Surgery in microprolactinomas: effectiveness and risks based on contemporary literature
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Abstract

Microprolactinomas are the most common pituitary adenomas. In symptomatic patients, dopamine agonists are the first-line treatment of choice; when cabergoline is used, biochemical control rates between 85 and 93% have been reported. Long-term treatment is needed in most of the cases with compliance, patient convenience, and potential adverse effects representing areas requiring attention. Based on the literature published in the past 15 years, transsphenoidal surgery can lead to normal prolactin in the postoperative period in usually 71 – 100% of the cases with very low postoperative complication rates. Surgical expertise is the major determinant of the outcomes, and it may be a cost-effective option in young patients with life expectancy greater than 10 years (provided it is performed by experienced surgeons at high volume centers with confirmed optimal outcomes). Larger series of patients with adequate follow-up could further validate the place of transsphenoidal surgery (particularly through the endoscopic approach for which long-term results are currently limited) in the management algorithm of patients with microprolactinoma.

Introduction

Prolactinomas are the most common pituitary adenomas accounting for 51–66% of these tumours; recent epidemiological studies have suggested the prevalence of 44–62 cases/100 000 population (1). The median age at diagnosis is 32 years, with 76–81% of them being microadenomas (1, 2). The clinical manifestations of microprolactinomas are attributed to prolactin (PRL) excess and include galactorrhea and those of hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. The main aims of their treatment include normalization of PRL and amelioration of the clinical consequences of the hyperprolactinemia, prevention of tumor growth, as well as improvement of the quality of life. The adoption of a treatment option with the highest success rate, less side effects/complications, and optimal cost-effectiveness is of major importance. Currently, dopamine agonists (DAs) are the first-line therapy for symptomatic microprolactinomas, and transsphenoidal surgery is recommended to symptomatic patients who cannot tolerate high doses of cabergoline or who are not responsive to DA therapy (3).

Resistance to DA includes a failure to achieve normal PRL on maximally tolerated doses of DA and a failure to achieve tumor shrinkage more than 50% (4). The second criterion would be considered clinically important, mainly for macroprolactinomas due to their potential to exert pressure effects to surrounding structures. Decreased number of dopamine receptors 2 (D2) has been reported in DA-resistant prolactinomas, but the mechanism of DA resistance has
Table 1  Outcomes of series including patients with microprolactinoma treated by the microscopic transsphenoidal approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Total N Age (range)</th>
<th>Males N Age (range)</th>
<th>Females N Age (years)</th>
<th>Indications for surgery</th>
<th>Follow-up (months) (range)</th>
<th>Number (N) of patients with remission of hyperprolactinemia postoperatively (%)</th>
<th>Number (N) of patients with recurrence of hyperprolactinemia (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>21 All &lt;40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Intratumoral hemorrhage&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mean 144&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18 (86%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>328 (82%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27 Mean 26±7</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference</td>
<td>Mean 75±59</td>
<td>17 (63%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4/17 (24%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32 Mean 31±8</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference</td>
<td>Mean 50±32</td>
<td>19 (59%)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>24 Median 30 (18–52)</td>
<td>4 Median 39 (18–52)</td>
<td>20 Median 29 (18–46)</td>
<td>Patient’s preference (no DA previously)</td>
<td>Median 30 (6–77)</td>
<td>22 (91%)</td>
<td>0/22 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18 Median 38 (17–69)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Tumor apoplexy Patient’s preference</td>
<td>Median 45 (13–121)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15 (83%)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2/15 (13%)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>12 Median 32 (17–65)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference Tumor apoplexy&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mean 39 (1–62)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11 (92%)&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1/11 (9%)&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>43 Mean 38±13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference</td>
<td>Mean 138±64&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40 (93%)&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Mean 61 (1–144)&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15 (71%)&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>46 Median 32 (12–69)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Median 12 (3–132)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42 (91%)&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3/42 (7%)&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>69 Mean 30±1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Mean 53±4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>52 (75%)&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>20 Mean 33±3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Median 33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
<td>5/15 (33%)&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 Median 41 (32–54)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Median 84 (24–156)</td>
<td>8 (73%)</td>
<td>0/8 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(27)</td>
<td>5 Median 31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mean 44&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2 (40%)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1/2 (50%)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>59 Mean 30±1 (12–67)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Patient’s preference</td>
<td>Mean 50±3 (1–132)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46 (78%)&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above series, the authors report the microscopic or do not confirm the endoscopic approach.

<sup>a</sup>Data for both micro- and macroprolactinomas.
<sup>b</sup>PRL was measured 7–10 days, 6 months, and 1–5 years after surgery. The patients were instructed to visit the hospital in case of menstrual irregularities. Information of timing of stopping the DA prior to surgery is not available.
<sup>c</sup>The authors use the term ‘cured microprolactinomas’, and no further details are provided. Information on previous DA treatment is not reported.
Remission was defined as normoprolactinemia without any treatment for at least 6 months postoperatively. Recurrence was defined as the redetection of hyperprolactinemia 6 months or longer after DAs were stopped the day before surgery. Remission was defined as the resolution of hyperprolactinemia 3 months postoperatively. Surgery for microprolactinomas is currently performed through the transsphenoidal route with a microscope. Data for all adenomas are included in this series. Remission was defined as normalization of PRL measured 5 – 6 days after surgery. If patients had received DA or had discontinued this shortly before surgery, the earlier postoperative value used for classification of remission was defined as normalization of PRL checked at least 6 weeks postoperatively and after withdrawal of DA within the same period. Remission was defined as normal PRL on day 7 postoperatively (off DA therapy for at least 4 weeks prior to surgery). Remission was defined as hyperprolactinemia at last follow-up; long-term data are not reported. Information of timing of stopping the DA prior to surgery is not available.

Remission postoperatively was defined as normal PRL on day 7 postoperatively (off DA therapy for at least 4 weeks prior to surgery). Remission was defined as hyperprolactinemia at last follow-up; long-term data were available for 46 patients, and these have been included in the table.

Remission was defined as normalization of PRL off DA for at least 2 months. PRL levels had to remain normal for a minimum of 6 months; otherwise, patients were not included in the remission group. Data on long-term remission specifically for microprolactinomas are not reported.

Remission was defined as normalization of PRL measured 5 – 6 days after surgery. If patients had received DA or had discontinued this shortly before surgery, the earlier postoperative value used for classifying surgical outcome was that obtained at least 2 months after surgery. Patients were not considered in remission if hyperprolactinemia recurred within 6 months of surgery. Follow-up data specifically for microprolactinomas are not reported.

Remission postoperatively was defined as normal PRL on day 7 postoperatively (off DA therapy for at least 4 weeks prior to surgery). Remission was defined as hyperprolactinemia at last follow-up; long-term data were available for 4 patients, and these have been included in the table.

Remission was defined as normalization of PRL measured 5 – 6 days after surgery. If patients had received DA or had discontinued this shortly before surgery, the earlier postoperative value used for classifying surgical outcome was that obtained at least 2 months after surgery. Patients were not considered in remission if hyperprolactinemia recurred within 6 months of surgery. Follow-up data specifically for microprolactinomas are not reported.
an endoscope, or both. The endoscopic endonasal approach—a minimally invasive technique offering superior panoramic view and the benefits of avoiding submucosal transseptal dissection (thereby eliminating nasoseptal perforations), as well as less patient discomfort due to the lack of nasal packing—has been applied in the latest years with less available published literature.

**Remission and recurrence rates after transsphenoidal surgery**

A summary of the surgical success rates from 45 studies published between 1977 and 2005 (84.4% of them before 2000) showed high variability in the achievement of normal PRL (38–100%), possibly reflecting differences in the neurosurgical expertise; the remission rate, as estimated based on the total number of included patients, was 74.7% and the recurrence of hyperprolactinemia (affected by the variable definitions of cure/recurrence, observation periods, and dropout rates) was 18.2% (4). Studies published during the period covered in this review on patients with microprolactinoma treated with the microscopic transsphenoidal technique and with main indications resistance/intolerance to DAs or patient’s choice suggest that biochemical remission with normoprolactinemia is achieved usually in 71–93% of the cases; serum PRL had been checked shortly after or within the first weeks following surgery (13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24) (Table 1). In a large series of 400 patients treated by the sublabial transsphenoidal approach by a single neurosurgeon, postoperative remission was reported in 82% of the cases; information on previous treatment with DAs was not available (14). Raverot and coworkers in a collaborative multicenter study of 43 patients who stopped the DAs 1 month before the operation found a 93% remission rate (18). In two series with 46 (20) and 59 (24) patients operated on by two experienced neurosurgeons in a single center, early postoperative normoprolactinemia was reported in 91 and 78% of the cases respectively; DAs had been stopped before surgery (at least 4 weeks in the first and at least 2 months in almost half of the patients) in the second study. Mortini and coworkers in a series of 69 patients operated on in a single center by two surgeons (21) showed postoperative remission rate 75% (off DA for at least 2 months). Lower postoperative remission rates (40–63%) have been reported in three series with, however, small number of patients (5, 27, 32) affecting the estimation of the relevant rates (25, 26, 27). Most studies with mean/median follow-up period ranging between 12 and 84 months suggest that recurrence of the hyperprolactinaemia is observed between 0 and 13% of the cases (15, 16, 17, 20, 23). In one study, however, a recurrence rate of 33% was described during a median observation period of 33 months (22). It should be noted that information on the timing of stopping DA treatment was not available in this report, and the possibility that the rate of early biochemical remission may also reflect the impact of DA cannot be excluded.

Series reporting the outcomes of endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery in microprolactinomas are rather limited (Table 2). The postoperative remission rates range between 81 and 100%, and in all but one of the studies, there is no available information on previous DA administration (19, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35). Given that the main advantages of the endoscopic approach involve invasive adenomas, it would be anticipated that the remission rates should not differ between microscopic and endoscopic techniques in microprolactinomas. The recurrence rate of 0% has been described in two series with 7 (32) and 12 (34) patients within a median follow-up period of 62 and 15 months respectively. Tanai and coworkers (29) reported the relapse rate of 25%, but this relies on a group of only four patients.

Series confirming that the operations were carried out by one or two surgeons in a single center or by surgeons each performing 80 pituitary operations per year mostly show higher remission rates (82–100%) (13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 30, 31, 32) pointing out the importance of surgical expertise. Other factors affecting biochemical remission are not clearly defined specifically for the microprolactinomas as the reported results include analyses for both micro- and macroprolactinomas. Tamjasauskas and coworkers (26) suggested that the lack of preoperative therapy with DAs was an independent factor associated with optimal surgical outcome in microprolactinomas; perivascular fibrosis in the adenoma (36) introduced by the medical treatment was a possible mechanism. However, a number of series including both micro- and macroprolactinomas did not confirm this finding (13, 16, 24, 25). The preoperative PRL levels have been negatively associated with remission in all types of prolactinomas (16, 20, 24, 25, 27); nonetheless, the impact of previous DA treatment on the PRL values used for the statistical analyses is not clear. Finally, Primeau and coworkers (25) in a series of 63 patients operated on for a prolactinoma (43% micro-) showed that the absence of adenoma tissue on MRI performed 3 months postoperatively was positively related with remission of the hyperprolactinemia.

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Remission Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortini et al. (14)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raverot et al. (21)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two series (46, 59)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortini et al. (22)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>33 months</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Remission Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tanai et al. (29)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62 months</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two series (7, 12)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15 months</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
The main drawbacks of the published literature include the small number of patients and the short observation period in many series (particularly the endoscopic ones), as well as the variable protocols for the confirmation of biochemical remission and detection of recurrence (timing of blood sampling after surgery, duration of stopping DAs). Furthermore, the specific indications for surgery may have introduced a bias in the selection of patients studied; the impact of this (positive or negative) in the reported outcomes is not clear. Finally, the available literature may not necessarily reflect the ‘real-life’ outcomes, as the published data tend to represent the experience of large centers with usually optimal results.

**Peri- and post-operative complications in microprolactinomas**

The reported peri- and postoperative complications in microscopic series include mortality 0% (13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 27), visual deterioration 0% (13, 15, 27), and other neurosurgical complications 0–1.8%

![Table 2](image)

Outcomes of series including patients with microprolactinoma treated by the endoscopic transsphenoidal approach (as confirmed by the authors of the papers).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Total N Age (years) (range)</th>
<th>Males N Age (years)</th>
<th>Females N Age (years)</th>
<th>Indications for surgery</th>
<th>Follow-up (months) (range)</th>
<th>Number of patients with remission of hyperprolactinemia postoperatively (%)</th>
<th>Number of patients with recurrence of hyperprolactinemia (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(28)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10 (91%)^a</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (18 – 35)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mean 34 ± 13</td>
<td>4 (100%)^b</td>
<td>1/4 (25%)^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>39 Mean 29 ± 9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance Cystic adenoma Patient’s preference</td>
<td>Mean 22 ± 3^c</td>
<td>12 (92%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(31)^d</td>
<td>13 Mean 37 ± 3^c</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance</td>
<td>Median 62 (8 – 132)^f</td>
<td>7 (100%)</td>
<td>0/7 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mean 18 (1 – 76)^i</td>
<td>13 (81%)^g</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(33)^h</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16 (94)^h</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(34)^i</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>DA resistance or intolerance</td>
<td>Median 15 (4 – 31)^e</td>
<td>12 (100%)^i</td>
<td>0/12 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(35)^i</td>
<td>28 Mean 36 (7 – 82)^a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Median 54 (19 – 54)^f</td>
<td>24 (86%)^j</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aFollow-up data specifically for microprolactinomas are not reported. Remission was defined as normal PRL off DA in the last follow-up appointment.

^bRemission was defined as normal PRL 3 months postoperatively. The recurrence in one patient was detected 16 months postoperatively.

^cRemission was defined as normoprolactinemia on the seventh postoperative day. Information on previous treatment with DAs and timing of stopping is not available.

^dThe study included 35 patients with prolactinoma (13 with micro- and 22 with macroprolactinoma). Eight patients had been previously operated, but if among them there were ones with microprolactinoma. Information of timing of stopping the DA prior to surgery is not available.

^eData for both micro- and macroprolactinomas are reported.

^fData for all pituitary tumours are included in this series. Information on previous treatment with DAs and timing of stopping it is not available.

^gData for all pituitary tumours are included in this series. Information on previous treatment with DAs and timing of stopping it is not available.

^hRemission was defined as normalization of PRL checked at least 6 weeks postoperatively and after withdrawal of DA during the same period.

^iThe series included 194 patients with 77 functioning and 131 nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas. Thirty tumours were operated on after recurrence; there is no information if microprolactinomas were included in this group. PRL was checked intraoperatively.

^jThe series included 25 patients with prolactinoma (12 with micro- and 13 patients with macroprolactinoma). One of them had undergone prior microscopic transsphenoidal surgery but it was not clarified if this patient had a micro- or a macroprolactinoma. Remission was defined as normal PRL the day after surgery.

^kThe series included 418 patients with pituitary adenomas, 79 of whom were operated after tumour recurrence; there was no information if microprolactinomas were included in this group. Remission was defined as normal PRL levels at the latest check and off DA for at least 2 months.
(febrile sinusitis, epistaxis requiring emergency nasal tamponade, and mucocele requiring evacuation 1 year later) (20, 24). The outcomes of pituitary function are given in Table 3, and in all (15, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26) but one (with a very small number of patients) (23) studies, they look rather optimal; hypogonadism or permanent diabetes insipidus was found between 0 and 6%.

The reported peri- and postoperative complications in endoscopic series include mortality 0% (19, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 37), visual deterioration 0% (32, 34, 37), and other neurosurgical complications 0% (37). The outcomes of pituitary function are given in Table 3; new pituitary hormone deficits range between 0 and 6% (19, 29, 30, 37). Notably, no cases of permanent diabetes insipidus have been described.

### Quality of life and costs

Data on the quality of life of patients with microprolactinomas treated by surgery are not currently available. Based on a study from the UK published in 1999 (38), the costs for a hypothetical patient with microprolactinoma undergoing surgery and cure with no complications and followed up for 10 years did not differ from those required for a patient receiving cabergoline 1 mg/week for 10 years.

In a very recently published study (39) Jethwa and coworkers performed a cost-effectiveness analysis comparing transphenoidal surgery (microscopic or endoscopic) and medical therapy (bromocriptine or cabergoline) in microprolactinomas using decision analysis modeling. Each probability (cure rates, complications) in the model was based on data gathered from the published literature, and costs were taken from the perspective of the US health-care third-party payer. Base case analysis revealed that medical therapy was more costly and less effective than surgery in young patients with life expectancy greater than 10 years. The authors propose that the costs of medications continue to accumulate with time, whereas the costs of surgery are realized upfront and do not recur on a continuous basis, unless the patient has postoperative hypopituitarism requiring hormone replacement therapy. They point out though that the operation should be performed only by experienced surgeons at high volume centers with optimal biochemical cure and low complications rates. It should be noted, however, that in this study a number of assumptions had to be made in order to complete the model; these may not be a perfect reflection of the real world, thereby introducing errors, and may not necessarily apply to different medical economic environments in other countries.

### Conclusions and future perspectives

In the past few decades, medical treatment has been considered the mainstay in the management of microprolactinomas. This relies on the well-established high biochemical control and low drug intolerance rates (particularly for cabergoline, 85–93% and 4%, respectively) leading physicians to overlook...
the option of surgical removal and often not to discuss this with the patient at the time of diagnosis. Based on the literature published in the past 15 years and keeping in mind its limitations as described previously, surgery by experienced hands can achieve biochemical control in 82–100% of the cases with practically minimum complication rates; among them, permanent diabetes insipidus (up to 6%) is probably the one requiring more attention. The reported recurrence rates (derived mostly from microscopical transsphenoidal operations) need to be taken into account, although at present, they do not seem to be particularly high (mostly 0–13%).

Therefore, in centers with neurosurgical expertise in which the chance of successful and safe removal of a symptomatic microprolactinoma can be high, the adoption of this route is not an unreasonable approach and needs at least to be discussed with the patient or even offered as primary therapy to selected, suitable patients. This is of particular relevance for young patients with a favorable surgical target who may require decades of medical therapy or for those noncompliant to DA treatment (provided surgery is not complicated by hypopituitarism requiring replacement).

Areas that need to be further clarified in this field include the impact of longer observation periods on sustaining biochemical remission and the timing of recurrence (if detected long after the operation allowing a female to reach menopause, it may not be of clinical significance). Such data should be generated from large series of nonselected (if possible) patients followed up by robust protocols. Also, quality of life, financial strains on patients, and their families and cost-effectiveness issues remain to be elucidated. Finally, further outcomes of endoscopic surgery in microprolactinomas are eagerly awaited.
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