Incidence, prevalence and seasonal onset variation of Addison’s disease among persons with type 1 diabetes mellitus: nationwide, matched cohort studies
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Abstract

Objectives: We determined the incidence and prevalence of Addison’s disease (AD) among persons with or without type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) in nationwide, matched cohort studies. Methods: Persons with T1DM were identified from the Swedish National Diabetes Register and each was matched for age, sex, year and county to five controls randomly selected from the general population. Persons with AD were identified from the Swedish National Inpatient Register. Baseline demographics and seasonal onset variation of AD were presented by descriptive statistics. Prevalence and incidence were estimated by proportions and incidence rates, respectively. Times to AD were analyzed using the Cox proportional hazard model. Results: Between 1998 and 2013, 66 persons with T1DM were diagnosed with AD at a mean age (s.d.) of 36.4 (13.0) years among 36 514 persons with T1DM, while 32 were diagnosed with AD at a mean age of 42.7 (15.2) years among 182 570 controls. The difference in mean age at diagnosis of AD between the groups was 6.3 years (P value = 0.036). The incidence of AD for a person with or without T1DM was therefore 193 and 18 per million person-years, respectively. The adjusted relative risk increase of developing AD in T1DM was 10.8 (95% CI: 7.1–16.5). The highest incidence of AD was observed during February–March and September–October. The prevalence of AD in persons with or without T1DM in December 2012 was 3410 and 208 per million, respectively. The odds ratio for AD in persons with T1DM vs controls was 16.5 (95% CI: 11.1–24.5). Conclusion: The risk to develop AD among persons with T1DM is more than 10 times higher than in persons without T1DM. Persons with T1DM develop AD at a younger age. The incidence of AD may have a seasonal pattern.

Introduction

The incidence and prevalence of type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) are increasing globally (1). A person with one autoimmune disorder, such as T1DM, is at an increased risk of developing another autoimmune disease, such as primary adrenal insufficiency or Addison’s disease (AD). The frequency of additional autoimmune diseases in T1DM shows an age-dependent increase (2). At present, there is no consensus whether to perform regular screening for other autoimmune diseases among persons with T1DM as the magnitude of risk is poorly known. Persons with
either T1DM and/or autoimmune thyroid disease and AD are classified as having autoimmune polyendocrine syndrome type 2 (3). Persons with autoimmune thyroid disease have an at least 10 times higher risk of developing other autoimmune diseases, e.g., pernicious anemia, systemic lupus erythematosus, AD and celiac disease (4).

Both the incidence and the prevalence of AD are probably increasing in persons with T1DM (5). The prevalence of AD in different European populations varies between 93 and 221 per million and has an estimated incidence of 4.4–6.2 per million per year (Table 1) (6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12). Women are more frequently affected than men and age at diagnosis peaks in the fourth decade of life (6, 7, 8, 9). For T1DM, there are studies reporting a seasonal variation in its diagnosis (winter peaks and summer troughs), which may be explained by the seasonal variation of infectious diseases (13, 14). There is, however, no such data for persons with AD where it could be speculated that seasons with a high burden of infections might provoke an adrenal crisis in persons with undiagnosed disease.

Having both T1DM and AD is rare (10, 15), and we have recently shown that this combination of endocrine metabolic diseases is associated with an excess mortality that markedly exceeds the mortality for T1DM and AD per se (16). Between 10.7% and 12% of persons with AD also have T1DM (10, 17) and the estimated prevalence of the combination in Western Norway was 20 per million persons in the population (9), whereas in a US population of persons with T1DM, it was found to be 2912 per million (2). The incidence and prevalence of this rare combination of metabolic diseases are therefore not fully characterized. The primary aim of our studies was to estimate the incidence of AD in persons with or without T1DM. Secondary aims were to study the prevalence of the combination of T1DM and AD and the possible impact of season on the incidence of AD.

### Subjects and methods

#### Study design

We conducted two separate nationwide, matched, observational cohort studies (one for the incidence during 1998–2013 and one for the prevalence at December 2012) retrieving data from the Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR), the Swedish National Inpatient Register and the Swedish Longitudinal Integration Database for Health Insurance and Labor Market Studies. NDR is a quality register with at least 97% nationwide coverage (18) in which detailed clinical information (medications, risk factors and diabetic complications) in adult persons with T1DM is reported. Each person provides informed consent for inclusion in the register. The Swedish National Inpatient Register includes data on all inpatient admissions in Sweden from 1987 onward, is mandatory for all physicians (private and publicly funded), has a complete national coverage, is continuously validated and is linked to other registries by a unique personal identification code (19, 20). The Swedish Longitudinal Integration Database for Health Insurance and Labor Market Studies includes information on education level, income, civil status and county for all individuals older than 16 years of age registered in Sweden from 1990 onward (21).

The studies were approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Gothenburg, Sweden (diary numbers 563-12 and 776-14). The research complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Procedures

To study the incidence of AD among persons with or without T1DM, we conducted a cohort study where the outcome was AD. First, we identified all persons with T1DM registered in the NDR from 1 January 1998 onward.
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Contemporaneously with the first registration for each person with T1DM in the NDR (baseline), five control subjects randomly selected from the general population without T1DM were identified matched for age, gender, calendar year and county. We then identified subjects within these groups with AD in the Swedish National Inpatient Register until 31 December 2013. All persons with an AD diagnosis preceding the T1DM diagnosis were excluded and all persons deceased before 31 December 2013 were censored. Finally, the incidence of AD in the whole study population for each calendar month for the entire observation period was accessed.

For the prevalence of the combination of T1DM and AD, we conducted a cohort study in persons with or without T1DM. We first identified all persons with T1DM alive on 31 December 2012 and then studied backward in time (until 1 January 1987) if they were diagnosed or not with AD in the Swedish National Inpatient Register. Contemporaneously with the first registration for each person with T1DM in the NDR, five control subjects were randomly selected from the general population without T1DM and were matched for age, gender, calendar year and county.

In all analyses, persons were identified using the codes of the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) for years 1987–1996 and for ICD-10 for years 1997–2012. The codes used to identify persons with AD in the Swedish National Inpatient Register were ICD-9 code 255.4 and ICD-10 codes E27.1 and/or E27.2. Our search criteria for AD in the Swedish National Inpatient Register have been previously validated and showed to have a diagnostic performance of 94% (22). Persons with endogenous Cushing’s syndrome and/or any disorders of the pituitary gland were excluded. Details on all ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used in this study are presented in previous article by our group (16). For T1DM, we used the clinical classification which is the diagnosis attributed to the persons by the physicians who reported them to the NDR. Education level was categorized as low (compulsory only, less than 9 years), middle (10–12 years) or high (university level or similar); civil status was categorized as single, married, divorced or widowed. Annual disposable income was calculated as total personal income minus personal current taxes.

Statistical analysis

Baseline was defined as the first registration for each person with T1DM in the NDR and the time when the five matched control subjects (without T1DM) randomly selected from the general population were identified. Baseline characteristics and demographics for the incidence cohorts are presented by descriptive statistics, as appropriate. Since no NDR data were available for the matched control subjects, group comparisons based on NDR-specific variables could not be made.

For the incidence of AD among persons with or without T1DM, the Cox regression analysis was used to model time to AD diagnosis or censoring, with age at baseline and gender as covariates. To study the difference between mean age at AD diagnosis between the two groups, we used a two-sided independent samples t-test, where P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical inference was based on the estimated hazard ratio (95% confidence interval (CI)) of persons with T1DM compared to their matched control subjects. In addition, time to AD diagnosis was graphically depicted using the Kaplan–Meier method. Evaluation of
the seasonal variation of the onset of AD was graphically depicted by the number of AD diagnoses per month (January–December).

The prevalence of AD in persons with or without T1DM was estimated by their respective proportions. The difference between groups was estimated by the difference in proportions along with the corresponding 95% CI. In addition, the logistic regression analysis was used to model the probability of AD diagnosis with age at baseline and gender as covariates. Statistical inference was based on the estimated odds ratio (95% CI) of persons with T1DM compared to their matched control subjects.

Results

Incidence of AD among persons with or without T1DM

Between 1998 and 2013, 66 persons (39 men and 27 women) were diagnosed with AD among 36,514 persons with T1DM and 32 (14 men and 18 women) were diagnosed with AD among 182,570 control subjects. The demographics for the four different groups are presented in Table 2, showing a male predominance among persons with both T1DM and AD compared to a female predominance of the AD diagnosis in the general population. Moreover, the mean age (s.d.) when AD was diagnosed was 36.4 (13.0) among persons with T1DM and 42.7 (15.2) among control subjects. The mean difference (95% CI) between these groups was 6.3 years (0.43–12.18, P value=0.036). Baseline mean HbA1c (s.d.) was 66 (16) mmol/mol or 8.2% (1.5%) in persons with T1DM that did not develop AD and 68 (15) mmol/mol or 8.4% (1.4%) in those that developed AD. For all persons in the incidence cohort, the median time (min–max) in years from inclusion to the end of the study (or death, whichever happened first) was 10.3 years (0.003–16.0).

The time to AD diagnosis among persons with or without T1DM is shown in Fig. 2 and suggests an earlier development of AD in persons who already have T1DM.

The incidence of AD among persons with T1DM was 193 per million person-years (95% CI: 152–245) compared to 18 per million person-years among matched control subjects without T1DM (95% CI: 13–26) (Table 3). The adjusted relative risk increase (hazard ratio) to develop AD in persons with T1DM was 10.8 times (95% CI: 7.1–16.5) compared to control subjects without T1DM.

Seasonality of the AD diagnosis

The cumulative number of new cases with AD diagnosed each calendar month during the entire observation period is shown in Fig. 3. This roughly shows a two-peak seasonality for AD diagnosis in the whole study population: February–March and September–October, which correspond to late and early winter seasons in Sweden, respectively.

Table 2  Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics among persons with T1DM or control subjects and their subgroups with or without AD from the incidence study cohort. Results are presented as n (%) for categorical variables and mean (S.D.) for continuous variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1DM (n=36 448)</th>
<th>T1DM + AD (n=66)</th>
<th>Controls (n=182 538)</th>
<th>Controls + AD (n=32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (s.d.) age at baseline (years)</td>
<td>35.2 (14.6)</td>
<td>36.4 (13.0)*</td>
<td>35.2 (14.6)</td>
<td>42.7 (15.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (s.d.) age at T1DM diagnosis (years)</td>
<td>15.3 (7.7)</td>
<td>13.6 (7.6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19 957 (54.8%)</td>
<td>39 (59.1%)</td>
<td>99 966 (54.8%)</td>
<td>14 (43.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16 491 (45.2%)</td>
<td>27 (40.9%)</td>
<td>82 573 (45.2%)</td>
<td>18 (56.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>8091 (22.2%)</td>
<td>11 (16.7%)</td>
<td>38 681 (21.2%)</td>
<td>2 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>19 294 (52.9%)</td>
<td>40 (60.6%)</td>
<td>92 429 (50.6%)</td>
<td>18 (56.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>8589 (23.6%)</td>
<td>11 (16.7%)</td>
<td>48 168 (26.4%)</td>
<td>11 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>22 558 (61.9%)</td>
<td>41 (62.1%)</td>
<td>109 543 (60.0%)</td>
<td>16 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>10 688 (29.3%)</td>
<td>17 (25.8%)</td>
<td>57 078 (31.2%)</td>
<td>11 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>2788 (7.6%)</td>
<td>5 (7.6%)</td>
<td>13 623 (7.5%)</td>
<td>4 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>413 (1.1%)</td>
<td>3 (4.5%)</td>
<td>2264 (1.2%)</td>
<td>1 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The difference between these two groups was 6.3 years (95% CI 0.43–12.18), P value=0.036 (t-test).

AD, Addison’s disease; NDR, National Diabetes Register; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus.
Incidence of Addison's disease in type 1 diabetes
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Figure 2

Time to AD diagnosis in persons with or without T1DM. Among cases with T1DM, 66 persons developed AD compared to 32 persons among control subjects (without T1DM).

Prevalence of the combination of T1DM and AD

Between 1987 and 2012, 105 persons (60 men and 45 women) were diagnosed with AD among 30,790 alive persons at 31 December 2012 with T1DM, while 32 (16 men and 16 women) were diagnosed with AD among 153,950 alive control subjects at the same date. Mean age (s.d.) at 31 December 2012 was 44.4 (14.6) years for cases and 47.6 years (14.6) for control subjects. The prevalence of AD in persons with T1DM at 31 December 2012 was estimated to be 3410 cases per million (95% CI: 2759–4061) and the prevalence of AD in control subjects without T1DM was 208 per million (95% CI: 136–280) (Table 3). Finally, the odds ratio of having AD among persons with T1DM, independently of which diagnosis came first, was 16.5 (95% CI: 11.1–24.5) compared to control subjects.

Discussion

This nationwide study estimated the incidence of AD among persons with or without T1DM at 193 and 18 per million person-years, respectively. Persons who already had T1DM showed a more than 10-fold higher risk of developing AD, which appeared at a younger age than persons without T1DM. Finally, the incidence of AD may have a seasonal pattern.

We found that the relative risk among persons with T1DM of developing AD was 10.8 or 16.5 higher based on incidence and prevalence estimates, respectively. The difference between the adjusted relative risk increase of developing AD in persons with T1DM vs control subjects and the odds ratio for AD in persons with T1DM vs control subjects in our studies is explained by the different definitions of these estimates, the two different time periods studied and the fact that T1DM always preceded the AD diagnosis in the incidence study. Besides the finding that persons with T1DM were at an increased risk of developing another autoimmune disease, i.e. AD, we found that this happened earlier in their lives compared to persons with no T1DM that develop AD. Finally, there seems to be a male predominance in persons with T1DM that developed AD, which is in contrast to the female predominance of AD in the general population.

Only two previous studies have estimated the prevalence of the combination of AD and T1DM on a population level. The first one, a local register-based Norwegian study, showed a prevalence of 20 per million inhabitants in Western Norway at the end of 1999. Our nationwide study showed a prevalence of AD in persons with T1DM at 3410 cases per million at the end of 2012. It is important to clarify that that these two estimates are not comparable as the first is the prevalence of both AD and T1DM in the population and the second is the prevalence of AD among persons with T1DM.

Table 3  Incidence and prevalence of AD in Sweden among persons with or without T1DM. The adjusted relative risk for developing AD and the odds ratio for having AD in persons with T1DM compared to persons without T1DM.

| Persons with T1DM | Incidence study | Incidence of AD (66 of 36 514): 193 per million person-years (95% CI: 152–245) | Prevalence study | Prevalence of AD (105 of 30 790): 3410 per million (95% CI: 2759–4061) |
| Persons without T1DM | Incidence study | Incidence of AD (32 of 182 570): 18 per million person-years (95% CI: 13–26) | Prevalence study | Prevalence of AD (32 of 153 950): 208 per million (95% CI: 136–280) |

AD, Addison’s disease; CI, confidence interval; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus.
Incidence of Addison’s disease in type 1 diabetes mellitus.

However, the prevalence of T1DM and AD in our study was similar to that found in a US T1DM registry study at 2912 per million (75 persons had AD among 25 759 T1DM persons) (2).

The prevalence of AD in the general population based on studies from European countries is estimated to be between 93 and 221 per million (6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12). Based on our study design and selection of persons, we could not calculate the total prevalence of AD; however, based on the results from the control subjects without T1DM in the general population, the prevalence of 208 per million inhabitants suggests that our estimate together with the more recent data from Iceland (12) is one of the highest reported. Both the incidence and the prevalence of T1DM are increasing globally (1) and the same trend might therefore be expected for AD (5). Our study showed an at least 2-fold higher incidence (based on 95% CI) of AD compared to older studies. When interpreting these data, it should be kept in mind that our control subjects have been selected as controls to the T1DM population and are therefore not directly comparable to incidence studies based on general population.

For both T1DM and AD, there are studies suggesting a seasonality in their onset depending on either month of birth or month at diagnosis (13, 14, 23). Month-of-birth seasonality implies the existence of seasonal fetal or neonatal environmental factors that can predispose for the disease and month at diagnosis seasonality implies the existence of seasonal environmental factors such as infections. A study in Southeastern Sweden showed a clear variation in the onset of T1DM in children based on month at diagnosis seasonality (13). Another study showed that spring births were associated with an increased risk for T1DM in many US regions (24). The possibility that the seasonality pattern in the onset of T1DM in children depends on geographical position was suggested by a large worldwide study (14). In persons with T1DM, there are also indications that viral infections can predispose for autoimmune-mediated diseases (25). On the other hand, in AD, a recent study demonstrated that persons born in winter had a higher risk for developing this autoimmune disease that might be explained by predisposing factors such as seasonal viral infections and vitamin D deficiency (23). In our study, we assessed month at diagnosis seasonality for the 98 persons identified with AD during a period of 16 years and observed roughly two peaks for the whole study population in the early and late winter. Data from the Public Health Agency of Sweden (Influenza in Sweden 2015–2016) show an yearly peak in laboratory-confirmed cases of the different types of influenza and norovirus that both occur late winter. This may explain the late winter incidence peak but cannot explain the peak in early September–October. When interpreting these data, we have to take into consideration that, besides that the time between the onset and the diagnosis of AD may vary considerably, there might be a seasonal variation in the availability of health care (during summer holidays), and also that our calculations are based on limited numbers of observations over a long period of time.

Previous studies have shown an increased risk of adrenal crises among persons with both T1DM and AD (16, 26, 27, 28). Adrenal crisis is potentially life-threatening if not discovered and treated in a timely manner. Because of that, and the complex metabolic interplay between insulin and cortisol in persons with T1DM and AD, targeted information and education are needed in order to manage both diagnoses during an infection or other stressful events. The current study shows that persons with T1DM have more than 10 times higher risk of developing AD and that they developed AD at a younger age than persons without T1DM, suggesting the need of a high awareness of AD in those with T1DM and signs of incipient adrenal insufficiency. Currently, there are no guidelines that recommend screening for AD among persons with T1DM (29, 30, 31, 32, 33).

This study has several strengths. First, we identified persons with T1DM diagnosis in NDR which have a high

**Figure 3**

Seasonality for the onset AD diagnosis among persons with or without T1DM, and for the whole study population. The graph shows a cumulative number of new cases of AD for each calendar month diagnosed between 1 January 1998 and 31 December 2013. AD, Addison’s disease; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus.
national coverage of at least 97%, securing an unselected population. Second, persons with AD were identified in the Swedish National Inpatient Register, which has a national coverage of 99%, using search criteria that we have previously validated (22). Finally, a detailed description of cases with T1DM at baseline was possible because of the data in NDR.

One of the limitations of the study was the absence of detailed clinical data for the matched control subjects at baseline, which would have helped in identifying associated predictive factors for this rare combination of metabolic diseases. Concerning the seasonal variation of month at diagnosis seasonality for AD, first, we accessed the date when the diagnosis was registered, which might be different from the actual time of diagnosis, and second, we cannot exclude that the observed variation is at random because of the few observations.

In conclusion, this nationwide study showed that persons with T1DM have a more than 10-fold higher risk of developing AD, and at a younger age than persons without T1DM. Our data may also indicate that the onset of AD has a seasonal variation that may be related to high burden of infections in society. The results of this study have implications for the care of persons with T1DM, strongly supporting high awareness and low threshold for biochemical evaluation of AD among persons with T1DM. More studies are needed to identify the associated predictive factors for this rare combination of metabolic diseases.
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