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Abstract

In recent years, the condition of subclinical hypercortisolism (SH) has become a topic of growing interest. This is due to the fact that SH prevalence is not negligible (0.8–2% in the general population) and that, although asymptomatic, this subtle cortisol excess is not harmless, being associated with an increased risk of complications, in particular of osteoporosis and fragility fractures. As specific symptoms of hypercortisolism are absent in SH, the SH diagnosis relies only on biochemical tests and it is a challenge for physicians. As a consequence, even the indications for the evaluation of bone involvement in SH patients are debatable and guidelines are not available. Finally, the relative importance of bone density, bone quality and glucocorticoid sensitivity in SH is a recent field of research. On the other hand, SH prevalence seems to be increased in osteoporotic patients, in whom a vertebral fracture may be the presenting symptom of an otherwise asymptomatic cortisol excess. Therefore, the issue of who and how to screen for SH among the osteoporotic patients is widely debated. The present review will summarize the available data regarding the bone turnover, bone mineral density, bone quality and risk of fracture in patients with endogenous SH. In addition, the role of the individual glucocorticoid sensitivity in SH-related bone damage and the problem of diagnosing and managing the bone consequences of SH will be reviewed. Finally, the issue of suspecting and screening for SH patients with apparent primary osteoporosis will be addressed.

Introduction

Subclinical hypercortisolism (SH) is a condition of cortisol excess in the absence of its classical signs and symptoms (i.e. striae rubrae, proximal myopathy, facial plethora, easy bruising) and it may be of both exogenous (i.e. iatrogenic) and endogenous origin (1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

The possible skeletal damage due to the low oral dose of glucocorticoids (GCs, i.e. <5 mg/die prednisone equivalents) has been well known for many years (6, 7, 8) and it is still a matter of concern even in patients taking GCs at substitutive doses for adrenal insufficiency (8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14).

Invited Author’s profile

Iacopo Chiodini is Head of the Service for the Diagnosis and Therapy of the Endocrine Diseases at Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda – Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico in Milan and Professor at the Post-graduate School in Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Milan (Italy). He is interested in the bone involvement in endocrine disorders, particularly in conditions such as primary hyperparathyroidism, diabetes mellitus and endogenous hypercortisolism.
The prevalence of the endogenous form of SH is probably higher than previously suspected (3, 15), considering that a subtle cortisol excess is estimated to be present in the 5–30% of patients bearing an incidentally discovered adrenal adenoma (adrenal incidentaloma, AI) (16, 17, 18, 19). As an adrenal incidentaloma is estimated to be present in up to the 4–7% of the adults (20, 21), the prevalence of SH may be between 0.2 and 2.0% (1, 3). Less frequently, an endogenous SH is due to a slight adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) excess (22, 23). Given its high prevalence, the possible chronic consequences of SH are being deeply investigated. Besides hypertension and metabolic syndrome, this condition has been suggested to be detrimental for the skeletal health. Indeed, SH may lead to an increased risk of vertebral fractures, partially explained by a reduction in bone mineral density (BMD) and possibly associated with a decreased bone quality (24).

Several aspects of SH-related skeletal damage are currently debated. First, the role of dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) in predicting the risk of fractures is still unclear, as SH seems to impair bone microarchitecture rather than bone density (25, 26, 27). Secondly, individual sensitivity to cortisol due to the different polymorphisms of the glucocorticoid receptor and to the different activity of 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase enzymes may influence the skeletal effect of hypercortisolism (28, 29). Finally, endogenous SH may be more frequent than expected in osteoporotic patients (30), in whom the vertebral fractures may be the presenting symptoms of an otherwise asymptomatic cortisol excess (31).

The present review will summarize the available data regarding bone turnover, bone mineral density, bone quality and risk of fracture in patients with endogenous SH. In addition, the role of individual glucocorticoid sensitivity in SH-related bone damage and the problem of diagnosing and managing the bone consequences of SH will be reviewed. Finally, the issue of suspecting and screening for SH patients with apparent primary osteoporosis will be addressed.

Bone turnover, bone mineral density, risk of fracture and bone quality in patients with endogenous SH

Bone turnover

Available studies regarding bone turnover, bone density, risk of fractures and bone quality in SH patients are summarized in Table 1. As far as bone turnover is concerned, several studies reported a reduction in bone formation as measured by osteocalcin in SH patients (32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37). This finding is in keeping with the fact that glucocorticoid excess inhibits osteoblastic differentiation and activity and increases osteoblastic apoptosis (38). However, other studies did not find a reduction in bone formation as evaluated by osteocalcin (39, 40, 41) and/or bone alkaline phosphatase (32, 36). These discordances are likely due to the small sample size of the available studies, to the low reliability of the bone formation markers (42) and to the difference in the prevalence of eugonadal and hypogonadal patients and in the criteria used to define SH in the different studies. Moreover, it should be noted that, particularly in the condition of glucocorticoid excess, osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase are not good markers of formation as they are directly regulated by glucocorticoids, and are, thus, disproportionally reduced by glucocorticoids. However, it is well known from clinical and experimental studies that glucocorticoids impair osteoblastic function, by either bone marker or histomorphometric studies (38), and, therefore, an impaired bone formation is likely to be present even in SH patients.

Data on bone resorption are more discordant, also depending on the marker assessed. The carboxyterminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen levels have been found to be enhanced (32, 37), normal (34, 40) or reduced (33), while the urinary deoxy-pyridinoline levels have been concordantly reported to be normal (34, 35, 36, 39, 40). Data regarding parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels in SH patients are scarce. Our data showed that AI female patients with SH had higher PTH levels than patients without SH (34, 35), and in one study, PTH levels were inversely correlated with femoral BMD (34). This association between femoral bone mass and PTH was also reported in studies by Hadjidakis et al. (41) and Osella et al. (32), although in both studies AI patients with SH the did not show an increase in PTH levels. Again, the small sample size of the studies and the difference between the criteria used for defining SH may explain the discordant data on bone resorption and PTH levels in SH. In addition, the increased bone resorption, which was found in some studies (32, 37), could even be due to the postmenopausal status of some patients included in the studies, as it is known that in the condition of estrogen deficiency, the skeletal tissue is more sensitive to the glucocorticoid excess (38).

In summary, in SH, an uncoupling between bone apposition and resorption is present with the osteoblastic activity being predominantly affected, as happens in the overt form of hypercortisolism.
Table 1  Summary of the available studies assessing bone turnover and/or bone mineral density and/or prevalence (and/or incidence) of fragility fractures in patients with unilateral adrenal incidentalomas and subclinical hypercortisolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>F/M</th>
<th>Eug/Hyp</th>
<th>SH (n)</th>
<th>Bone apposition</th>
<th>Bone resorption</th>
<th>LS BMD</th>
<th>Fem BMD</th>
<th>Prev VFx</th>
<th>Main parameters for SH diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13/9</td>
<td>12/10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>OC: L bALP, PICP: N</td>
<td>CTX: H</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4 and 6 pts had 1 mgDST &gt; 5 and &gt;3 µg/dL, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(33)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27/14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>OC: L</td>
<td>CTX: L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 mgDST &gt; 5 µg/dL in all SH pts; low ACTH and/or high UFC in 4 SH pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32/0</td>
<td>8/24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>OC: L PICP: N</td>
<td>CTX: N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SH if UFC &gt; 70 µg/24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(37)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35/0</td>
<td>29/15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>OC: L</td>
<td>CTX: H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>≥2 out: 2 mgDST &gt; 3 µg/dL, low ACTH, high UFC, high F rhythm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(36)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23/0</td>
<td>5/18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>OC: L bALP: N</td>
<td>D-Pyr/Cr: N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>≥2 out: 2 mgDST &gt; 3 µg/dL, low ACTH, high UFC, high F rhythm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0/38</td>
<td>38/0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>OC: N</td>
<td>D-Pyr/Cr: N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>≥2 out: 1 mgDST &gt; 3 µg/dL, low ACTH, high UFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40)</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22/13</td>
<td>13/22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>OC: N</td>
<td>CTX: N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 mgDST &gt; 1.8 µg/dL and unilateral 131I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44)</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42/0</td>
<td>0/42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>OC: N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 mgDST &gt; 2.5 µg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(47)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>19/0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>D-Pyr/Cr: L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>66.6% ≥2 out: 1 mgDST &gt; 3 µg/dL, low ACTH, high UFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(48)</td>
<td>CS Controlled</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23/0</td>
<td>5/18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>OC: L</td>
<td>CTX: H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 mgDST &gt; 3.0 µg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>Pros. Observ.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>55.6% ≥2 out: 1 mgDST &gt; 3 µg/dL, low ACTH, high UFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>Pros. Int.</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32/23</td>
<td>55/0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>54.5% ≥2 out: 1 mgDST &gt; 3 µg/dL, low ACTH, high UFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(52)</td>
<td>CS-Pros.</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>272/172</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 mgDST &gt; 2 µg/dL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 mgDST, cortisol after 1 mg dexamethasone overnight suppression test; 2 mgDST, cortisol after 2 mg 2 days dexamethasone suppression test; ACTH, adrenocorticotroph hormone; bALP, bone alkaline phosphatase; BMD, bone mineral density as measured by Dual-X ray absorptiometry if not differently specified; CS, cross-sectional; Pros. Observ., Prospective Observational; Pros. Int., Prospective Intervention; CS Pros., Cross-sectional Prospective; CTX, carboxyterminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen; D-Pyr/Cr, urinary deoxy-pyridinoline/creatinine ratio; Eug/Hyp, eugonadal/hypogonadal; F rhythm, diurnal cortisol rhythm; F/M, females/males; Fem, femoral; H, high; L, low; LS, lumbar spine; N, normal; n, number of patients included; NA, not available data; OC, osteocalcin; PICP, carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen; Prev VFx, prevalence of clinical and/or morphometric vertebral fragility fracture; pts, patients; SH, subclinical hypercortisolism; SI conversion factors: serum cortisol 27.56, urinary cortisol 2.756, ACTH 0.22; UFC, 24 h urinary-free cortisol.

*Only one measurement site per person (spine in patients < 65 years of age, femoral neck in patients ≥ 65 years of age); †Measured also by both quantitative computed tomography; ‡Increased rate of bone loss at spine but not at femur; §Measured only by quantitative computed tomography; the statistical significance was reached only in the postmenopausal subjects group; ¶87.6% in hypogonadal and 42.9% in eugonadal patients; *80% in hypogonadal and 40% in eugonadal patients; ‖Patients showed also increased prevalence of clinical fractures (11.4%), multiple fractures (31%) and rib and/or foot fractures (8.5%); ‡76.2% in postmenopausal female patients, 54.5% in premenopausal female patients and 68.8% in eugonadal male patients; Patients conservatively treated: 61 and 65% at baseline and at the end of 12 months follow-up, respectively; in patients treated with clodronate: 65% both at baseline and at the end of follow-up. No healthy control group was included; 81.4% after 2 years of follow-up. No healthy control group was included; Patients with SH had lower trabecular bone score as compared with patients without SH and control subjects. Patients without SH had lower trabecular bone score than control subjects; In patients conservatively treated: 65.2 and 91.3% at baseline and at the end of follow-up, respectively; in patients surgically treated: 46.9% both at baseline and at the end of follow-up. No healthy control group was included; The cutoff of cortisol after 1 mgDST was determined by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve; 30 out of 126 (23.8%) patients experienced a new VFx during a ≥24-month follow-up. No healthy control group was included. 
Bone mineral density

As expected on the basis of the known detrimental effect of cortisol excess on trabecular bone (38), most studies found a reduction in trabecular BMD measured at spine by DXA (26, 27, 34, 35, 37, 39, 40, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47) and/or quantitative computed tomography (35, 44) and by ultrasound at the proximal phalanges (43).

It must be observed that the studies that did not found a reduction in trabecular BMD in SH (36, 41, 47, 48) have important limitations. In the study by Rossi et al., BMD was measured only in patients <65 years (47). In the study by Osella et al., the range of the Z-scores (numbers of SD from the mean BMD of the age- and sex-matched control subjects) in the control group was extremely large and, in fact, four out of five postmenopausal SH women had reduced BMD (48). Finally, in the study by Hadjidakis et al., a healthy control group was not included (41) and in the study by Francucci et al., only two SH patients were studied (36).

Therefore, given the amount of data showing a reduction in trabecular BMD in AI patients and the important pitfalls of all negative studies, in our opinion, the association between SH and the reduction in trabecular BMD should be considered well demonstrated.

On the contrary, data regarding cortical bone are more discordant. Indeed, several studies from our group and from other authors showed that in AI patients with SH, the BMD at femur was reduced (26, 27, 34, 37, 40, 41, 43, 45). However, in other reports, a normal femoral BMD in SH patients was found (35, 39, 46). It must be observed that in the largest available study (26) and in the studies enrolling only postmenopausal female patients or only eugonadal males, the femoral BMD was consistently found to be reduced (34, 37, 42, 45). Overall, even though the small sample size and the selection criteria of the population studied might have influenced the results obtained, it is conceivable that in SH, as in overt cortisol excess (38), the cortical bone might be relatively preserved.

Studies assessing the change in BMD over time in SH patients are scarce and somewhat conflicting. While in a previous study an increased rate of bone loss was found in female patients with higher 24 h urinary free cortisol (UFC) levels (35), in two subsequent studies, the BMD did not vary over time in SH patients (50, 51). These apparently discordant findings are probably due to the different disease activity of the SH patients studied. Indeed, the mean UFC levels of SH patients in the former study were slightly higher (i.e. 75.7 μg/24 h, 209 nmol/24 h) as compared with those of the subsequent studies (66.5 and 63.7 μg/24 h, 183 and 176 nmol/24 h respectively).

In summary, SH probably affects trabecular bone at spine and possibly cortical bone at femur, and an increased bone loss over time may be appreciated particularly in patients with higher cortisol levels.

Risk of fractures and bone quality

At variance with data on bone turnover and BMD, data regarding the risk of fracture are fully concordant and show that in SH patients, the prevalence of vertebral fractures varies between 46.3% and 82.4%, and that it is higher than in control subjects (26, 27, 35, 44, 45, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52). In addition, the available longitudinal studies showed that 24–48% of SH patients may experience a new asymptomatic vertebral fracture over time (50, 51, 52), while AI patients with SH, who underwent the surgical removal of the adrenal mass, had a strong reduction in the probability of a new vertebral fracture (51).

We conducted a random effects meta-analysis using the nine available studies assessing the prevalence of vertebral fracture in SH. The estimated prevalence of vertebral fractures among AI patients with SH is 63.6% (95% CI: 55.98–71.26%) in the presence of a certain grade of heterogeneity as suggested by the Q test for heterogeneity (19.09, P=0.014) and by the I²=58% (Fig. 1). The same analysis has been performed for assessing the prevalence of vertebral fractures in AI patients without SH (X studies, Fig. 2) and in the control populations when available (X studies, Fig. 3). These analyses suggest that the prevalence of vertebral fractures is increased even in patients apparently without SH (28%, 95% CI: 20–35%) than in controls (16%, 95% CI: 5–28%, Cochran Q test Q=2.72, P=0.099). This is explained by the fact that some patients apparently without a clear biochemical picture of SH are, in fact, affected with a slight degree of hypercortisolism. Indeed, to date, the diagnosis of SH is still a challenge for clinicians, since, particularly in AI patients, cortisol secretion is highly fluctuant over time and is a continuum from completely normal to clearly increased levels. Therefore, the currently used parameters of cortisol secretion may be not enough sensitive for detecting a slight hypercortisolism in all affected individuals (1, 3).

The increased fracture risk in SH seems to be independent of gender and gonadal status. Indeed, in the largest cross-sectional study available so far, we found that even the premenopausal females and eugonadal males with SH have an increased prevalence of asymptomatic vertebral fractures (54.5 and 68.8% respectively) as compared with healthy age- and gonadal status-matched control subjects (26). In keeping with
this, in a subsequent longitudinal study, we demonstrated that in SH patients, the risk of a new vertebral fracture is 12-fold increased regardless of age, gender, BMD and other possible confounders as compared with patients without SH (50). Apparently surprising, the degree of this risk in SH is similar to that reported in overt cortisol excess (24). However, SH is asymptomatic and, therefore, at the first diagnosis, the duration of the hypercortisolism in SH patients has been probably longer than that in patients with a clinically overt cortisol excess. In keeping with this, in the study by Tauchmanová et al., the disease duration was found to be inversely correlated to BMD measured by finger ultrasound (43).

Interestingly, in SH, the degree of BMD reduction is scarcely predictive of the fracture risk. This has been well demonstrated in several studies of our Group showing that the 40% of the eugonadal male AI subjects experienced a vertebral fracture in spite of a normal or only slightly reduced BMD (45) and that the presence of vertebral fracture at baseline and the occurrence of a new vertebral fracture during follow-up were independent of spinal BMD (26, 45, 50) and of other possible confounders (i.e. age and gender).

The reduced reliability of BMD in predicting the fracture risk in SH suggests that, as in patients with overt cortisol excess (38) even in subjects with a subclinical form of hypercortisolism, a reduction in bone quality (i.e. bone microarchitecture), besides the decrease in bone density, is among the mechanisms underlying the increased fracture risk. However, bone quality can be assessed directly only by histomorphometric analysis of invasively obtained bone biopsy (53) or by microcomputed tomography systems (54). However, the idea of a reduced bone quality in SH was first suggested by two studies (26, 50) of our Group, evaluating in AI patients the spinal deformity index (SDI). The SDI is a semiquantitative method that integrates the number and the severity of vertebral fractures (55), which, in turn, have been suggested to be a surrogate index of bone microarchitecture (56). Therefore, the SDI may indirectly give information on bone quality. In the first study, we found that the SDI was higher in patients with SH than in those without SH and associated with the presence of SH regardless of age, body mass index, gender, spinal BMD and gonadal status (26). In the subsequent study, we demonstrated that the SDI worsened over time in AI patients with SH but not in those without SH (50).

More recently, the bone microarchitecture in AI patients has been indirectly studied using the trabecular bone score (TBS). This technique provides a gray-level texture measurement based on the use of experimental

Figure 1
Random effects meta-analysis using the nine published studies assessing the prevalence of vertebral fracture in patients with adrenal incidentalomas and subclinical hypercortisolism. The estimated prevalence of vertebral fractures is 63.6% (95% CI: 55.98–71.26%) in the presence of a certain grade of heterogeneity as suggested by the Q test for heterogeneity (19.1, $P=0.014$) and by $I^2=58\%$. 

---

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year of publication)</th>
<th>n. events/total</th>
<th>Prevalence [56%CI]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chiodini, JCEM 2004</td>
<td>14/21</td>
<td>0.67 [0.47, 0.87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tauchmanova, EJE 2007</td>
<td>2/35</td>
<td>0.57 [0.41, 0.74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiodini, Clin Endo 2009</td>
<td>16/22</td>
<td>0.73 [0.54, 0.91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tauchmanova, JCE 2009</td>
<td>2/56</td>
<td>0.03 [0.49, 0.77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiodini, JCEM 2009</td>
<td>1/85</td>
<td>0.07 [0.51, 0.80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morell, JMBR 2011</td>
<td>15/27</td>
<td>0.56 [0.37, 0.74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle Vanneste, JMBR 2012</td>
<td>2/34</td>
<td>0.82 [0.70, 0.95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morell, EJE 2013</td>
<td>1/41</td>
<td>0.40 [0.31, 0.52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saloni, EJE 2015</td>
<td>3/55</td>
<td>0.55 [0.41, 0.68]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q test for heterogeneity**: $19.1, P=0.014$

Random Effects Meta-Analysis: $0.64 [0.56, 0.71]$
Subclinical hypercortisolism and bone
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Variograms of two-dimensional (2D) projection images easily obtainable during a DXA scan (57), and it has been demonstrated to be strongly correlated with bone microarchitecture, regardless of BMD (58). In a study on 102 AI patients, we showed that TBS was reduced in AI patients with SH as compared with AI patients without SH and controls, and that it was correlated with the number and severity of vertebral fractures.

**Figure 2**
Random effects meta-analysis using the six published studies assessing the prevalence of vertebral fracture in patients with adrenal incidentalomas and without subclinical hypercortisolism. The estimated prevalence of vertebral fractures is 28% (95% CI: 20–35%) in the presence of a certain grade of heterogeneity as suggested by the Q test for heterogeneity (16.7, \( P = 0.005 \)).

**Figure 3**
Random effects meta-analysis using the four published studies assessing the prevalence of vertebral fracture in the control subjects included in the studies assessing the prevalent vertebral fractures in patients with adrenal incidentalomas. The estimated prevalence of vertebral fractures is 16% (95% CI: 5–28%) in the presence of a certain grade of heterogeneity as suggested by the Q test for heterogeneity (65.3, \( P < 0.0001 \)).
and associated with the presence of vertebral fractures and with the degree of cortisol excess, after adjustment for potential confounding factors (27). Finally, in a subgroup of patients followed for 24 months, TBS was associated with the occurrence of a new vertebral fracture regardless of spinal BMD and other potential confounders.

In summary, these data show that in SH, the risk of vertebral fracture is increased regardless of age, gender, gonadal status and BMD and that it is probably associated with a reduction in bone microarchitecture at least as indirectly evaluated by SDI and TBS. In keeping with the data regarding other possible consequences of SH, such as diabetes and hypertension (59), the recovery from SH seems to importantly reduce the vertebral fracture risk.

Pathogenesis and role of the individual glucocorticoid sensitivity in SH-related bone damage

The description of the cellular mechanisms underlying bone damage in hypercortisolism is beyond the scope of this review and it has been recently reviewed elsewhere (60). It is well known that the pharmacological GC administration is rapidly followed by a transient increase in osteoclast number and bone resorption and by an osteoblast inactivation and decreased bone formation, which lead to a rapid bone loss and increased risk of fracture. Subsequently, the bone resorption decreases and the persistent inhibition of osteoblastic activity remains the main cause of the loss of bone density and quality and of the persistent increase in fracture risk (60). Given the long disease duration in patients with the endogenous form of hypercortisolism, and in particular in those with SH, the main mechanism underlying the skeletal damage is the reduction in the osteoblastic activity and of bone apposition, as indicated by the bone turnover data (Table 1). An important difference between the endogenous and the exogenous form of the glucocorticoid excess is that in the latter, the effect of the glucocorticoid administration on bone tissue may be influenced by the skeletal impact of disorders themselves (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus) for whom the glucocorticoids are given (61).

Besides its direct negative effect on the bone cells, the cortisol excess indirectly affects the skeleton by inhibiting the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis activity and the growth hormone (GH)–insulin-like growth factor I axis, as shown in patients affected with the clinically overt form of hypercortisolism (62, 63). It is unknown whether SH may lead to hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. At variance, some studies have evaluated the possible GH defect in SH patients (64, 65, 66). Two studies apparently did not report an altered GH reserve in AI patients with SH (64, 65). However, in the former, the GH reserve was associated with the degree of cortisol secretion and the GH peak after GH-releasing hormone tended to be lower in patients with SH than in those without (64), and in the latter study, the four AI patients with ascertained SH showed a reduced GH reserve as compared with AI patients without SH (65). Finally, in a more recent study, we found that GH reserve was decreased in SH patients in relation to cortisol hypersecretion, and that the GH secretion increases after the recovery from SH (66).

Another possible contributor to the skeletal damage in patients with ACTH-independent cortisol excess may be the possible reduced adrenal dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate (DHEAS) secretion due to the blunted ACTH levels (67). Indeed, a correlation between DHEAS levels and BMD was described in patients with overt cortisol excess, accounting for a possible more severe bone involvement in patients with ACTH-independent hypercortisolism than in those with an ACTH-dependent form of cortisol excess, since in the latter patients, the DHEAS secretion is normal or even high, while in the former, it is usually blunted (68). In SH patients, the role of DHEAS in contributing to the bone loss has been scarcely investigated. However, the little data available is not consistent with a possible main effect of DHEAS on bone in the condition of SH (37) and, in keeping, even in patients with overt clinical hypercortisolism, the risk of vertebral fracture is independent of DHEAS levels (69, 70).

Recently, the issue of the role of the individual sensitivity to glucocorticoids in the skeletal tissue and in influencing the bone consequences of hypercortisolism has become a matter of debate. In Chinese (71) and in postmenopausal diabetic patients (72), the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) gene polymorphisms has been suggested to possibly play a role in osteoporosis. In the general population, the BclI and the N363S polymorphism of the GR have been associated with low BMD (73, 74), while the ER22/23EK GR polymorphism is associated with a reduced sensitivity to glucocorticoids (75). In patients with clinically overt cortisol excess, the role of the different GR polymorphisms has been investigated, but the results obtained have been conflicting. In a previous study, patients carrying the
BclI polymorphism of the GR showed reduced femoral BMD as compared with patients carrying the wild type GR (76), while in a subsequent study, the GR gene variants seemed not to play a role (77). It is likely that in the presence of high cortisol levels, as in most patients with clinically overt cortisol excess, the role of the GR polymorphisms-related glucocorticoid sensitivity may be limited. At variance, in the condition of a minimal cortisol excess, as in some individuals with diabetes (78), the GR polymorphism may influence the bone health.

Therefore, AI patients with and without SH might be an interesting model for studying the impact of the GR polymorphism in modulating the skeletal sensitivity to the glucocorticoid excess. However, in AI patients, the few available data on this issue are not conclusive. In a previous study, we found that in AI patients, the contemporary presence of homozygous BclI and heterozygous N363S GR polymorphism was associated with fragility vertebral fracture (28). However, in a further study, the association between the GR polymorphism and the BMD in AI patients was absent, but the possible differences in the presence of vertebral fractures were not assessed (79). It is possible to hypothesize that in these studies, the small sample size could have influenced the results.

The other possible main determinant of the individual bone sensitivity to glucocorticoids is the 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11βHSD1) activity, which seems to be important for the bone health in the conditions of both normal and increased cortisol levels (80, 81). Indeed, in vitro studies showed that the inhibition of 11βHSD1 improves osteoblast differentiation (82) and that it protects osteoblasts against the glucocorticoid-induced dysfunction (83). In keeping with these data, the polymorphic variants of the 11βHSD1 gene have been shown to be associated with BMD (84, 85) and fracture risk (86) in postmenopausal osteoporotic women without clinically apparent hypercortisolemia. The role of the 11βHSD1 in patients with cortisol excess has been scarcely investigated. Szapanos et al. showed that the 83,557insA variant of the gene coding 11βHSD1 was associated with serum osteocalcin levels in patients with clinically overt glucocorticoid excess (87). A recent study showed that in AI patients, 11βHSD1 activity was not associated with the possible SH complications such as diabetes and hypertension (88), but its influence on BMD and on the fracture risk in patients with SH has never been studied.

Management of the possible bone consequences in patients with SH

Diagnosis

The first challenge in evaluating the possible bone consequences of SH is related to the fact that the presence of SH itself is often difficult to ascertain. The revision of the studies investigating the biochemical diagnosis of SH is beyond the aim of the present review and it has been recently published elsewhere (3, 4, 5, 59, 89). Looking at Table 1, all studies addressing the topic of the bone involvement in SH were performed on AI patients making an a priori classification in subjects with or without SH. Most studies used the presence of at least two altered parameters among the unsuppressed cortisol levels (i.e. >1.8 µg/dL, 50 nmol/L, or 3.0 µg/dL, 83 nmol/L, or 5.0 µg/dL, 138 nmol/L) after 1 mg dexamethasone overnight suppression test (1 mg DST), increased UFC levels or reduced (<10 pg/dL or <5 pg/dL, <2.2 pmol/L or <1.1 pmol/L) ACTH levels. However, these criteria for diagnosing SH are probably not enough sensitive as suggested by the finding that in several studies, the AI patients without SH, who have been surgically treated for the tumor size or growth, experienced the amelioration of some metabolic consequences of SH (47, 90, 91, 92). In keeping, as compared with premenopausal healthy control subjects, the premenopausal AI patients without SH showed an increased prevalence of vertebral fragility fractures (49) and a reduced TBS (27). Because to date the diagnosis of SH is defined using arbitrary cutoffs of indexes of cortisol secretion, it is possible to hypothesize that some patients classified as not having SH might have, in fact, a mild degree of cortisol hypersecretion.

In a study aimed to assess the diagnostic accuracy of cortisol levels after 1 mg DST for predicting the risk of vertebral fracture in AI patients, we showed that the confirmed cortisol level after 1 mg DST ≥2 µg/dL (55 nmol/L), in the absence of possible interfering medications and/or diseases, was the best parameters for identifying patients at risk for fractures with a 80% sensitivity and a 68.8% specificity (52).

The X-ray at spine should be repeated after 18 and 36 months since the first evaluation. In the absence of new vertebral fracture during a 36 months follow-up, a further X-ray evaluation is not useful. Otherwise, it would increase the cancer risk and it is not justified by the available literature evidences. Unfortunately, no data are available regarding the possible use of vertebral morphometry by DXA in SH patients.
The second difficulty in diagnosing the possible consequences of SH is related to the reduced reliability of the BMD measurement in identifying the SH patients at risk for fractures. Indeed, although in SH patients the spinal BMD is associated with the prevalent and incident vertebral fracture (3), it is probably not enough sensitive for detecting individuals with asymptomatic vertebral fracture and the fracture risk is independent of the BMD reduction (50). In this field, the determination of TBS might be of some help. A study on more than 100 AI patients with and without SH found that a TBS Z-score ≤1.500 and a spinal BMD Z-score <0.00 showed a 79% specificity (sensitivity 50.8%) for predicting fractures, whereas a TBS Z-score >1.5 plus a spinal BMD Z-score ≥0.0 had a 88.1% specificity (sensitivity 37.2%) for excluding fractures (27).

Overall, these data suggest that all AI patients with cortisol levels after 1mgDST ≥2 µg/dL (55 nmol/L) should undergo the determination of BMD by DXA and the assessment of the presence of vertebral fractures by vertebral morphometry (Fig. 4). In addition, since in SH the fracture risk is increased regardless of the BMD reduction, all AI patients with possible or ascertained SH should be screened for the occurrence of new vertebral fractures during the follow-up. It is important to underline that the information regarding the bone status in SH patients may change the overall therapeutic approach in the individual AI patient with not yet established SH. Indeed, in AI patients with possible SH, the usefulness of surgery is debatable. However, if some possible SH consequences are present, the surgical approach has more probabilities to be successful (93).

**Therapy**

Currently, only one study has been published on the effect on bone of the surgical treatment of AI patients with SH. In this study, on 55 patients with and without SH longitudinally followed for more than 24 months, surgery was associated with a 30% reduction in the fracture risk regardless of age, gender, follow-up duration, cortisol after 1mgDST levels, spinal BMD and presence of vertebral fracture at baseline (51). Though not randomized, in this study, the baseline characteristics of surgically treated and conservatively managed subjects were comparable. Therefore, on the basis of available data, surgery has to be considered in SH patients at risk for fracture.

The only study evaluating the effect of a bone-active drug on the risk of vertebral fracture suggested that weekly clodronate treatment prevents bone loss and vertebral fractures in women with SH (49). However, though randomized, the study had a follow-up of only 12 months. No patient treated with clodronate experienced a new vertebral fracture during follow-up, while one subject amongst the untreated patients had a new vertebral fracture (51).

Therefore, to date, the effect of the medical therapy on the SH-related bone damage has still to be determined and specific guidelines for the management of patients with endogenous hypercortisolism are not available.

---

**Figure 4**

Proposed flowchart for the diagnosis, therapy and follow-up of the bone consequences of adrenal subclinical hypercortisolism. 1mgDST, 1 mg dexamethasone overnight suppression test; AI, adrenal incidentalomas. a In the absence of possible interfering medications e/o diseases; b If surgery is not practicable shortly, in patients with fractures and/or reduced bone mineral density bone-active drugs, and in particular teriparatide, may be considered.
As a consequence, a single-case evaluation is often needed (95). In the absence of guidelines specifically designed for patients with endogenous glucocorticoid excess, it is reasonable that physicians could refer to the guidelines for the management of osteoporosis induced by exogenous glucocorticoid administrations (96, 97), which are generally based on the individual fracture risk profile (calculated by FRAX) and dose of glucocorticoid used. However, it is not possible translating the corticosteroid dosages to the different degrees of endogenous hypercortisolism, and data on validation of FRAX stratification method in patients with SH are lacking. In addition, in patients with endogenous hypercortisolism, the removal of the cause of the cortisol excess may determine the recovery of bone health. Consequently, it is unclear whether such recommendations may be adapted to patients with hypercortisolism, and particularly with SH (95).

However, some issues deriving from studies in patients with overt hypercortisolism may be useful in patients with SH. First, all patients exposed to glucocorticoid excess should be treated with adequate supplementation of vitamin D and calcium (96, 97). This is particularly important in patients after the recovery from hypercortisolism (overt or subclinical), since the adequate vitamin D and calcium supplementation supports the rapid mineralization of the newly formed bone matrix (98). Secondly, although SH is generally diagnosed in women after menopause and rarely determines hypogonadism in males, very low bone resorption, the bisphosphonates the use of sex steroids for protecting bone in SH might be considered, at least looking at data in animal models (99). However, in patients with hypercortisolism, data regarding the protective role of sex steroids replacement therapy on bone are lacking and sex steroids administration might potentially worsen the cardiovascular risk. Thirdly, a reduced GH reserve has been described in patients with both overt cortisol excess (100) and SH (66), and the GH deficit has been associated with osteoporosis and fragility fractures (101). In patients with SH, the GH reserve seems to recover shortly after the normalization of cortisol levels (66), but if these patients are conservatively managed, the GH deficit may persist for many years. Thus, theoretically, GH treatment could be an option in patients with SH-induced osteoporosis (102). However, this therapy has potential drawbacks as both recombinant GH and cortisol excess may induce insulin resistance that may potentially result in glucose intolerance (103).

In the absence of widely accepted guidelines on the use of bone-active drugs in endogenous cortisol excess, the approach proposed by the experts of the Altogether to Beat Cushing's syndrome (ABC) Group for the management of patients with clinically overt hypercortisolism (95) might also be largely applied to SH patients, given that the pathogenesis and the risk of fracture in SH are comparable to those with clinically overt hypercortisolism (Fig. 2). Therefore, premenopausal women or men aged <50 years, in the absence of fractures and BMD reduction, are at low risk and should be treated with only calcium and vitamin D if recovery from hypercortisolism is rapidly expected. A bone-active treatment may be considered in patients who do not undergo surgery and who are older than 70 years of age or in postmenopausal women or men older than 50 years with a fragility fracture and/or a FRAX calculated 10-year risk for fractures ≥20% and/or with BMD T-score <−1.5. In addition, a bone-active drug should be considered in both low risk and not surgically treated patients and surgically treated patients in the presence of a fragility fracture and/or the BMD decrease during the follow-up.

The ideal drug in the condition of SH should be able to counteract the negative skeletal effects of glucocorticoids, without impairing the recovery of bone remodeling after resolution of the cortisol excess. It is known that, bisphosphonates, denosumab and teriparatide are effective in counteracting the negative effects of glucocorticoids on bone (96, 97, 104). The available data in patients with the endogenous hypercortisolism suggest that alendronate and clodronate have positive effects on BMD (49, 105), but in this clinical context, their antifracture effect is unknown. At diagnosis, the patients with endogenous cortisol excess present with a reduced bone apposition and normal or only slightly increased bone resorption. Therefore, the bisphosphonates, by further suppressing bone turnover, in theory could favor the occurrence of rare undesired effects, such as atypical subtrochanteric fractures and osteonecrosis of the jaw, which are, moreover, more frequent in the condition of glucocorticoid excess (106). In addition, the bisphosphonates have a long-term antirosorptive effect, which may negatively influence the recovery of bone apposition after the correction of hypercortisolism. However, in SH patients without very low bone resorption, the bisphosphonates could re-establish the balance between formation and resorption and could be successfully used. At variance, Denosumab, given
In patients with 1 mgDST >1.8 µg/dL, the diagnosis was confirmed in the presence of midnight serum cortisol <7.5 µg/dL and/or UFC >60.0 µg/24h; eventually six patients had an ACTH-independent SH and an adrenal adenoma and one patient had an ACTH-dependent SCS and an ACTH-secreting pituitary adenoma; in subjects with cortisol after 1 mgDST >1.8 µg/dL, SH was diagnosed in the presence of cortisol after 2 mgDST >1.8 µg/dL and/or elevated UFC levels.

Data on the efficacy of strontium ranelate in the glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis animal models suggest the possible use of strontium ranelate in patients with hypercortisolism. However, given that this drug is not available in the US, it must be considered that in the past years, the daily dose of steroid replacement was definitely higher than that currently used, and, therefore, these data have probably been biased by an overtreatment of postsurgical hypoadrenalism. In addition, the usefulness of bisphosphonates after recovery from endogenous hypercortisolism has been advocated (110, 108). However, the effectiveness and long-term safety of teriparatide in patients with endogenous hypercortisolism (106, 108). Therefore, after the definitive cure of the endogenous hypercortisolism, the use of this drug (109) in addition to calcium and vitamin D should be considered. In patients at low risk for fracture and in whom the cortisol excess is expected to be rapidly corrected, an anti-osteoporotic drug in addition to teriparatide could be the drug of choice. In spite of its high cost, it should be reserved for patients at very high risk of clinical fracture (i.e., with prevalent asymptomatic vertebral fractures).

The need of a bone-active drug after the recovery from SH, a bone-active drug should be initiated in patients conservatively treated at high risk for fracture and in patients in whom the surgical treatment has to be postponed; an anti-osteoporotic drug in addition to calcium and vitamin D should be started. However, given that this drug is not available in the US, it should be reserved for patients at very high risk of clinical fracture (i.e., with prevalent asymptomatic vertebral fractures). In four patients, the presence of an ACTH-dependent SH was confirmed by performing a high-dose dexamethasone suppression test over 5 days and a CRH stimulation test; an adrenal adenoma was definitely excluded before the therapy with teriparatide is initiated. Finally, although data on the efficacy of teriparatide in patients with endogenous hypercortisolism have probably been biased by an overtreatment of postsurgical hypoadrenalism.

In patients with subclinical hypercortisolism (116), the presence of an ACTH-dependent SH was confirmed by performing an high-dose dexamethasone suppression test over 5 days and a CRH stimulation test; eventually six patients had an ACTH-independent SH and an adrenal adenoma and one patient had an ACTH-dependent SCS and an ACTH-secreting pituitary adenoma; in subjects with cortisol after 1 mgDST >1.8 µg/dL, SH was diagnosed in the presence of cortisol after 2 mgDST >1.8 µg/dL and/or elevated UFC levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n (F/M)</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Screening test</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kann et al. (2001)</td>
<td>78 (78/0)</td>
<td>Osteoporosis and fragility fracture</td>
<td>3 mgDST</td>
<td>2.0 µg/dL</td>
<td>Overall: 3.8 (3/78); In patients with low BMD: 3.8 (3/78); In patients with fragility fracture: NA; In patients with low BMD and/or fragility fracture: NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannebaum et al. (2002)</td>
<td>173 (173/0)</td>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>UFC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Overall: 0.6 (1/173); In patients with low BMD: 0.6 (1/173); In patients with fragility fracture: NA; In patients with low BMD and/or fragility fracture: NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiodini et al. (2007)</td>
<td>219 (200/19)</td>
<td>Normal BMD (n=72), osteopenia or osteoporosis (n=147)</td>
<td>1 mgDST</td>
<td>1.8 µg/dL</td>
<td>Overall: 3.2 (7/219); In patients with low BMD: 9.9 (7/71); In patients with fragility fracture: 10.8 (7/65); In patients with low BMD and/or fragility fracture: 4.8 (7/147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eller-Vainicher et al. (2013)</td>
<td>602 (563/39)</td>
<td>Osteoporosis and/or fragility fracture</td>
<td>1 mgDST</td>
<td>1.8 µg/dL</td>
<td>Overall: 1.3 (8/602); In patients with low BMD: 1.7 (7/412); In patients with fragility fracture: 1.9 (7/361); In patients with low BMD and/or fragility fracture: NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasco et al. (2014)</td>
<td>50 (50/0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 mgDST</td>
<td>1.8 µg/dL</td>
<td>Overall: 1.5 (3/50); In patients with low BMD: 17.6 (3/8); In patients with fragility fracture: NA; In patients with low BMD and/or fragility fracture: NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 mgDST, cortisol after 1 mg dexamethasone overnight suppression test; 2 mgDST, cortisol after 2 mg 2 days dexamethasone suppression test; 3 mgDST, cortisol after 3 mg dexamethasone overnight suppression test; BMD, bone mineral density as measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry; F/M, females/males; n, number of patients included; NA, not available data; SI conversion factors: serum cortisol 27.56, urinary cortisol 2.756, ACTH 0.22. In all studies, subjects with specific signs and/or symptoms of cortisol excess were excluded; UFC, 24 h urinary-free cortisol. Normal BMD is defined in the presence of a T-score >−1.0. Osteopenia and osteoporosis are diagnosed in the presence of BMD T-score between −1.5 and −2.5 and <−2.5, respectively.

In four patients, the presence of an ACTH-dependent SH was confirmed by performing an high-dose dexamethasone suppression test over 5 days and a CRH stimulation test; an adrenal adenoma was definitely excluded before the therapy with teriparatide is initiated. Finally, although data on the efficacy of teriparatide in patients with endogenous hypercortisolism have probably been biased by an overtreatment of postsurgical hypoadrenalism.

The need of a bone-active drug after the recovery from SH, a bone-active drug should be initiated in patients conservatively treated at high risk for fracture and in patients in whom the surgical treatment has to be postponed; an anti-osteoporotic drug in addition to teriparatide could be the drug of choice. In spite of its high cost, it should be reserved for patients at very high risk of clinical fracture (i.e., with prevalent asymptomatic vertebral fractures). In four patients, the presence of an ACTH-dependent SH was confirmed by performing a high-dose dexamethasone suppression test over 5 days and a CRH stimulation test; an adrenal adenoma was definitely excluded before the therapy with teriparatide is initiated. Finally, although data on the efficacy of teriparatide in patients with endogenous hypercortisolism have probably been biased by an overtreatment of postsurgical hypoadrenalism.

Table 2 Summary of the available studies investigating the prevalence of subclinical hypercortisolism (SH) in patients with apparently primary osteoporosis.
only in the presence of BMD decrease and/or if a fragility fracture occurs during follow-up (Fig. 2).

Prevalence of the endogenous form of SH in patients with apparent primary osteoporosis

It has been known for many years that a condition of otherwise asymptomatic hypercortisolism may present itself with the occurrence of a fragility vertebral fracture and/or unexplained osteoporosis as unique sign (31, 114, 115). In addition, given the recent evidences showing that SH is definitely more frequent than clinically overt cortisol excess and that SH is associated with an increased risk of osteoporosis and fragility fractures, several studies have been designed for assessing the prevalence of an otherwise asymptomatic SH in patients with osteoporosis (30, 116, 117, 118, 119).

Table 2 summarizes the studies specifically designed for assessing the prevalence of SH among patients with apparent primary osteoporosis. As shown, SH prevalence in the osteoporotic population varies from 0.6 to 3.8% and from 1.9 to 17.6% in patients with osteoporosis and vertebral fractures. The differences in SH prevalence are probably due to the different screening tests, setting of the studies and type and sample size of the population studied. The only study that failed to find an increased prevalence of SH among osteoporotic patients screened a sample of osteoporotic women by determining the UFC levels (117), which are notoriously not sensitive enough to detect SH (3, 31, 59). With the exception of that study, in all other studies, SH has been screened using cortisol levels after 1mgDST with a cutoff of 1.8–2.0 μg/dL (50–55 nmol/L) and, subsequently, SH has been confirmed by commonly used additional second-line tests. Using this protocol, SH prevalence among patients referred to an outpatient clinic for osteoporosis was between 1.3 and 3.8%, but in two studies reached almost 11 and 18% when the screening was confined to patients with fragility fracture. However, it must be considered that these latter studies have been conducted in tertiary care centers for osteoporosis and metabolic bone diseases (30, 119) and that SH was searched for after all other possible causes of secondary osteoporosis had been excluded. Finally, the different sample size of the available studies (between 80 and 600 patients) may have influenced these results. Notwithstanding these limitations, data on SH prevalence in osteoporosis are in keeping with data on SH prevalence in other populations that might be at increased risk of SH, such as in patients with diabetes or hypertension. Indeed, the presence of SH was described in 2.1–5.5% of diabetic patients (120, 121) and in up to 8% of the hypertensive patients (122, 123).

Overall, it is possible to hypothesize that 1–4% of patients with apparently primary osteoporosis has, in fact, a slight cortisol excess. Given that SH is a potentially removable condition, the possibility of SH screening in populations at risk is a matter of debate (124, 125). In our opinion, the current evidences do not consent to extend SH screening to all patients with osteoporosis and/or fragility fracture as a first-line test. Table 3 summarizes the indications for SH screening in patients with osteoporosis and/or fragility fractures as suggested by some leading experts. As shown, it is reasonable to screen for SH in all subjects with low BMD as compared with age- and weight-matched controls and/or if BMD declines more rapidly than expected and/or if it fails to respond to appropriate therapy and/or in the presence of fragility fractures in eugonadal persons (126, 127). Importantly, the precocious diagnosis of SH may help to prevent also the extra-skeletal consequences of this condition of subtle hypercortisolism (128).

Table 3 Indication for the screening of subclinical hypercortisolism (SH) in patients with apparently primary osteoporosis.

| Low BMD as compared with age- and weight-matched controls (Z-score < −2.0) |
| BMD declines more rapidly than expected |
| BMD fails to respond to appropriate therapy |
| Presence of a fragility fracture in eugonadal males |
| Presence of a fragility fractures in premenopausal females |

BMD, bone mineral density; Z-score, number of standard deviations above or below what is normally expected for age, sex and ethnic or racial origin.

Conclusions

The literature evidence clearly shows that AI patients with SH are at high risk of osteoporosis and fragility fractures and that the presence of SH should be always suspected in patients with established and otherwise unexplainable osteoporosis. These data are important from a clinical point of view, since in the management of patients with SH, the possible bone damage should be taken into account, and in the management of patients...
with established osteoporosis, the presence of SH should be suspected.

From a pathophysiological point of view, the condition of SH in AI patients may represent a pure form of slight cortisol excess. Differently from the exogenous hypercortisolism, in the endogenous hypercortisolism, the confounding effect of the diseases for which the glucocorticoids are given is absent (129). Therefore, studying the bone involvement in AI patients with SH may consent to assess some important aspects of the pure effect of glucocorticoid on bone, such as the role of the individual sensitivity to glucocorticoid excess.

This field of research will probably be important not only in the condition of glucocorticoid excess but in general in several diseases characterized by enhanced, though still normal, cortisol secretion such as in diabetes (72, 78) but also in some crucial phases of the life for bones. Indeed, recent data show that in healthy children, higher glucocorticoid secretion in the physiological range is associated with lower bone strength at the proximal radius (130), and that in the early postmenopausal period, several parameters of cortisol secretion are associated with BMD (131).

Finally, if the importance of the glucocorticoid secretion and sensitivity for bone health will be confirmed in future studies, it will be possible to personalize the clinical work-up on the basis of the individual risk of fracture and to try to counteract in some patients the adverse effect of the relatively increased cortisol secretion with the 11βHSD1 inhibitors. Indeed, some studies showed that the inhibition of the 11βHSD1 may protect bone against the deleterious effects of glucocorticoids (82, 83, 132).

In conclusion, physicians should keep in mind that SH, although asymptomatic, is not a harmless condition and the research should face the problem of the SH diagnosis and medical therapy.
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