The clinical utility of circulating neuroendocrine gene transcript analysis in well-differentiated paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas
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Abstract

Context: Paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas (PPGLs) exhibit variable malignancy, which is difficult to determine by histopathology, amine measurements or tissue genetic analyses.

Objective: To evaluate whether a 51-neuroendocrine gene blood analysis has clinical utility as a diagnostic and prognostic marker.

Design: Prospective cohort study. Well-differentiated PPGLs (n = 32), metastatic (n = 4); SDHx mutation (n = 25); 12 biochemically active, Lanreotide treated (n = 4). Nine patients had multiple sampling. Age- and gender-matched controls and GEP-NETs (comparators).

Methods: Circulating neuroendocrine tumor mRNA measured (qPCR) with multianalyte algorithmic analysis. Metabolic, epigenomic and proliferative genes as well as somatostatin receptor expression were assessed (averaged, normalized gene expression: mean ± s.e.m.). Amines were measured by HPLC and chromogranin A by ELISA. Analyses (2-tailed): Fisher’s test, non-parametric (Mann–Whitney), receiver-operator curve (ROC) and multivariate analysis (MVA). All data are presented as mean ± S.E.M.

Results: PPGL were NETest positive (100%). All exhibited higher scores than controls (55 ± 5% vs 8 ± 1%, P = 0.0001), similar to GEP-NETs (47 ± 5%). ROC analysis under curve was 0.98 for differentiating PPGLs/controls (cut-off for normal: 26.7%). Mutation status was not directly linked to NETest. Genetic and molecular clustering was associated (P < 0.04) with NETest scores. Metastatic (80 ± 9%) and multicentric (64 ± 9%) disease had significantly (P < 0.04) higher scores than localized disease (43 ± 7%). Progressive disease (PD) had the highest scores (86 ± 2%) vs stable (SD, 41 ± 2%) (P < 0.0001). The area under the curve for PD from SD was 0.93 (cut-off for PD: 53%). Proliferation, epigenetic and somatostatin receptor gene expression was elevated (P < 0.03) in PD. Metabolic gene expression was decreased in SDHx mutations. Repeat NETest measurements defined clinical status in the 9 patients (6 SD and 3 PD). Amine measurement was non-informative. Multivariate analysis identified NETest >53% as an independent prognostic factor.

Conclusion: Circulating NET transcript analysis is positive (100% diagnostic) in well-differentiated PCC/PGL, scores were elevated in progressive disease irrespective of mutation or biochemical activity and elevated levels were prognostic.
Introduction

Paragangliomas (PGLs) and pheochromocytomas (PCCs) are complex neuroendocrine lesions that are ubiquitous with an incidence of 2/1,000,000 (1). They exhibit variable malignancy, which is not always easy to delineate by histopathology. The key clinical unmet needs include accurate determination of malignancy, identification of residual disease and the introduction of tools to accurately monitor disease progression and evaluate treatment response.

Paragangliomas and pheochromocytomas (commonly called PPGls) arise from chromaffin cells (adrenal medulla: PCCs) or histologically similar cells in the parasympathetic and sympathetic ganglia (PGLs). The abdomino-thoracic tumors often secrete amines or their metabolites (2, 3) and are associated with hypertension and symptoms including palpitations, sweating, flushing, fatigue, nausea, irregular bowel function and cardiac involvement (3). Genetically, PPGls are categorized into PGL1–5 based on mutations in SDHx/hypoxia pathway or in VHL, RET1 and NF-1, pathways respectively (4, 5). Approximately 40% of PPGls are due to germline mutations (4), whereas mutations in SDHB are the most common genetic association with metastasis (6). The majority of PPGls (70%) are well differentiated but 10–30% metastasize (7).

Despite being well characterized genetically, susceptibility genes for PPGl malignancy remain unknown. In addition, standard histopathological approaches, e.g., the Ki67 index, have not proven to be reliable as a predictor of tumor growth or metastasis (7). One consequence of this is the need of a scientific tool to identify high-risk and/or poor prognosis tumors. Two scoring systems have been developed, the Pheochromocytoma of the Adrenal Scaled Score (PASS) (8) and the Grading of Adrenal Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma (GAPP) criteria (7). The former was only developed for PCCs and was poorly concordant between pathologists in a validation study (9). The latter has not been validated, includes biochemical measures, which are of variable utility (10), and its development did not include tumor genetic information including SDHB mutational status (11).

This manuscript assesses an alternative to tissue-based predictive tools using multianalyte algorithmic analysis of blood-based tumor transcripts. The most common current diagnostic strategy for PPGls is the measurement of urine and plasma metanephrine and nor-metanephrine levels (12, 13). However, poor metrics due to incorrect collection procedures can obfuscate utility (10). In addition, many tumors do not exhibit increased values of these analytes, and little data support the use of these measurements either for residual disease monitoring or treatment efficacy assessment (14). Other blood-based biomarkers, e.g., plasma miRNA or circulating free mutant DNA, have not been evaluated. Accurate methods for early identification of disease recurrence or tumor progression remain a key clinical issue.

Recently, it has become apparent to the oncological community that cell secretory products alone are inadequate descriptors of neoplastic cell biology. This has led to the development of multiple analyte measurements to better define the diversity of the neoplastic environment. Such assays, integrated with mathematical algorithmic analyses (MAAA) more accurately capture the magnitude and multiplicity of biological information inherent in a neoplasm (15). MAAA therefore provides the basis for biomarker development that can provide insight into tumor characteristics, level of malignancy and likelihood of metastasis (16). This strategy encompasses the hypothesis that neoplastic behavior is embodied in the ‘Hallmarks of Cancer’ descriptor provided by Hanahan and Weinberg. These characteristics include proliferation, evading apoptosis, pluripotency, metabolism and secretion (17).

A blood-based MAAA (NETest) has recently been developed for neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) (18, 19, 20) and demonstrated to have utility in identifying residual disease (21), defining progression (22) and predicting treatment efficacy (23). NETs share a number of similarities with PPGls. They arise from enteroendocrine cells, secrete amines, are associated with similar symptoms (flushing and diarrhea) and exhibit an overlap in mutational landscape e.g., SDHB, VHL and NF-1 (24, 25), as well as exhibiting common downstream transcriptional and pathway abnormalities (e.g., hypoxia and growth factor Ras/Raf signaling) (26). In GEP-NETs, gene expression defines different cut-offs e.g., minimal activity: 0–14%, low activity: 14–40% and intermediate-high activity: >40–100% (18). In addition, clinically progressive disease in GEP-NETs is identified by elevated expression of a number of oncologically relevant gene clusters including those involved in proliferation, metabolism, the epigenome, some secretory genes, somatostatin receptor expression and pluripotency (18).

Based on the recognition of this degree of commonality, we sought to evaluate whether neuroendocrine tumor transcript (NETest) levels in blood could identify well-
differentiated PPGLs i.e., were detectable (diagnostic) and whether this information had clinical relevance (identification of disease progression). In addition, as the clinically relevant cut-offs (for the NETest) as well as the genes involved in defining malignancy are not known in PPGLs, we, also, evaluated these parameters.

**Subjects and methods**

**Approach**

To assess the value of the circulating mRNA signature in PPGLs, we prospectively collected blood samples from 32 patients with known mutation status, mostly with the Polish founding mutation (*SDHD*: c.33 C/A C11X) (27) at two centers (Poland/Warsaw and Gdansk) over a 15-month period. These samples were used to evaluate the NETest as a ‘diagnostic’ and identify whether measurements correlated with clinical disease or mutation status. More than one blood sample was available from a subset of patients (*n*=9). These were correlated with outcome to evaluate the clinical utility of the NETest.

The NETest captures gene expression of cancer hallmarks including proliferation and metabolism, which are clinically relevant to disease activity and progression (17). We therefore specifically focused on these in a subset analysis. We compared the NETest to standard catecholamine measurements (free normetanephrine, metanephrine and methoxytyramine in plasma) as well as to CgA as measurement of this neuroendocrine secretory marker is considered clinically useful in PPGLs (28). Tumors secreting methoxytyramine have been classified as a dopaminergic, secreting normetanephrine as noradrenergic and metanephrine as adrenergic.

All patients provided informed consent for the blood translational analysis authorized by the local ethics committee at both institutions. The NETest results from the PPGL cohort were compared (1:1) to gender- and age- (to within 5 years) matched NETs and controls (*n*=32 each).

**Patients**

Histopathologically confirmed PPGLs (*n*=32) (collected between May 2015 and July 2016) were studied. Patient demographics are summarized in **Table 1**. All tumors were well differentiated. The Ki-67 index was low in all tumors in which it was measured (*n*=13: median: 2%, range: 1–2% except one (*SDHB* mutation) where the Ki67 was 16.3%). Four (13%) exhibited distant metastases. The mean age

---

### Table 1 Demographics of the PPGL (*n*=32), matched GEP and BP NET comparator (*n*=32) cohorts. Data are presented as *n* (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPGL cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean/range)</td>
<td>34 (12–62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M:F)</td>
<td>17:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>4 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed PCC/PGL</td>
<td>10 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>18 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional (amine, metabolite secretion)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>7 (22%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline</td>
<td>9 (28%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogranin A</td>
<td>8 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normetanephrine</td>
<td>4 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metanephrine</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxytyramine</td>
<td>4 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>18 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicentric</td>
<td>7 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>4 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease status (RECIST 1.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>19 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>11 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>25 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embolization</td>
<td>5 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRT</td>
<td>5 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBRT</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachytherapy</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>4 (12%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently no treated</td>
<td>28 (88%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matched GEP and BP NET comparator cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean/range)</td>
<td>38 (27–61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M:F)</td>
<td>17:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>19 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>4 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broncho-pulmonary</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEN-1</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEP</td>
<td>20 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>8 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical carcinoid</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical carcinoid</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCNEC/SCLC</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease extent</td>
<td>32 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease status (RECIST 1.1)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
was 34 years (range 12–62), and the gender distribution was M:F (17:15). The nine patients with more than one blood sample are summarized in Table 2.

### Disease types

Tumors were separated into three different groups: PGLs ($n = 18$), mixed PGL/PCC ($n = 10$) and PCCs ($n = 4$).

### Table 2 Clinical details of PPGL ($n = 9$) with additional blood sampling.

| Age, years (mean/range) | 35 (21–62)
| Gender (M:F) | 6:3
| Mutational status, $n$ | |
| SDHB: exon7, c.823G>T, p.R230L | 1
| SDHB: exon3, c.402 C>T, p.R90X | 1
| SDHD: exon1, c.33 C>A, p. C11X | 6
| Functional status*, $n$ | |
| Non-secretor | 4
| Dopamine | 2
| Noradrenaline | 3
| Metastasis, $n$ | |
| Yes | 3
| No | 6
| Therapy during follow-up, $n$ | |
| SSA use | 3
| Surgery | 1
| No treatment | 5
| Disease status (RECIST 1.0), $n$ | |
| Baseline | |
| SD | 6
| PD | 3
| Follow-up blood | |
| SD > SD | 4
| SD > PD | 2
| PD > SD | 2
| PD > PD | 1

*Current therapy; *one also noradrenaline; **one also adrenaline and one dopamine; †current therapy.
A, adrenaline; BP, broncho-pulmonary; DA, dopamine; EBRT, external beam radiotherapy; GEP, gastroenteropancreatic; LCNEC, large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; NA, noradrenaline; NED, non-evidence of disease; PD, progressive disease; PRRT, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; SD, stable disease; SSA, somatostatin analogs.

### Biochemical activity

Seventeen (53%) were biochemically inactive. Of the 15 biochemically active, seven secreted dopamine (one also noradrenaline) and 9 secreted noradrenaline (one also adrenaline and one also dopamine).

### Disease status

In these cohorts, 1 patient exhibited no evidence of disease, 20 were considered stable (RECIST) and 11 exhibited disease progression. No radiological evidence of the disease was evident in the offspring (F).

### Mutation status

The mutation status was known in 31 of 32 (97%) (Table 3).

### Biological clustering classification (29)

Patients could be clustered into the two specific genetic mutational groups: Cluster 1 (SDHx/VHL) included 27 (84%); Cluster 2 (RET/NF-1) included 4 (13%), one patient could not be included due to an absence of genetic data.

### Comparator groups

NETs: The demographics of the NET group are summarized (Table 1). Briefly, the majority of NETs were small intestinal ($n = 19, 59$%), a preponderance ($n = 23, 72$%) were low grade (G1 or typical lung carcinoid) and 15 (47%) were classified as progressive disease (RECIST). In terms of treatment, 18 were untreated and 14 (44%) were receiving somatostatin analogs. No NETs were being treated with acid inhibitory therapy (PPIs) or anti-hypertensive medication.
Controls: The control (non-NET) group included one IBD, three IPMN, 9 benign pancreatic cysts and 19 otherwise healthy controls. Eight individuals were taking PPIs. None of the controls were taking antihypertensive medication.

There were no differences in sex distribution: M:F = 17:15 (both groups) or age between PPGLs and either of the comparator groups (NETs: mean 38 years, range: 27–61; controls: median 38 years, range: 28–60; both P=NS vs PPGLs, Mann–Whitney U test) confirming the appropriateness of matching.

Image analysis

Morphological imaging (CT/MRI) was used to stage patients at study entry and to evaluate whether progression had occurred since earlier imaging (n=9). RECIST 1.0 criteria were used to assess this.

Blood sampling schedule

Whole blood (10mL) for transcript analysis was collected at baseline in 32 patients. Nine patients had a second blood draw 2–12 months thereafter (one individual had a third blood draw). Plasma for catecholamine and CgA expression was normalized to housekeeping genes and quantified vs a population control (30, 31). The expression of ‘omic’ genes (identified to differentiate progressive from stable disease (18)) is scaled to 100% (high activity). A score of ‘8’ with a low ‘ome’ is weighted to 53%. We have determined the ranges that conform to clinical disease assessment in GEP-NETs: minimal activity: <0–14%, low activity: 14–40% and intermediate-high activity: >40–100% (18).

PCR-based transcript analysis (NETest)

Technique

The NETest assesses biological activity using gene inference technology and cancer hallmark prediction (18). Details of the PCR methodology, mathematical analysis and validation have been published in detail (18, 23, 30, 31). The procedure uses a 2-step protocol (RNA isolation, cDNA production and PCR) (30, 31) from EDTA-collected whole blood (30, 31). The expression of 51 NET marker genes includes the analysis of clusters of biologically relevant genes that constitute the different ‘omes’ (proliferome, metabolome, secretome, epigenome and SSTRome) (18), which define the NET ‘fingerprint’. Expression was normalized to housekeeping genes and quantified vs a population control (30).

Multianalyte algorithm analysis (MAAA) was undertaken (SVM, LDA, KNN and Bayes) for categorization into different groups using ‘majority vote’ (30). This results in a 0–8 score (30, 31), which is converted to an activity ranging from 0 (low activity) to 100% (high activity) based on the expression of ‘omic’ genes (18).

Image analysis

Morphological imaging (CT/MRI) was used to stage patients at study entry and to evaluate whether progression had occurred since earlier imaging (n=9). RECIST 1.0 criteria were used to assess this.

Blood sampling schedule

Whole blood (10mL) for transcript analysis was collected at baseline in 32 patients. Nine patients had a second blood draw 2–12 months thereafter (one individual had a third blood draw). Plasma for catecholamine and CgA expression was normalized to housekeeping genes and quantified vs a population control (30, 31). The expression of ‘omic’ genes (identified to differentiate progressive from stable disease (18)) is scaled to 100% (high activity). A score of ‘8’ with a low ‘ome’ is weighted to 53%. We have determined the ranges that conform to clinical disease assessment in GEP-NETs: minimal activity: <0–14%, low activity: 14–40% and intermediate-high activity: >40–100% (18).

Genes involved in proliferation, metabolism, epigenetics and somatostatin receptor expression

We also evaluated the gene expression in the proliferome, metabolome, epigenome and SSTRome. Genes in the proliferome (Ki67, NAP1L1 and NOL3) have well-characterized roles in GEP-NETs (Ki67 (32, 33, 34) and NAP1L1 (35, 36, 37)) have well-described biological roles (NOL3 (38)). Genes in the metabolome (ATP6V1H, OAZ2, PANK2 and PLD3) are not as well characterized in GEP-NETs, but their biological roles are well described: ATP6V1H regulates neuroendocrine oxidative phosphorylation (39); OAZ2 is involved in polyamine biosynthesis (40), PANK2 in metabolism and oxidation (41) and PLD3 in lipid metabolism and hypoxic signaling (42). Genes involved in the epigenome include MORF4L2, NAP1L1, PQBP1, RNF41, RSF1, SMARCD3 and ZFHX3. NAP1L1 has a well-defined role in GEP-NETs in the regulation of P53/KIP2 transcription through the regulation of promotor methylation (37). MORF4L2 is involved in neoplastic chromatin remodeling (43). PQBP1 regulates X-chromosome transcription particularly during neural development (44). RNF41 is involved in regulating cellular differentiation (45). RSF1 is a well-characterized chromatin remodeler (46). SMARCD3 is a component of chromatin remodeling involved in epithelial-to-mesenchymal reprogramming (47) and ZFHX3 is a transcriptional factor (48). Somatostatin receptor gene expression is a well-established feature of GEP-NETs (49) and of PPGLs (50).

Normalized gene expression for each of these ‘omes’ is presented. The upper limit of normal for the proliferome is 4.0; for the metabolome it is 2.4; for the epigenome it is 14.3 and for the SSTRome is 46.0 (18).

Biochemical assays

CgA was measured using the NEOLISA CgA kit (Euro Diagnostics, Malmo, Sweden) (51, 52). A cut-off
of 108 ng/mL defined the upper limit of our normal population. Values >108 ng/mL were considered as elevated. Plasma-free normetanephrine, metanephrine and methoxytyramine measurements were performed using the UltiMate 3000 HPLC system equipped with a Coulochem III detector (both from Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to a previously described HPLC-ECD method, for which lower limits of quantification had been established at 10 pg/mL for all the analytes of interest (53).

**Statistical analyses**

Analyses included Fisher’s test (2-tailed), non-parametric (Mann–Whitney 2-tailed) measurements and receiver-operator curve (ROC) measurements. Regression analyses (uni and multiple) were undertaken to identify the significant clinical parameters that were independent risk factors for disease progression. Prism 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, www.graphpad.com) and MedCalc Statistical Software, version 16.2.1 (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium; http://www.medcalc.org; 2013) were used. For individual biomarkers, the data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

All healthy controls (n=32) had normal NET transcript scores of 8 ± 1.4%. The GEP-NET comparator group was 100% positive and had scores of 46.8 ± 4.7%. The entire PPGL group who exhibited radiological evidence of disease (n=30) had 100% NETest-positive blood samples with mean score values of 54.5 ± 5%. Both NET and PPGL scores were significantly higher than those in controls (P<0.0001). Overall, NETest scores in PPGLs were similar to GEP-NETs (P=0.37).

**Assessment of circulating transcript levels in PPGLs**

NETest scores were significantly (P<0.0001) elevated in PPGLs and GEP-NETs compared to age- and sex-matched healthy controls (Fig. 1A). Median scores were 40% (PPGL) and 33% (GEP-NET) vs 7% (controls). No significant differences were identified between PPGLs and GEP-NETs. Values for all PPGLs (n=32) ranged from 13% to 93%. One patient (3%), a 35-year-old female (with a germline SDHD C11X mutation and no evidence of disease) had a score of 0%. The AUC of the ROC analysis comparing PPGLs and controls was Figure 1

**Figure 1**

NETest in controls, GEP-NETs and PPGLs. (A) NETest scores were significantly elevated in PPGLs and GEP-NETs vs controls. (B) ROC analysis identified that PPGLs could be differentiated from controls with an AUC of 0.98. The Youden index (J) was 0.875, and the optimal cut-off (sensitivity 87.5%, specificity 100%) for the NETest to differentiate PPGLs from controls was >26.7%. GEP-NETs, gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors; PPGLS, pheochromocytomas/paragangliomas; ULN, upper limit of normal (14%). *P<0.0001 vs controls (Mann–Whitney U-test). Blue dotted lines are the 95% confidence interval for the AUC (solid blue line, B). Red diagonal line is an AUC of 50% (B).
0.98±0.01 (95% CI: 0.95–1.01), \( P<0.0001 \) (Fig. 1B). The Youden index (\( J \)) was 0.875 and the optimal cut-off for the NETest to differentiate PPGLs from controls in this series was 26.7%. This value has been used in this study as the upper limit of normal (ULN).

**NETest in PPGLs subtypes**

NETest scores in PCCs (\( n=4 \)) ranged from 13% to 80% (median 50%) (Fig. 2A), whereas it ranged from 13% to 93% in the mixed PCC/PGL group (\( n=10 \)) with a median of 50%. The PGL group ranged from 20% to 93% (median: 36.5%, \( n=18 \)). All subtypes were significantly elevated vs controls, but scores were not different between subtypes.

An evaluation of the different mutational groups (\( SDHx: \) PGL-1, PGL-3 and PGL-4) and \( MEN2A \), \( VHL \) and \( NF-I \) identified that scores were not significantly different in any single mutational type (mean values: 40–55%; \( P=NS \)). NETest scores were, however, highest in those PGL-4 with detectable, progressive disease (91±3.5%). NETest scores were significantly different when assessing tumors classified as Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 (Fig. 2B). Cluster 2 was associated with significantly higher scores (84.8±5% vs 50.3±5%, \( P<0.04 \)).

**NETest and PPGL disease status**

NETest scores were significantly higher in those with metastatic (80±9%) and multicentric disease (64±9%) than localized disease (43±7%, \( P<0.05 \)) (Fig. 3A). Disease-free patients (no radiological evidence of disease) had the lowest scores (8.7±4.3%). A sub-analysis of the metastatic disease cohort identified that 2 of the 4 (50%) were noradrenaline secretors. Based upon imaging, eleven PPGLs were considered progressive, 19 stable and 3 (2 patients, one daughter with the mutation) disease free. The NETest scores were significantly (\( P<0.0001 \)) elevated in progressive disease (86±2%) compared to stable disease (41±5%) and disease free (8.7±4.3%) (Fig. 3B). Significant differences were identified in the NETest between stable and disease free (\( P<0.001 \)). ROC analysis comparing progressive and stable disease identified an AUC of 0.93±0.05 (95% CI: 0.84–1.03), \( P<0.0001 \) (Fig. 3C). The Youden index was 0.875 and the optimal cut-off for the NETest to differentiate progressive disease from stable disease was >53%.

**Figure 2**

NETest in controls and PPGLs subtypes. (A) Distribution of NETest scores in different PPGL types compared to age-/sex-matched controls. Levels were elevated in all types (PCC, mixed PCC/PGLs and PGLs)) vs controls. (B) NETest scores in Cluster 1 (\( SDHx/VHL \)) or Cluster 2 (\( RET/NF-1 \)) tumors were significantly elevated in Cluster 2 tumors. (C) NETest scores in biochemically inactive and active (dopamine/noradrenaline-secreting) tumors were significantly elevated in secreting tumors. (D) NETest scores in noradrenaline secretors (NA), dopamine secretors (DA) and non-NA or DA secretors (other – the majority are non-secretors). Scores were significantly elevated in NA secretors. ULN, upper limit of normal (26.7% – this study). *\( P<0.04 \) vs Cluster 1 (B). *\( P<0.005 \) vs inactive (Mann–Whitney \( U \)-test) (C). *\( P<0.02 \) vs other (D). #The one mixed NA/DA secretor was included in the NA group.
An assessment of the secretory status identified that proportionally more noradrenaline secretors were associated with progressive disease (5 of 11, 45%) than stable disease (4 of 19, 21%). This was, however, not statistically significant (Fisher’s test: \( P = 0.7 \)).

**NETest genes involved in PPGL disease progression**

Progressive disease in GEP-NETs is linked to tumor gene expression that regulates proliferation, metabolism and the epigenome and also includes some secretory genes as well as genes involved in pluripotency and somatostatin receptor expression (18). We evaluated the gene expression in each of these 6 ‘omes’ in the PPGL cohort. Specifically, we assessed whether a similar pattern of expression occurred as in GEP-NETs. An analysis of stable (\( n = 19 \)) and progressive (\( n = 11 \)) PPGL disease groups identified that circulating proliferation-associated gene expression was significantly (\( P < 0.0001 \)) elevated in the progressive disease cohort (36.8 ± 6.8 vs 10.5 ± 1.2, Fig. 4A). In addition, the epigenome (PD: 24.3 ± 6.5 vs SD: 11.2 ± 2.1, \( P < 0.03 \)) and SSTRome (PD: 133 ± 40 vs SD: 53 ± 10, \( P < 0.001 \)) were also significantly elevated.

Metabolomic expression was, however, significantly reduced (0.47 ± 0.19 vs 3.1 ± 0.6, \( P < 0.04 \)). Gene expression linked to metabolism was also identified to be significantly (\( P < 0.003 \)) reduced in PPGLs as a group (2.1 ± 0.5) compared to GEP-NETs as a group (3.3 ± 0.6) (Fig. 4B). This was particularly evident in those with \( SDHx \) mutations (0.9 ± 0.2 vs 5.5 ± 1.3, \( P < 0.0001 \), Fig. 4C). A sub-analysis of progressive and stable disease identified that metabolome expression in progressive disease, consistent with \( SDHx \) mutations, was lower than those with stable disease (0.9 ± 0.3 vs 3.6 ± 1.5, \( P = 0.06 \)).

**Amine measurements and NETest**

Circulating catecholamine measurements demonstrated that in subjects with detectable disease (\( n = 30 \)), plasma free methoxytyramine was elevated in 23% (\( n = 7 \)), plasma free normetanephrine in 30% (\( n = 9 \)) and CgA in 27% (\( n = 8 \)). Two patients also had elevated plasma free metanephrines. In contrast, NETest score was positive in all 30 individuals (100%) with image-detectable PPGLs disease (Fig. 5). This difference was statistically significant (Fisher’s exact test: \( P < 0.0001 \)).
Multivariate assessment of biomarkers and clinical information and disease progression

The Ki-67 index and tumor size/TNM classification have previously been associated with progressive disease (54, 55). In our series, however, Ki-67 was available in only 13 patients (41%), the majority (12, 92%) of whom had a labeling index <2%. TNM classification was only available in 15 (47%); those who had had surgery, the majority of whom were pT1 (67%). The size/weight of the tumors was also therefore not available. Given that <50% of patients had these data, we did not include this information in the univariate or multivariate analyses.

Univariate analysis of clinical parameters and standard biomarkers at baseline identified that no parameter was associated with disease progression. Neither cluster type (F-ratio: 0.63, \( P = 0.43 \)) nor SDHB mutation (F: 0.08, \( P = 0.78 \)) were linked to progression. Secretion (F: 1.88, \( P = 0.18 \)) and especially noradrenaline secretion (F: 2.53, \( P = 0.12 \)) exhibited a trend toward an association, but this was not statistically significant. Metastasis too showed a trend (F: 1.71, \( P = 0.20 \)). The only significant variable in univariate analysis was a NETest score >53% (F: 61.87, \( P < 0.0001 \)).

To confirm this, we undertook a multivariate analysis with these six factors. The only significant factor in analysis was the elevated NETest score (coefficient 0.77 ± 0.12, \( r_{\text{partial}} = 0.78, P < 0.0001 \); Table 4).
Clinical utility of the NETest

Nine patients had two blood samples (one had three sample collections) over a 12-month period (Fig. 6). At baseline, 3 (33%) exhibited progressive disease. One patient was not treated and the disease continued to progress. A second patient was treated with somatostatin analogs and over a two-month period exhibited a decrease in the NETest to 33%. Image-based assessment (CT and somatostatin receptor imaging/SRI) at this time identified disease stabilization. The third patient underwent curative surgery. The NETest measured at 11 months was identified to be 13%. This falls within the normal range and was consistent with imaging (CT/SRI), which did not identify residual disease. Two of the six patients with stable disease at baseline developed progressive disease. One had a noradrenaline-secreting tumor characterized by a SDHD mutation (c.33 C/A C11X, Ki67: 1%), the second had a non-secreting tumor (same mutation, Ki67: 2%). Both had significant increases in the NETest of 125–127% from baseline (Fig. 6B). The four stable patients who remained stable over the course of follow-up (3–10) months all exhibited NETest scores <40% that were either the same (unchanged – Fig. 6B) or dropped (n=2), consistent with a response to therapy (both on SSAs).

Discussion

The precise clinical and biological assessment of PPGLs has proved difficult as descriptive histological approaches, complex imaging appearances and measurements of circulating biomarkers have all exhibited some degree of limitation in clinical utility (56). Newer approaches including molecular genetics (4, 29) have begun to advance the elucidation of this group of tumors and facilitate classification. In particular, the identification of canonical molecular pathways that are altered by disease has provided information that has facilitated the identification of disease progression. We have previously developed circulating mRNA methodology that has diagnostic relevance as well as providing information informative of the biological behavior of GEP-NET (18, 30). In this investigation, we sought to apply this methodology to the assessment of a cohort of well-differentiated PPGL neuroendocrine tumors.

We demonstrated that the NET-based circulating signature (mRNAs) could effectively (100%) detect both well-differentiated PGLs and PCCs, that levels of gene expression could differentiate benign from malignant PPGL disease in this cohort, that the signature was effective (i.e., positive) in both secreting as well as non-secreting surgery. The NETest measured at 11 months was identified to be 13%. This falls within the normal range and was consistent with imaging (CT/SRI), which did not identify residual disease. Two of the six patients with stable disease at baseline developed progressive disease. One had a noradrenaline-secreting tumor characterized by a SDHD mutation (c.33 C/A C11X, Ki67: 1%), the second had a non-secreting tumor (same mutation, Ki67: 2%). Both had significant increases in the NETest of 125–127% from baseline (Fig. 6B). The four stable patients who remained stable over the course of follow-up (3–10) months all exhibited NETest scores <40% that were either the same (unchanged – Fig. 6B) or dropped (n=2), consistent with a response to therapy (both on SSAs).
lesions and that specific elements of the signature that capture neoplastic hallmarks (17), namely that ‘omic analysis’ of the proliferation, epigenetics, somatostatin receptors and metabolism-related gene clusters, correlated with disease activity (both at baseline and follow-up) and mutational status (Cluster 2 vs Cluster 1). Moreover, NETest score >53% was identified as an independent predictor of disease progression. These data, taken as a group, indicate that the multianalyte neuroendocrine gene transcript analysis can be used as a clinical marker for well-differentiated PPGL gene expression, is associated with the underlying mutational status and provides a biologically and clinically accurate tool for defining disease activity.

Study strengths of this investigation include that it was undertaken in a ‘real-world’ clinical setting with standardized imaging and biomarker assessment, that age- and gender-matched controls and GEP/BP-NETS were used as a comparator and that the PPGL mutational status was known. Limitations of the study include the relatively small numbers (32 PPGLs) evaluated, that the cohort was not homogeneously treated (and were at different disease stages), that the majority were characterized by SDHx mutations, that few PCCs were included and that no poorly differentiated PPGLs were studied.

PPGLs are principally hereditary-driven tumors whose susceptibility has been coupled to at least 15 different genes (29). Germline mutations are associated with ~40% of all cases, whereas somatic mutations in susceptibility genes account for about a third of sporadic cases (29). Transcriptomic studies by others have identified the two principal molecular pathways (Cluster 1 and Cluster 2) associated with these tumors (29). The hypoxic pathway is activated in Cluster 1, whereas MAPK and mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signaling pathways are activated in Cluster 2. When this is coupled with biochemical data (57), Cluster 1 includes tumors with mutations in SDHx, VHL and HIF2A that typically secrete norepinephrine and/or dopamine (4, 5). Cluster 2 includes tumors with mutations in RET, NF-1, TMEM123 and MAX (4). These are typically epinephrine or mixed epinephrine/norepinephrine-secreting tumors (5). This ‘omic’ approach has served as a novel classification protocol and provided a tool that has utility in the identification of new therapeutic and potentially diagnostic targets (56). Well-differentiated PPGLs and GEP-NETs share a number of common mutations. GEP-NETs are associated with germline or sporadic mutations in SDHB, VHL and NF-1 (24, 25), as well as in the mTOR/AKT pathways (58, 59) and exhibit common downstream transcriptional and pathway abnormalities (e.g., hypoxia signaling, RAF/RAS activation) (24). As PPGLs are considered components of the neuroendocrine tumor ‘family’, it is conceivable that diagnostic targets identified in GEP-NETs may be relevant to well-differentiated PPGLs.

The molecular signature of neuroendocrine tumor disease (NETest) was detectable in all (100%) PPGLs (both PCCs, mixed PCC/PGL and PGLs) with clinical and imaging evidence of disease. Disease-free patients had scores that were indistinguishable from controls. A ROC analysis score identified the test to be >95% positive for differentiating PPGLs from controls. This value exceeds the standard accepted cut-off of 80% for an effective diagnostic test (60).

GEP-NETs, with progressive disease or greater tumor burden, are associated with significantly higher scores (22, 23). The same holds for PPGLs in this study. Thus, increased gene expression scores were associated with increased tumor burden. The lowest NETest scores were identified in localized HNPs and significantly higher scores were evident in multicentric and metastatic PPGL disease. The latter typically exhibited progressive disease. This reflects the significant overexpression of proliferation-associated genes as well as mRNA involved in epigenetic regulation and somatostatin receptor expression. These data are concordant with similar observations in GEP-NETs (18). Interestingly, however, PPGLs did not express elevated expression of genes involved in growth factor signaling, which is likely related to the two very different growth milieu, i.e., bowel vs neural ganglia. Moreover, the cut-off for detecting progression was lower in PPGLs (>53%, current study) than that published for GEP-NETs (80%) (22, 23). As the index is based on the addition of normalized gene expression, it is not surprising that this is lower in PPGLs that are characterized by fewer progressive disease-associated ‘omes’. Irrespective, the NETest was the only independent prognostic factor identified in the study.

Although the mutational status of the tumor was not directly reflected in NETest scores the use of cluster grouping (Cluster 1 or Cluster 2) indicated that NETest scores were higher in Cluster 2, the non-SDHx/VHL mutation group. This is consistent with our previous observations that the NETest is elevated in malignant and progressive GEP-NETS (22, 23). Thus, in well-differentiated PPGLs, it appears that the circulating transcripts identify a malignant phenotype associated with these mutations and presumably define some aspects of the biological behavior (activation of kinase signaling pathways) of the tumor associated with its malignant propensity.
(29, 56). In addition, scores very effectively differentiated progressive from stable disease (ROC: 0.93) suggesting that circulating miRNAs can provide accurate, real-time information about tumor biology and disease activity.

SDHx mutations were associated with decreased expression of metabolism-associated genes in PPGLs. The overall group was predominantly SDHx mutated (78%) who exhibited lower metabolism gene expression values than those with Cluster 2 mutations (NF-I and MEN2A). The correlation identified in this study between mutation and metabolic gene expression in PPGLs suggests that circulating neuroendocrine mRNA levels can be used to provide further insight into the biological behavior of PPGLs. In comparison, the higher levels of gene expression in GEP-NETs likely reflect the low level of SDHD mutations in this group (61).

Pheochromocytomas, like PGLs, were also positive using the NETest, indicating that the multianalyte gene approach can detect this tumor subset. The relatively small numbers of pheochromocytomas in the current study (n=3), however, limited interpretation. Nevertheless, the one PCC with an elevated score of 93% was a 39-year-old male with familial MEN2A (RET p. Y791F, noradrenaline secretion and Ki67: 2%), with progressive disease. As a total thyroidectomy had been previously undertaken for medullary thyroid carcinoma and thyrocalcitonin levels were normal, we infer that the elevated score reflected transcript activity of the PCC.

Until recently, liquid biopsy approaches to PPGLs have been lacking with a focus on tissue-based strategies. In this respect, a Ki67 index >3% has been suggested as a predictor of tumor behavior (54, 55). This is, however, not an invariant marker (62). As an alternative, two scoring systems (GAPP (7) and PASS (8)) have been developed to predict the behavior. Neither, however, has been definitively validated and both use histomorphology (a subjective assessment) rather than molecular or functional biology. The GAPP system is a combination of histological pattern, cellularity, necrosis, capsular/vascular invasion, Ki67 labeling index and catecholamine immunohistochemistry. The output is a 0–10 scale that divides tumors into three groups – low, intermediate or high (7). Although GAPP scores generally exhibit some correlation with the two recently proposed tumor clusters and has some utility for differentiating non-metastatic and metastatic groups (7), concerns have been raised regarding its clinical utility (absence of genetic information) (57). Biomarker-based assessments, likewise, are limited by a range of issues including reliability, variability and standardization and have diminished or limited value when tumors are non-secretory. Functional tumors may be associated with life-threatening complications (hypertension) (63). In the current study, 53% of tumors were classified as non-functional. About 50% of functional tumors secreted CgA, of these, secretory biomarkers (adrenaline and noradrenaline) and metabolic products (normetanephrine, metanephrine and methoxytyramine), were only detectable in 10–60% of the cases. In all lesions irrespective of tumor secretory status or functionality (that were biomarker negative), the measurement of circulating neuroendocrine mRNA transcripts were positive. NETest scores were significantly elevated in biochemically active tumors. In this cohort, tumors tended to be more aggressive (8 of the 11 progressive disease cohorts were biochemically active) and circulating transcripts provided further information in the identification of disease activity. Interestingly in our cohort, noradrenaline secretion appeared to be a secretory marker that might be associated with progressive disease. This is consistent with an earlier retrospective analysis (64). A multivariate approach, however, did not confirm the utility of this marker. The latter finding is supported by a separate study identifying noradrenaline overexpression in more benign tumors (65).

These results support previous observations that multianalyte approaches that capture fundamental tumor biology (66) are significantly more effective than individual measurements of circulating monoanalyte secretory amines or peptides in disease identification. In addition, they provide a rationale for measuring biologically relevant gene expression that may provide added information regarding the underlying mutational status of a specific tumor. This knowledge may be used to better define the clinical status of the individual’s disease and thereby facilitate the management.

Transcript analysis (NETest) is positive in well-differentiated PPGLs and mRNA expression levels correlate with tumor burden. Furthermore, expression levels correlate with disease activity (malignancy), and proliferation-, epigenetic- and SSTRome-associated genes are elevated in progressive disease. It seems likely that evaluation of these as well as metabolic gene expression may provide useful information in assessing the biological basis of disease activity in PPGLs. Of note is the observation that the NETest is positive when other circulating biomarkers are negative and that elevated levels (>53%) are an independent predictor of disease progression. Overall, our studies support Favier et al.’s proposition that an ‘omic’ approach for diagnosis and treatment of well-differentiated PPGLs is feasible and of
clinical utility (29). The data we have presented require validation in a larger cohort as well as evaluation in poorly differentiated PPGLs. They do, however, in principle, support the proposal that a blood-based tool measuring tumor transcripts is practical and suggest that such a strategy has biological relevance and clinical utility.
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