Effect of a pre-exercise hydrocortisone dose on short-term physical performance in female patients with primary adrenal failure
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Abstract

Objective: Many patients with primary adrenal insufficiency (Addison’s disease) take extra doses of glucocorticoids during stressful events, but a benefit has not been demonstrated in controlled trials. Here, we investigated the effects of a pre-exercise hydrocortisone dose on cardiorespiratory, hormonal and metabolic parameters in response to short-term strenuous physical activity.

Design: This was a randomized placebo-controlled, cross-over clinical trial.

Participants: Ten women with Addison’s disease and 10 age-matched healthy females participated in the study.

Measurements: All women in the study underwent maximal incremental exercise testing. A stress dose of 10 mg hydrocortisone or placebo was given 1 h prior to exercise on two occasions. Blood samples were drawn before, and 0, 15 and 30 min post exercise. Oxygen uptake, maximal aerobic capacity, endocrine and metabolic responses to physical activity, as well as health status by questionnaires were evaluated.

Results: Maximal aerobic capacity and duration of exercise were significantly lower in patients than in healthy subjects and did not improve with the treatment. After an extra hydrocortisone dose serum cortisol was significantly higher than in the healthy subjects (P<0.001). Post-exercise glucose and adrenaline levels were significantly lower and free fatty acids insignificantly higher in patients irrespective of stress dose. Stress dosing did not alter other metabolic or hormonal parameters or quality of life after the exercise.

Conclusions: The patients did not benefit from an extra dose of hydrocortisone in short strenuous exercise. Stress dosing may not be justified in this setting. Whether stress dosing is beneficial in other types of physical activity will have to be examined further.

Introduction

Addison’s disease (AD) is a rare, life-threatening disease (1). The current standard replacement therapy is twice or thrice daily hydrocortisone (HC) or cortisone acetate, together with the synthetic mineralocorticoid fluocortisone (2). Recently, more physiological treatment options have been introduced. Once-daily dual-release HC taken upon awakening quickly restores morning cortisol levels and thereafter reproduces the normal gradual decline over the day (3). Continuous subcutaneous HC infusion (CSHI) (4) restores the circadian cortisol rhythm, but not the
ultradian variation in cortisol (5). None of these treatment modalities restore the acute cortisol response to stress needed to maintain circulation and energy homeostasis. Depending on severity and duration of the stressful event, serum cortisol levels rise to 500–1430 nmol/l (or 18–52 mg/dl) (6), which provide the basis for the recommendation to double or triple the daily dosage during acute stress and illness (7).

Minor stress also normally increases the level of cortisol (6). Many patients regularly increase their dosage during physical exercise or emotional stress. Half of the patients reported taking stress doses during increased stress at work and at home, even without intercurrent illness. Such a practice, if used often enough, might in some cases contribute to long-term metabolic complications (8). Yet other AD patients never take extra doses of HC, except when severely ill (9).

The stress dosage recommendations are highly empirical and the benefit has not been documented in clinical trials so far. A pilot trial tested the efficacy of stress dosing in congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH), showing no increase in the exercise capacity (10, 11). Here, we investigated whether a 10 mg HC stress dose given 1 h prior to short-term maximal physical activity would improve physical performance and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ in a placebo-controlled cross-over trial.

**Subjects and methods**

**Design and participants**

This was a randomized, double-blind, two-armed, cross-over designed clinical trial, aimed at determining the effects of an extra HC dose on cardiorespiratory, hormonal and metabolic parameters in response to a maximal incremental ergometer cycle test to volitional exhaustion. We hypothesized that AD patients would benefit from 10 mg HC given prior to exercise and that they would reach the same physical activity and stress hormone response as matched healthy subjects. The primary outcome was O$_2$ uptake estimated as maximal aerobic capacity. Secondary endpoints were detailed cardiorespiratory parameters, duration of exercise, post-exercise hypoglycemic events and glycemic variability, endocrine and metabolic responses, and HRQoL evaluated by questionnaires. Eligible patients were identified from our patient registry (Registry of Organ-Specific Autoimmune Diseases) and invited to participate.

Inclusion criteria were females with verified autoimmune AD with disease duration of more than 1 year. Since female patients report more fatigue and reduced physical function (9) than males, we elected to include only women. The patients were on stable cortisone acetate and fludrocortisone doses for at least 1 month before the study onset, and kept constant throughout the study. Any concomitant endocrine diseases were on stable treatment during the study period. Fertile women were either in a luteal or follicular phase of the cycle, and two women were postmenopausal. Exclusion criteria included type 1 diabetes, malignant disease, pregnancy, estrogen treatment, cardiovascular disease including hypertension, lung disease, neuromuscular diseases and pharmacological treatment with glucocorticoids or drugs that interfere with cortisol and catecholamine metabolism. Participants were told to avoid food and drink interfering with steroid and catecholamine metabolism for at least 48 h and strenuous exercise for at least 24 h before the study start. Age-matched healthy women (C) were recruited from the general population by poster at the University of Bergen and Haukeland University Hospital.

The patients were assigned a participation number and randomized to any of two treatment sequences (A-B or B-A) by the Haukeland University Hospital Pharmacy. Treatment A was 10 mg HC (two tablets Cortef, Pfizer, 5 mg), Treatment B was placebo (two placebo tablets). All medication was in gel capsules produced by Kragerø Pharmacy (www.kagero.com) according to the randomization list. Thus, the active and placebo tablets were unidentifiable. All patients and healthy subjects signed informed consent prior to inclusion. The study was approved by the Regional Ethical committee and the National Medicines Agency in Norway (EudraCTNumber2012-005117-40, www.Clinical-Trial.gov ID:NCT01847690). The study was performed according to the principles of Good Clinical Practice (CPMP/ICH/135/95) and the Declaration of Helsinki (1989 version).

**Ergometer test and cardiorespiratory assessment**

Prior to the randomization and at least 3 days prior to the first test day, each patient and healthy subject underwent a maximal incremental cycle ergometer test to volitional exhaustion to adapt to the test procedure. The test consisted of a 3 min warm-up and then gradual increments of load up to the maximum capacity for the patient. The individual work rate increase was calculated by predicted maximal power and designed to elicit maximal effort in 8–12 min. All the participants arrived 1 h prior to the test. The patients ingested a gel capsule
Glucose, insulin, lactate and growth hormone (GH) were analyzed by standard assay used by the Clinical Chemistry Routine laboratory at Haukeland University Hospital (Bergen, Norway). Free fatty acids (FFA) were measured enzymatically on a Hitachi 917 system (Roche Diagnostics, GmbH) using the FFA kit NEFA FS (non-esterified fatty acids) ref.157819910935 from Diasys (Diagnostic System, GmbH, Holzheim, Germany). The plasma for adrenaline and noradrenaline analyses were collected in vacutainer tubes treated with ethylene glycol tetaacetic acid and glutathione, reagent from Sigma–Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). A and NA were analyzed by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and electrochemical detection (ECD, Antec, Leyden Deacade II SCC, Zoeterwoude, The Netherlands) using a commercial kit (Chromsystems, München, Germany). The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 3.9 and 10.8% respectively. The detection of A and NA limit was 5.46 pmol/l (14, 15).

**Questionnaires**

In the evening after each exercise test, patients completed the two generic HRQoL questionnaires, the Short-Form 36 (SF 36) and AD-specific AddiQoL questionnaire. AddiQoL consists of 30 items with scoring algorithms as described in (16). A higher score indicates a higher level of HRQoL (score range 30–120).

**Statistical analysis**

The comparison of the stress dose treatment with placebo treatment and healthy controls from baseline through end of follow-up was done by using linear regression models for repeated measures (i.e. mixed-effects models). The models for biological and glycemic data defined treatment, time and treatment-by-time interaction as fixed effects, whereas a random intercept was specified to account for correlated observations of the same individual. For HRQoL data, observations were only available at the end of follow-up, so no time or treatment-by-time interactions were included when comparing the treatment groups. When a significant period and/or sequence effect in the cross-over design was detected, the comparison of stress dose with placebo was performed alone in a separate model, including period and sequence terms. In situations of substantially skewed data (METSmax, VO2kgAT, HRAF), the mixed models performed poor fit. For these variables and ergometry, we used simple non-parametric methods: Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test for
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Number of patients included in the analyses were 10 for treatment of HC, 10 for placebo and 10 were healthy subjects.

Oxygen uptake; VO₂kg_max, oxygen uptake per kg; VCO₂max, carbon dioxide production; RERmax, respiratory exchange rate; BPsysmax, peak systolic blood pressure; BPdiamax, peak diastolic blood pressure; BPmax, peak systolic blood pressure; HRmax, peak heart rate; O₂pulsemax, maximum peak of oxygen per pulse; Eemax, energetic expenditure max; METSmax, metabolic equivalents max, Recover-Time of recovery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Wilcoxon's test*</th>
<th>Healthy subjects</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney test*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load_max (W)</td>
<td>141.0 ± 32.0</td>
<td>142.0 ± 33.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>186.0 ± 35.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time_max (s)</td>
<td>344.0 ± 108.0</td>
<td>353.0 ± 100.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>490.0 ± 98.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂kg_max (ml/kg per min)</td>
<td>25.7 ± 8.4</td>
<td>26.6 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO₂max (l/min)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RERmax</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPsysmax (mmHg)</td>
<td>94.2 ± 8.6</td>
<td>94.7 ± 11.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>95.0 ± 13.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPdiamax (mmHg)</td>
<td>186.0 ± 19.0</td>
<td>192.0 ± 19.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>195.0 ± 26.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmax (beats/min)</td>
<td>160.0 ± 20.0</td>
<td>159.0 ± 16.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>172.0 ± 12.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂pulse_max (ml)</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emax (kcal/h)</td>
<td>453.0 ± 205.0</td>
<td>529.0 ± 135.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>726.0 ± 171.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METSmax</td>
<td>11.1 ± 12.3</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (s)</td>
<td>53.0 ± 24.0</td>
<td>58.0 ± 22.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>107.0 ± 32.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load_AT (W)</td>
<td>99.6 ± 23.9</td>
<td>104.0 ± 20.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>137.0 ± 28.2</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂kg_AT (ml/kg per min)</td>
<td>18.1 ± 5.5</td>
<td>32.0 ± 37.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>25.1 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCO₂_AT (l/min)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER_AT</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_AT (beats/min)</td>
<td>143.0 ± 24.0</td>
<td>144.0 ± 15.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>134.0 ± 48.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂pulse_AT (ml)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time_AT (s)</td>
<td>228.0 ± 77.1</td>
<td>249.0 ± 55.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>354.0 ± 79.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P for difference (Treatment vs Placebo).

†P for difference (Treatment vs Healthy subjects).

Max indicates parameter measured at maximal physical activity and AT at anaerobic threshold; Load_max, Load max; Time_max, duration of exercise; VO₂max, oxygen uptake; VO₂kg_max, oxygen uptake per kg; VCO₂max, carbon dioxide production; RERmax, respiratory exchange rate; BPsys_max, peak systolic blood pressure; BPdiamax, peak diastolic blood pressure; BFmax, peak heart rate; O₂pulse_max, maximum peak of oxygen per pulse; Emax, energetic expenditure max; METSmax, metabolic equivalents max, Recover-Time of recovery.

Results

Participants

Eligible patients were identified in the national Addison’s registry and 28 patients were invited by letter to participate. Sixteen patients either did not reply or did not fulfill the inclusion criteria (interfering medication, systemic disease). Twelve patients signed informed consent, one failed to manage the first cycling test due to severe joint pain. Eleven patients were included; one was withdrawn at the end of the study because of...
and almost twice those of the healthy subjects (Fig. 1). The changes in cortisol were also reflected in the cortisone levels.

Adrenaline (A) and noradrenaline (NA) levels were not affected by the treatment, but the A levels in the patients were significantly lower after the exercise than in the healthy subjects (Fig. 2). The levels of GH, lactate, FFA, blood glucose and insulin were not affected by stress dosing (Table 3). In the patients, the blood glucose and insulin levels seemed to be lower than in the controls and to lack the post-exercise surge, although not statistically significant. The post-exercise nighttime tissue glucose levels were not affected by the administration of an extra dose of hydrocortisone (Fig. 3).

**Patient evaluation and HRQoL**

Three patients (30%) correctly recognized which medication they received, 60% did not notice any difference and 10% made the wrong assumption, and we did not find any significant difference between a reply at the day of exercise and the next morning, when only two patients changed their opinion. The HRQoL scores assessed by AddiQoL ($P$ for differences 0.8) and SF-36 ($P$ for differences 0.4) did not differ between the treatments.

**Adverse events and extra doses of hydrocortisone after exercise**

No adverse event was noted during the study. None of the patients took extra doses of HC after the exercise.

**Discussion**

Although stress dosing is common among AD patients, this study of 10 mg HC dose given to ten female patients...
Table 3  Comparison of selected parameters among patients and healthy volunteers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Healthy subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>Observed mean ± S.E.M.</td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>10 0.40 ± 0.05</td>
<td>10 0.40 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>9 0.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td>9 0.30 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 0.40 ± 0.05</td>
<td>10 0.40 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>10 0.40 ± 0.05</td>
<td>10 0.40 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>10 5.00 ± 0.10</td>
<td>10 4.70 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>9 5.00 ± 0.10</td>
<td>9 5.10 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 5.30 ± 0.10</td>
<td>10 5.10 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>10 5.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td>10 4.90 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/l)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>10 7.05 ± 2.11</td>
<td>10 7.26 ± 1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>9 7.14 ± 2.13</td>
<td>9 8.92 ± 1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 11.06 ± 2.88</td>
<td>10 10.97 ± 2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>10 9.73 ± 2.54</td>
<td>10 9.18 ± 2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH (ug/l)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>10 −0.62 ± 0.61</td>
<td>10 −0.79 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>9 −0.19 ± 0.71</td>
<td>9 0.20 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 0.47 ± 0.47</td>
<td>10 0.43 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>10 0.37 ± 0.42</td>
<td>10 0.37 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>10 1.07 ± 0.24</td>
<td>10 1.12 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>10 7.05 ± 0.79</td>
<td>10 6.48 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>10 4.40 ± 0.63</td>
<td>10 4.06 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>10 2.19 ± 0.34</td>
<td>10 2.09 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before indicates the baseline blood test and after indicates blood test immediately after the termination of exercise.

*By linear mixed effect models with random intercept; 95% CI for the difference was obtained by post-hoc test for pairwise comparison (Sidak-corrected).

**Overall P for interaction was obtained by the likelihood ratio test.

*There were no statistically significant period or sequence effects for the cross-over of the treatment and placebo.

**There was a statistically significant period effect for the cross-over of the treatment and placebo.

*There was a statistically significant sequence effect for the cross-over of the treatment and placebo.

Separate analysis between treatment and placebo.

Separate analysis between treatment and controls.
1 h prior to an ergometer test showed no effect on physical exertion or any cardiorespiratory or metabolic parameter. The patients were not able to tell which treatment they were given, and HRQoL scores were similar on both occasions.

It is remarkable that no effects on physical capacity were noted considering the common use of extra doses during strenuous activity and the ubiquitous actions of cortisol. Whereas the cortisol levels in the AD patients without taking extra doses were lower than in healthy subjects, the levels after extra HC dose were clearly supraphysiological. Glucocorticoid replacement is undoubtedly necessary for survival in AD, and replacement to physiological levels thought to be important for symptom relief and normal HRQoL. However, our results indicate that the cortisol levels may not directly and instantly relate to physical capacity. A relatively small sample may be a limitation of the study, but if individual patients should benefit clinically from such treatment, we anticipated that some effects should be found in a sample of this size. Importantly, the study did not address effects of extra doses in other types of physical stress, i.e., repeated doses and extensive or prolonged physical activity. Moreover, individual HC requirement is influenced by HC absorption, metabolism and individual sensitivity to glucocorticoids (17, 18), and hence proper stress doses would have to be individualized in a clinical setting. Thus, true effects of stress dosing may be concealed by large individual variation and statistical type II error. The patients were less physically active than controls prior to the trial, possibly contributing to the lower maximal physical capacity achieved by the patients when compared to controls.

Both the results of our study and a similar study in CAH (10, 11) indicate that the function of the adrenal medulla may be more important than the cortisol levels during acute physical stress. Plasma levels of A and NA are very low in healthy subjects at rest (19), but may increase more than 100 times during stress. The rise in A closely correlates with the corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) response to stress, in keeping with cortisol as a major regulator of conversion of NA to A (20). Suppression of the HPA axis by glucocorticoid treatment in healthy subjects downregulates the activity of phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase (PNMT), resulting in lower basal levels of A. Plasma A is almost exclusively derived from the adrenal medulla, whereas NA is predominantly derived from the sympathetic nervous system (21). However, an extra-adrenal source of A also exists, since the PNMT enzyme is expressed in the heart, kidney, muscles, retina and brain. The extra-adrenal PNMT activity increases after administration of glucocorticoids, and may increase blood pressure and blood glucose (22). Notwithstanding this extra-adrenal source, other studies have found that patients with AD display a 20–50% reduction of A levels compared to healthy controls under resting conditions (23). Low basal level of A was described also in secondary adrenal insufficiency (24). Levels of A in both infants and adults with CAH was significantly lower than in healthy subjects (22, 23, 24, 25). The glucocorticoid therapy in CAH had little effect on A levels (10, 26). Thus, it is conceivable that in acute and intensive stress, cortisol has a permissive role, whereas the capacity for A increase may be the major limiting factor for physical capacity.

Recently, partial preservation of cortisol secretion in some AD patients has been documented (27, 28, 29), which possibly correlates with adrenal medullary function and could partly explain the large diversity in fatigue after stress and propensity to adrenal crisis.

We found an attenuated response in blood glucose after exercise in AD, despite almost doubled cortisol levels after the stress dose. A similar reduced glucose response to maximal exercise was seen in CAH patients, with only 20% of the normal increase (26, 30, 31, 32). These results indicate that the altered glucose response relates to an impaired A response to stress rather than cortisol levels. A is one of the principal hormones responsible for short-term regulation of glucose levels together with insulin,
glucagon and GH. Reduced glucose levels possibly contribute to the malaise and lack of concentration reported in AD, although the glucose levels observed here were within the normal range (32). A high-calorie diet was shown to reduce symptoms of neuroglycopenia in AD (33), and thus it is conceivable that oral supply of carbohydrates might be of more help in stress events than HC. Children with AD are more prone towards hypoglycemic events than adults, which may relate to an impaired A response, but also to carbohydrate intake and reserves (11).

Cortisol has well known effects on glucose levels, and cortisol deficiency during daytime exercise might lead to a delayed hypoglycemic response. In a recent study, we found that the glucose levels in AD patients on conventional replacement therapy declined over the night and reached the lowest level around 0800 h. This glucose decline was counteracted by treatment with continuous subcutaneous hydrocortisone infusion, which restored the cortisol levels to normal through the night (34). Here, we postulated that without the extra dose of HC the patients would experience lower glucose levels than the controls during the night following the exercise, which might be alleviated by the stress dose. However, we found no difference in nighttime glucose levels, either between the treatments or between patients and controls.

In conclusion, this study did not find any benefit of a stress-dose of 10 mg of HC, but revealed differences between patients with AD and healthy volunteers in adrenaline and glucose responses that may explain the reduced acute physical capacity observed in patients with AD. Children with AD are more prone towards hypoglycemic events than adults, which might be alleviated by the stress dose. However, we found no difference in nighttime glucose levels, either between the treatments or between patients and controls.

In conclusion, this study did not find any benefit of a stress-dose of 10 mg of HC, but revealed differences between patients with AD and healthy volunteers in adrenaline and glucose responses that may explain the reduced acute physical capacity observed in patients with AD. The results do not lend support to frequent use of stress dosing during short-term strenuous physical activity. Whether stress-dosing is beneficial if given otherwise and during longer-lasting physical activity will have to be investigated further in future trials.
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