Characterization of zinc transporter 8 (ZnT8) antibodies in autoimmune diabetic patients from Argentinian population using monomeric, homodimeric, and heterodimeric ZnT8 antigen variants
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Abstract

Objective: In order to gain further knowledge of the structure of zinc transporter 8 (ZnT8) epitopes, we studied the role of the amino acid at position 325 in the antigen and its dimeric conformation for autoantibodies to ZnT8 (ZnT8A) recognition.

Methods: For this purpose, several ZnT8 C-terminal domain variants were designed: monomer carrying Arg325 or Trp325, homo-dimers ZnT8-Arg–Arg325 and ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and hetero-dimer ZnT8-Arg–Trp325. Two groups of Argentinian diabetic patients were subjected to analysis using [35S]-ZnT8 variants by radioligand binding assay (RBA): i) 100 new-onset, insulin-dependent, type 1 diabetic patients and ii) 282 slowly progressing to insulin requirement, non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients. In addition, 50 type 1 diabetic patients and 100 normal control sera provided by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) were evaluated in order to calculate the sensitivity and specificity of ZnT8A assays for each antigenic variant. Other routine β-cell autoantibodies were also tested by RBA.

Results: Of the 100 Argentinian type 1 diabetic patients, 65 were ZnT8A+. Out of them, 8 patients recognized all recombinant forms of ZnT8 and most patients (56) reacted against the heterodimer. Additionally, out of 282 non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients 46 were ZnT8A+, whereas 29 patients recognized only dimers. Besides, exclusive reactivity against ZnT8A was found in 9.0% for type 1 diabetes mellitus and 10.3% for non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients.

Conclusions: Significantly higher signal values in RBA were obtained with the heterodimeric variant. An increased detection of humoral autoimmunity was found in both groups when ZnT8A was employed in combination with the other β-cell autoantibodies. The inclusion of homodimeric immunoreactive peptides revealed the existence of quaternary structure-defined epitopes probably resembling the actual state of the autoantigen in vivo. Finally, the differential profiles of ZnT8A exhibited by type 1 and non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients suggest the different nature of autoimmune processes underlying both pathologies.
Introduction

Zinc transporter 8 (ZnT8) is a multipass transmembrane protein which has been identified as a novel autoantigen in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM) (1). It is a 369 amino acid protein, encoded by the SLC30A8 gene located in the chromosome 8q24.11. ZnT8 transports zinc ions from the β cell cytoplasm into insulin-secretory vesicles where they are essential for the proper storage and secretion of insulin (2). The expression of ZnT8 is remarkably restricted, being almost exclusively confined to pancreatic β cells (3). In a murine model, Murgia et al. (4) have demonstrated that ZnT8 is localized, at far lower levels, in pancreatic α cells, epithelial cells within thyroid follicles, and in the adrenal cortex. The immunoreactivity against ZnT8 within the islet is found both in β and α cells, which is surprising since glucagon storage is not known to require zinc (5, 6, 7).

Genome-wide association studies analyzing susceptible/protective loci for type 2 DM revealed that a non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in SLC30A8 (rs13266634 C > T) is associated with the disease (8). This SNP changes arginine (Arg) to tryptophan (Trp) at position 325, with a higher risk of developing the disease when the allele C (Arg325) is present.

Among the major autoantibodies employed in the diagnosis of autoimmune DM, autoantibodies to ZnT8 (ZnT8A) are the most recently described humoral marker, complementing those already used such as insulin/proinsulin autoantibodies (IAA/PAA), glutamic acid decarboxylase autoantibodies (GADA), and insulinoma associated protein tyrosine phosphatase 2 autoantibodies (IA-2A). ZnT8A have been detected in more than 60% of patients with type 1 DM (9, 10) and in more than 10% of adult-onset diabetic patients (11).

Wenzlau et al. and other authors have reported that ZnT8-reactive sera mostly recognize the C-terminal domain of the molecule (amino acids 268–369), where the variant residue at amino acid 325 is located (1, 10). Previous studies have demonstrated that ZnT8A displays specific immunoreactivities against the hybrid dimeric construction ZnT8-Arg–Trp325, although they do not recognize ZnT8-Arg325 nor ZnT8-Trp325 (monomeric antigen constructions) (10). This observation suggests that conformational non-continuous epitopes present in the ZnT8 dimeric construction are detected by ZnT8A, emulating the mechanism of immune response triggered by the quaternary structure of this autoantigen in vivo (4).

In a previous work, we have characterized ZnT8A prevalence in Argentinian non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients only using monomer and hetero-dimer variants of the ZnT8 C-terminal domain (11). In the present study, the role of amino acid at position 325 and dimeric construction were analyzed in order to gain further knowledge of the structure of ZnT8 epitopes and ZnT8A recognition. For this purpose, we also included homodimers ZnT8 variants and two groups of Argentinian diabetic patients underwent analysis: i) new-onset, insulin dependent, type 1 diabetic patients and ii) slowly progressing to insulin requirement, non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients.

Subjects and methods

Sera from Diabetes Antibody Standardization Program

One hundred and fifty sera, provided by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) to participate in the Diabetes Antibody Standardization Program (DASP 2009), were evaluated in order to calculate the sensitivity and specificity of ZnT8A assays. These samples included 50 sera from new-onset type 1 diabetic patients (17 females and 33 males, median age of 24.5 years (range 10–32 years), 48 Caucasians, 1 African-American, and 1 Asian) (12). Furthermore, 100 normal control sera were used to calculate the specificity.

Argentinian population

Normal human controls ▶ Normal human control sera (n=50) were obtained from healthy subjects without personal or family history of diabetes or autoimmune disease. These sera were used to determine the cut-off value of each assay. The collection of serum samples was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Clinical Hospital José de San Martín, University of Buenos Aires (UBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina. All subjects were informed about the purpose of the study, and a signed consent for study participation was obtained.

Type 1 diabetic patients ▶ This group included 100 children and adolescents admitted to the Nutrition Service at Gutierrez National Pediatric Hospital (Buenos Aires, Argentina) from May 2013 to March 2015. Clinical and laboratory data for these patients are given in Supplementary Table 1, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article. As the hospital is a referral center, patients came from all over Argentina and...
were mainly Caucasians. Serum samples were collected before or within 72 h of starting insulin treatment. Type 1 DM was diagnosed according to WHO criteria (13).

**Non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients** ► A population of 282 subjects attending the Division of Diabetes at the Clinical Hospital José de San Martín, from 2002 to 2004, who had been diagnosed with DM at the age >30 years (mean age of 53.4 years, range 30–84 years), with a BMI ≤30 (24.6 ±2.9), without insulin treatment for the first year of disease, and initially classified as type 2 diabetic patients, were included in this study. Diagnosis was performed according to the ADA criteria (14). The patients included in this study had not been treated with insulin before blood sample collection for immunochemical analysis. Relevant clinical information is shown as Supplementary Table 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article.

**Sample collection** ► Blood samples were collected after overnight fasting and sera were stored at −20 °C until assayed. Sera collection from newly diagnosed type 1 diabetic patients and adult-onset diabetic patients, and the respective protocols were approved by the Ethical Committees of the Gutierrez National Pediatric Hospital and Clinical Hospital José de San Martín respectively. Written consent from all participants involved in this study, and parental consent when being a minor, were obtained.

**Statement of human rights** ► All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (Clinical Hospital José de San Martín, University of Buenos Aires (UBA), and Gutierrez National Pediatric Hospital, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008 (27).

**DNA constructs**

The cDNA plasmids encoding the C-terminal domains of ZnT8 (amino acids 268–369) carrying Arg325 or Trp325 were kindly provided by Dr J Hutton from the Barbara Davis Center of Childhood Diabetes, University of Colorado, USA. In addition, three dimeric cDNA constructs carrying Arg325–Arg325, Trp325–Trp325, and Arg325–Trp325 were synthesized by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA) and ligated into the PstI/XbaI sites of p-ALTER-Ex1 plasmid (Promega). The linker peptide used to generate the dimers was PKPSTPPGSSGGGS. The identity of the new DNA molecules was corroborated by sequencing.

**Radioligand binding assay protocol for ZnT8A**

ZnT8A were assessed by radioligand binding assay (RBA) as previously described (11). Briefly, cDNA coding for ZnT8-Arg325, ZnT8-Trp325, ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and ZnT8-Arg–Trp325 were transcribed and translated using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate system (Promega Corporation) and [35S]-methionine (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. The RBA was carried out by incubating 5 μl of human sera overnight at 4 °C with 10 000 c.p.m. of the [35S]-ZnT8-Arg325, [35S]-ZnT8-Trp325, [35S]-ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, [35S]-ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, or [35S]-ZnT8-Arg–Trp325 in a final volume of 60 μl in RBA buffer (0.02 M Tris–HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.15% Tween 20, pH 7.4), 0.1% aprotinin, and 0.1% BSA.

Subsequently, isolation of immune complexes was carried out by addition of 50 μl 50% protein A-Sepharose 4B FF (GE, Healthcare BioScience, Upsala, Sweden) in RBA buffer. Pellets were washed three times with 200 μl RBA buffer and once with 200 μl 0.20 M NaCl in RBA buffer. Finally, pellets were suspended in 100 μl 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate and supernatants were carefully transferred to vials for scintillation counting. Results were expressed as B% = 100 × (bound c.p.m./total c.p.m.) and also as precision units, s.d.s. = (B% – BC%)/s.d.C, where s.d.s. is standard deviation scores, BC% is the control mean B% and s.d.C its s.d. Thirty normal control sera were included in each assay demonstrating that BC% was normally distributed. The selection of optimal cut-off values was based on curves constructed by plotting the calculated specificity and sensitivity of each assay against the corresponding cut-off values ranging from 1 to 6 s.d.s. The highest sensitivity combined with the highest specificity was observed at a cut-off value of 3 s.d.s. for each assay. The inter-assay coefficient of variation (n = 3) were 31.2, 19.8, 15.4, 21.5, and 10.3 for s.d.s. of 37.3, 23.5, 22.5, 6.8, and 10.3 for ZnT8-Arg325, ZnT8- Trp325, ZnT8- Arg–Arg325, ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and ZnT8-Arg–Trp325 respectively.

**Detection of other anti-islet autoantibodies**

GADA and IA-2A were determined by RBA as previously described (15). In brief, cDNA coding for human GAD65 or tyrosine-phosphatase IA-2 was transcribed and translated using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate system in the presence of [35S]-methionine as indicated for the ZnT8A assay. The RBA was carried out by incubating 2.5 μl of human sera overnight at 4 °C with 10 000 c.p.m.
of [\textsuperscript{35}S]-GAD or [\textsuperscript{35}S]-IA-2 in a final volume of 60 µl in RBA buffer.

Subsequently, the isolation of immune complexes and washing steps were done as described for the ZnT8A assay. Results were expressed as S.D.s. In the DASP 2007, our GADA and IA-2A assays proved to have sensitivities of 80 and 69% and specificities of 98 and 97% respectively. PAA was also determined by RBA as described by Valdez et al. (16). The cDNA coding for human proinsulin (PI) was transcribed and translated using a rabbit reticulocyte lysate system in the presence of [\textsuperscript{35}S]-cysteine (New England Nuclear), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After overnight refolding, accomplished by a disulphide reduction–reoxidation procedure, [\textsuperscript{35}S]-PI was isolated by reverse-phase HPLC. Sera (30 µl) were incubated for 7 days at 4°C with 1000 c.p.m. of [\textsuperscript{35}S]-PI in 90 µl of RBA buffer. Subsequently, isolation of immune complexes and wash steps were done as indicated above. Results were expressed as S.D.S.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism Software, version 6.0 for Windows (San Diego, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com). Results were expressed as mean ± S.D. unless otherwise indicated. To assess if data from normal human control sera were normally distributed, the D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test was applied. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to evaluate significantly differences in S.D.S. from RBA-positive ZnT8A sera between ZnT8-Arg325, ZnT8-Trp325, ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and ZnT8-Arg–Trp325 assays. Differences in non-parametric data were tested by the Mann–Whitney U test. A \( P \) value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Sensitivity and specificity of RBA employing ZnT8 variants**

In order to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of RBA using the different ZnT8 probes, 150 sera from DASP 2009 were analyzed (Fig. 1). The sensitivity was calculated as the percentage of type 1 diabetic patients (\( n = 50 \)) that scored positive by RBA using each variant. The specificity was calculated as 100 minus the percentage of normal human sera (\( n = 100 \)) detected as positive by RBA using each variant of ZnT8.

Out of the 50 type 1 diabetic patients, 11 (22.0%) had autoantibodies against ZnT8-Arg325, 13 (26.0%) against ZnT8-Trp325, 23 (46.0%) against ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, 16 (32.0%) against ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and 30 (60.0%) presented autoantibodies against the heterodimeric construct ZnT8-Arg–Trp325, and 19 (38.0%) did not have autoantibodies against any ZnT8 variants. In addition, the specificity was 100% for ZnT8-Arg325, 98% for ZnT8-Trp325, ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, and ZnT8-Trp–Trp325 and 96.5% for ZnT8-Arg–Trp325.

**Prevalence of ZnT8A and association with other autoimmune markers**

One hundred Argentinian type 1 diabetic patients and 282 Argentinian non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients were tested in parallel for ZnT8A, PAA, GADA, and IA-2A.

Histogram in Fig. 2A shows the prevalence of ZnT8A in comparison with those from the other autoimmune markers in type 1 diabetic patients. ZnT8A were found in 65 out of 100 type 1 diabetic patients (65.0%).

The prevalence for ZnT8A was composited by reactivity to ZnT8-Arg325, ZnT8-Trp325, ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and ZnT8-Arg–Trp325. The prevalence of the other autoantibodies in this group was 22.0% for PAA, 58.0% for GADA, and 53.0% for IA-2A.

A Venn’s diagram in Fig. 2B illustrates the isolated and overlapping prevalence of PAA, GADA, IA-2A, and ZnT8A for this group of patients. It is noteworthy that nine
patients (9.0%) were only positive for ZnT8A and the inclusion of this marker lowered the percentage of patients who tested negative in all four assays to 7.0%.

On the other hand, out of 282 non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients, 9 (3.2%) were PAA, 47 (17.0%) were GADA, 9 (3.2%) were IA-2A, and 46 (16.3%) were ZnT8A. As stated above, the prevalence for ZnT8A was composited by reactivity to all the constructs previously described (Fig. 2C). In addition, Fig. 2D illustrates the isolated and overlapping prevalence of PAA, GADA, IA-2A, and ZnT8A for this group of patients. In this case, 29 patients (10.3%) were only positive for ZnT8A, increasing the detection of autoimmunity to 30.1%.

We also analyzed the clinical characteristics of type 1 and non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients according to the presence of autoantibodies (Supplementary Table 3, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). In type 1 DM, the age at diagnosis was significantly lower in the group with at least one autoantibody than the one without any marker. On the other hand, in non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients there was only a significant difference between groups (with or without antibodies) when BMI was analyzed, being lower in the autoantibodies positive group.

This difference was also statistically significant ($P<0.001$) after adjustment for covariates (age and sex).

**Prevalence of autoantibodies to each ZnT8 variants**

Table 1 depicts the percentage of ZnT8A+ results by RBA using each variant in type 1 and non-obese adult-onset Argentinian diabetic patients. Out of the 100 type 1 diabetic patients, 42 had autoantibodies against ZnT8-Arg325, ten against ZnT8-Trp325, 38 against ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, 15 against ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and 56 presented autoantibodies against the heterodimeric construct ZnT8-Arg–Trp325. On the other hand, out of the 282 non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients, two had autoantibodies against ZnT8-Arg325, 15 against ZnT8-Trp325, 23 against ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, 9 against ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, and 18 against ZnT8-Arg–Trp325.

The signal values of ZnT8A for each antigenic variant were further compared in both groups of patients (Fig. 3 and Table 2). ZnT8A positive sera from type 1 diabetic patients had significantly higher RBA signal values when the heterodimeric variant was employed than those from RBAs using other antigenic probes: median 17.99 for ZnT8-Arg–Trp325 vs 10.71, 6.32, 5.66, and 4.44 S.D.S. for ZnT8-Arg325, ZnT8-Trp325, ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, and ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, respectively; $P<0.05$, Mann–Whitney U test.

For non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients, signals obtained with the heterodimer were also significantly higher than the ones corresponding to the remaining ZnT8 variants (median 12.87 for ZnT8-Arg–Trp325 vs 5.61, 5.78, and 6.07 S.D.S. for ZnT8-Arg325, ZnT8-Trp325, ZnT8-Arg–Arg325, and ZnT8-Trp–Trp325, respectively; $P<0.05$, Mann–Whitney U test). Since only two samples were positive when ZnT8-Arg325 was used, no statistical comparison could be performed.

Table 1 Comparison of ZnT8A results obtained by RBAs using different antigenic variants on 100 sera from type 1 diabetic patients and 282 sera from non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence, n (%)</th>
<th>Type 1 DM (n = 100)</th>
<th>Adult onset DM (n = 282)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Arg325</td>
<td>42 (42.0)</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Trp325</td>
<td>10 (10.0)</td>
<td>15 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Arg–Arg325</td>
<td>38 (38.0)</td>
<td>23 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Trp–Trp325</td>
<td>15 (15.0)</td>
<td>9 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Arg–Trp325</td>
<td>56 (56.0)</td>
<td>18 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated as percentage of results scored positive by RBA using each variant.
Further comparisons were made between the two groups of diabetic patients. Differential reactivity to ZnT8 variants was analyzed and represented in an integrated bar chart (Fig. 4). Out of 65 ZnT8A
\textsuperscript{C} type 1 diabetic patients, 8 recognized all recombinant forms of ZnT8. Most patients (56) reacted against the heterodimer; 25 of them were also positive for the homodimer ZnT8-Arg–Arg\textsubscript{325} and monomer ZnT8-Arg\textsubscript{325}, and only one recognized ZnT8-Trp–Trp\textsubscript{325} and ZnT8-Trp\textsubscript{325} (Fig. 4A).

In addition, 29 out of 46 ZnT8A
\textsuperscript{C} non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients recognized only dimers. One patient showed reactivity against ZnT8-Arg\textsubscript{325} and ZnT8-Arg–Arg\textsubscript{325} exclusively, and six patients were only positive for ZnT8-Trp–Trp\textsubscript{325} (Fig. 4B).

**Discussion**

ZnT8 is a pancreatic β cell secretory granule membrane protein that has been recently identified as a target for humoral autoimmunity in type 1 DM (1, 17). Unlike GAD and IA-2, ZnT8 is a highly specific β cell antigen; therefore, the presence of ZnT8A reveals, although indirectly, a specific damage of these cells. Regarding this new marker, it has been described that 60–80% of the Caucasian population with type 1 DM and 50–60% of the Japanese population with acute onset of DM presented ZnT8A (17, 18). In addition, most screening tests for adult-onset autoimmune DM had been based mainly on the detection of GADA, the most prevalent autoantibody, together with the assessment of other markers. Besides, it has been demonstrated that ZnT8A is, in fact, a helpful humoral marker that should be included in diagnostic tests for the screening of Latent Autoimmune Diabetes of

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigenic variant</th>
<th>Type 1 DM ZnT8\textsuperscript{A} (n=65)</th>
<th>Adult onset DM ZnT8\textsuperscript{A} (n=46)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Arg\textsubscript{325}</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Trp\textsubscript{325}</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Arg–Arg\textsubscript{325}</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Trp–Trp\textsubscript{325}</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8-Arg–Trp\textsubscript{325}</td>
<td>17.99*</td>
<td>12.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly higher than the value for other antigenic variants (Mann–Whitney’s U test, P < 0.05).
Adults (LADA). In a previous report (11, 19) we have proved that patients with adult-onset DM initially identified as ‘marker negative’, based on GADA and IA-2A screening, were reclassified as autoantibody positive when tested for ZnT8A. In the present study, we have included homodimer ZnT8 probes in order to improve the differential characterization of ZnT8A in type 1 and non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients.

In this work, we have found that 65% of patients with recent onset of type 1 DM were ZnT8A+, confirming the profile described above and increasing the detection of autoimmunity from 84.0 to 93.0%. Moreover, the inclusion of ZnT8A in the screening of autoimmunity in non-obese adult-onset diabetic patients increased the combined sensitivity from 19.9 to 30.1%. Therefore, ZnT8A constitutes an additional prevalent marker to the preexisting triad (IAA, GADA, and IA-2A), enabling the appropriate classification of diabetic patients as autoimmune DM.

Regarding the epitopes recognized by ZnT8A, it has been described that 80% of type 1 diabetic patients positive for ZnT8A recognize the ZnT8 C-terminal domain (residues 268–369) with a rare presence of antibodies against the N-terminal fragment (residues 1–74) (20). In this work, we extended the current knowledge of ZnT8A specific recognition from type 1 diabetic patients and non-obese, adult-onset diabetic patients using different antigenic variants: monomeric C-terminal domain containing Arg or Trp at residue 325, the heterodimeric construct Arg–Trp proposed by Hutton et al. (12) and the two homodimeric constructions Arg–Arg and Trp–Trp designed in our laboratory. The reason for the employment of such homodimeric chimeras was that Arg or Trp residues in the originally developed heterodimer only attended the presence in a single construct of the two variants due to polymorphisms, whereas the proper contribution of dimerization in the structure of the antigenic determinant was unclear. Hence, we reasoned that the free energy associated with the antibody–antigen interaction must be related mostly to the whole structure of the epitope and only partially in the residue located in the position 325. Consequently, we speculated that it should be possible to differentiate subpopulations of autoantibodies according to their fine immunoreactivities exhibited by antibodies against the ZnT8 antigenic variants employed. In fact, out of 65 patients with type 1 DM, 25 (38.5%) presented autoantibodies that recognized only constructions containing Arg. This observation reveals the existence of epitopes dependent of the amino acid present at residue 325, at least partially. These results are in agreement with previous reports published by Kawasaki et al. (10), where the authors concluded that the residue 325 is a key determinant of humoral autoreactivity to ZnT8 and that the SLC30A8 genotype is important for autoantibody specificity. In fact, we found that ZnT8A positive sera recognizing the monomeric construction with Arg and the three dimeric
probes (being only negative for the monomer with Trp) confirm not only the existence of epitopes dependent of residue 325, but also other structural determinants generated by dimerization. This observation could reflect the folding state of ZnT8 in vivo.

On the other hand, in non-obese, adult-onset diabetic patients the use of dimers showed the highest percentage of autoimmunity detection. It is reasonable to think that the increase in assay sensitivity may be due to an increased functional avidity of specific antibodies for these best structured antigenic variants.

With the purpose of searching an association between humoral autoreactivity to ZnT8 and SLC30A8 polymorphism, 115 non-obese, adult-onset diabetic patients were selected for genotyping analysis. Among these patients, 65 (56.5%) were Arg325 (CC) homozygotes in SLC30A8, 46 (40%) were CT heterozygotes and 4 (3.5%) were homozygotes for Trp325 (TT), which was in the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2=1.47$, $P=0.22$). The minor allele frequency found among our population was 0.235, similar to the reported allele frequency in Caucasians (0.239 of 226 chromosomes, HapMap project) (21). However, 12 patients were ZnT8A positive, making it not possible to perform a statistical analysis (data not shown).

We conclude that the heterodimeric construction showed higher signal levels and increased dynamic range in RBA for ZnT8A detection. In this sense, it is accepted that the RBA for autoantibodies assessment does not yield a positive result in 100% of a representative well defined cohort of recent onset type 1 diabetic patients (22, 23). The combined screening of other markers, mainly GADA, IA-2A, and IAA/PAA, increases the diagnostic sensitivity; however, about 10% of the individuals are still negative. The remaining subpopulation of negative patients, may not exhibit other evidences of specific autoimmunity, even though they present permanent insulinopenia and are prone to ketoacidosis. Therefore, these type 1 diabetic patients would be classified as idiopathic (type 1B DM) even though they present permanent insulinopenia and do not exhibit other evidences of specific autoimmunity, underlying both pathologies. In this sense, it has been described that the frequency of the heterozygous A/G genotype in LADA patients is significantly increased compared to type 1 diabetic patients (24). Additionally in previous reports, we have demonstrated different marker profiles (15) and different thermodynamic parameters (affinity and concentration) (25) in both groups of patients. Besides in this work, we observed clinical differences (age at diagnosis and BMI) in both patient groups when their clinical features were correlated with the presence of autoantibodies. As a result, type 1 DM and LADA should be considered as slightly different entities within the ‘rainbow’ of autoimmune DM (26) and not simple fast and slowly progressive variants of a same pathology.

Supplementary data
This is linked to the online version of the paper at http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/EJE-15-0681.

Declaration of interest
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Funding
This work was supported in part by grants from FONCYT Program of the National Agency for Science and Technology Promotion (ANPCYT) PICT-2008-0998, National Research Council (CONICET) PIP 11220120100415CO, and the University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina (UBA 20020110100146).

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to J Hutton from the Barbara Davis Center of Childhood Diabetes, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA, for the gift of cDNA plasmids encoding the C-terminal fragment (amino acids 268–369) of the ZnT8 aa 325-Arg allele and the ZnT8 aa 325-Trp allele.
References


