Limited value for urinary 5-HIAA excretion as prognostic marker in gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumours
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Abstract

Objective: To determine if urinary 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) excretion is of prognostic value for overall survival (OS) in patients with a gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumour (NET) and to compare the prognostic value with patient characteristics, ENETS/WHO grading, ENETS TNM staging and biomarkers.

Design and methods: Data was collected from patients with a gastrointestinal NET or a NET with gastrointestinal metastases and available 5-HIAA excretion in 24-h urine samples. Laboratory results were stratified for urinary 5-HIAA and chromogranin A (CgA): <2× upper limit of normal (ULN), 2–10× ULN, or >10× ULN. For neuron-specific enolase (NSE), this was the reference range or >1× ULN. OS was compared using Kaplan–Meier and log-rank tests, and hazard ratios were calculated using Cox regression for univariate and multivariate analyses.

Results: A total of 371 patients were included, 46.6% female with a mean age of 59.9 years. OS was shortest in patients with urinary 5-HIAA excretion >10× ULN vs reference range (median 83 months vs 141 months, P=0.002).

In univariate analysis, urinary 5-HIAA excretion >10× ULN was a negative predictor (HR 1.62, 95% CI: 1.09–2.39). However, in multivariate analysis, only age (HR 1.04, 95% CI: 1.01–1.08), grade 3 disease (HR 5.09, 95% CI: 2.20–11.79), NSE >1× ULN (HR 2.36, 95% CI: 1.34–4.14) and CgA >10× ULN (HR 3.61, 95% CI: 1.56–8.34) remained as the predictors.

Conclusion: Urinary 5-HIAA excretion >10× ULN is a negative predictor for OS. However, when added to other biomarkers and grading, it is no longer a predictor for OS. Therefore, it should only be determined to assess carcinoid syndrome and not for prognostic value.

Introduction

Although neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) are rare, they are well known for their production and secretion of polypeptide hormones, which results in distinct clinical syndromes. NETs that originate from the midgut most often produce serotonin, causing not only carcinoid syndrome with flushing and diarrhoea but also carcinoid heart disease, which can result in right-sided heart failure (1). Serotonin levels may vary over the day and therefore its metabolite 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) is usually measured in 24-h urine samples. The urinary 5-HIAA excretion has been shown to be a valuable tool for the diagnosis of small intestinal NETs (mainly in the presence of liver metastases), and it correlates with severity of heart disease in the carcinoid syndrome (2, 3). During the follow-up of patients with midgut NETs, urinary 5-HIAA excretion is usually determined in combination with chromogranin A (CgA) and neuron-specific enolase (NSE) to assess the status of the disease, especially in the so-called functioning (hypersecreting or syndromic) NETs (3). Plasma 5-HIAA may become available in the
near future, as it seems to be a good predictor of carcinoid heart disease, but at present, it is hardly validated as a prognostic marker in the follow-up of NETs (4, 5, 6).

CgA is secreted by most NETs, including gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) NETs, pheochromocytomas and lung carcinoids and both functioning and non-functioning NETs (7). It reflects tumour burden, and it has been demonstrated to be an important marker for the diagnosis and prognosis in patients with GEP-NETs (8). In several studies, a high level of serum CgA has been shown to be an unfavourable factor for survival and, therefore, should be routinely measured during follow-up (9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14). NSE is the third circulating tumour marker, which can be used in the follow-up of NETs and is usually elevated in patients with poorly differentiated NETs and small-cell lung cancer. For the diagnosis of NETs, serum NSE is less specific, but together with serum CgA, its sensitivity might increase and it can predict survival as well (15, 16).

However, discussion remains on the usefulness of the urinary 5-HIAA excretion (and maybe plasma 5-HIAA levels) as a prognostic factor for survival in GEP-NET and lung NET patients, as it seems to be inferior to serum chromogranin A and only a few publications report on its prognostic value (3). Elevated urinary 5-HIAA excretion was a negative predictor of survival in a study by Janson et al., but was no longer a predictor when compared with serum CgA (17). Additional studies confirmed the predictive value of the urinary 5-HIAA excretion, but it was not compared with serum CgA and serum NSE, as especially serum CgA is nowadays routinely used in the follow-up of NETs (18, 19, 20, 21, 22). In this retrospective study, our aim was to determine once more if the urinary 5-HIAA excretion is a prognostic marker for overall survival (OS) in patients with gastrointestinal NETs and to compare it with serum CgA and serum NSE in combination with ENETS TNM staging, ENETS/WHO grading and other patient or tumour characteristics as nowadays they are routinely used in clinical practice.

Methods

Patients

All records of patients who were treated for a NET between 1993 and 2012 in the ENETS Centre of Excellence for Neuroendocrine Tumours, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands were analysed. Patients were included if they had a gastrointestinal NET with liver metastases and at least one 24-h urine sample of 5-HIAA was available at the time of diagnosis or referral to our centre. Patients with liver metastases from unknown primary origins were also included when they presented with a mesenteric lymph node deposit typical for midgut tumours or if (functional) imaging showed no evidence of a lung, pancreas, kidney or ovary NET. Patients diagnosed with the multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) type I and von Hippel–Lindau (VHL) disease were excluded.

Patient characteristics, pathology data, laboratory results and imaging findings were all recorded. Furthermore, data on treatment modalities during follow-up were recorded and date of last visit or, if applicable, date of death. NETs were diagnosed on the basis of a combination of markers, imaging and histology (including synaptophysin and chromogranin staining) according to the current guidelines (9, 23).

Tumour markers

5-HIAA was determined in 24-h urine samples and measured using the reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorimetric detection. The reference range is below 50 µmol/24 h (24). If available, two 24-h samples were used and the average was calculated, but if only one sample was available this was reported.

CgA in serum was measured using a solid-phase, two-site IRMA assay (Cisbio Bioassays) with an upper limit of normal (ULN) of 94 µg/L. NSE in serum was measured using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay on an immunoassay analyzer (Roche Diagnostics) and has an ULN of 16.2 µg/L.

Results were stratified for levels of 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion, serum CgA and serum NSE. Because slight elevation of 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion and serum CgA is often due to interference or dietary incompliance, reference range was defined as values being below 2× ULN. Furthermore, urinary 5-HIAA excretion and serum CgA were stratified as, high (2–10× ULN) and very high (>10× ULN).

Patients with a 5-HIAA excretion below 10 µmol/24 h were excluded because of probable inappropriate 24-h sampling.

Serum NSE was stratified for being either high (above ULN) or normal (within reference range).

Statistical analysis

All markers were recorded at diagnosis or referral to our centre, to determine the prognostic value for survival.
Primary outcome was overall survival (OS) and hazard ratios of possible predictors of survival in univariate and multivariate analyses.

Differences between groups on baseline were tested with chi-square for categorical data and with an one-way ANOVA for continuous data. OS was analysed using the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test to determine the significant differences between the mortality in the groups, according to the range of 5-HIAA. Hazard ratios were calculated using a Cox-regression analysis. Both univariate and multivariate analyses were performed. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Calculations were performed using SPSS for Windows software (version 23.0, SPSS).

## Results

A total of 374 patients were identified with a gastrointestinal NET or NET from unknown origin, with liver metastases and available 24-h 5-HIAA urine samples. Three patients were excluded as they had 5-HIAAs below 10 µmol/L leaving 371 patients available for analyses. Of these patients, 84.6% had provided two urine samples at the first visit. All patients were included in the baseline and univariate analyses, but 171 patients had one or more missing values and were excluded from the multivariate analysis.

Baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients had an average age of 59.9 ± 10.5 years and 46.6% were female. Patients mostly presented with a small intestinal NET or only with a mesenteric node metastasis and with grade 1 or 2 tumours. Forty-seven patients (12.7%) presented with liver metastases with unknown primary tumour. At referral, 136 (36.7%) patients were already using a somatostatin analogue. Unfortunately, data on tumour grade were only available for 59.5% of patients.

Patients with very high 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion had the highest incidence of somatostatin analogue use (P = 0.002). They also had the highest serum NSE and CgA levels, but this was not significant (Table 2). During follow-up, 27 patients (23.9%) who had a normal 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion at baseline developed elevated 5-HIAA excretion, mainly between 2–10× ULN.

### Overall survival

Overall, 159 patients died during a median follow-up of 115 months. When stratified for the 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion, OS was shortest in patients with a very high 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion and longest in patients with normal 5-HIAA excretion (Fig. 1 and Table 3, P = 0.03). Median survival varied from 141 months in the group with normal 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion to 83 months in the group with very high 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion, corresponding to five-year survivals of respectively, 74.0 and 63.0%.

### Prognostic factors

In univariate analysis, very high 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion was associated with a shorter survival compared with the reference range with hazard ratios of 1.09 (95% CI: 0.73–1.63) for high 5-HIAA and 1.62 (95% CI: 1.09–2.39) for very high 5-HIAA (Table 4). However, in a multivariate analysis 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion is no longer a prognostic marker in this

---

**Table 1** Overall baseline characteristics (n=371).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Reference range (n=165)</th>
<th>2–10× ULN (n=159)</th>
<th>&gt;10× ULN (n=119)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>173 (46.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years ± SD)</td>
<td>59.9 ± 10.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumour, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>195 (52.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileocecal</td>
<td>34 (9.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>36 (9.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenterial node</td>
<td>53 (14.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>47 (12.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 (Ki67 ≤ 2%)</td>
<td>108 (29.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 (Ki67 3–20%)</td>
<td>98 (26.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 (Ki67 &gt;20%)</td>
<td>11 (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of somatostatin analogue, n (%)</td>
<td>154 (41.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2** Baseline characteristics of groups based on 5-HIAA values. Numerical data are presented as mean ± S.D. Differences were tested with χ² for categorical data and with ANOVA for numerical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Reference range (n=165)</th>
<th>2–10× ULN (n=159)</th>
<th>&gt;10× ULN (n=119)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>58.3 ± 11.7</td>
<td>60.9 ± 10.6</td>
<td>60.4 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgA</td>
<td>5210.8 ± 34 109</td>
<td>2321.7 ± 13 784</td>
<td>7778.4 ± 18 096</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE</td>
<td>28.0 ± 87.8</td>
<td>19.5 ± 37.6</td>
<td>40.3 ± 117.0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of SSA</td>
<td>28 (24.8%)</td>
<td>54 (37.2%)</td>
<td>54 (47.8%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
population, with hazard ratios of 0.76 (95% CI: 0.45–1.88) and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.56–1.61) for high and very high 5-HIAA (Table 5). Sex was also not associated with survival. However, age at diagnosis, serum CgA and NSE were negative predictors of survival. Furthermore, grade 3 tumours were associated with the highest hazard ratio of 5.09 (95% CI: 2.20–11.79). Adding baseline use of a somatostatin analogue to the multivariate analysis did not significantly change the model.

Discussion

Current ENETS guidelines are inconclusive on the value of the 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion to determine the prognosis in patients with GEP-NETs (3). Although serum CgA and NSE are widely reported as predictors for survival, few studies show any additional value for urinary 5-HIAA excretion (17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22). The 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion was studied as a predictor for survival in our study. We were able to predict survival stratified for urinary 5-HIAA excretion with the Kaplan–Meier method and very high 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion was identified as a negative predictor for survival in patients with a midgut NET. However, in multivariate analysis, other tumour markers (serum CgA and serum NSE) and tumour grade were far more powerful predictors and the 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion was no longer a significant predictor in this multivariate analysis. Therefore, 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion seems to have no additional value for predicting prognosis in follow-up when serum CgA and serum NSE are already used.

A limited number of other studies in GEP-NET patients have shown that elevated 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion is associated with a shorter survival, but these studies did not compare the urinary 5-HIAA excretion with serum CgA or NSE (18, 19, 20, 21, 22). Only Janson et al. have compared urinary 5-HIAA excretion with serum CgA in GEP-NET patients using a multivariate analysis and demonstrated, as in our study, that urinary 5-HIAA excretion had no additional value for the prognosis of patients with GEP-NETs when other contemporary biomarkers are used (17). Additionally, we confirmed these results using serum NSE, in combination with staging and grading.

On the other hand, the use of 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion in the follow-up of GEP-NET patients cannot be completely abolished. The determination of plasma or 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion has been shown to be positively correlated with the severity of the carcinoid syndrome (6, 25). However, simply obtaining the history from patients should suffice during follow-up. Moreover, current clinical trials prefer questionnaires to determine flushing episodes and diarrhoea frequency and volumes over this biochemical marker. Its value, therefore, lies mainly in its predictive value for carcinoid heart disease. In this context, it should still be measured at diagnosis and at follow-up (2, 6, 25).

Current ENETS guidelines recommend annual cardiac screening in patients with the carcinoid syndrome, but in clinical practice there is diversity in local screening protocols for carcinoid heart disease (9, 26). It is currently unclear if one urinary sample with non-elevated urinary 5-HIAA excretion values at baseline is sufficient to rule out the development of carcinoid heart disease. In addition, it was not determined how often during follow-up re-evaluation of the urinary 5-HIAA excretion should be undertaken for a timely decision on further cardiac testing. In this respect the measurement of plasma NT-proBNP levels (maybe including serum CgA and

Table 3  Overall survival curves stratified for urinary 5-HIAA excretion. Kaplan–Meier estimate of overall survival for patients with a gastrointestinal NET, stratified for urinary 5-HIAA excretion. Curves were compared with a log-rank test (P=0.002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers at risk</th>
<th>0 month</th>
<th>24 months</th>
<th>48 months</th>
<th>72 months</th>
<th>96 months</th>
<th>120 months</th>
<th>144 months</th>
<th>168 months</th>
<th>240 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref range</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–10× ULN</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10× ULN</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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plasma 5-HIAA (6, 27). In our study cohort, 23.9% of patients with normal 5-HIAA at baseline had elevated 5-HIAA at follow-up, showing that one negative screening at baseline is not sufficient to rule out serotonin production at follow-up and therefore minimising the risk of carcinoid heart disease on the basis of 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion.

Major limitation of our study is the non-protocolled, retrospective design of this study. Not all patients included had supplied two urine samples; however, in light of recent studies and our own unpublished observations, this is probably not a limitation as even shorter collection periods seem to be reliable (28, 29). For the multivariate analysis, a large number of patients had to be excluded, mainly due to missing data on Ki67 staining of tumour samples. This results in a selection of more recently diagnosed patients, because the MIB-1 staining of tumour samples was introduced only around 2010. However, as this proved to be one of the most powerful predictors of survival, we decided to accept this.

In conclusion, the determination of 24-h urinary 5-HIAA excretion is inferior to other available serum biomarkers for predicting survival in patients with gastrointestinal NETs. Serum CgA and NSE have a higher predictive value, and there is no need for dietary restriction or 24-h urine collections. Urinary 5-HIAA might still be important for determining the potential risk of development of carcinoid heart disease. However, there might be an important role for NT-proBNP, possibly in combination with plasma 5-HIAA and serum CgA, in predicting carcinoid heart disease. It is still unclear whether a negative screening for urinary 5-HIAA excretion is sufficient or that repeated 5-HIAA is required during follow-up for the early determination of the carcinoid heart disease risk.
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Table 4 Univariate analysis of 5-HIAA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Reference range (&lt;100 µmol/24h)</th>
<th>2–10× ULN (100–500 µmol/24h)</th>
<th>&gt;10× ULN (&gt;500 µmol/24h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.73–1.63)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.09–2.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Multivariate analysis of prognostic factors (n = 200).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>5-HIAA</th>
<th>Reference range (100–500 µmol/24h)</th>
<th>&gt;10× ULN (&gt;500 µmol/24h)</th>
<th>Chromogranin A</th>
<th>Reference range (&lt;188 µg/L)</th>
<th>2–10× ULN (188–940 µg/L)</th>
<th>&gt;10× ULN (&gt;940 µg/L)</th>
<th>NSE above ULN (&gt;16.2 µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.95 (0.56–1.61)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.01–1.08)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.79–3.34)</td>
<td>5.09 (2.20–11.79)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.76 (0.45–1.88)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.56–1.61)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.59 (0.75–3.34)</td>
<td>3.61 (1.56–8.34)</td>
<td>2.36 (1.34–4.14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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