Clinical and pharmacogenetic aspects of the growth hormone receptor polymorphism
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Abstract

Pharmacogenetics aims to maximize the beneficial effects of a medical therapy by identifying genetic finger prints from responders and non-responders and, thereby improving safety and efficacy profile of the drug. Most subjects who are deficient in growth hormone (GHD) are candidates for recombinant human GH (rhGH) therapy. To date, it is well established that even after adjustments for several clinical variables, such as age, gender, body composition and the age at onset of the GHD, response to rhGH treatment is highly variable among individuals, part of which is believed to be due to genetic factors within the GH system. As the first genetic variant to potentially influence the individual response to rhGH therapy in children with growth disorders, polymorphism in the GH receptor (GHR) has attracted a great interest as a target for pharmacogenetics. Studies have been conducted to compare the functional and molecular effects of the full-length GHR (fl-GHR) isoform with the exon 3 deleted (d3-GHR) isoform in children and adults treated with rhGH therapy. Additionally, the impact of the GHR polymorphism has been investigated in relation to the clinical status and response to medical treatment in acromegaly, especially to the GHR antagonist drug pegvisomant. We have performed a narrative review of the studies performed to date on the association of GHR polymorphism with rhGH response in children and adults, and its potential influence in the medical management of acromegaly. In addition, data from studies on the general population and in other chronic diseases examining a role of this genetic variant in the regulation of growth and metabolism are summarized.

The growth hormone receptor (GHR)

Growth hormone (GH) exerts its biological effects by binding to the GH receptor (GHR). The GHR protein is positioned at the cell membrane of almost every cell in the human body and contains a 246 amino acids long extracellular (GH-binding) domain, a transmembrane domain and a 350 amino acids long intracellular (cytoplasmic) domain. In total, the GHR protein is composed of 638 residues (1). Binding of GH to the GHR induces a series of subtle conformational events within a receptor homodimer, rather than a simple receptor dimerization, promoting a realignment of the two receptors both by relative rotation and by closer apposition just above the cell membrane (2). The parallel receptor transmembrane domains are converted into a rotated crossover orientation and the lower parts of the transmembrane helices are separated. GHR activation...
results in the separation of the two associated janus kinase 2 (JAK2) and removes the inhibitory pseudokinase of one JAK2 from the kinase domain of the other JAK2 (and vice versa). This process brings the two kinase domains together for trans-activation and initiates tyrosine transphosphorylation of the GHR cytoplasmic domain and other substrates such as STAT5, which is the key transcription factor for most genomic actions of GH. In addition, as shown in Fig. 1, GH can also activate GHR-associated Src family kinases, independently of JAK2, acting via other intracellular pathways such as ERK and Jun (3).

The human GHR protein is encoded by the GHR gene, which is located on the proximal short arm of chromosome 5 (region p13.1–p12) (4, 5). The gene contains nine coding exons, which spans at least 87 kilobase pairs of chromosome 5. Exon 2 encodes the final 11 base pairs of the 5’ untranslated region and the first amino acids of the extracellular domain (the signal sequence that is cleaved off from the mature GHR protein). Exons 3–7 encode the remaining large mass of the extracellular domain, exon 8 encodes the transmembrane domain and exons 9–10 encode the intracellular and 3′ untranslated domains. In addition, the gene also contains several additional exons in the 5’ untranslated region (4, 5).

**Initial observations of the GHR exon 3 deleted/full-length polymorphism**

The first report of GHR cDNA clones with differences within the coding region came from Godowski et al. in 1989 (5). In one of these clones, the entire GHR exon 3 sequence was missing, giving rise to a GHR protein lacking 22 amino acids in the extracellular domain (Fig. 2). The resulting protein (d3-GHR) also contains an aspartic acid instead of an alanine residue at the exon 2–4 junction (6).

The initial studies investigating the exon 3 deletion generated a hypothesis that the d3-GHR was caused by an alternative splicing event, and the expression of the two isoforms were subsequently studied. Two independent studies reported on a tissue-specific expression pattern of the two isoforms, with the d3-GHR primarily expressed in the placental villi and amnion and the full-length receptor (fl-GHR) found exclusively in the chorion and decidua (6, 7). Another study found that both isoforms were expressed in 19 tissues, but the relative amounts differed among the sites (8). However, subsequent studies suggested that the expression pattern of the GHR isoforms was subject-specific and/or developmentally regulated, rather than tissue-specific (9, 10). Later in 1996, Stallings-Mann et al. (11) finally showed that the d3-GHR expression was not tissue-specific, neither developmentally regulated, but actually subject-specific and consequence of a polymorphism in the GHR gene that follows a simple Mendelian mode of inheritance. In 2000, Pantel et al. (12) shed some light on the origin of this polymorphism when they reported on the existence of two 99% identical retroelements flanking exon 3. The retroelements are 251 base pairs long, located 577 base pairs upstream and 1821 base pairs downstream of exon 3 and underlies the creation of the d3-GHR through an early homologous recombination event, which has been passed on through generations. The resulting deletion involves not only the exon 3, but also parts of introns 2 and 3.

**Figure 1**
Schematic and simplified view of the GHR signaling cascade. Binding of GH to the GHR induces rotation of, and signal transduction through, the GHR leading to phosphorylation of the closely associated JAK2 protein. A series of transphosphorylation steps then leads to activation of signaling molecules such as STAT5, PI3K and SHC resulting in either increased or reduced transcription of a variety of GH-responsive genes such as IGF-I, CEBPβ, Caspase 3 and more. AKT, serine/threonine protein kinase; CEBP, CCAAT/enhancer binding protein; ERK1/2, extracellular-signal-regulated kinases; IGF, insulin-like growth factor; JAK, Janus kinase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinases; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinases; SHC, Src homology 2 and 3 (SH2 and SH3) containing domains; STAT, signal transducers and activators of transcription.
In humans, proteolytic cleavage in an undetermined site of the extracellular domain from both fl-GHR and d3-GHR variants gives rise to the GH-binding protein (13).

**Functional consequences of the GHR exon 3 deletion (d3-GHR)**

Several studies have investigated the consequences of the loss of amino acids 7–28 in the GHR protein in the d3-GHR variant, but to date the importance of this region is still not completely understood. This polymorphism has received major attention as the loss of an entire exon from one mRNA without affecting structure or function of the resultant protein is an unusual phenomenon. Even though the region appears to be conserved among GHR proteins in mammals, the d3-GHR isoform has been only found in humans and has not been demonstrated in other closely related proteins, such as the prolactin receptor (13).

The GH-binding capacities of the two GHR isoforms are similar (6, 14, 15). Moreover, when modeled by crystallography, the amino acids encoded by exon 3 of the GHR gene do not appear to interact in a significant manner with GH (16). In 2004, Dos Santos et al. (17) transfected 293 HEK fibroblasts with vectors expressing both GHR isoforms, either alone or simultaneously, and quantified signal transduction by induction of the firefly luciferase gene coupled to the GH-responsive STAT5 promoter. They showed that transduction of GH signaling was approximately 30% greater through d3-GHR homo- and heterodimers than through fl-GHR homodimers. This study is still the only one reporting on a functional difference between the two GHR isoforms in an experimental cell model.

**Effects of the d3-GHR polymorphism on height, metabolism and longevity in the general population**

In the general population, there is considerable variation in the frequency of the d3-GHR polymorphism between ethnic groups, and its frequency can be as high as 50% considering heterozygous and homozygous individuals. The d3-GHR polymorphism has been studied in some populations representing subjects with a normal, undisturbed GH secretion. In 2003, Pantel et al. (18) concluded that a single copy of either the d3-GHR or the fl-GHR was sufficient for normal growth, and this was later confirmed in a large study by Lettre et al. (19), where the d3-GHR polymorphism was shown to have no influence in the adult height variation. A more recent Brazilian study found that the d3-GHR genotype was negatively associated with birth size, but it was not associated with adult height or weight, plasma IGF-I, metabolic phenotype or any marker of increased cardiovascular risk in adults (20).

In 2007, Strawbridge et al. (21) investigated the polymorphism in a Swedish set of 158 subjects with normal glucose tolerance (NGT), 116 subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and 194 subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). In this study, there was a significantly lower frequency of d3-GHR carriers among the T2DM group. On the other hand, among the T2DM
subjects, the carriers of the d3-GHR had higher serum IGF-I levels, body mass index (BMI) and exhibited a metabolic profile characterized by higher C-reactive protein (CRP) and a tendency toward an unfavorable lipid profile.

Jensen et al. (22) investigated 115 healthy adolescents divided into those born small for gestational age (SGA) and appropriate for gestational age (AGA) with or without intrauterine growth restriction. They observed that the d3-GHR polymorphism was associated with a decreased third-trimester fetal growth velocity in SGA subjects. Interestingly, there was a significantly higher prevalence of the d3-GHR polymorphism among subjects born SGA with verified intrauterine growth restriction. In contrast, in the same study, carriers of the d3-GHR showed an increased growth velocity postnatally compared to fl-GHR homozygotes. In another study including two large, normal white European birth cohorts, the fl/fl genotype was associated with larger placental weight and birth weight SDS (23). In an Italian study, the d3/d3 GHR genotype was found twice as frequent in appropriate and large for gestational age than in small for gestational age newborns, leading the authors to exclude the d3-GHR polymorphism as a possible genetic factor for pregnancies with large babies (24).

In a cohort of 142 healthy Caucasian children and adolescents studied during puberty, an association between the presence of at least one d3-GHR allele with higher insulin secretion, disposition index and triglyceride levels was shown, suggesting a potential role for the d3-GHR polymorphism in pancreatic beta-cell compensatory capacity (25). In another study involving 618 healthy boys, an association between d3-GHR homozygosity and smaller birth size and earlier age at pubertal onset has been demonstrated, suggesting an impact of this polymorphism on prenatal growth and gonadal development in boys (26). In a subgroup of The Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) reference study, consisting of 1135 subjects (46.2% men), with an average age of 49.5 years, we found that subjects homozygous for the d3-GHR weighed approximately 4 kg more and had larger waist-to-hip ratio, larger waist circumference and more fat-free mass estimated from total body potassium than grouped d3/fl and fl/fl subjects, indicating an effect of the d3-GHR genetic variant on body composition (27). In contrast, a study conducted in China showed a significant effect of the d3-GHR polymorphism on BMI and metabolic parameters in a group of children with obesity, with a protective role of d3-GHR on the development of metabolic syndrome in association with increased insulin sensitivity (28).

More recently, a study performed in 841 individuals from four independent cohorts of long-lived individuals (Longevity Genes Project, Old Order Amish, Cardiovascular Health Study, and French Long-Lived Study) found a linear increased prevalence of d3-GHR homozygosity with age and showed that the presence of d3-GHR allele positively affected male longevity. In the multivariate regression analysis, the presence of d3/d3 genotype added approximately 10 years to life span (29).

In summary, the presence of d3-GHR genotype does not seem to have a major impact on adult height in the general population, but it might exert some influence on body composition and metabolic parameters both in children and adults and might influence male life span.

GHR polymorphism in short children

Several studies have examined the involvement of GHR polymorphism in the clinical picture and in response to rhGH therapy in different groups of GHD (Table 1) and non-GHD children (Table 2) with short stature. As expected from genetic studies with numerous limitations, such as small sample size, distinct populations and high degree of heterogeneity, the results have been fairly conflicting.

Wassenaar et al. (30) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 studies published between 2004 and 2008, with a range of 28–240 patients included in the series, aiming at assessing the effects of d3-GHR on baseline height and the first year’s growth response to rhGH treatment in prepubertal short GHD children (7 studies) and non-GHD children (6 studies in small for gestational age (SGA), 3 studies in idiopathic short stature (ISS) and 2 studies in Turner syndrome). The meta-analysis showed that d3-GHR was associated with increased baseline height in GHD prepubertal children with short stature, but not in non-GHD children. In addition, growth response during the first year of rhGH therapy, expressed both as height SDS gain and as growth velocity, was significantly increased in prepubertal non-GHD and GHD short children bearing the d3-GHR genotype in comparison with the fl-GHR genotype. The meta-analysis found that the presence of d3-GHR allele stimulated growth velocity by an additional effect of approximately 0.5 cm during the first year of rhGH treatment, and such effect was more pronounced at lower rhGH doses and in children of higher age (30).

The methodological approach used by Wassenaar et al. (30) in their systematic review and meta-analysis has been criticized, as the model used to estimate
treatment effect comparing d3-GHR carriers vs non-carriers assumed that the underlying inheritance is dominant (31). To overcome this and other potential biases, Renehan et al. (31) performed a systematic review including 12 studies and 1527 short children from any cause, using an established Bayesian inheritance model-free approach to meta-analyze the data and quantify the effects of the d3/fl-GHR and d3-GHR genotypes on rhGH therapy response. Their primary outcome was the 1-year change in height SDS for the two genotypes. They concluded that the inheritance of d3-GHR polymorphism is codominant, and this variant accounts for a modest increase in growth response in response to rhGH in children.

After the publication of the abovementioned systematic reviews and meta-analysis, some additional clinical studies were published demonstrating the low uniformity in the data. In three distinct cohorts of GHD short children, two from China (32, 33) and one from Greece (34), the presence of at least one d3-GHR allele was associated with a modest increase in growth velocity and height gain in response to rhGH.

### Table 1 Effects of d3-GHR polymorphism on baseline height and growth velocity and height gain in response to recombinant growth hormone (rhGH) in short GH-deficient children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Genotypes, %</th>
<th>Baseline height</th>
<th>Growth velocity and height gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fl–fl</td>
<td>d3–fl</td>
<td>d3–d3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis (30)</td>
<td>7 (613)*</td>
<td>43–55</td>
<td>36–47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohorts (31)</td>
<td>7 (629)*</td>
<td>43–55</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(33)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(35)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(36)</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-label trial (37)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of studies included in the meta-analysis, with the total number of children studied between parentheses. NA, information not available.

### Table 2 Effects of d3-GHR polymorphism on baseline height and growth velocity and height gain in response to recombinant growth hormone (rhGH) in non-GH-deficient short children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Clinical condition (n)</th>
<th>Genotypes, %</th>
<th>Baseline height</th>
<th>Growth velocity and height gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fl–fl</td>
<td>d3–fl</td>
<td>d3–d3</td>
<td>d3-carriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis (30)</td>
<td>6 SGA (712)*</td>
<td>44–60</td>
<td>27–47</td>
<td>9–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 ISS (264)*</td>
<td>43–71</td>
<td>25–40</td>
<td>4–17</td>
<td>29–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 TS (101)*</td>
<td>50–51</td>
<td>28–36</td>
<td>14–21</td>
<td>49–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(31)</td>
<td>8 SGA + ISS (708)*</td>
<td>43–62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 TS (190)*</td>
<td>50–64</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohorts (38)</td>
<td>ISS (167)</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>SGA (142)</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40)</td>
<td>TS (175)**</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of studies included in the meta-analysis, with the total number of children studied in each clinical condition between parentheses. **115 patients treated with rhGH remained prepubertal after the end of follow-up and were included in the post-treatment analysis. *Meta-analysis was not performed for each clinical condition, but considering all non-GHD groups together. ISS, idiopathic short stature; NA, information not available; SGA, small for gestational age; TS, Turner syndrome.
associated with better growth velocity after 6 or 12 months of rhGH therapy, whereas in two other European series, one from Poland (35) and one from Turkey (36), this polymorphism had no influence on growth response in GHD short children.

Recently, the associations between d3-GHR and growth response to rhGH over 3 years in relation to severity of GHD were investigated in the PREDICT trials (37). The PREDICT Long-Term Follow-up of Predictive Markers study was an open-label trial involving prepubertal treatment-naive GHD children from 14 countries. Interestingly, the authors reported on a dichotomous influence of d3-GHR polymorphism on response to rhGH, dependent on peak GH level. d3-GHR carriers with lower peak GH exhibited lower growth than subjects with fl/fl (median difference after 3 years =−3.3 cm; −0.3 SDS). In contrast, d3-GHR carriers with higher peak GH had better growth (+2.7 cm; +0.2 SDS), indicating that the influence of d3-GHR on therapeutic response is modulated by the severity of GHD (37).

In relation to non-GHD children, our group has longitudinally followed 167 prepubertal children with ISS who were treated with rhGH and had growth and metabolic outcomes evaluated at birth (n = 166), after one year of treatment (n = 59) and at adult height (n = 145). In our study, GHR genotypes did not have an impact on growth and IGF-I response after one year of GH treatment and could not explain the growth response to treatment, differences in height SDS, height gain or difference in height to midparental height SDS at adult height (38). Another prospective multicenter study in non-GHD children was performed in Germany with 142 short prepubertal children born SGA, who received rhGH in a mean dose of 0.3 mg/kg/week (39). This trial showed a better treatment response in the d3-GHR carriers only after the first treatment year (both for height SDS and height velocity), with the differences between the genotypes groups disappearing after the second year of rhGH therapy. In girls with Turner syndrome, a study conducted in South Korea in a group of 175 patients (115 treated with rhGH who remained prepubertal after the end of follow-up and were included in the final analysis of rhGH effects) found a similar distribution of the d3-GHR genotype in patients and in controls, without a significant impact of the GHR polymorphism on the efficacy of rhGH therapy (40). Finally, GHR polymorphism was shown to have no effect on the degree of growth delay among non-GHD children with Cushing disease (41).

In summary, the role of the d3-GHR polymorphism in growth and growth response to rhGH in children has been mostly studied in a limited and heterogeneous sample size, grouping d3-GHR homozygotes and heterozygotes, which could potentially mask the true effect of d3-GHR homozygosity in the outcomes. Moreover, many studies have used the conventional multiplex PCR assay for genotyping with the need for an additional round of PCR and an increased risk of over reporting d3/d3 genotypes. To overcome this problem, we suggest an international collaboration where the polymorphism would be easily studied using TaqMan SNP genotyping of the d3/fl tagSNP rs6873545, as described previously (19, 42) and further enlightened in Fig. 2, allowing a future extensive meta-analysis comparing the three genotype groups. In the current context, the use of the GHR polymorphism, alone or in association with other genetic variants within the GH-IGF-I axis, is of little value in prediction models to estimate the response to rhGH treatment in short children (43).

GHR polymorphism in adults with growth hormone deficiency (GHD)

In contrast with the pediatric studies, pharmacogenetic investigations related to the GH-IGF axis in adults with GHD are much more challenging due to the multiple end points of the rhGH therapy in this group of patients. Thus, few studies, most of them based on small numbers of observations, have tried to address the effect of d3-GHR polymorphism in hypopituitary adults with GHD and its potential implication with the response to rhGH therapy and, as expected, the designs have been heterogeneous and the results are conflicting (Table 3).

In a study of 313 consecutive GHD adults, we found that fl-GHR homozygotes individuals showed a better IGF-I response to rhGH than carriers of the d3-GHR after 1 week of therapy (44). We have also investigated a cohort of 124 adult GHD patients before and after 12 months of rhGH therapy, who were divided according to their genotypes into those bearing fl-GHR alleles (58%) and those with at least one d3-GHR allele (30% heterozygous and 12% homozygous). Therapeutic outcomes examined in this study were changes on serum IGF-I levels and total body fat mass during therapy. In contrast to the previous study, we did not find any influence of d3-GHR in those parameters, neither at baseline nor in response to rhGH therapy (45). We believe, however, that our unique large short-term therapeutic response study (1 week) is a more optimal model for the purpose of better understanding the impact of the GHR genotype on GH sensitivity as long-term studies are more subjected to confounders such as dose titration and adherence to the treatment.
In a cohort of 44 GHD adult patients followed in Spain, the d3-GHR allele was not related to baseline IGF-I levels, adverse events or treatment discontinuation (46). Another single-center study from the United Kingdom with a similar design was carried out with a larger cohort of 194 GHD adults (52% fl/fl, 38.7% d3/fl and 9.3% d3/d3 GHR) (47). In this study, a marginal increase in rhGH responsiveness at 12 months was observed only in d3-GHR homozygotes, but without a detectable difference in maintenance rhGH dose among genotypes, which led the authors to conclude that GHR polymorphism could not explain the marked heterogeneity of GH responsiveness in hypopituitary adults. In contrast, the German Pfizer International Metabolic Pharmacogenetics Study (KIMS) investigated 133 GHD adult patients also treated with rhGH for 12 months and found that d3-GHR carriers required approximately 25% less rhGH compared with the homozygous fl-carriers to attain similar IGF-I levels, suggesting an increased responsiveness to rhGH associated with d3-GHR polymorphism (48).

Adetunji et al. (49) examined whether the presence of d3-GHR could influence symptoms, quality of life (QoL)
and body composition in a cohort of 174 untreated GHD adults (55% fl/fl, 39% d3/fl and 6% d3/d3 GHR). The only significant finding was a lower Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scores (HADS) in d3-GHR carriers compared to those bearing fl-GHR alleles, with no other differences in QoL parameters or body composition. The authors considered the difference in HADS between the two genotype groups in the asymptomatic GHD patients of little clinical significance as the scores were within the normal range and concluded that the GHR polymorphism had no impact on symptoms, QoL, and body composition in untreated GHD adults. Using the same end points, they were also unable to demonstrate any influence of d3-GHR allele in the changes promoted by rhGH therapy in 131 GHD adults treated for more than 1 year (50).

In two studies, the impact of GHR polymorphism on IGF-I levels, metabolic parameters and body composition was examined both after 1 and 5 years of the start of rhGH therapy. In one of them, van der Klauuw et al. (51) followed 99 GHD patients (56% fl/fl, 38% d3/fl and 6% d3/d3 GHR) treated with rhGH during 1 year and 53 treated during 5 years. At 1 year, patients bearing at least one d3-GHR allele showed a higher increase in IGF-I levels compared with those with two wild-type alleles, at an identical mean rhGH dose. In addition, d3-GHR carriers showed a lower reduction in total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and higher increased in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol after 1 year of rhGH treatment. At 5 years, however, these differential responses related to GHR genotypes were not observed. The second study investigated 100 GHD adults (48% fl/fl, 45% d3/fl and 7% d3/d3 GHR) treated during 1 year with rhGH and 50 treated during 5 years (52). At 1 year, d3-GHR carriers showed a more pronounced increase in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol compared to fl/fl individuals, and fasting glucose remained significantly higher than the baseline values in d3-GHR individuals. Moreover, after both 1 and 5 years of rhGH therapy, the percentage of subjects with impaired glucose tolerance, which was similar in the groups at baseline, decreased in fl/fl and doubled in d3-GHR patients. In this last group, a long-term significant reduction in total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was also observed.

From the aforementioned studies, there is little evidence that genetic variants of GHR play a relevant role in the clinical picture of untreated adults with GHD. Whether d3-GHR polymorphism exerts some influence on rhGH responsiveness in adult GHD is less clear, but if does, the magnitude of the effect is likely modest.

GHR polymorphism in acromegaly

Acromegaly is a chronic disease, usually caused by a GH-secreting pituitary adenoma, in which body tissues are exposed to excessive amounts of GH and IGF-I. Uncontrolled acromegaly is associated with increased mortality and several morbidities are commonly present, including IGT and T2DM, arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, sleep apnea and malignancies (53, 54). Currently, acromegaly is treated by a combination of surgery, radiotherapy and three classes of drugs: dopamine-agonists (cabergoline), somatostatin analogs (octreotide, lanreotide, pasireotide) and GHR antagonists (pegvisomant). Serum GH and IGF-I measurements are employed for the diagnosis and, more importantly, for monitoring therapeutic response. In this respect, discordant GH and IGF-I values have been observed in up to 40% of the acromegaly patients, posing a challenge to the disease management (55). It has been questioned whether d3-GHR polymorphism could modulate GH sensitivity in acromegaly and, as a consequence, be responsible for the variability in the clinical presentation, hormone measurements and response to medical therapy, particularly to the GHR antagonist.

To date, findings from 17 studies, which are summarized in Table 4, have not been consistent and the importance of the d3-GHR polymorphism in acromegaly management remains highly controversial (55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71). The number of patients with acromegaly examined varied from 19 to 186 among the reported series, with the prevalence of heterozygous d3/fl-GHR ranging from 13.3% to 57% and of d3-GHR homozygous from 0% to 22%. In one study, d3-GHR carriers were younger (61) and in another they had higher systolic blood pressure, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR and BMI (64). In the remaining, no clear differences in clinical parameters were observed between patients with or without d3-GHR allele. In three series, the presence of at least one d3-GHR allele had a negative impact on acromegaly-related comorbidities, resulting in increased prevalence of diabetes (57), osteoarthritis, dolicchocolon and adenomatous colonic polyps (60) or vertebral fractures (67). In contrast, one study found better glucose metabolism in d3-GHR carriers (63). Similarly, only 4 out of 17 studies reported on some influence of d3-GHR polymorphism in GH and IGF-I levels at diagnosis or during follow-up (56, 57, 58, 68), but with little evidence of its involvement on discordant hormone levels. Accordingly, despite the results of a Spanish multicenter study suggesting that d3-GHR
predicts an improved response to GHR antagonist therapy in acromegaly (62), a recent systematic review showed no value of this polymorphism in predicting responsiveness to therapy (72). In summary, to date, there is no convincing and reproducible evidence for a significant impact of GHR polymorphism on the consequences of acromegaly and its management.

GHR polymorphism in other chronic diseases

The GH-IGF-I axis has been implicated in the pathophysiology of different chronic diseases, which has motivated some investigators to look for an association between GHR polymorphism and the clinical presentation in several pathological conditions. Two studies have examined such association in patients with Prader–Willi syndrome (PWS). In one study, seventy-four patients with a median age of 8.7 years were genotyped and their medical records were retrospectively reviewed. The distribution of alleles was not different from controls, but PWS carriers of the d3-GHR allele had significantly higher IGF-I levels in d3-carriers (58).

In the second study, sixty-nine patients with PWS with an average age of 20.1 years were investigated, and again the frequency of GHR alleles was similar to that reported in the literature, with d3-GHR carriers showing a significant increase in BMI compared with those having the fl-GHR allele. In addition, among 12 PWS children treated with rhGH, a significant increase in growth rate (1.7 times) was noted in the presence of the d3-GHR allele (74).

A large controlled study was carried out in a cohort of 432 Chinese women with PCOS and 441 healthy control women (75). The authors found a higher frequency of the d3-GHR allele in PCOS patients, which was associated with plasma glucose measurements among PCOS patients and with increased waist and hip circumference in healthy controls. In the Genetics, osteoARthritis and Progression (GARP) study, the prevalence of d3-GHR polymorphism was evaluated in patients with osteoarthritis and related to the clinical manifestations. The investigators found that in women, the d3-GHR polymorphism was associated with symptomatic osteoarthritis, especially at the hip site (63).

In a cohort of patients with diabetes mellitus and coronary heart disease, Kucukhuseyin et al. (77) found no influence of the GHR polymorphism on either cardiovascular risk factors or serum GH, IGF-I and IGFBP-3.
levels. Accordingly, the Genetics of Kidneys in Diabetes (GoKinD) study did not show any association between GHR polymorphism and the development of diabetic nephropathy among type 1 diabetes patients (78).

**Summary and conclusions**

Almost 30 years have passed since the first reports of the d3-GHR isoform and still the exact mechanisms by which its functional effect is conveyed are incompletely understood. One single experimental study, which has not been consistently replicated, found a 30% enhanced signal transduction in cells transfected with the d3-GHR, raising a large interest in using the GHR genotype to predict the response to rhGH in both children and adults (17). It is not possible, however, to estimate how a 30% improvement in *in vitro* GHR signal transduction would translate into clinical findings, as regulation of rhGH response in both children and adults is intriguingly complex and involves multiple factors other than the GHR signaling cascade. Epidemiologic studies have found associations of the d3-GHR isoform with longevity, anthropometric and metabolic parameters, in the general population. Previous systematic reviews and meta-analysis in GHD and non-GHD short children, as well as this narrative review including adults with GHD, show that the d3-GHR isoform may have a weak influence on the clinical impact of d3-GHR isoform in relation to GH binding, GHR dimerization, rotation and signal transduction and, concomitantly, new clinical research on large series of patients specifically designed to clarify the physiological and pathological role of GHR polymorphism and its implication for pharmacogenetics.
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