Adrenal insufficiency is seen in more than one-third of patients during ongoing low-dose prednisolone treatment for rheumatoid arthritis
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Abstract

Objective: Patients receiving long-term glucocorticoid treatment are at risk of developing adrenal insufficiency during treatment. We investigated the prevalence of prednisolone-induced adrenal insufficiency in the particular clinical situation where patients receive ongoing low-dose (5 mg/day) prednisolone treatment, a dose by itself too low to cover glucocorticoid needs during stress.

Design and methods: Cross-sectional study in 42 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (29 women, aged 36–86 years) treated with 5 mg prednisolone/day, who had received prednisolone for ≥6 months (median: 66, range: 6–444 months). Adrenal function was evaluated by a 250 μg Synacthen test performed after mean 48.7 h prednisolone pause. Local assay-specific cut-off for normal adrenal function was P-cortisol ≥420 nmol/L 30 min after Synacthen injection.

Results: Overall, 20 of the 42 patients (48%, 95% CI: 33–62%) had an insufficient adrenal response to the Synacthen test. Including only patients who had not received concomitant treatment with any other glucocorticoid formulas within the last 3 months, 13 of 33 patients (39%, 95% CI: 25–56%) had an insufficient response. Adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) concentrations were generally low and anti-adrenal antibodies were negative indicating secondary adrenal insufficiency as the most likely diagnosis. There was no correlation between duration of treatment and 30 min P-cortisol (P=0.62). Adrenal function did not depend on sex or seropositivity of rheumatoid arthritis.

Conclusion: We demonstrate a high prevalence of adrenal insufficiency during ongoing low-dose prednisolone treatment. The results urge to increase focus on the condition to ensure identification and correct management of insufficient patients during stress and withdrawal. Strategies for adrenal function evaluation during ongoing low-dose glucocorticoid treatment need to be established.
Introduction

Glucocorticoids are used in the treatment of a variety of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, seldom with any doubt about the clinical indication and effect, but often with many side effects. Glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency is a potentially life-threatening side effect as it renders the patient unable to produce an adequate cortisol response to stress. Clinically relevant hypocortisolism can occur after withdrawal from glucocorticoid treatment, but also during ongoing treatment if there is a mismatch between glucocorticoid requirements to overcome stress and the sum of the endogenous cortisol production capacity and exogenous glucocorticoid intake. High-dose prednisolone treatment often ensures sufficient glucocorticoid intake to overcome most stressful situations, but low-dose prednisolone treatment might not. In individuals with normal adrenal function cortisol production varies from 5 to 10 mg/m² surface area/day (equivalent to an oral hydrocortisone dose of 15–25 mg/day in adults) in unstressful conditions (1) to an increased response to major stress of up to 75–150 mg cortisol/day (2). For patients in low-dose prednisolone treatment, 5 mg/day is equivalent to 20 mg/day of hydrocortisone. If the adrenal function is suppressed these patients are thus not sufficiently covered during stress by the prednisolone treatment itself.

Glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency is probably underestimated in clinical practice (3, 4). In rheumatoid arthritis, low-dose prednisolone treatment for 2 years in addition to disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs reduces joint destruction and increases disease remission (5), and is thus widely used both as initial, but also maintenance therapy (6, 7). It is currently not recommended to evaluate adrenal function routinely during the course of glucocorticoid treatment (8), which potentially leaves a large group of patients with long-lasting adrenal insufficiency, who are not adequately informed about the risk and treatment of adrenal insufficiency during intercurrent stress.

We aimed to assess the prevalence of glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with 5 mg prednisolone daily to investigate whether adrenal insufficiency is a frequent and thereby clinically significant problem during long-term low-dose prednisolone treatment.

Subjects and methods

Participants

Patients with rheumatoid arthritis were retrieved from four rheumatology departments located in the greater Copenhagen area in Denmark. The diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis was based on the American Rheumatism Association 1987 classification (9). Patients treated with 5 mg prednisolone daily and in continuous treatment for a minimum of 6 months were considered for inclusion. Further inclusion criteria were: age ≥18 years and Caucasian ethnicity. In total 127 consecutive patients were identified. Patients were excluded if they were unable to provide a written informed consent (n=9), pregnant (n=1), unwilling to discontinue any estrogen treatment 6 weeks prior to a Synacthen test (n=2) or had other major confounding diseases contraindicating 48 h of prednisolone discontinuation (n=2).

In total 113 patients were eligible for inclusion, and 54 (48%) of these were willing to participate. The patients were recruited as part of a larger study (ClinicalTrials.gov no. NCT01411046), and were screened for four specific polymorphisms of the glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) and grouped accordingly before being invited to a Synacthen test. Thus, both patients with or without these polymorphisms were invited to a Synacthen test, but patients with a mixed hetero- and homozygote genotype were excluded (n=12 of 54 (22% of patients)) due to the overall study protocol. In total, 42 patients underwent assessment of adrenal function. Disease history and data on history of treatment with prednisolone and other glucocorticoid containing formulations were obtained from medical records and confirmed by patient interview.

The protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee (J.nr. H-4-2011-051) and the Data Protection Agency (J.nr. 2007-58-0015, local 30-0580). All participants gave written informed consent before enrolment.

Adrenal function assessment

Adrenal function was evaluated by a Synacthen (corticotropin) stimulation test performed in the morning, starting between 08:00 and 10:30 h, after an overnight fast and a prednisolone pause of approximately
48 h (depending on individual dose administration time). A bolus of 250 µg tetracosactid (Synacthen; Sigma-tau, Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite S.p.A., Rome, Italy) was administered i.v. in a large forearm vein. P-cortisol was measured before and 30 min after Synacthen injection. All Synacthen tests were performed at the tertiary referral center, Department of Medical Endocrinology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Denmark. After the Synacthen test patients continued the prednisolone treatment.

Assays and cut-offs

P-cortisol was measured by the Elecsys Cortisol II immunoassay (Roche GmbH, Germany) (10) on Cobas 8000 e602 module platform. Elecsys Cortisol II is a new generation automated cortisol immunoassay with increased specificity due to use of monoclonal antibodies and standardization against mass spectrometry with an expected decrease in cortisol concentrations by approximately 20% compared to older generation cortisol assays. Maximal coefficient of variation (CV) in the assay was 8%. Prednisolone has cross-reactivity in the assay of 8%. Samples were analyzed in few series.

Cut-off for normal cortisol response to the Synacthen test was validated locally for the new assay (11) and defined as the 25th percentile – 1.96*S.E. of the 30 min cortisol response to an 250 µg Synacthen test in 100 healthy volunteers as previously described (12). This derived a cut-off of 420 nmol/L which is lower than the commonly recommended 500 nmol/L, but similar to the cut-off previously suggested for mass spectrometry (13).

Plasma concentrations of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and anti-adrenal antibodies were measured at baseline to distinguish patients with primary (autoimmune) adrenal insufficiency.

ACTH in plasma was analyzed by a sandwich chemiluminescent immunometric method using Siemens’ reagents on an Immulite 2000 platform (Siemens GmbH, Germany) with CV of 10%. Adrenal cortex antibodies were measured by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy on primate adrenal sections (INOVA Diagnostics, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, one drop of patient sample diluted 1:10 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was applied to each well on substrate slides. After 30 min incubation in a moist chamber, the slides were washed in PBS and placed in a glass chamber with PBS for 5 min. Excess PBS was shaken off the slides and one drop of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) anti-human IgG conjugate (DAKO #F0202) was added before incubation for 30 min. Following another washing step slides were analyzed in a fluorescence microscope at 495 nm exciter. A sample was considered positive if the specific staining was observed to be greater than a negative control.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data are presented as number, n (%), continuous data as mean (± S.D.) if normally distributed otherwise as median (range). Age, prednisolone pause, baseline P-cortisol and 30 min P-cortisol followed a Gaussian distribution, whereas duration of treatment and ACTH concentrations were log Gaussian distributed. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for proportions were calculated by the Wilson Score interval formula. Comparison between groups was made by independent t-test for normally distributed continuous data and Chi-square test for categorical data, since data were equally distributed among cells. Correlation analyses were performed to assess the association between 30 min P-cortisol and duration of treatment, results presented with Pearson's correlation coefficient. The prevalence of adrenal insufficiency and mean 30 min P-cortisol were compared between groups stratified by sex and presence of IgM rheumatoid factor in rheumatoid arthritis. All statistical analyses were performed by SAS version 9.4 (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A difference was considered significant when P < 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Of the 127 identified patients, gender and seropositivity of rheumatoid arthritis did not differ between 42 patients who participated in the study and 85 patients who were either excluded (n = 26) or unwilling to participate (n = 59). Patients in the study were generally younger (mean age 65 years (s.d. 14) vs 71 years (s.d. 13), P = 0.03).

The characteristics of the study population at the time of the Synacthen test are shown in Table 1. The 42 patients had been treated with prednisolone for a median of 66 months (range: 6-444 months). All patients were treated with 5 mg prednisolone/day until pausing mean 48.7 h (range: 36-96 h) before the Synacthen test. Within the last 6 months before the Synacthen test, 6 (14%) patients had received prednisolone doses above 5 mg and 2 (4.8%) patients had received doses below 5 mg, but none within the last month. Nine (21%) patients were in addition treated with locally applied glucocorticoids.
which were paused as long time before the Synacthen test as possible (Table 1).

**Table 1** Patient characteristics at the time of the Synacthen test. Data are presented as number (%) or mean (s.d.)/median (range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (men)</td>
<td>13 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositive rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>23 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65.3 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of therapy (months)</td>
<td>66 (6–444)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone pause before Synacthen test (h)</td>
<td>48.7 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone dose &gt;5 mg/day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month before Synacthen test</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–6 months before Synacthen test</td>
<td>6 (14%)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone doses &lt;5 mg/day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–6 months before Synacthen test</td>
<td>2 (4.8%)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant treatment with other glucocorticoids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-articular injections</td>
<td>4 (9.5%)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-muscular injections</td>
<td>4 (9.5%)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoid containing cream</td>
<td>1 (2.4%)e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*7.5–15 mg (median 7.5 mg) for 1–5 months (median 2.5 months); *b*2.5 mg for 0.75–1 months; *c* paused 2, 5, 6 and 8 weeks respectively; *d* paused 1, 4, 5 and 6 weeks respectively; *e* paused several weeks.

**Adrenal function**

Overall, 20 of the 42 patients (48%, 95% CI: 33–62%) had an insufficient adrenal response to the Synacthen test (Fig. 1). Including only patients who had not received concomitant treatment with any other glucocorticoid containing formulas within the last 3 months before the Synacthen test 13 of the 33 patients (39%, 95% CI: 25–56%) had an insufficient adrenal response to the Synacthen test. Of the nine patients who had received concomitant intra-articular injections, intra-muscular injections or treatment with glucocorticoid containing cream respectively, 4/4, 3/4 and 0/1 respectively had an insufficient response to the Synacthen test. Three of the six (50%) patients, who had received prednisolone doses higher than 5 mg and both patients who had received doses below 5 mg within the last 6 months before the Synacthen test had adrenal insufficiency.

There was no correlation between duration of treatment and 30 min P-cortisol ($P=0.62$, $r=-0.08$). Neither sex nor presence of rheumatoid factor were associated with adrenal function ($t$-test for comparison of mean 30 min P-cortisol $P=0.32$ and $P=0.42$ respectively; $\chi^2$ for adrenal insufficiency $P=0.52$ and $P=0.43$ respectively).

ACTH concentrations obtained in 41 (97%) patients were generally low within the reference range with a

**Figure 1** Spaghetti plot of P-cortisol levels at baseline and 30 min after 250 µg Synacthen injection in patients treated with 5 mg prednisolone/day. Each line represents one patient. Black lines represent patients who did not concomitantly receive treatment with other glucocorticoid formulas. Patients who received concomitant treatment with other glucocorticoid formulas within the last 3 months before the Synacthen test are presented by grey lines (intra-muscular injections), dotted black lines (intra-articular injections) or dotted grey line (glucocorticoid containing cream). Cut-off for insufficient adrenal function: 30 min P-cortisol <420 nmol/L is marked by a dotted horizontal line. Overall 48% of the patients had adrenal insufficiency. Including only patients who had not received concomitant treatment with any other glucocorticoid formulas within the last 3 months 39% had adrenal insufficiency.
median of 3 pmol/L (range <1–28 pmol/L) (Fig. 2). Anti-adrenal antibodies were analyzed in 38 (90%) patients and were negative in all of these patients.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we found adrenal insufficiency in more than one-third of the patients treated with 5 mg prednisolone daily. Higher occurrence was observed in the total cohort, which also included patients who had received concomitant treatment with other glucocorticoid formulas. Glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency is often believed to occur in patients who have been treated with more than the equivalent of 7.5 mg/day prednisolone (8, 14, 15, 16) and since patients in high-dose prednisolone treatment are sufficiently covered during most stress, the dominating clinical focus on glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency has been on adrenal insufficiency after withdrawal from glucocorticoid treatment. We here show that glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency is also a problem during ongoing low-dose prednisolone treatment. Our study differs from previous studies in that it only included patients receiving 5 mg prednisolone/day. This was chosen to investigate the prevalence of adrenal insufficiency during ongoing prednisolone treatment in the particular situation, where the prednisolone dose is too low to cover extra needs during stress. The somewhat higher prevalence of 78% in the nine patients, who received concomitant treatment with glucocorticoid containing cream, intra-muscular or intra-articular glucocorticoid injections stresses that these administration routes are important contributors to adrenal suppression. Adrenal insufficiency can occur after all locally applied glucocorticoids (4, 17), and high prevalence has especially been demonstrated after intra-articular glucocorticoid injections (4, 18, 19, 20, 21) and in patients using multiple glucocorticoid forms concomitantly (4). Adrenal suppression after a single intra-articular glucocorticoid injection has been shown to last up to 4 weeks (19, 20, 21). In the present study, the Synacthen test was performed ≥4 weeks after an intra-articular or -muscular glucocorticoid injection in all but two patients (Table 1). At the time of the Synacthen test the concomitant glucocorticoid treatment did not contribute to cover patients’ glucocorticoid need during stress.

All patients in our cohort had been treated with a stable dose of 5 mg prednisolone/day the last month leading up to the Synacthen test and 81% of patients for more than 6 months. Six patients had received higher doses at some point within the 6 months before the Synacthen test. Three (50%) of these had adrenal insufficiency and inclusion of these patients therefore did not affect the overall prevalence in the study. The patient cohort was representative of patients with rheumatoid arthritis in low-dose prednisolone treatment where variations in disease activity lead to short periods of increased prednisolone dose or intra-articular or -muscular corticosteroid injections. Likewise, the cohort is comparable to other patient populations in glucocorticoid treatment where increased disease activity is treated with increased glucocorticoid intake (increased dose or additional formula). For these populations the overall prevalence of 48% might be a relevant estimate. For patient populations seldom receiving concomitant treatment with other glucocorticoid formulas the reported prevalence of 39% might be more comparable. Our findings are similar to studies of patients receiving more varying (22) or slightly higher glucocorticoid doses (23, 24).

We found no association between adrenal function and duration of prednisolone treatment. However, it is possible that such an association would be clearer...
for shorter durations than we have investigated in the present study. The risk of adrenal insufficiency generally increases with increased duration of glucocorticoid treatment (4, 24), but glucocorticoid-induced adrenal suppression is at the same time associated with a substantial individual variation with less strong association to glucocorticoid dose or duration of treatment (3, 22, 25).

The study has confirmed a strong suspicion that adrenal insufficiency is highly prevalent during ongoing low-dose prednisolone treatment, which was the aim of the study. As patients already suffered from one autoimmune disease (rheumatoid arthritis) we aimed at excluding primary adrenal insufficiency. Since ACTH concentrations were generally low and anti-adrenal antibodies were negative this was very unlikely, and the diagnosis of secondary, glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency was considered accurate.

For reliable assessment of adrenal function, it is generally agreed that a stimulation test is necessary (3, 26, 27, 28). In the present study, we used the standard-dose 250µg Synacthen test as it is simple and safe and correlates well with the insulin tolerance test (29, 30). Some (31, 32, 33) but not all (27, 28, 34) prefer the low-dose 1µg Synacthen test over the standard-dose 250µg Synacthen test. The low-dose test has been found to be more sensitive in detecting mild adrenal insufficiency as the stimulation is at a more physiological level (31, 32), but technical details can influence its accuracy, resulting in reduced specificity (32, 34, 35). There is no published consensus on which test is preferred.

The use of the 250µg Synacthen test in our study minimized the risk of false-positive test results.

P-cortisol measurement was performed with a new generation assay, the Roche Elecsys Cortisol II assay, performing more specific (and thus lower) cortisol measurements than the older Roche Elecsys Cortisol assay. All cortisol samples were frozen and analyzed in few series. Local assay-specific cut-off for normal adrenal function was validated for the new assay (11) based on Synacthen tests performed in previously described healthy controls (12), minimizing the risk of methodological bias. The cross-reactivity of prednisolone in the Roche Elecsys Cortisol II assay is very low (8% (10)) compared with the older Roche Elecsys Cortisol assays (up to 171% (36, 37)). The prednisolone pause of mean 48.7h (range 36–96h) comprised 14–25 plasma half-lives (range 9–48 half-lives) for prednisolone (38) and was chosen to minimize the risk of measuring falsely-high cortisol levels thus underestimating adrenal insufficiency due to cross-reactivity weighted against the safety aspect of the prednisolone pause for the patients.

The reported prevalence in this study may be surprising to clinicians who could argue that symptomatic patients would nevertheless be identified. However, in a meta-analysis only 10 of 98 patients with glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency reported symptoms of adrenal insufficiency, concluding that the diagnosis would have been missed in 88 patients if only symptomatic patients underwent assessment of adrenal function (4). Adrenal insufficiency most often presents with very unspecific symptoms. Patients may present with symptoms such as fatigue, loss of energy, muscle and joint pain which could result from increased disease activity of rheumatoid arthritis, but could as well relate to adrenal insufficiency. Adrenal insufficiency should especially be suspected when other signs and symptoms of disease activity of the rheumatoid arthritis (C-reactive protein, joint swelling, etc.) do not reflect the patients’ symptoms. It is a general clinical observation that prednisolone tapering is very difficult in many patients with rheumatoid arthritis and other rheumatologic diseases (39). Two patients in our study had failed attempts to taper the prednisolone dose shortly before enrolment in the study. They were tapered to doses below 5mg, but had to increase the dose again after 3–4 weeks due to flare up of symptoms despite the absence of clinical signs of disease activity. Both of these patients were found to have adrenal insufficiency. During the 48-h prednisolone pause before the study Synacthen test several patients experienced an increase in muscle and joint pain and some felt generally unwell. Although not systematically registered it was a clinical observation that prednisolone tapering is very difficult in many patients with rheumatoid arthritis or influenza-like illnesses. Thus, there is a high risk of misclassification of adrenal crises in glucocorticoid-treated patients without verified adrenal insufficiency and retrospective studies investigating the incidence of glucocorticoid-induced adrenal crises cannot account for all the dark numbers of incorrectly classified and coded crises (40). The incidence of glucocorticoid-induced adrenal crisis is thus difficult to clarify. In 28 patients
with an established diagnosis of glucocorticoid-induced adrenal insufficiency the reported incidence of adrenal crises was 15 per 100 patient-years (41). This was higher than the incidence of 5.2 and 3.6 adrenal crisis per 100 patient-years found in patients with primary (n=111) and secondary (other than glucocorticoid-induced) (n=319) adrenal insufficiency respectively (41). Similar, a prospective study has reported an incidence of 8.3 adrenal crises per 100 patient-years and associated mortality in approximately 6% of adrenal crises for patients with known primary (n=221) or secondary (other than glucocorticoid-induced) (n=202) adrenal insufficiency (42). Overall, these data suggest that adrenal crisis can occur in patients during ongoing low-dose prednisolone treatment who have (severely) suppressed adrenal function. Our results call for increased focus on the adrenal function during long-term low-dose prednisolone treatment. We argue that all patients receiving glucocorticoid treatment should be informed about the risk of adrenal insufficiency and should carry a steroid emergency card (43, 44). Whether insufficient patients on ongoing low-dose prednisolone treatment could benefit from receiving supplemental glucocorticoid doses during intercurrent illness and stress has not been shown, but it is standard procedure in all other types of adrenal insufficiency.

Our findings make a case for routine evaluation of adrenal function in patients on ongoing low-dose glucocorticoid treatment, but there are some concerns in regard to defining correct timing and frequency of such routine evaluation and the increased cost and workload. It might not be feasible everywhere. Future perspectives such as using baseline morning P-cortisol measurements as an initial diagnostic screening tool should be further explored (45, 46).

If the activity of the underlying disease for which the prednisolone is prescribed allows prednisolone tapering and potentially withdrawal the need for daily replacement therapy should be considered. Hydrocortisone is preferred for replacement therapy over prednisolone as its shorter half-life enables a more physiological mimic of the normal circadian rhythm of cortisol secretion (47, 48) with low nightly levels improving chances for adrenal recovery. Adrenal function evaluation and treating the adrenal insufficiency with physiological doses of hydrocortisone might enable reduction or even withdrawal of prednisolone, thereby reducing other prednisolone-induced side effects such as osteoporosis, diabetes and hypertension.

In conclusion, we have investigated secondary adrenal insufficiency in the particular clinical situation where patients receive ongoing prednisolone treatment in a dose that in itself is too low to cover extra glucocorticoid needs during stress. We found that more than one-third of the patients had adrenal insufficiency.As low-dose glucocorticoid treatment is widely used, not only in rheumatoid arthritis, but in many different conditions, the prevalence is alarming and many patients might have unidentified adrenal insufficiency. If future studies were to find the same benefit from hydrocortisone replacement strategy in patients with glucocorticoid induced adrenal insufficiency as for other patients with adrenal insufficiency, clinical management guidelines would have to be implemented for this patient group. Correct management could potentially reduce adrenal crisis, improve patients’ quality of life and may help facilitate tapering from prednisolone treatment reducing overall steroid side-effects.
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