatment after progression on TMZ. To what extent APTs express somatostatin receptors sufficient for PRRT is not known, and the precise value of PRRT in the treatment algorithm of these tumours remains unclear.

In the present study cohort, 82% of the tumours have a Ki-67 index ≥3%. Proliferative markers (Ki-67 index, mitotic count) and p53 expression did not differentiate APT from PC. Although PC as a group were more likely to have three markers indicative of aggressive behaviour (Ki-67 ≥3%, >2 mitotic counts/10 HFF, p53 positivity), there was a considerable overlap between the two groups. In line with previous studies with APT and PC, there was an overrepresentation of corticotroph tumours, 45% in our cohort, compared with less than 6–10% among pituitary tumours as a whole in clinical or pathological series (4, 41). Another finding of clinical relevance was the high proportion of initially silent corticotroph or somatotroph tumours that evolved into clinically functioning ACTH- and GH-secreting tumour, respectively, occurring in 26% of the silent tumours. It is known that silent corticotroph adenomas may evolve into aggressive tumours or carcinomas (42, 43). Others have reported a shift in the secreted hormone, from prolactin only to both prolactin and GH (2, 44), from a silent to a prolactin and TSH-secreting tumour (45) in parallel with tumour enlargement and/or transformation into a carcinoma. Whether this phenomenon represents a gain of secretory function or merely is an effect of an enlarging tumour and thereby higher amounts of hormones initially secreted at levels below the limit of detection remains to be investigated. A report of an aggressive lactotroph tumour evolving into a somatotroph tumour coincident with a GNAS gene mutation would support the first alternative (46). Taken together, although no single parameter reliably could predict metastatic disease, the presence of high mitotic numbers, ACTH secretion and a switch in phenotype from clinically silent to hormone secreting, should alert the physician of a potentially more aggressive course.

The data of the present paper were based on a survey among treating physicians of patients with APT or PC. Some limitations should be taken into account in the interpretation of the data. Firstly, the retrospective nature of the data collected can introduce bias in clinician reporting. Secondly, the exact date of an event (tumour progression, death) was often not well recorded, which hampers formal time-to-event analysis. In line, ‘stable disease’ depends ultimately on follow-up time and as this was often not specified, the interpretation of stable is difficult. Thirdly, some examinations (for example, pathology markers) were only performed in a subset of patients and may not have been reported by an expert pituitary pathologist, which means that the generalizability of the findings to the whole cohort cannot be automatically assumed. Finally, the follow-up protocol (timing and frequency of MR scanning) might have differed between patients.

In summary, this international, cross-sectional study has confirmed TMZ as an effective drug in the treatment of APT and PC and represents the largest series of such tumours reported. Novel findings were the better response rate in functioning tumours and a better response when TMZ was given concurrently with radiotherapy. The latter needs to be validated in future studies. Tumour MGMT status remains a potential marker of response to TMZ. Markers of proliferation were not useful predicting response to TMZ and also did not differentiate APTs.
from PCs. Of major importance, our results illustrate the significant tumour progression rate occurring following TMZ cessation and high mortality rates in this group of patients. The question of the ideal length of therapy with TMZ remains open for future exploration. The poor outcome of a second course of TMZ in relapsing tumours as well as in tumours initially progressing on TMZ underscores the need for finding effective alternative therapies. A European registry on these rare tumours could provide more insights into their clinical and pathological characteristics and enable the identification of new treatment regimens.
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