Impact of proton pump inhibitor treatment on pancreatic beta-cell area and beta-cell proliferation in humans
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Abstract

Introduction: Gastrin has been shown to promote beta-cell proliferation in rodents, but its effects in adult humans are largely unclear. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) lead to endogenous hypergastrinaemia, and improved glucose control during PPI therapy has been reported in patients with diabetes. Therefore, we addressed whether PPI treatment is associated with improved glucose homeostasis, islet cell hyperplasia or increased new beta-cell formation in humans.

Patients and methods: Pancreatic tissue specimens from 60 patients with and 33 patients without previous PPI therapy were examined. The group was subdivided into patients without diabetes (n = 27), pre-diabetic patients (n = 31) and patients with diabetes (n = 35).

Results: Fasting glucose and HbA₁c levels were not different between patients with and without PPI therapy (P = 0.34 and P = 0.30 respectively). Beta-cell area was higher in patients without diabetes than in patients with pre-diabetes or diabetes (1.33 ± 0.12%, 1.05 ± 0.09% and 0.66 ± 0.07% respectively; P < 0.0001). There was no difference in beta-cell area between patients with and without PPI treatment (1.05 ± 0.08% vs 0.87 ± 0.08%, respectively; P = 0.16). Beta-cell replication was rare and not different between patients with and without PPI therapy (P = 0.20). PPI treatment was not associated with increased duct-cell replication (P = 0.18), insulin expression in ducts (P = 0.28) or beta-cell size (P = 0.63).

Conclusions: These results suggest that in adult humans, chronic PPI treatment does not enhance beta-cell mass or beta-cell function to a relevant extent.

Introduction

A beta-cell deficit has been demonstrated in patients with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes (1, 2, 3). In addition, in patients with diabetes secondary to exocrine pancreatic diseases, the number of pancreatic beta cells is reduced (4). Strategies aiming to replenish beta-cell mass have therefore been proposed as potential future therapies for patients with diabetes (5). Although embryonic stem cell-derived beta cells appear to be an intriguing source of new beta cells, such approaches are still far away from clinical application. In addition, therapeutic attempts to generate insulin-secreting cells from adult stem cells residing in the bone marrow, spleen or liver have not yet been sufficiently successful to allow for their application in humans (6). Therefore, enhancing the formation of new beta cells in the adult human pancreas might be a more realistic treatment strategy. Although the exact sources of new beta cells in adult humans are still debated, most investigators now agree that beta cells can be derived from the replication of existing beta cells and the potential transdifferentiation of duct cells into beta cells (7). Although the former pathway can be readily detected in human pancreatic specimens using specific
proliferation markers, new beta-cell formation from duct cells can only indirectly be inferred from the expression of insulin in exocrine ducts.

A number of studies have provided evidence that beta-cell replication still happens in the postnatal human pancreas (8, 9, 10). However, the frequency of beta-cell replication sharply declines during childhood (8, 11), and the capacity of beta-cell proliferation in adult humans seems to be rather low (12). This decline in beta-cell replication with ageing has been associated with changing expression patterns of certain cell cycle regulators, such as p16 (13). Nevertheless, increased formation of beta cells can still be observed during certain conditions, such as pregnancy or the manifestation of type 1 diabetes (14, 15), suggesting that there is still a potential to enhance new beta-cell formation, even later in life.

A number of compounds or endogenous factors have been suggested to enhance beta-cell proliferation. Amongst those, the incretin hormone – glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) – and the incretin-based therapies have been shown to increase beta-cell mass in various rodent models (16, 17, 18). However, on more careful analysis, these effects were primarily detectable in very young rats and mice, but rather absent in older animals (19, 20). Other factors that have been proposed to drive beta cells into proliferation include the gestational hormone prolactin, serotonin, betacellulin and IGF-1 (21, 22, 23, 24).

A potential role in the regulation of beta-cell proliferation has also been suggested for the gut hormone gastrin, which is released from gastric ECL cells and primarily acts to stimulate gastric acid secretion (25). Thus, an increase in beta-cell mass has been observed in various rodent models treated with a combination of gastrin and epidermal growth factor (26, 27). In addition, combining GLP-1 and gastrin has been successful in stimulating new beta-cell formation in rodents (28). More recently, gastrin treatment has also been shown to enhance beta-cell regeneration after a 95% partial pancreatectomy in rats (29).

Consistent with these experimental data in rodents, we have observed previously marked islet hyperplasia and high rates of beta-cell proliferation adjacent to gastrin-producing tumours (gastrinomas) in the adult human pancreas (10). However, these effects were only present in the direct proximity of the tumours, where the local concentrations of gastrin are likely to be very high. One way to raise the endogenous secretion of gastrin is through the administration of proton pump inhibitor (PPI) drugs. Thus, a compensatory rise in gastrin levels by ~2- to 10-fold is typically found during PPI treatment (30). It is yet unknown, whether these modest elevations in circulating gastrin levels have an impact on beta-cell mass and beta-cell proliferation in adult humans.

Therefore, in this study, we examined human pancreatic tissue samples that were collected at surgery to address whether endogenous hypergastrinaemia induced by PPI therapy is associated with (i) improved glucose homoeostasis, (ii) islet cell hyperplasia and (iii) increased new beta-cell formation.

Patients and methods

Study design

Pancreatic tissue specimens from 93 patients who had undergone pancreatic surgery because of chronic pancreatitis or benign pancreatic adenomas were included in this study. The patients were identified on a retrospective basis from the Department of Surgery, St. Josef-Hospital, Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ruhr-University Bochum (registration number 15-5344).

Patients

Pancreatic tissue specimens from 93 patients (51 males and 42 females) who had undergone pancreatic resections for the treatment of chronic pancreatitis or pancreas adenomas, in the Department of Surgery, St. Josef-Hospital, Ruhr-University Bochum, between the years 2004 and 2009 were included. In all cases, the clinical diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis (n=74) or pancreatic adenoma (n=19) was confirmed by histological analysis carried out by an independent pathologist. Patients without sufficient amounts of pancreatic tissue to allow for morphometric analyses were excluded from this study.

All clinical data and laboratory parameters were collected by a retrospective review of the patient records from the Department of Surgery, St. Josef-Hospital, Ruhr-University Bochum. Only measurements taken before the surgery were used for the analyses.

The diagnosis of diabetes or IGT/IFG was based on an OGTT performed before surgery in 56 cases. In the other cases, fasting glucose measurements, HbA1c levels or the patient history was used to validate the diagnosis of diabetes.

The cases were subdivided into a group with prior PPI therapy (PPI group) and a group without prior PPI.
therapy (no PPI group). The patients in the PPI group had already been treated with PPI by their referring physicians and were continued on PPI treatment until surgery. The exact duration of PPI therapy was not available from all patients.

The PPI group comprises 60 cases (34 males and 26 females). The mean age was $53.7 \pm 12.9$ years, and the BMI was $23.0 \pm 3.7 \text{kg/m}^2$. The respective PPI dose before surgery was $20 \text{mg/day}$ in 13 cases, $40 \text{mg/day}$ in 31 cases, $80 \text{mg/day}$ in 14 cases, $120 \text{mg/day}$ in one case and $160 \text{mg/day}$ in one case. Omeprazole was used in two cases, esomeprazole in 12 cases and pantoprazole in 46 cases. The presence of diabetes was previously known in 13 patients (21.7%), amongst whom four patients (6.7%) were treated with oral anti-diabetic drugs (glimepiride, repaglinide, exenatide and metformin respectively) and six patients (10.0%) were treated with insulin. A pancreatic head resection was performed in 24 cases, 6 patients were treated with a pancreatic tail resection, and in 3 cases, other surgical procedures were performed (one pancreaticojejunostomy and two total pancreas resections).

The ‘no PPI’ group comprises 33 patients (17 males and 16 females). The mean age was $52.5 \pm 13.0$ years, and the BMI was $23.5 \pm 4.1 \text{kg/m}^2$. Diabetes was previously detected in eight patients (24.2%), one patient (3.0%) was on oral glucose-lowering therapy (metformin) and seven patients (21.2%) were treated with insulin. A pancreatic head resection was performed in 24 cases, 6 patients were treated with a pancreatic tail resection, and in 3 cases, other surgical procedures were performed (one pancreas segment resection and two total pancreas resections).

Table 1: Characteristics of patients with or without previous proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy. Data are presented as mean $\pm s.d.$ The numbers in brackets indicate the number of patients in whom the respective information was available. $P$-value was obtained by Student’s $t$-test and Fisher’s exact test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PPI therapy</th>
<th>No PPI therapy</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>34/26 (60)</td>
<td>17/16 (33)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>$53.7 \pm 12.9$ (60)</td>
<td>$52.5 \pm 13.0$ (33)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>$172.6 \pm 8.5$ (60)</td>
<td>$173.1 \pm 9.0$ (33)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>$68.5 \pm 11.4$ (60)</td>
<td>$70.2 \pm 13.1$ (33)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>$23.0 \pm 3.7$ (60)</td>
<td>$23.5 \pm 4.1$ (33)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known diabetes (%)</td>
<td>$21.7$ (60)</td>
<td>$24.2$ (33)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (month)</td>
<td>$26.50 \pm 40.70$ (10)</td>
<td>$77.17 \pm 62.71$ (6)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood count (n/μL)</td>
<td>$6596 \pm 269.7$ (60)</td>
<td>$7499 \pm 458.9$ (33)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>$13.38 \pm 0.19$ (60)</td>
<td>$13.22 \pm 0.29$ (33)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (μL)</td>
<td>$269,415 \pm 13,942$ (60)</td>
<td>$281,033 \pm 17,991$ (33)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (μL)</td>
<td>$188.4 \pm 4.75$ (60)</td>
<td>$187.1 \pm 8.27$ (33)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (μL)</td>
<td>$30.90 \pm 3.14$ (60)</td>
<td>$34.67 \pm 5.27$ (33)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γGT (μL)</td>
<td>$112.0 \pm 32.25$ (60)</td>
<td>$147.8 \pm 59.37$ (33)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (μL)</td>
<td>$115.8 \pm 18.98$ (60)</td>
<td>$129.5 \pm 26.57$ (33)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>$0.94 \pm 0.03$ (60)</td>
<td>$0.90 \pm 0.03$ (33)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (mg/dL)</td>
<td>$0.60 \pm 0.05$ (59)</td>
<td>$0.73 \pm 0.20$ (33)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase (U/L)</td>
<td>$62.98 \pm 30.34$ (60)</td>
<td>$61.27 \pm 12.58$ (33)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>$9.20 \pm 1.37$ (60)</td>
<td>$14.73 \pm 5.70$ (33)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca 19-9 (U/mL)</td>
<td>$23.46 \pm 5.09$ (58)</td>
<td>$22.42 \pm 6.13$ (31)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>$2.51 \pm 0.25$ (58)</td>
<td>$2.59 \pm 0.39$ (30)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>$188.1 \pm 9.07$ (25)</td>
<td>$197.3 \pm 11.46$ (16)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>$163.1 \pm 14.74$ (22)</td>
<td>$151.5 \pm 24.74$ (15)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal elastase (mg/g)</td>
<td>$267.7 \pm 31.26$ (39)</td>
<td>$365.9 \pm 52.56$ (19)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>$6.14 \pm 0.13$ (53)</td>
<td>$6.38 \pm 1.07$ (28)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>$109.4 \pm 27.3$ (58)</td>
<td>$115.2 \pm 27.3$ (33)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h glucose OGTT (mg/dL)</td>
<td>$160.6 \pm 77.9$ (35)</td>
<td>$192.0 \pm 108.0$ (21)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In brief, immunohistochemistry was performed as follows: After heating sections at 37°C overnight, sections were deparaffinized using xylene twice for 10 min, followed by EtOH (100, 96, 80 and 70%) for 5 min (each step) and distilled water for another 5 min. 70% EtOH contained 3% hydrogen peroxide to block endogenous peroxidase activity. The sections were permeabilized by heating them in a steamer in DakoCytomation Target Retrieval Solution pH 9.0 (Dako; #S2367) for 20 min. After a 60 min episode of cooling and rinsing in distilled water, sections were blocked for unspecific protein binding with TBS containing 3% BSA and 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min. Afterwards, the sections were blocked for endogenous biotin by the use of the DakoCytomation Biotin Blocking System (Dako; #X0590). Then, the sections were incubated with the primary antibody monoclonal mouse anti-human Ki-67 (diluted 1:100; Dako; #M7240) overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, after a brief rinse in TBS (Dako; #S3006), Ki-67 was detected with the use of the Dako REAL EnVision Detection System Peroxidase/DAB (Dako; #K5007). For staining with insulin, tissue sections were incubated with the primary guinea pig antibody against insulin (diluted 1:400; Dako; #A0564) for 30 min at 37°C. The slides were then washed in TBS, and insulin was detected using Dako REAL Detection System Alkaline Phosphatase/RED (Dako; #K5005). Afterwards, sections were counterstained with haematoxylin for 20 s and then incubated in tap water for 5 min.

The sections were subjected through ascending alcohol concentrations (70, 80, 96 and 100%) and xylene (each step for 5 min). Finally, samples were coverslipped under Entellan (Merck; #1079610). The slides were stored at room temperature in the darkness to minimize

**Figure 1**
Fasting blood glucose (A) and HbA1c (B) values of 60 patients with and 33 patients without previous proton pump inhibitor treatment. Data are shown as individual numbers with mean values (vertical lines). P values were calculated using Student’s t-test.

**Figure 2**
Representative pancreatic tissue sections stained for insulin (red) and Ki67 (brown) and imaged at 20x objective magnification. Examples of beta-cell replication (A), insulin-positive duct cells (B) and duct-cell replication (C) are displayed.
fading. No staining could be observed when the primary antibodies were omitted.

**Morphometric analysis**

For the determination of the fractional β-cell area, the entire pancreatic sections stained for insulin and Ki67 were imaged using a Zeiss Axioplan microscope equipped with a motorized stage at 50× magnification (5× objective). A tile image of the tissue section was generated using the ‘MosaiX’ tool of the software AxioVision, version 4.5 (Zeiss). The fractional area of the pancreas that stained positive for insulin was digitally evaluated using a colour-based threshold in Zeiss AxioVision software, as described previously (4).

To determine the beta-cell proliferation, the entire tissue section that stained for insulin and Ki67 was examined for Ki67-positive beta cells, and the respective tissue area that stained for insulin was digitally measured. Thus, beta-cell replication was expressed as the number of proliferating beta cells per beta-cell area (mm²).

For the quantification of proliferation in exocrine ducts, 10 random locations per section that stained for insulin and Ki67 were imaged at 200× magnification (20× objective) using a Zeiss Axioplan microscope. Pancreatic ducts were identified by their typical shape and appearance. A detailed description on the identification of exocrine ducts has been provided previously (4). The total number of duct cells and Ki67-positive duct cells was quantified in each field. To evaluate the relationship between β-cells and exocrine ducts as a possible surrogate marker for islet neogenesis, the number of duct cells expressing insulin was quantified and expressed as a percentage of the total number of duct cells.

For the determination of the beta-cell diameter, sections stained for insulin and Ki67 were imaged at 400× magnification (40× objective) using a Zeiss Axioplan microscope. Five islets per individual selected at random were photographed. For the determination of the mean cell diameter, the distances between two adjacent beta-cell nuclei (from center to center) of twenty beta-cells were measured in each of the five islets. The mean beta-cell diameter was calculated as the average of the measured cell diameters, as described previously (15).

All quantitative and qualitative morphological analyses were performed in a blinded fashion.

**Statistical analysis**

Patient characteristics are described as mean ± S.D.; results are presented as mean ± S.E.M. Statistical comparisons were performed using unpaired ANOVA, followed by Duncan’s post hoc tests or Student’s t-test. Numeric parameters were compared using Fisher’s exact test or chi-square test. Linear regression analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6. A P value <0.05 was taken as an indicator for significant differences.

**Results**

The groups were matched for gender, age, BMI and presence of diabetes (Table 1). There were also no significant differences with regard to haematological parameters, kidney function, pancreas enzymes, liver enzymes and lipids (Table 1).

To address whether PPI therapy had an impact on glucose homeostasis, fasting glucose and HbA1c levels were compared. Fasting glucose was 109.4 ± 3.6 mg/dL in the PPI group and 115.2 ± 4.8 mg/dL in the no PPI group (P=0.34). HbA1c levels were 6.14 ± 0.13% in the PPI group and 6.38 ± 0.20% in the control group (P=0.30) (Fig. 1).

Pancreatic morphology was unremarkable in the tumour-free tissue sections of the patients presenting with adenomas. In contrast, pancreatic tissue sections of
patients with chronic pancreatitis exhibited characteristic changes and often presented with periductal fibrosis, strains of collagen fibres, pronounced pseudolobular arrangement and significant loss of acinar tissue. However, there were no obvious specific alterations in pancreatic morphology in patients with previous PPI treatment (Fig. 2).

Fractional beta-cell area of the pancreas was subjected to large heterogeneity, ranging from 0.08 to 3.54% (44-fold difference; Fig. 3). As expected, beta-cell area was higher in patients without diabetes than that in patients with IGT or IFG and patients with diabetes (1.33 ± 0.12%, 1.05 ± 0.09% and 0.66 ± 0.07% respectively; \( P < 0.0001 \)). Fractional beta-cell area was not different between the specimens collected from the pancreatic head and the pancreatic tail (0.98 ± 0.07% vs 1.07 ± 0.18% respectively; \( P = 0.56 \)). There was no difference in fractional beta-cell area between patients with and without previous PPI treatment (1.05 ± 0.08% vs 0.87 ± 0.08% respectively; \( P = 0.16 \); Fig. 3). In addition, when the groups of patients without diabetes, with IGT or IFG and with diabetes were analysed separately, no differences in beta-cell area were found between patients with and without previous PPI therapy (Fig. 4).

Fractional beta-cell area, beta-cell replication, duct-cell replication and the number of insulin-positive duct cells were not different among the various doses of PPI treatment (Fig. 5).

Beta-cell size was similar between patients with and without previous PPI treatment (9.83 ± 0.19 µm vs 9.73 ± 0.15 µm respectively; \( P = 0.63 \); Fig. 6). There was no difference among the groups of patients without diabetes, with IGT/IFG and with diabetes (9.60 ± 0.22 µm, 9.86 ± 0.13 µm and 9.89 ± 0.15 µm respectively; \( P = 0.12 \)). In addition, when these groups were analysed separately, no differences in beta-cell size were found between patients with and without previous PPI therapy (Fig. 6).

Beta-cell replication was found infrequently in the tissue sections from patients treated with PPI and controls. There were no significant differences in beta-cell replication among the groups (6.25 ± 1.53 cells/mm² vs 10.28 ± 3.21 cells/mm² for the PPI and the no PPI group respectively; \( P = 0.20 \); Fig. 3). This result was consistent, when the groups of patients without diabetes, with prediabetes and with diabetes were analysed separately (Fig. 4). The frequency of beta-cell replication was not different among the groups of patients without diabetes, with IGT/IFG and with diabetes (9.02 ± 3.68 cells/mm², 6.33 ± 1.58 cells/mm² and 7.84 ± 2.53 cells/mm² respectively; \( P = 0.78 \); Fig. 4).

Figure 4
Fractional beta-cell area (A), beta-cell replication (B), duct-cell replication (C) and insulin-positive duct cells (D) of 93 patients without diabetes, with pre-diabetes (impaired fasting glucose (IFG)/impaired glucose tolerance (IGT)) or with diabetes with or without previous proton pump inhibitor treatment. Data are shown as individual numbers with mean values (vertical lines). \( P \) values were calculated using Student’s t-test.

Figure 5
Fractional beta-cell area (A), duct-cell replication (B), beta-cell replication (C) and insulin-positive duct cells (D) in relation to dose of the PPI treatment in 60 patients with and 33 patients without previous proton pump inhibitor treatment. Data are shown as individual numbers. \( r^2 \) and \( P \) values were calculated by linear regression analysis.
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Figure 4

This study was designed to examine whether patients treated with PPIs exhibit differences in glucose control, pancreatic beta-cell area or new beta-cell formation compared with patients without prior PPI therapy. Based on the quantitative morphologic evaluation of pancreatic specimens from 93 patients, we did not find any differences between patients with and without prior PPI therapy. There were also no differences in glucose control between the groups.

A role for gastrin in the regulation of beta-cell regeneration has first been proposed based on the observation of islet hyperplasia in patients with gastrinomas (31). These results were later extended by the observation of increased islet cell replication adjacent to intrapancreatic gastrinomas (10). Furthermore, the high expression rates of gastrin/cholezystokinin receptors in the neonatal pancreas have supported a role of the peptide in beta-cell proliferation (32, 33).

Exogenous gastrin therapy has also promoted beta-cell expansion in various experimental models, such as NOD mice (26). Interestingly, gastrin treatment was only found effective in combination with other proliferative stimuli, such as GLP-1, DPP-4 inhibitors, epidermal growth factor, previous duct ligation or partial pancreatectomy (25, 27, 28, 29, 34). The proposed molecular mechanism of gastrin’s action on beta-cell regeneration includes an induction of differentiation of ductal cells into endocrine cells, as suggested by an increased expression of endocrine markers, such as neurogenin 3 or nkx6.1 (35, 36). Furthermore, a recovery of insulin expression, as well as increased beta-cell replication, has been described in alloxan-induced diabetic mice (37).

It has also been suggested that gastrin may exert a functional role in stimulating insulin secretion. Thus, a number of studies have reported a glucose-dependent increase in insulin secretion after exogenous gastrin administration (38, 39, 40). However, this finding was not confirmed by other studies (41, 42) and appears to be relevant only at highly supraphysiological plasma concentrations (43).

Because endogenous gastrin levels can also be raised by blocking gastric acid secretion, PPI treatment has recently been examined in combination with a DPP-4 inhibitor in immunodeficient mice after human pancreatic duct-cell transplantation (34). These studies reported improvements in glucose control as well as marked increases in insulin expression in the pancreatic grafts.

In humans, evidence for an effect of PPIs on glucose control under in vivo conditions is still sparse, although PPIs are amongst the most often used drugs worldwide. Two retrospective analyses of patients with type 2 diabetes showed significantly lower HbA1c levels in patients treated with PPIs (44, 45). These results were confirmed by a
Proton pump inhibitors and beta-cell proliferation

A number of limitations must be considered with respect to this study. Because of the retrospective study design, the clinical information on the patients was not always complete. Furthermore, all patients included in this study had undergone pancreatic surgery for the treatment of either chronic pancreatitis or pancreatic adenomas. It cannot be fully excluded that the pancreatic alterations induced by these comorbidities had an independent effect on beta-cell mass, beta-cell size or turnover, although the confounding effect of such factors should have been balanced amongst the groups.

The frequency of beta-cell replication measured in this study appears to be higher than that reported in previous studies (10). These differences might be due to different conditions of tissue collection, fixation or duration of storage. In this study, freshly fixed tissue samples collected at surgery were obtained without differences in the tissue processing procedures between the groups. It is also possible that the underlying disease conditions (especially chronic pancreatitis) had an independent effect on the frequency of beta-cell proliferation. Furthermore, the mean values for beta-cell replication in this study were rather high because of some few outlier patients (Fig. 5C). This phenomenon of single cases with unusually high frequencies has been recognized previously in the literature in brain-dead organ donors (9).

One might also argue that induction of beta-cell regeneration by gastrin would only occur in patients with diabetes. Therefore, we have performed a separate analysis in the group of patients with diabetes, which did not reveal any evidence of improved glucose control or islet hyperplasia after PPI treatment either. Finally, circulating gastrin levels were not available in these patients. However, hypergastrinaemia has been well documented during PPI therapy in numerous previous studies (47, 49). A specific strength of the present analysis is the high number of human tissue specimens, which is far in excess of many prior studies on the human pancreas (10, 50).

Although increased beta-cell mass after gastrin or PPI therapy has been noticed in numerous previous rodent studies, the exact mechanisms of new beta-cell formation are still debated. Rooman et al. noticed an increase in the number of single, extra-insular beta cells and small beta-cell clusters after gastrin treatment following pancreatic duct ligation in rats, suggesting increased beta-cell neogenesis (25, 27). In line with this, Suarez-Pinzon et al. have suggested an induction of islet neogenesis by gastrin treatment in a number of experimental models (26, 28, 34). Notably, increased insulin expression was also demonstrated in human pancreatic duct cells after EGF and gastrin treatment (34). In contrast, increased beta-cell
replication was reported in human pancreatic tissue adjacent to intrapancreatic gastrinomas (10). In this study, we have applied measures of both beta-cell replication (Ki67 staining) and surrogate markers of islet neogenesis (insulin expression in ducts) to take into account both pathways. However, there was no difference in either of these parameters, in line with the lack of beta-cell hyperplasia in the PPI-treated patients.

This study also confirms the previous observation of a reduction in pancreatic beta-cell area in patients with diabetes (1, 51), thereby underlining the importance of beta-cell mass for the maintenance of normoglycaemia. Furthermore, in the present group of patients, there was no difference in beta-cell replication, duct-cell replication or the percentage of insulin-positive duct cells between patients with and without diabetes.

In conclusion, in this study PPI treatment was not associated with changes in glucose control, islet morphology or new beta-cell formation. These results suggest that in adult humans, chronic PPI treatment does not enhance beta-cell mass to a relevant extent.
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