Congenital hypothyroidism with delayed TSH elevation in low-birth-weight infants: incidence, diagnosis and management
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the incidence of congenital hypothyroidism (CH) with delayed TSH elevation among low-birth-weight (LBW) newborns in North-Eastern Italy and to verify if they need a second or third screening.

Design: Analysis of clinical and biochemical data of newborns affected by CH with delayed TSH elevation identified by neonatal screening.

Methods: Data of all newborns with birth weight (BW) <2500 g and evidence of delayed TSH elevation at newborn screening were collected between 2011 and 2014. Confirmatory tests were based on serum TSH and FT4 levels. All their clinical signs at diagnosis were reported.

Results: 57.5% of LBW newborns with delayed TSH increase at neonatal screening presented a CH with delayed TSH elevation and began a treatment with l-thyroxine. The incidence of this condition in North-Eastern Italy is therefore 1:908. The remaining infants presented a subclinical hypothyroidism (21.25%) or a complete normal serum thyroid function (21.25%). These data could be drawn only from a retesting strategy of neonatal screening.

Conclusions: Our report describes the incidence of CH with delayed TSH rise in North-Eastern Italy and differentiates this clinical condition from other thyroid dysfunctions of preterm or LBW newborns. The second-screening strategy for CH in neonates with BW <2500 g proved useful in detecting newborns who otherwise would not be identified at the first screening.

Introduction

In preterm and low-birth-weight (LBW) infants, thyroid function is often altered. It is well known, in fact, that frequently in preterm newborns, the function of hypothalamic–pituitary–thyroid axis is attenuated at birth for an unknown postnatal duration. These babies are characterized by reduced hypothalamic TRH production, an immature response of the thyroid gland to TSH, an inefficient capacity of the thyroid follicular cells to organify iodine and a low capacity to convert T4 into active T3 (1). Intriguingly, the responses of TSH and T4 to TRH are normal, so the site of immaturity seems to be the hypothalamus (1). Moreover, neonatal health conditions related to preterm delivery, such as respiratory distress or drug administration, can influence serum thyroid hormone levels (2, 3, 4). Some LBW or very-low-birth-weight (VLBW) newborns as well as critically ill neonates often present congenital hypothyroidism (CH) characterized by low FT4 and delayed TSH elevation (5, 6). The incidence of this condition is reported as 1:250 for VLBW babies and 1:1589 for LBW newborns (7). It is not
known whether this type of CH is transient or permanent (6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11). Likewise, it is not known if the treatment with L-thyroxine (L-T4) is useful for these babies (12, 13). Even the diagnosis of CH in these newborns is not an easy task, for different reasons. First, critically ill or preterm babies hospitalized in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) usually have more urgent medical problems than hypothyroidism; therefore, blood samples for neonatal screening are often collected belatedly (14). Second, in preterm infants, the TSH increase usually occurs later, likely because of the above-mentioned immature function of the hypothalamic–pituitary axis (5, 15). As a consequence, some screening programs require a second screening test at 2 and/or 4 weeks of life for preterm newborns, babies with LBW, neonates from multiple birth and sick newborns admitted to NICU (16, 17, 18). It is still unknown whether repeating newborn screening in preterm and/or LBW infants may be useful and appropriate. In fact, while some screening programs adopt this strategy (7, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20), others think that a single determination of TSH is sufficient to identify all affected preterm babies, deeming unnecessary a systematic repetition of screening for VLBW infants, because the delayed rise in TSH is mostly considered a transient problem (21, 22).

The aim of this study is to identify newborns with birth weight (BW) <2500g affected by CH with delayed TSH elevation and, in particular, to evaluate their incidence in North-Eastern Italy. Secondly, we will determine the appropriateness of a second and/or a third test screening strategy in these neonates.

Subjects and methods

Since 1977, when the screening program for CH was started in North-Eastern Italy, until 2009, it used a combined approach, simultaneously determining TSH and T4 in dried blood spots taken at 3–5 days of life. Since January 2010, only the TSH has been assayed, with the repetition of the test for all newborns with BW <2500g at 15 and again at 30 days of life. According to these new procedures, today all hospitals of North-Eastern Italy collect heel prick blood samples at 36–48h after birth, dry them on filter paper and send them to our laboratory in 24h. A solid-phase time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay method is used for TSH determination (DELFIA Neonatal hTSH Kit, Wallac, Turku, Finland). Results are available within 2 working days. The screening test is routinely repeated on the same blood spot in double every time TSH >9 U/L (threshold level for retest). When two out of three values exceed the cut-off level of 12 U/L (both for term and preterm newborns), the baby is referred to a functional thyroid assessment in serum. The cut-off is calculated at the 99th percentile of the total reference newborns’ population. A second and a third filter paper samples are requested for all neonates with BW <2500g at 15 and 30 days of life respectively. For these samples, a similar recalling procedure is used, setting a threshold level for retest at 4.5 U/L, and the cut-off at 5 U/L; the latter cut-off is determined on the basis of literature data (19).

Data of all newborns with BW <2500g and evidence of CH with delayed TSH elevation at newborn screening were collected between 2011 and 2014. Confirmatory tests of CH are based on serum TSH (normal range, 0.4–6U/L) and FT4 levels (normal range, 0.7–2.3 ng/dL). TSH and FT4 were measured by a solid-phase two-site chemiluminescent immunoassay (ImmunoLone 2000, Siemens, Germany). Serum antibodies against thyroid peroxidase, thyroglobulin and TSH receptor were also measured. Thyroid ultrasound and scintigraphy of the thyroid gland were suggested before starting L-T4 treatment. Clinical signs at diagnosis were examined with particular attention to detect possible associated abnormalities. For every newborn, gestational age (GA) and BW were recorded. GA was calculated using maternal data (time elapsed from the first day of the last menstrual period to birth) associated with early prenatal ultrasound examination (GA <20 weeks), or with the last reliable postnatal examination. If the difference between maternal date and early dating scan was more than 7 days, the early dating scan or the postnatal examination was chosen. BW is measured by the midwife at birth. Term babies are those with GA >37 weeks.

The study was conducted in compliance with the terms of the Helsinki II Declaration. In Italy, this type of retrospective study does not require local Institutional Review Board/Institutional Ethics Committee approval.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows. Normal distribution was assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Comparisons between groups were performed using Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test, whenever appropriate. Data are expressed as frequency, median plus range or mean ± standard deviation (s.d.), as appropriate. Statistical significance was reached when P-values were less than 0.05, and all tests were two-sided.
Results

Between 1st January 2011 and 31st December 2014, 256,491 newborns were screened for CH. Among these, 24,526 (9.6%) had a BW <2500g. In particular, 20,757 (8.1%) were LBW (1500g ≤ BW <2500g), 2811 (1.1%) were VLBW (1000g ≤ BW <1500g) and 958 (0.4%) had extremely low birth weight (ELBW; BW <1000g). The TSH retesting strategy on samples collected at 15 and 30 days of life from neonates with BW <2500g identified 48 cases of delayed TSH rise: 11 were ELBW, 9 VLBW and the remaining 28 LBW.

One of the 48 babies was a preterm female (GA 25 weeks, BW 400g) that presented a serious necrotizing enterocolitis and died during the neonatal period. Among the remaining, 20 displayed an isolated hyperthyrotropinemia at neonatal screening and did not require therapy, while 27 presented a congenital hypothyroidism with delayed TSH elevation and began a treatment with l-T4. Half of the newborns who presented hyperthyrotropinemia at neonatal screening normalized their TSH level at serum evaluation and was considered ‘false positive’; the latter half presented a persistent increase in TSH (>6 U/L) and was considered as affected by subclinical hypothyroidism (Fig. 1).

Blood spot and serum thyroid value determinations, as well as anthropometric data of the babies of our cohort, are summarized in Table 1. Four newborns with CH and delayed TSH rise were term babies. 56% of treated newborns presented a BW higher than 1500g, while 53% of them (30% of the treated cohort) showed a BW higher than 2000g. While all patients were submitted to the first and the second blood withdrawal, only 28 newborns (12 untreated and 16 treated) were submitted to the third screening test at 30 days of life. In fact, children whose first retesting at 15 days of life had positive results, began a serum follow-up assessment of thyroid functionality, making a successive screening unnecessary.

A delayed TSH rise was evidenced at second screening in all but three infants. Similarly, three newborns with subclinical hypothyroidism were identified only at the third screening test. Table 2 shows the incidence of CH with delayed TSH elevation in the North-Eastern Italy. It is also evident that at newborn screening, TSH levels are significantly lower in infants with lower BW (correlation r=0.95 for patients with BW <1000g).

It is impossible to predict how CH would evolve from the first test performed on blood collected between...
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Table 2  Incidence of CH with delayed TSH elevation in relation to their BW in infants from North-Eastern Italy. Their screening and serum thyroid values are represented. The data are represented as median plus range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth weight</th>
<th>&lt;1000 g</th>
<th>1000–1499 g</th>
<th>1500–2499 g</th>
<th>&lt;1500 g</th>
<th>&lt;2500 g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of newborns</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>2811</td>
<td>20757</td>
<td>3769</td>
<td>24526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of newborns with CH with delayed TSH rise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of CH with delayed TSH rise</td>
<td>1:192</td>
<td>1:402</td>
<td>1:1384</td>
<td>1:314</td>
<td>1:908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1° screening TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.9–2.8)*</td>
<td>2.4 (0.2–6.9)§</td>
<td>6.8 (1.0–11.4)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.2–6.9)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.2–11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2° screening TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>11.6 (8.6–182.0)</td>
<td>14.6 (1.2–250.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (3.3–65.9)</td>
<td>14.0 (1.2–250.0)</td>
<td>23.9 (1.2–250.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3° screening TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>184.5 (67.6–395.0)*</td>
<td>73.1 (16.5–215.0)</td>
<td>18.1 (8.1–96.4)</td>
<td>92.8 (16.5–395.0)</td>
<td>44.3 (8.1–395.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>150.0 (42.8–183.6)</td>
<td>120.0 (35.2–614.7)</td>
<td>99.1 (11.0–258.3)</td>
<td>149.8 (35.2–614.7)</td>
<td>126.0 (11.0–614.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum FT₄ (ng/dL)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2–0.6)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1–0.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1–1.9)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1–0.7)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.1–1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Newborns with birth weight <1000 g vs newborns with birth weight 1500–2499 g; P < 0.001; §Newborns with birth weight 1000–1499 g vs newborns with birth weight 1500–2499 g; P < 0.001.

36- and 48-h tests. In a similar way, it is not easy to distinguish the retested babies who needed treatment from the others who did not, as shown in Fig. 2.

As expressed in Table 1 and Fig. 2, between treated infants, the concentration of serum FT₄ was normal only in five cases. Of these five patients, four presented highly elevated TSH levels (>20 U/L), and the remaining baby was affected by Down syndrome and his serum TSH was 15.1 U/L. Among babies affected by subclinical hypothyroidism, a newborn presented a TSH of 35.3 U/L with normal FT₄. In this case, TSH was repeated after a week, within the first month of life, and the values were <10 U/L, therefore a treatment was not started.

In our cohort of treated patients, we found two newborns affected by Down syndrome and seven with different types of malformations (one of them was a patient with Down syndrome): two of them presented a minor malformation (bifid thumb and bilateral congenital clubfoot); the remaining five showed major malformations (of lungs, heart or kidney). 70% of patients of this cohort presented a neonatal respiratory distress, 55% a poor feeding and a prolonged jaundice. 25% of these babies suffered of neonatal sepsis. Moreover, 30% of these infants suffered of neonatal sepsis. Furthermore, among the treated patients, we found two couples of twins and another baby with a healthy twin. None of the babies presented thyroid maternal antibodies. 73.9% of these newborns were submitted to thyroid ultrasonography in the neonatal period, and in all cases, thyroid gland was in the normal position – in one case, the gland was hypoplastic; in another case, it was enlarged; in the remaining newborns, it was normally sized. Only three babies were submitted to scintigraphy of the thyroid gland; in one case, the thyroid was normal, confirming the ultrasonographic result; in the remaining two babies, the gland appeared enlarged, while it appeared normal at echography. Interestingly, one of them suspended l-T₄ treatment at the age of 3. All of them began an l-T₄ treatment at 32.5 ± 9.7 days of life, with an average dose of 9.0 ± 2.6 μg/kg/die. Although only four treated children are now older than 3 years of age, an attempt to interrupt the l-T₄ supplementation has already been done in nine children: six patients suspended treatment without any clinical problem; the other three continued the therapy due to the recurrence of hypothyroidism in absence of l-T₄ supplementation (Table 3).

Figure 2
Screening and serum TSH values of treated and untreated LBW newborns. To improve the resolution of this figure, we used a TSH of 80 U/L as superior limit.
Table 3  Clinical and biochemical data of nine children for whom an attempt was made to interrupt the l-T4 supplementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA (w)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW (g)</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1° screening TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2° screening TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3° screening TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>395.0</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TSH (U/L)</td>
<td>246.0</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>183.6</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>251.5</td>
<td>184.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum FT4 (ng/dL)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical signs</td>
<td>Jaundice, umbilical hernia</td>
<td>Bifid thumb, RDS</td>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>RDS, jaundice, hypotonia, constipation poor feeding, enlarged fontanelles</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Renal congenital disease, RDS, poor feeding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BW, birth weight; GA, gestational age; RDS, neonatal respiratory disease; S, scintigraphy; US, ultrasonography.

**Discussion**

Our report focuses on the delayed TSH rise in LBW newborns, with the aim of calculating the incidence of such a condition in a large cohort of newborns born in North-Eastern Italy between 2011 and 2014, with particular reference to the reference protocol at 15 and 30 days of life in order to detect affected newborns, who would otherwise be missed. We found that those babies affected by CH with delayed TSH increase were properly treated in accordance with l-T4 treatment if serum FT4 is normal (17).
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in all newborn population, as other authors have also attested (7, 9, 12).

Some issues still remain unanswered: which is the true incidence of the CH with delayed TSH rise? Is it really useful to start a treatment in this clinical condition? Which is the most appropriate diagnostic strategy in order to identify CH with delayed TSH rise: retesting at 15 and then at 30 days of life, or the collection of just one of these two retestings is sufficient?

Before answering the first question, it is worth noting that if we had contemplated in our analysis the 20 untreated newborns presenting at screening test with a hyperthyrotropinemia, our incidence would have been considerably higher (1:96 for ELBW, 1:312 for VLBW and 1:741 for LBW). Nevertheless, the incidence of CH with delayed TSH rise in our cohort of North-Eastern Italy is almost comparable with the data reported by Larson and coworkers regarding a larger number of newborns identified in Massachusetts (7). It appears to be lower than the incidence reported by Chul Woo about the Rhode Island experience on a smaller number of infants (8) and by Bijarnia on the Australian experience of a large cohort of newborns (16). In Italy, the incidence of CH with delayed TSH rise reported by Corbetta and coworkers (19) is similar to ours.

The second question about the usefulness of an FT₄ supplementation in these babies remains unanswered. In fact, the appropriateness of a treatment in case of mild elevation of serum TSH level is still a matter of discussion, although there is some evidence that most cases present transient hypothyroidism (6, 7, 8, 32). Nevertheless, some authors suggest that it is prudent to treat this hypothyroidism until it is resolved (12, 33). The most recent ESPE guidelines suggest a treatment if the serum TSH is persistently >20 U/L even if serum thyroid hormones are normal. Clearly, it is necessary to avoid overtreatment and to retest thyroid function after 3 years of life, especially if the gland is normally located (17). The data presented in Table 3, describing those children for whom an attempt was made to interrupt the l-T₄ supplementation, confirm that they needed treatment, because their thyroid dysfunction, even if transient, was severe at birth.

A limitation of our study is the follow-up, which was managed by different physicians for different newborns. As a consequence, some discrepancies may be observed in the dose of treatment suggested at the beginning of the therapy, and in the indications given about the suitability of starting or withdrawing it. Nevertheless, all treated patients fulfilled requirements outlined in the most recent ESPE guidelines on the start of treatment; the same can be said about its cessation (17); for that reason, we deemed these differences of secondary importance in relation to the purpose of this paper.

At present, an attempt to suspend the l-T₄ supplementation has been made only in nine children; three of them are continuing the therapy. These permanent cases confirm that CH with delayed rise is not a marginal problem and needs to be promptly recognized and treated. Serum TSH does not predict permanent or transient hypothyroidism. Notably, three of our patients presented a serum TSH higher than 160 U/L and interrupted the treatment before 2 years of life. All of them were preterm babies and their thyroid gland was likely incapable to cope with external stimuli, such as iodine overload or drugs.

Similarly, TSH value at screening does not distinguish babies who will need treatment from those who will not (Fig. 2). TSH levels are very low in ELBW and VLBW infants at first screening, and these values clearly increase at 15 days of life and, even more significantly, at 1 month of life, likely because of an immaturity of hypothalamic–pituitary–thyroid axis. Consequently, TSH levels determined in the first days in newborns with low BW are not sufficient to detect CH. This result confirms previous data and is in line with more recent guidelines on screening, diagnosis and management of CH (7, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20). On the contrary, some authors affirm that the repetition of tests for CH in preterm babies or VLBW is unnecessary (21, 22). In their view, it is more consistent to use a low TSH screening threshold in order to avoid retesting (21). However, the reduction in the TSH threshold may lead to a greatly increased recall rate at screening, and not least, there may be the risk of missing patients. Based on our results, it appears clear that a second screening test at 15 days of life is necessary in high-risk newborns for identifying delayed TSH increase, whereas the execution of a third screening test for newborns with a low BW remains a matter of debate. Further and more specific studies, sustained by an appropriate analysis of cost–benefit ratio, are required. In our study, the 2nd screening detected all affected patients, except three patients with malformations or Down syndrome, which is per se associated with thyroid dysfunction (34). It is necessary to start a clinical follow-up of LBW neonates, especially in case they have clinical malformations, and to control their thyroid function, if there are clinical grounds for suspecting abnormalities. In a newborn with a suspect hypothyroidism, waiting for a 3rd screening test at 1 month of age before starting a
treatment may compromise the patient’s neurocognitive development. Impaired intellectual development is also described in transient neonatal hypothyroidism and hyperthyrotropinemia (35, 36). More recent literature suggests that \( \Delta T_3 \) therapy has to be started as soon as possible, preferably within 2 weeks of life (17, 18).

In conclusion, our report describes the incidence of CH with delayed TSH rise in a large cohort of newborns born in North-Eastern Italy between 2011 and 2014 and differentiates this clinical condition from other typical thyroid alterations in preterm newborns. Although this type of CH is usually more common in severe preterm infants, a large number of affected babies were term babies with a BW higher than 2000g. In neonates with BW<2500g, a second screening test performed at 15 days of life for CH proved essential in detecting newborns who would not otherwise be identified. On the basis of our experience, the 2nd retesting at 30 days of life seems dispensable, although further and more specific studies, sustained by an appropriate analysis of cost–benefit ratio, are required.
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