Stress hormone release is a key component of the metabolic response to lipopolysaccharide: studies in hypopituitary and healthy subjects
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Abstract

Objective: Acute and chronic inflammatory and metabolic responses are generated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) during acute illness and in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, but whether these responses depend on intact pituitary release of hormones are not clearly identified. We compared the metabolic effects of LPS in hypopituitary patients (HPs) (in the absence of growth hormone (GH) and ACTH responses) and healthy control subjects (CTR) (with normal pituitary hormone responses).

Design: Single-blind randomized.

Methods: We compared the effects of LPS on glucose, protein and lipid metabolism in eight HP and eight matched CTR twice during 4-h basal and 2-h hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp conditions with muscle and fat biopsies in each period during infusion with saline or LPS.

Results: LPS increased cortisol and GH levels in CTR but not in HP. Also, it increased whole-body palmitate fluxes (3-fold) and decreased palmitate-specific activity (SA) 40–50% in CTR, but not in HP. G(0)/G(1) Switch Gene 2 (G0S2 – an inhibitor of lipolysis) adipose tissue (AT) mRNA was decreased in CTR. Although LPS increased phenylalanine fluxes significantly more in CTR, there was no difference in glucose metabolism between groups and intramyocellular insulin signaling was unaltered in both groups.

Conclusions: LPS increased indices of lipolysis and amino acid/protein fluxes significantly more in CTR compared with HP and decreased adipocyte G0S2 mRNA only in CTR. Thus, in humans intact pituitary function and appropriate cortisol and GH release are crucial components of the metabolic response to LPS.

Introduction

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS, endotoxin) is an important outer membrane constituent of Gram-negative bacteria with pleiotropic actions, including activation of cytokines; (1, 2); LPS is an important mediator of Gram-negative sepsis (3) and chronic overexposure to LPS, presumably derived from intestinal microbiota, may lead to a state of constant low-grade inflammation, which is associated with obesity, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, diabetes and subsequent cardiovascular risk (4, 5, 6, 7, 8).

After LPS administration, a biphasic response in glucose uptake is observed in humans with an early
period of increased insulin sensitivity followed by insulin resistance (9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15). The underlying mechanisms are not clear and it is uncertain whether important intracellular mediators of insulin signaling (16, 17, 18, 19).

It has been reported that LPS administration in a human model during the initial phase of sepsis increases whole-body protein breakdown and release of amino acids from skeletal muscle and decreases plasma amino acid concentrations and protein synthesis (13, 20), but again the underlying signaling mechanisms are uncertain (21, 22).

A number of studies show that LPS administration increases lipolysis in humans (23, 24). Adipocyte lipolysis in humans is mediated by the two major lipases – adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) and hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) (25, 26, 27). LPS-induced lipolysis in murine and human adipocytes has been shown to involve increased activity of HSL and ATGL (28, 29, 30).

LPS administration evokes generalized release of proinflammatory cytokines and these – in particular TNF-α and IL-6 – mimicking the response to infection, induce insulin resistance and increased lipolysis in humans (31, 32). However, LPS acting through a number of cytokines also activates the hypothalamo-pituitary axis and stimulates the release of stress hormones (cortisol and GH) into the blood (33, 34, 35); cortisol and GH generate insulin resistance and lipolysis (34, 35, 36, 37, 38) and glucocorticoids generate muscle loss (39), whereas GH in general conserves protein (37).

Thus, LPS invariably generates release of both cytokines and pituitary hormones and it is uncertain to which extent the metabolic actions of LPS are intrinsic or caused by cortisol and GH in humans. This study was designed to compare the metabolic effects of LPS in hypopituitary patients (HPs) (in the absence of ACTH and GH responses) and healthy volunteers (CTR) (with normal pituitary stress hormone responses).

**Subjects and methods**

**Subjects and design**

Eight male HP and eight age- and gender-matched CTR, 57 ± 4 years of age, were included in the study after oral and written informed consent was obtained. All CTR and HPs were healthy (aside from pituitary deficiencies) and did not receive other medications that may influence the results. This was confirmed during a medical interview, and normal blood test screening and physical examination were present. Body mass index of CTR was slightly lower (CTR: 26 ± 1 kg/m², HP: 28 ± 1 kg/m², \(P=0.051\)) compared with HP.

During the study period, seven patients underwent operation for nonfunctioning pituitary adenoma and one patient for craniopharyngioma and all patients developed panhypopituitary insufficiency. GH deficiences were confirmed with plasma IGF-1 concentration below normal and with a subnormal peak plasma GH concentration during an insulin hypoglycemia stimulation test. Pituitary-adrenocortical function was estimated with insulin hypoglycemia stimulation test and ACTH stimulation test. Determination of plasma concentration of total thyroxine was used for assessing thyroid function and plasma levels of testosterone were used for assessment of gonadal function. All patients received substitution therapy with hydrocortisone, thyroxine, testosterone and GH. In addition, one patient received desmopressin therapy and one patient received treatment with fludrocortisone. Average treatment length was 9 years (range between 4 and 16 years). The aim of the treatment was to ensure that the hormone levels were approximately in the normal range.

The study was approved by the Central Denmark Region Ethics Committee (M-2010-0076), in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01452958).

Both HP and CTR were studied on two occasions separated by a minimum of 1 month: once with LPS infusion and once with placebo (isotonic saline) infusion in a single-blind random manner from \(t=0–360\text{min}\). The subjects reported to the laboratory at 0700h after an overnight fast. Vigorous physical exercise was not allowed for 2 days before participating in the study. HPs excluded the intake of GH and desmopressin medication on the penultimate day before the study and hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone were discontinued on the study day. HP received intravenous (iv) hydrocortisone 80mg (13mg/h) continuously during the experiment \(t=0–360\text{min}\) to avoid acute cortisol deficiency. This high dosage was based on pilot experiments with lesser doses which induced signs of cortisol deficiency (low blood pressure, nausea, vomiting). A total of three iv catheters were inserted: one cubital catheter, used for infusion of isotonic saline, metabolite tracers, insulin, glucose and LPS; one in a heated dorsal hand vein for sampling of arterIALIZED blood; and one retrogradely inserted into contralateral cubital vein for deep venous blood sampling. Venous occlusion plethysmography was used to determine forearm blood flow.

LPS (USP Endotoxin (LOT G3E069), The United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA) was diluted in isotonic saline and administered
continuously over 360 min (0.06 ng/kg/h). The first participant who received LPS, infusion rate 0.1 ng/kg/h and administered continuously over 6 h, was excluded from the study because of side effects – intense shivering, nausea, myalgia, high temperature and headache – and the dose was subsequently reduced to 0.06 ng/kg/h.

The study consisted of a 240 min basal period (‘basal’), followed by a 120 min hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp period (‘clamp’). Infusion rates of insulin (Insulin Actrapid; Novo Nordisk) were 1.0 U/kg/min iv. Systemic plasma glucose was clamped at 5 mmol/L by a variable infusion of 20% glucose and arterial plasma glucose concentrations were measured at least every 10 min (Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

**Tracer infusions and kinetics**

The chemical compounds 15N-N-phenylalanine was used as an amino acid tracer and 13C-urea (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA) was used to estimate ureagenesis. A primed continuous infusion of 13N-phenylalanine (prime 0.75 mg/kg, infusion rate: 0.75 mg/kg/h) was started at t=0 and maintained until termination of the study. Whole-body phenylalanine and urea flux (Q) and regional phenylalanine kinetics were calculated as described previously (32, 33, 34).

Albumin-bound [9,10-3H] palmitate (GE) was infused from t=180–240 min and again from t=300 to 360 min. Blood samples for measurements of palmitate concentration and SA were obtained before infusion and after 40, 50 and 60 min of the infusion period by HPLC using [2H31] palmitate as internal standard. Palmitate was analyzed in triplicate during steady-state, and palmitate flux (µmol/min) was calculated as [9,10-3H] palmitate infusion rate divided by the steady-state palmitate SA (35).

During the entire experiment (t=0–360 min), primed, continuous infusions of [3-3H]-glucose (bolus 20 µCi, 0.12 µCi×min−1; NEN Life Science Products, Boston, MA, USA) were performed. To minimize rapid dilution of the [3-3H]-glucose during the clamp, [3-3H]-glucose was added to the infused glucose (100 µCi [3-3H] glucose/500 mL 20% glucose). Glucose rates of appearance (Ra) and disappearance (Rd) were estimated by Steele’s equation (40).

Endogenous glucose production (EGP) equals Ra of glucose under basal conditions, and during the clamp EGP was calculated by subtracting mean rate of exogenous glucose from glucose Ra.

**Muscle biopsies**

Muscle biopsies were obtained under local anesthesia from lateral vastus muscles once during the basal (t=120 min) and once during the clamp (t=270 min). Biopsies were cleaned immediately, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until analyzed. Muscle biopsies were homogenized in an ice-cold buffer using a polytron blender. Insoluble materials were removed by centrifugation at 16000g for 20 min at 4°C.

**Western blotting**

Western blotting analyses were used to assess protein and phosphorylation levels of various proteins. Control for equal loading was performed using the stain-free technology (41).

Antibodies to Akt (no. 3063), AS160 (no. 2447), GS (no. 3886), GSK-3-alpha/beta (no. 5676), mTOR (no. 2972), 4E-BP1 (no. 9644), S6 (no. 2217) and phosphospecific antibodies Akt Thr89 (no. 9275), Akt Ser473 (no. 9271), AS160 Thr642 (no. 4288), AS160 Ser580 (no. 8730), phosphorylated Akt substrate sites PAS (no. 9611), GS Ser641 (no. 3891) and GSK-3-alpha/beta phospho S21/9 (no. 9331), p-mTOR Ser2448 (no. 2971), p-4E-BP1 Thr37/46 (no. 2855) and p-S6 (no. 4858) were from Cell Signaling Technology.

When possible phosphor blots were stripped and reprobed using alternative phosphor antibodies or antibodies against the unphosphorylated form of the protein.

AT biopsies and isolation of mRNA and real-time PCR analysis were performed as described previously (30).

The following primer pairs were used:

- **Perilipin**: GGA GCG AGG ATG GCA GAC and TCT GGA AGC ATT CGC AGG T
- **HSL**: GAA GGC GGC ACG GAC GCC and GCT GGT GCG GCG GCA CAC
- **CIDEA**: CGGCTGCTTAACGTGAA and AGATGAAAAACTGTCCCATCA
- **β2 Microglobulin**: GAGGCTATCCAGCGTACTCC and AATGCGGATGGATGAAACC
- **ATGL**: ACCCTCAATGACTTGCCACC and CACGCCACGACCATCTA
- **GOS2**: CGA GAG CCC AGA GCC GAG ATG and AGC ACC ACG CCG AAG AG

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. Statistical analysis was performed using two-way (TW) repeated measures
analysis of variance (ANOVA) as primary analysis and paired t-tests as post hoc analysis. All ANOVA results refer to (i) an overall main effect of LPS vs placebo, (ii) an overall insulin/clamp/time effect and (iii) LPS – insulin/time interaction; in addition, we have given \( P \) values for post hoc paired t-tests comparing the effect of LPS vs saline under a single treatment condition (basal or clamp). We compared LPS effects in the two groups (CTR and HP) using t-tests of delta mean values (LPS placebo) under a single treatment condition. Normal distribution was assessed by inspection of QQ plots and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to test not normally distributed data.

## Results

There were no serious adverse side effects apart from one CTR receiving LPS, who experienced shivering, dizziness, fever, nausea, myalgia and headache, and whose experiment was interrupted at the beginning of the clamp.

Characteristics of the volunteers and arterialized hormone levels are given in Table 1. TW ANOVA for repeated measurements showed no overall LPS effect on mean blood pressure and temperature in HP. In CTR, mean blood pressure was not affected by LPS, but a paired t-test showed a trend toward temperature increase \( (36.3 \pm 0.1 ^\circ \text{C} \text{ (placebo)} \text{ vs } 36.9 \pm 0.3 ^\circ \text{C} \text{ (LPS)}; \text{ } P < 0.051) \) during the clamp. Four of the CTR subjects felt cold and had shivering (two of them had fever) and one was trembling (without feeling cold) most noticeably during the last 2–3h of the experiment. HP had no symptoms. Heart rate increased during the LPS infusion in both CTR and HP.

Insulin levels decreased similarly (basal: \( P = 0.723 \); clamp: \( P = 0.133 \)) during LPS infusion in both groups; CTR: basal period 23 ± 3 (placebo) vs 18 ± 3 pmol/L (LPS) and clamp 380 ± 21 pmol/L (placebo) vs 294 ± 17 pmol/L (LPS), \( P < 0.001 \); patients: basal period 27 ± 5 pmol/L (placebo) vs 24 ± 6 pmol/L (LPS) and clamp 412 ± 28 pmol/L (placebo) vs 362 ± 21 pmol/L (LPS), \( P = 0.011 \).

Glucagon was not affected by LPS in the two groups. Overall TW ANOVA for repeated measurements revealed a main LPS effect that increased cortisol levels \( (P < 0.001) \) in CTR (Table 1), and the difference was significant both in basal period (\( P = 0.017 \)) and during the clamp (\( P = 0.002 \)). Cortisol levels in HP remained unaltered.

In CTR GH levels were increased by LPS, and post hoc testing showed significance during the basal (\( P = 0.017 \)) and borderline significance during the clamp period (\( P = 0.077 \)). GH levels in the HP patients were undetectable.

Lactate concentrations were not affected by LPS in the two groups (Table 1).

### Amino acid metabolism

Overall TW ANOVA for repeated measurements showed a main LPS effect \( (P < 0.001) \) to increase phenylalanine flux in CTR (Fig. 1A and Table 2), and post hoc tests revealed significant effects both during the basal (\( P = 0.026 \)) and the clamp \( (P < 0.001) \) periods. LPS infusion also increased phenylalanine fluxes \( (P = 0.030) \) (Fig. 1B and Table 2) in HP; although post hoc showed only borderline significance: \( P = 0.063 \) (basal) and \( P = 0.078 \) (clamp). LPS decreased phenylalanine arterial enrichment in CTR (main effect: \( P < 0.001 \), Table 2), and post hoc testing revealed significance in both periods (basal: \( P = 0.031 \), clamp: \( P < 0.001 \)). There was only a trend toward a decreased enrichment in HP.

---

**Table 1** The characteristics of healthy volunteers (CTR) and hypopituitary patients (HP) and arterial hormone levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CTR Basal</th>
<th>CTR Clamp</th>
<th>HP Basal</th>
<th>HP Clamp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean BP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>103 ± 3</td>
<td>104 ± 3</td>
<td>112 ± 4</td>
<td>109 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HR (beat/min)</strong></td>
<td>61 ± 4</td>
<td>64 ± 4</td>
<td>53 ± 4</td>
<td>55 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td>36.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>36.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>36.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>36.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin (pmol/L)</strong></td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
<td>27 ± 5</td>
<td>24 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glucagon (pg/mL)</strong></td>
<td>58 ± 14</td>
<td>62 ± 11</td>
<td>73 ± 16</td>
<td>78 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cortisol (ng/mL)</strong></td>
<td>88 ± 9</td>
<td>138 ± 17</td>
<td>315 ± 35</td>
<td>315 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GH (ng/mL)</strong></td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lactate (mmol/L)</strong></td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( P \) values calculated by two-way repeated measures ANOVA. *Mean of four measurements during the basal period (60–240 min) and two measurements during the clamp (300–360 min). \( *P < 0.05 \) placebo vs LPS, \( **P < 0.001 \) placebo vs LPS; \( P < 0.05 \) basal vs clamp; \( **P < 0.001 \) basal vs clamp; \( P < 0.05 \) interaction between insulin/time and LPS. BP: blood pressure; HR: heart rate; GH: growth hormone.
(P=0.053) (Table 2). Forearm muscle net protein balance (measured with phenylalanine) and local rates of muscle proteolysis and synthesis were similar in both conditions in both groups. Urea fluxes were unaltered in both groups (Table 2).

Glucose metabolism
EGP was not altered by LPS in CTR, but tended to be decreased by LPS infusion in HP (P=0.081). In HP GIR was significantly decreased by LPS (5.20±1.00 mg/kg/min (placebo) vs 4.76±0.91 mg/kg/min (LPS), P=0.015) and remained unaffected in CTR. Arterialized glucose concentrations were similar in both conditions in HP: 5.4±0.1 mmol/L (placebo) vs 5.1±0.1 mmol/L (LPS), basal period and 4.9±0.1 mmol/L (placebo) vs 4.9±0.1 mmol/L (LPS), clamp. ANOVA showed no overall LPS effect on glucose concentrations in the two groups, but paired t-tests showed a minute decrease in the basal state in CTR: 5.4±0.1 mmol/L (placebo) vs 5.1±0.1 mmol/L (LPS), basal period, P=0.009 (Table 2).

Lipid metabolism
Arterialized concentrations of FFAs and palmitate were not affected by LPS infusion (Table 2). Palmitate fluxes remained similar in both situations in HP, whereas they were increased by LPS in CTR (ANOVA main LPS effect: P=0.032): 154±24 µmol/min (placebo) vs 164±19 µmol/min (LPS), basal period, P=0.712 and 39.6±6.3 µmol/min (placebo) vs 124±47 µmol/min (LPS), clamp, P=0.027 (Fig. 1C, D and Table 2). Likewise, palmitate SA was unaltered in HP, and decreased by LPS infusion in CTR (ANOVA main LPS effect: P=0.035) (Fig. 1D and F). Significant interaction between LPS and insulin were observed in CTR but not in HP as regards palmitate fluxes (P=0.025) and SA (P=0.024) (Table 2).

Western blotting analysis of muscle biopsies
Phosphorylation of Thr308, Ser473, AS160 and de-phosphorylation of GS responded to insulin stimulation in both groups with no effect of LPS (Figs 2 and 3). Phosphorylation of mTOR Ser2448 responded to insulin stimulation in both groups, and tended to increase after LPS in CTR during the basal period. This difference was not present downstream of mTOR at the S6 level, whereas phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 was significantly increased by LPS in CTR during the basal period (Fig. 2). Signaling to protein synthesis was unaltered by LPS in HP (Fig. 3).

AT biopsies mRNA
Overall TW ANOVA for repeated measurements revealed a main LPS effect to decrease ATGL mRNA in CTR (P=0.024), and post hoc test showed borderline significance during the basal period (P=0.05). In HP there was no overall LPS effect, whereas ATGL mRNA increased with time after LPS and there was significant interaction between LPS and insulin/time (Table 3).

LPS tended to decrease G0S2 mRNA in CTR (P=0.07) and a paired post hoc t-test revealed significance during the
clamp (P=0.031). GOS2 mRNA in patients was unaffected by LPS.

HSL, Perilipin and CIDEA mRNA were unaltered in both groups.

**Comparison between CTR and HP**

LPS increased cortisol levels in CTR, but not in HP, and the difference between CTR and HP was significant both in basal period (P=0.004) and during the clamp (P<0.001). GH levels were increased in CTR compared with HP (Table 4).

During the clamp phenylalanine fluxes in CTR were increased compared with HP (P<0.001).

Palmitate SA was increased in CTR compared with HP (P=0.030) and fluxes were borderline significantly increased in CTR during the clamp (P=0.073). GOS2 mRNA expression in CTR was decreased compared with HP during the clamp (P=0.002). We did not detect other differences of metabolic indices between groups.

**Discussion**

This study was designed to compare the metabolic effects of LPS in HP (without hypothalamo-pituitary ACTH and GH responses) and CTR (with normal stress hormone responses) to test whether infusion of LPS affects glucose, protein and lipid metabolism differentially depending on pituitary function. In line with previous studies, we confirmed that LPS induces increased lipolysis and increased amino acid/protein fluxes in healthy volunteers, and as a novel finding we showed that these effects were significantly blunted in HP.

Our results thus demonstrate two critical metabolic distinctions, that is increased lipolysis and increased amino acid turnover, between CTR with intact pituitary function and HP with pituitary deficiency and highlights the importance of pituitary hormone release in inducing these two metabolic stress responses.

Our study was designed to exploit the fact that HP are devoid of ACTH and GH secretion and thus allow assessment of the role of cortisol and GH during metabolic stress. It is important to underline that cortisol levels were increased >2-fold and GH levels >7-fold after LPS in CTR subjects whereas cortisol remained unaltered and GH undetectable in HP subjects. It should also be noted that we infused high doses of iv hydrocortisone (80 mg/6h) to HP in both settings to prevent serious LPS-induced side effects, rendering our patients hypercortisolemic. It is possible that the outcome would

---

**Table 2**  Metabolism in healthy volunteers (CTR) and hypopituitary patients (HP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolism</th>
<th>HP Basal</th>
<th>HP Clamp</th>
<th>CTR Basal</th>
<th>CTR Clamp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phe arterial conc. (mg/L)</td>
<td>3.05±1.63</td>
<td>3.48±1.97</td>
<td>3.84±1.60</td>
<td>3.39±1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate arterial conc. (µmol/L)</td>
<td>0.29±0.19</td>
<td>0.31±0.19</td>
<td>0.28±0.12</td>
<td>0.30±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe muscle balance (µg/100 ml/min)</td>
<td>45±23</td>
<td>45±23</td>
<td>23±12</td>
<td>23±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate-specific activity (cpm/µmol)</td>
<td>2.31±1.39</td>
<td>2.31±1.39</td>
<td>1.97±1.19</td>
<td>1.97±1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR, glucose infusion ratio</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate arterial conc. (µmol/L)</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR, glucose infusion ratio</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe arterial conc. (mg/L)</td>
<td>3.05±1.63</td>
<td>3.48±1.97</td>
<td>3.84±1.60</td>
<td>3.39±1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate arterial conc. (µmol/L)</td>
<td>0.29±0.19</td>
<td>0.31±0.19</td>
<td>0.28±0.12</td>
<td>0.30±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe muscle balance (µg/100 ml/min)</td>
<td>45±23</td>
<td>45±23</td>
<td>23±12</td>
<td>23±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate-specific activity (cpm/µmol)</td>
<td>2.31±1.39</td>
<td>2.31±1.39</td>
<td>1.97±1.19</td>
<td>1.97±1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR, glucose infusion ratio</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate arterial conc. (µmol/L)</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR, glucose infusion ratio</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
<td>5.0±2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by paired t-test – LPS vs placebo during the clamp period; **Calculated by paired t-test – LPS vs placebo during the clamp period.

---
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have been different, if it had been feasible to conduct the studies with lower levels of cortisol. Nonetheless, our data show that HP patients with fixed low GH levels and high cortisol levels respond differently than control subjects with intact GH and cortisol responses, thereby implying that the ability to increase GH and cortisol in response to LPS is decisive for a full metabolic response to occur.

Our data showed that palmitate fluxes increased >3-fold after 6 h of LPS exposure in CTR and palmitate SA correspondingly decreased, whereas these parameters remained virtually unaffected in HP. This suggests that increased lipolysis during inflammatory metabolic stress induced by LPS depends on intact GH and cortisol secretion. The lipolytic effects of LPS were...
most pronounced after 5–6 h during the clamp – this is compatible with the fact that both GH and cortisol act after a latency of some hours (37, 42). GH and cortisol have been shown to act additively to increase lipolysis in humans (43).

Previous studies in humans have shown substantially increased femoral vein release of free fatty acids 2–6 h after a systemic LPS bolus in healthy volunteers studied once (13, 28) and investigations of the direct lipolytic effect of endotoxin in rodents and in isolated primary adipocytes have reported increased lipolysis and activity and protein levels of HSL and ATGL (28). Furthermore, a recent study using an LPS-infused leg model showed increased net palmitate release and increased tritiated palmitate dilution in the infused leg, indicating that LPS per se is capable of stimulating lipolysis (24). In the AT specimens, we observed a 50% reduction in G0S2 mRNA in CTR only, an effect which in spite of a slight decrease in ATGL mRNA, may contribute to an overall stimulation of lipolysis. This effect was absent in HP. Human studies investigating the interaction between ATGL, G0S2, LPS and cortisol and GH are sparse. It has been reported that fasting and high levels of GH in humans reduce G0S2 mRNA and protein in AT (44).

After administration of LPS we saw a ≈ 50% increase in whole-body phenylalanine fluxes in CTR compared with a 5–10% increase in HP and this increase was more pronounced in CTR indicating a major difference in protein turnover between CTR and HP. Previous studies have shown increased whole-body protein breakdown and increased muscle amino acid release (20, 45, 46). In our study we failed to observe any major effects of LPS on forearm amino acid metabolism, perhaps because the study designs differed – we used other dosages of LPS and investigated the forearm rather than the leg. Local LPS administration in the leg does not induce detectable alteration of amino acid metabolism (24).

The mechanisms behind the increased protein fluxes catalyzed by LPS are unclear and few studies have addressed the issue. Cortisol and other glucocorticoids exert protein catabolic effects, whereas GH is an anabolic hormone (47). Glucocorticoids have been reported to decrease mTOR phosphorylation and muscle growth in skeletal muscles of the ovine fetus (48), but this effect could be offset by increased amino acid levels, as observed in our study in HP ($P = 0.052$). In our study, analysis of muscle biopsies revealed increased phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, a putative promoter of protein synthesis, in CTR only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>mRNA fat biopsies in healthy volunteers (CTR) and hypopituitary patients (HP).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATGL (% of control)</td>
<td>0.062±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0S2 (% of control)</td>
<td>2.955±0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSL (% of control)</td>
<td>0.353±0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perilipin (% of control)</td>
<td>1.740±0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidea (% of control)</td>
<td>0.093±0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P values calculated by two-way repeated measures ANOVA. $P < 0.05$ placebo vs LPS; $P < 0.05$ basal vs clamp; $P < 0.05$ interaction between insulin/time and LPS.
As regards insulin sensitivity, we, somewhat unexpectedly, observed 10% decreased GIR values in HP only (indicating decreased insulin-stimulated glucose disposal), albeit this was not significantly different from CTR. A priori one would predict decreased insulin sensitivity in CTR subjects in whom cortisol and GH levels were increased and lipolytic fluxes raised. On the other hand, local LPS administration also directly induces insulin resistance (24).

In conclusion, our data confirm that LPS induces increased lipolysis and increased amino acid/protein fluxes in CTR. It is a novel finding that in HP there was no increase in lipolysis and less pronounced increases in phenylalanine fluxes, suggesting that these two metabolic processes depend on intact pituitary function and subsequent cortisol and GH release. In control subjects with intact ACTH and GH responses reduced mRNA expression of the ATGL inhibitor G0S2 may lead to stimulation of lipolysis and activation of the mTOR-4E-BP1 path may contribute to increased phenylalanine fluxes.
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Table 4 Comparison of LPS-induced delta values between healthy volunteers (CTR) and hypopituitary patients (HP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>Clamp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol (ng/mL)</td>
<td>50±16</td>
<td>0±5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH (ng/mL)</td>
<td>2.63±0.62</td>
<td>0.16±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe flux (µmol/kg/h)</td>
<td>4.2±1.5</td>
<td>1.4±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate flux (µmol/min)</td>
<td>10±24.4</td>
<td>24±18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate-specific activity (cpm/µmol)</td>
<td>0.33±0.6</td>
<td>0.2±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.03±0.08</td>
<td>0.06±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0S2 mRNA (% of control)</td>
<td>0.30±0.36</td>
<td>0.18±0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CTR</th>
<th>HP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol (ng/mL)</td>
<td>157±22</td>
<td>3±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH (ng/mL)</td>
<td>6.15±2.92</td>
<td>0.03±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe flux (µmol/kg/h)</td>
<td>11.6±0.8</td>
<td>2.3±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate flux (µmol/min)</td>
<td>84±51.4</td>
<td>59±77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitate-specific activity (cpm/µmol)</td>
<td>6±1.7</td>
<td>0.41±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0S2 mRNA (% of control)</td>
<td>1.04±0.28</td>
<td>0.06±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values calculated by t-test (delta values LPS placebo) CTR vs HP; Phe, phenylalanine; GH, growth hormone; FFA, free fatty acids.


34 Smith HQ, Cargo M, Bach and others LPS and stress hormone release 175 5 464


