ENDOCRINOLOGY IN PREGNANCY

Influence of maternal vitamin D status on obstetric outcomes and the fetal skeleton

Rebecca J Moon^{1,2}, Nicholas C Harvey^{1,3,*} and Cyrus Cooper^{1,3,4,*}

¹MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, Southampton General Hospital, University of Southampton, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK, ²Paediatric Endocrinology, University Hospitals Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK, ³NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK and ⁴NIHR Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LD, UK

* (N C Harvey and C Cooper are joint senior author)

Correspondence
should be addressed
to C Cooper
Email
cc@mrc.soton.ac.uk

Abstract

Vitamin D status has been increasingly associated with wide-ranging clinical outcomes. There is now a wealth of observational studies reporting on its associations with obstetric complications, including pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes and the mode and timing of delivery. The findings are inconsistent, and currently there is a lack of data from high-quality intervention studies to confirm a causal role for vitamin D in these outcomes. This is similarly true with regards to fetal development, including measures of fetal size and skeletal mineralisation. Overall, there is an indication of possible benefits of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy for offspring birthweight, calcium concentrations and bone mass as well as for reduced maternal pre-eclampsia. However, for none of these outcomes is the current evidence base conclusive, and the available data justify the instatement of high-quality randomised placebo controlled trials in a range of populations and health care settings to establish the potential efficacy and safety of vitamin D supplementation to improve particular outcomes.

*European Journal of
Endocrinology*
(2015) 173, R69–R83

Introduction

The classical role of vitamin D is in calcium and phosphate homeostasis: it is without doubt that severe vitamin D deficiency (VDD) can result in rickets, osteomalacia and hypocalcaemia. However, there has been increasing

evidence to suggest that VDD is associated with wide-ranging clinical outcomes, including pregnancy complications and adverse fetal development. As a result, a number of national guidelines recommend vitamin D

Invited Author's profile

Cyrus Cooper is Professor of Rheumatology and Director of the MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, Vice Dean of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Southampton, and Professor of Musculoskeletal Science at the University of Oxford. He leads an internationally competitive programme of research in the epidemiology of musculoskeletal disorders, most notably osteoporosis. He is Chairman of the Committee of Scientific Advisors, International Osteoporosis Foundation, Chair of the Arthritis Research UK Clinical Studies Initiative, Chair of the BHF Project Grants Committee, an NIHR Senior Investigator, and Associate Editor of *Osteoporosis International*. He has published extensively on osteoporosis and rheumatic disorders and has pioneered clinical studies on the developmental origins of peak bone mass.

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R70
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

supplementation during pregnancy (1, 2, 3), although this is not currently supported by the World Health Organisation (4). In the present review, we consider the evidence basis for antenatal vitamin D supplementation to prevent obstetric complications and the influence of vitamin D on fetal growth and skeletal development.

Literature search

The present review is based on literature identified through our recently published systematic review of vitamin D in pregnancy (in relation to both maternal and offspring outcomes), in which published and grey literature were comprehensively searched for maternal and offspring health outcomes across a wide range of databases from their inception until 2012 (5). A full systematic update was outside the scope of the present review, but we aimed to identify important additional studies using the US National Library of Medicine National Institutes of Health (www.pubmed.com) with the search terms 'vitamin D' AND 'pregnancy' up to August 2014.

Vitamin D physiology and epidemiology in pregnancy

Vitamin D can be derived from the diet as either ergocalciferol (vitamin D₂) from plant sources or as cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃) from animal sources. However, the majority is formed endogenously within the skin from the action of ultraviolet B (UVB; 290–315 nm wavelength) to convert 7-dehydrocholesterol to pre-vitamin D₃. Hydroxylation within the liver produces 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D). This is the main circulating form of vitamin D, and it is found either bound to vitamin D binding protein (VDBP), bound to albumin or in the free form. 25(OH)D acts as a reservoir for conversion to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)₂D), primarily in the renal proximal tubular cells, but also within bone, the parathyroid gland and placenta. Although 1,25(OH)₂D is the active metabolite, its production is regulated in response to serum calcium and its half life is short, at 4–6 h. Conversely, hepatic 25-hydroxylation is not physiologically regulated, and 25(OH)D has a half-life of ~2–3 weeks (6). Therefore, serum 25(OH)D is currently considered the best marker of vitamin D status (7).

The primary function of 1,25(OH)₂D is calcium and phosphate homeostasis, which occurs in conjunction with parathyroid hormone (PTH). Thus, low serum ionised Ca²⁺ stimulates PTH release, which simultaneously increases renal calcium reabsorption in the distal tubule

of the kidney, decreases proximal tubule phosphate reabsorption, and increases 1,25(OH)₂D synthesis. The main action of 1,25(OH)₂D is to increase the uptake of dietary calcium through the intestinal enterocytes, but it also enables the PTH-induced mobilisation of calcium and phosphate from bone mineral (8).

During pregnancy, alterations to calcium and phosphate metabolism occur to allow the accretion of calcium within the fetal skeleton, particularly during the final trimester (9). This occurs through increased maternal intestinal calcium absorption (10, 11) and the mobilisation of calcium within the maternal skeletal (12) but without alteration to the maternal serum ionised calcium concentration. Maternal calcitropic hormones, including 1,25(OH)₂D, likely play an important role in these adaptations, seeing as total 1,25(OH)₂D increases during the second and third trimesters (10, 13). This could, however, also reflect the increase in VDBP from early to late pregnancy (11, 14). The increase in 1,25(OH)₂D appears to be independent of PTH, which remains within the normal adult range throughout pregnancy (9). However, PTH-related protein (PTHrP) is elevated in the maternal circulation beginning in early pregnancy and might contribute to the rise in 1,25(OH)₂D (13). The effect of pregnancy on 25(OH)D is less well understood: Zhang *et al.* (14) observed a reduction in 25(OH)D in late pregnancy as compared to early pregnancy, but this might have been a reflection of seasonal variation, seeing as all of the subjects were recruited in summer months. In contrast, Ritchie *et al.* (11) reported no significant differences in 25(OH)D measured in 14 women before pregnancy, during each trimester and during lactation. Nonetheless, biochemically low levels of 25(OH)D are highly prevalent: in a cohort of predominantly Caucasian women in the UK, 31% had a serum 25(OH)D of <50 nmol/l, which is widely considered to be insufficient, and 18% had <25 nmol/l, which is often considered deficient (15). However, in an ethnically more diverse UK population, 36% of women had a 25(OH)D of <25 nmol/l at pregnancy booking (16). Indeed, dark skin pigmentation and extensive skin covering (e.g. for religious or cultural reasons) are the strongest risk factors for VDD. Obesity is also associated with biochemically low 25(OH)D levels, whereas in pregnancy, the use of vitamin D supplements may prevent deficiency (15). Maternal 25(OH)D in pregnancy is an important consideration, because the fetus is entirely dependent on the mother for 25(OH)D. 25(OH)D readily crosses the placenta, and maternal and umbilical cord venous blood 25(OH)D are moderately to highly correlated, with umbilical cord

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R71
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

concentrations typically being lower than those of the maternal blood, although the reported correlation coefficient does vary markedly between studies ($r=0.44$ – 0.89 (17, 18, 19, 20)). Randomised controlled trials have clearly demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy can increase umbilical cord venous and neonatal serum 25(OH)D as compared to a placebo (21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28).

Obstetric complications

Observational studies

Numerous observational studies have reported associations between either vitamin D intake in pregnancy or serum measurement of 25(OH)D and pregnancy complications, including gestational hypertension (GHT) and pre-eclampsia (PET), gestational diabetes (GDM), timing of delivery and mode of delivery. The interpretation and comparison of these studies is limited by the timing of 25(OH)D measurements, which range from first trimester to delivery, the definitions used for both VDD and the outcome, the covariates that are included and the study design (e.g. prospective cohort or case–control).

Gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia

Although the aetiology of PET is poorly understood and likely multifactorial, there is some evidence that maternal calcium status might be important and that calcium supplementation can reduce PET risk, particularly in women with low calcium intake (29). Thus, exploring a role for calcitropic hormones, including vitamin D, is a sensible approach. Several case–control and prospective cohort studies have demonstrated that women who developed PET had lower serum 25(OH)D as compared to controls in early (30, 31, 32), mid- (33, 34) or late (30, 35, 36) pregnancy and that VDD increased the risk of PET (30, 35, 37). One case–control study suggested that women with serum 25(OH)D of <37.5 nmol/l at <22 weeks gestation had a fivefold higher risk of PET than did women with a 25(OH)D of >37.5 nmol/l, independent of ethnicity, season, gestational age at sampling, pre-pregnancy BMI and educational achievement (30). Similarly, in a cohort of 23 425 pregnant women in Norway, lower vitamin D intake that was estimated from a food frequency questionnaire at 22 weeks gestation was associated with a significantly increased risk of PET (38). The lower vitamin D intake in women who developed PET was mostly the result of a difference in vitamin D obtained from supplements, which

suggests that supplementation might prevent PET. However, these findings are not supported by all studies (32, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46). Indeed, in a prospective cohort of 1591 women, for each additional 25 nmol/l increment in 25(OH)D in early pregnancy, the risk of gestational hypertension (GHT) (without PET) increased by 30%, but no effect on PET risk was observed (43), which highlights possible detrimental effects of higher vitamin D status.

In recent years, there have been several published meta-analyses of the relationship between maternal vitamin D status and PET risk, as shown in Table 1 (5, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51). As with the observational studies, the conclusions of these meta-analyses are inconsistent. In our own meta-analysis, we found no significant reduction in the risk of PET with higher vitamin D status (Fig. 1) (5). In contrast, Aghajafari *et al.* (49) found that the increased risk of PET in VDD was only observed in studies in which blood sampling occurred after 16 weeks gestation and when VDD was defined as 25(OH)D of <75 nmol/l and not <50 nmol/l. However, Tabesh *et al.* (50), who included a larger number of studies that defined VDD as <50 nmol/l, demonstrated an increased risk of PET, which was not found when deficiency was defined as <38 nmol/l. Importantly, the total number of women included in these meta-analyses varied from 610 to 2485 (excluding those based on intake only and the most recent meta-analyses, which included novel data (47)). However, between January 2013 and July 2014, at least a further 14 case–control or prospective cohort studies that measured serum 25(OH)D and assessed PET risk have been published (32, 36, 37, 44, 45, 46, 47, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59). These newer studies include data for an additional 21 000 women, considerably more than were included in the published meta-analyses.

Gestational diabetes

Similarly to PET, conflicting findings have been reported for 25(OH)D status in case–control and prospective cohort studies of GDM risk: both lower (52, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65) and similar serum 25(OH)D (66, 67) during pregnancy in women with and without GDM have been reported. One study of women who were referred for GDM screening did not find a difference in the prevalence of GDM in women with 25(OH)D of more than and <50 nmol/l, but the women with 25(OH)D of <50 nmol/l did have higher fasting blood glucose, HbA1c and insulin resistance. However these women also had higher BMI, lower physical activity and were less likely to be Caucasian, which might have confounded the findings (68). Three separate

Table 1 Meta-analyses of maternal vitamin D status (intake and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level) and risk of pre-eclampsia.

					Risk of pre-eclampsia with low vitamin D status	
Author	Publication cut-off	Number of studies included	Number of women included	Comparison	Direction of effect	Reported odds ratio (95% CI)
Vitamin D intake						
Thorne-Lyman (2012) (48)	June 2011	2	25 141	Highest vs lowest category of vitamin D intake	↔	0.95 (0.86, 1.06)
Hypponen (2013) (47)	March 2013 + inclusion of novel data	2	77 165	Self-supplementation vs unsupplemented	↑	1.23 (1.15, 1.33)
Serum 25(OH)D						
Aghajafari (2013) (49)	August 2012	2	697	Serum 25(OH)D ≥ 50 nmol/l vs < 50 nmol/l	↔	1.27 (0.67, 2.42)
		5	1165	Serum 25(OH)D ≥ 75 nmol/l vs < 75 nmol/l	↑	2.11 (1.36, 3.27)
		7	1862	Higher serum 25(OH)D as defined by each study vs lower serum 25(OH)D	↑	1.79 (1.25, 2.58)
		7	1862	Higher serum 25(OH)D as defined by each study vs lower serum 25(OH)D, adjusted for 'critical confounders'	↔	1.51 (0.89, 2.57)
Hypponen (2013) (47)	March 2013 + inclusion of novel data	6	6864	Higher serum 25(OH)D as defined by each study vs lower serum 25(OH)D	↑	1.92 (1.12, 3.33)
Tabesh (2013) (50)	December 2012	4	931	Serum 25(OH)D ≥ 38 nmol/l vs < 38 nmol/l	↔	Actual odds ratios not reported
		5	1775	Serum 25(OH)D ≥ 50 nmol/l vs < 50 nmol/l	↑	
		8	2485	Higher serum 25(OH)D as defined by each study vs lower serum 25(OH)D	↑	
Wei (2013) (51)	October 2012	6	610	Serum 25(OH)D ≥ 50 nmol/l vs < 50 nmol/l	↑	2.09 (1.50, 2.90)
		5	802	Serum 25(OH)D ≥ 75 nmol/l vs < 75 nmol/l	↑	1.78 (1.23, 2.56)
Harvey (2014) (5)	June 2012	4	628	Each 25 nmol/l increase in serum 25(OH)D	↔	0.78 (0.59, 1.05)

meta-analyses of published studies all concluded that women with GDM had significantly lower mean 25(OH)D than normoglycaemic women did (49, 51, 69), with the mean difference in 25(OH)D ranging from 3.9 to 7.4 nmol/l. Furthermore, these meta-analyses suggested that the risk of GDM was increased by 40–60% in women with VDD (49, 51, 69), as shown in Fig. 2 (49). However, as was the case with the studies that assessed PET risk, there is now substantially more data available than there was for these meta-analyses (44, 52, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 70). Although many of the smaller studies would have supported the previous conclusions, a large prospective cohort of women in Australia, including 5109 women, of whom 7.4% developed GDM, first trimester VDD (defined either as <25 nmol/l or <37.5 nmol/l) was not associated with an

increased risk of GDM as compared to 50–75 nmol/l 25(OH)D after adjustment for age, parity, smoking during pregnancy, maternal weight, previously diagnosed hypertension, diabetes, season at sampling, country of birth or socio-economic disadvantage (52). Furthermore, in 1953 women in southern China, vitamin D sufficiency (25(OH)D of >75 nmol/l) at 16–20 weeks gestation was associated with a small, but statistically significant, increased risk of GDM (OR 1.02, 95% CI 1.00, 1.04) (44).

Caesarean delivery

Unsurprisingly, in recent years, there has also been an increase in studies that have reported maternal vitamin D status in relation to the mode and timing of delivery.

Figure 1

Forest plot of the association between maternal vitamin D status and risk of pre-eclampsia (observational studies).

Reproduced from Harvey N, Holroyd C, Ntani G, Javaid M,

Cooper P, Moon R, Cole Z, Tinati T, Godfrey K, Dennison E *et al.* Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Health Technology Assessment* 2014 **18** 1–190.

Again, these are inconsistent. After adjusting for potential confounding factors, three studies, which assessed 25(OH)D in early pregnancy, when attending for GDM screening and at delivery, reported an increased risk of Caesarean delivery (68, 71, 72). Conversely, two studies which measured 25(OH)D in the first trimester demonstrated no increased risk (42, 44). Assessment of the influence of VDD on the mode of delivery is further complicated by the underlying cause for intervention. Savvidou *et al.* (73) additionally categorised women requiring emergency caesarean delivery as a result of a failure to progress and for fetal distress. Neither group had significantly different serum 25(OH)D levels in early pregnancy from those of women who delivered vaginally (73).

Preterm delivery

More studies have concluded that maternal 25(OH)D status is not related to preterm birth (39, 42, 52, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78) than have shown that VDD increases this risk (68, 79, 80). Furthermore, Zhou *et al.* (44) reported that women with higher vitamin D status at 16–20 weeks gestation had a higher odds of preterm delivery, and Hossain *et al.* (81) similarly found that cord blood 25(OH)D was higher in preterm (<37 weeks gestation) deliveries (mean 55 nmol/l) as compared to term pregnancies (mean 40 nmol/l, $P = 0.009$) in women in Pakistan. Interestingly, two of the studies which suggested that VDD increased the risk of preterm delivery used a definition of <35 weeks gestation for preterm (79, 80), whereas all but one (78) of the studies

which reported either no relationship or that VDD reduced the risk of preterm delivery considered preterm delivery to be at <37 weeks gestation. Although this might suggest that VDD is particularly associated with an increased risk of very preterm birth, Schneuer *et al.* (52), who prospectively studied first trimester 25(OH)D status in more than 5000 women, found that VDD did not increase the risk of either all or spontaneous preterm birth at <34 weeks gestation before or after adjustment for potential confounding factors. However, differences in the timing of 25(OH)D assessment, and the inclusion of only twin pregnancies in one study that showed increased risk (79), could account for these different findings. Furthermore, Bodnar *et al.* (80) observed that only non-white mothers had an increased risk of preterm birth with low 25(OH)D at 26 weeks gestation, which suggests that the stratification of women by ethnicity in future intervention studies might be necessary.

Intervention studies of vitamin D supplementation to reduce obstetric complications

Observational data cannot confirm a causal effect of vitamin D or justify population-wide supplementation, particularly seeing as some studies have suggested possible detrimental effects of higher 25(OH)D (43, 44, 81). Because 25(OH)D status is primarily determined by environmental factors, confounding and reverse causality need to be considered, and differences in the covariates included in multivariate models might explain the inconsistent findings. For example, obese individuals

Figure 2

Meta-analysis of maternal serum 25(OH)D in pregnancy and gestational diabetes. Reproduced from Aghajafari F, Nagulesapillai T, Ronksley PE, Tough SC, O'Beirne M & Rabi DM. Association between maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level

and pregnancy and neonatal outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ* 2013 **346** f1169. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f1169 with permission from BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.

have lower 25(OH)D status and a higher incidence of GDM, GHT, PET, caesarean section and preterm delivery (82, 83). Similarly African-American women are more likely to require delivery by Caesarean section and to experience pre-eclampsia and preterm labour (84). Whether these outcomes can truly be attributed to lower 25(OH)D as compared to Caucasian women and can therefore be prevented with vitamin D supplementation must be established through intervention studies.

Despite the expansiveness of the observational data, there are currently few trials of antenatal vitamin D supplementation that report on maternal outcomes other than maternal/neonatal vitamin D and calcium status (85). In three of the five studies, the interventional product contained only vitamin D (26, 86, 87), whereas the other two assessed the effects of combined vitamin D and calcium supplementation (88, 89) (Table 2). The interpretation of these two studies with regards to GHT and PET is limited, because calcium supplementation is known to reduce the risk of PET (29). Nonetheless, high-dose vitamin D supplementation, with or without calcium supplementation, did not improve the incidence of GHT, PET, GDM, or preterm delivery as compared to either usual care

or low-dose supplementation (26, 86, 87, 88, 89). However, these studies were most likely underpowered to detect a difference in these outcomes. GDM complicates ~4.5% of pregnancies in the UK (90). Thus, to detect a 50% reduction in this incidence with 80% power at the 5% significance level, 1010 women would have been needed in each study arm. Because PET occurs in 2–3% of pregnancies, even larger study numbers would be needed to detect it.

Although trials of vitamin D supplementation have not yet demonstrated a reduction in the incidence of PET or GDM, there is some evidence to support its effects on blood pressure and glucose metabolism when they are considered as continuous outcomes. For example, Marya *et al.* (89) demonstrated a reduction in both systolic and diastolic BP in women randomised to vitamin D and calcium supplementation as compared to those who received usual care. Confirmation of this finding using vitamin D alone is now needed. Three studies have assessed the effects of vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance. In an unblinded study of 113 Iranian women randomised to one of three treatment groups (200 IU/day, 50 000 IU/month, 50 000 IU/fortnight) from 12 weeks gestation until delivery, insulin resistance, as

Table 2 Intervention studies of vitamin D supplementation (alone and in combination with calcium supplementation) in pregnancy to reduce obstetric complications.

Study	Population	Gestation at randomisation (weeks)	Interventional medicinal product (IMP)	Control	Effect of IMP vs control on incidence of obstetric events				
					Hypertensive disorders	GDM	Preterm delivery	Caesarean section	Intrauterine death/stillbirth
Vitamin D supplementation Hossain (2014) (86) (Karachi, Pakistan) Wagner (2013) (26) (South Carolina, USA) ¹	n = 178	20	4000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol	Usual care	↔	↔	↔	↔	↓ (0 vs 1 case, P = 0.05)
	n = 504	12–16	2000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol (n = 201)	400 IU/day oral cholecalciferol (n = 111)	↔	↔	↔	↔	
			4000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol (n = 193)		↔	↔	↔		
Yap (2014) (87) (Sydney, Australia)	n = 179 25(OH)D <80 nmol/l at baseline	<20	5000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol	400 IU/day oral cholecalciferol	↔	↔	↔	↔	
Vitamin D + calcium supplementation Kalra (2011) (88) (Lucknow, India)	n = 140	12–24	Group 1: 60 000 IU single-dose oral cholecalciferol at recruitment + 1 g elemental Ca/day until delivery (n = 48) Group 2: 120 000 IU oral cholecalciferol at recruitment and 28 weeks gestation + 1 g elemental Ca/day until delivery (n = 49)	Usual care (n = 43)	↔			↔	↔
Marya (1987) (89) (Rothak, India)	n = 400	20–24	1200 IU/day vitamin D + 375 mg calcium	Usual care		↔			

↔, no effect shown; ↓, vitamin D supplementation reduced the incidence of the outcome; GHT, gestational hypertension; PET, pre-eclampsia; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.
¹Reported a combined analysis of data collected in two previous studies (22, 109).

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R76
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

assessed by HOMA-IR, increased significantly from baseline to delivery in all three groups, but the rise was significantly less in women randomised to 50 000 IU/fortnight than it was in women who received 200 IU/day (91). In contrast, Yap *et al.* (87) found no difference in either fasting blood glucose or that measured 2 h after glucose load in women randomised to either 400 IU/day or 5000 IU/day cholecalciferol, with similar results for HOMA-IR. Finally, in a small study of 54 women with a diagnosis of GDM, two doses of 50 000 IU cholecalciferol 3 weeks apart improved fasting blood glucose and insulin resistance as compared to a placebo. However, the women randomised to vitamin D supplementation had significantly higher insulin resistance at baseline, which makes these results difficult to interpret (92). Nonetheless, these findings support the need for further high-quality, large randomised controlled trials and the need to concurrently determine if any effects on maternal physiology might also have beneficial effects on maternal and/or fetal morbidity, for example, macrosomia or neonatal hypoglycaemia.

Fetal development

Early rickets and symptomatic neonatal hypocalcaemia have been reported in infants born to mothers with VDD (93, 94, 95). However, these outcomes are rarely reported in infants of white mothers, and they most commonly occur in those born to mothers with dark skin pigmentation, extensive skin covering and profound VDD. The fetus is dependent on the mother for the accretion of ~30 g of calcium to enable skeletal development. As such, a subclinical role for vitamin D and/or calcium in fetal growth and bone development has been considered, yet maternal supplementation with calcium alone does not appear to have beneficial effects on fetal bone mineral accrual (85).

Size at birth

There are now a number of intervention studies that have assessed the effect of vitamin D supplementation on birth anthropometry, although the dose and timing of introduction of vitamin D have varied widely (Table 3). Most of the studies trialled supplementation with vitamin D alone and did not find a significant effect on birth weight, length or head circumference (Table 1). However, interestingly, vitamin D in combination with calcium did increase birth weight in three studies despite women in the control group also receiving calcium supplementation in two of these studies (88, 96, 97). Indeed, the prevalence of VDD at

baseline and the mean 25(OH)D achieved were similar in a study of women in Bangladesh who received 35 000 IU/day cholecalciferol from 26 to 30 weeks gestation (24) to those in women who participated in a study of 50 000 IU cholecalciferol per week in addition to 200 mg elemental calcium supplementation in Iran (97). Both studies included a similar number of women. However, in the former study, birth weight was similar in both the intervention and control groups, whereas in the latter study, mean birth weight in the intervention group was 170 g greater than that in the control group. These differing findings might suggest that the effect of vitamin D is dependent on the availability of calcium, or they could result from genetic/racial variation in response to vitamin D supplementation, but they nonetheless highlight the importance of using data obtained from an appropriate population in the development of antenatal supplementation policies.

Skeletal development

Currently, the data relating maternal 25(OH)D status to offspring bone development is largely observational in nature, but they do span antenatal measurements to peak bone mass. Indeed, using gestational ultrasound, smaller femoral volumes (98) and widening of the distal femoral metaphysis relative to femur length have been demonstrated in fetuses of mothers with low levels of serum 25(OH)D (99).

A number of studies have demonstrated associations between maternal 25(OH)D status in pregnancy and offspring bone mineralisation during the neonatal period. In 71 Korean neonates, those born in the summer (July–September) had a whole-body bone mineral content (BMC) that was 6% higher than that of infants born in the winter (January–March), and neonatal 25(OH)D at delivery was correlated with whole-body BMC in all children ($r=0.24$, $P=0.05$) (100). However, in three similar studies by the same authors in North America, a reversed pattern was observed, with whole-body BMC being 8–12% lower in infants born in the summer (101). The authors suggest that this difference reflects a low uptake of vitamin D supplementation throughout pregnancy in Korea but only during the first trimester in North America, which therefore indicates that early pregnancy during winter might impact skeletal development (101). However, Weiler *et al.* (102) studied 50 Canadian infants born between August and April, with the majority of mothers taking vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy. Infants with a cord blood 25(OH)D of <37.5 nmol/l ($n=18$) were heavier and longer than

Table 3 Intervention studies of the effect of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy on offspring anthropometry at birth.

Study	Population	Gestation at allocation/ randomisation	Interventional medicinal product (IMP)	Control	Effect of vitamin D supplementation		
					Birth weight	Birth length	Head circumference
Vitamin D only Brooke (1980) (21) (London, UK) Mallet (1986) (23) (France)	126 Asian women 68 women	28–32 weeks Final trimester	1000 IU/day oral vitamin D Group A: 1000 IU/day oral vitamin D Group B: 200 000 IU single dose in month 7 of pregnancy	Placebo Usual care	↔	↔	↔
Marya (1988) (120) (Rohtak, India) Yu (2009) (25) (London, UK)	200 Indian women 180 women	7 months 27 weeks	Single dose of 600 000 IU cholecalciferol in months 7 and 8 of pregnancy Group A: 800 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Group B: 200 000 IU oral cholecalciferol in a single dose at 27 weeks gestation	Usual care Usual care	↑	↑	↑
Dawodu (2013) (28) (Al Ain, UAE) Grant (2013) (22) (Auckland, New Zealand) Wagner (2013) (26) (USA)	192 Arab women 260 women Combined analysis of two trials including a total of 513 women	12–16 weeks 26–30 weeks 12–16 weeks	Group A: 4000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Group B: 2000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Group A: 1000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Group B: 2000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Group A: 2000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Group B: 4000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol	400 IU/day oral cholecalciferol Placebo 400 IU/day oral cholecalciferol	↔	↔	↔
Roth (2013) (24) (Dhaka, Bangladesh) Vitamin D + calcium Marya (1981) (96) (Rohtak, India)	148 120 Hindu women	26–30 weeks Final trimester	35 000 IU/week oral cholecalciferol Group A: 1200 IU/day vitamin D + 375 mg calcium during third trimester Group B: 600 000 IU vitamin D orally in the 7th and 8th months of pregnancy (n = 20)	Placebo Usual care	↔	↔	↔
Kalra (2011) (88) (Lucknow, India)	140 women	12–24 weeks	Group A: 60 000 IU oral cholecalciferol in a single dose at randomisation + 1 g/day calcium carbonate Group B: 120 000 IU oral cholecalciferol at randomisation and at 28 weeks gestation + 1 g/day calcium carbonate	1 g calcium carbonate/day	↑	↑	↑
Hashemipour (2014) (97) (Qazvin, Iran)	109 women, 25(OH)D < 75 nmol/l	24–26 weeks	50 000 IU/week cholecalciferol for 8 weeks in addition to the supplement received by control group	400 IU/day oral cholecalciferol; 200 mg elemental calcium	↑	↑	↑
Hossain (2014) (86) (Karachi, Pakistan)	198	20 weeks	4000 IU/day oral cholecalciferol, 600 mg calcium lactate and 200 mg ferrous sulphate	600 mg calcium lactate and 200 mg ferrous sulphate	↔	↔	↔

↔, no effect shown; ↑, vitamin D supplementation increased the outcome; ↓, vitamin D supplementation reduced the incidence of the outcome.

those with a cord blood 25(OH)D above this cut-point were, but skeletal size was not relatively increased, such that whole-body and femur BMC relative to body weight were significantly lower (102). In a Finnish study, peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) was used to assess both BMC and bone geometry of the tibia in 98 neonates. In that analysis, the mean of two maternal 25(OH)D measurements in early pregnancy and 2 days *postpartum* was used to define maternal vitamin D status, and the median for the cohort was used to establish two groups. BMC and bone cross-sectional area (CSA) were 13.9 and 16.3% higher respectively in infants of mothers with higher 25(OH)D (103). When these children were reassessed at 14 months of age, the difference in tibial BMC was no longer present, but the greater CSA had persisted (104). Conversely, in 125 Gambian mother–offspring pairs, no significant relationships were observed between maternal 25(OH)D at either 20 or 36 weeks gestation and offspring whole-body BMC or bone area at 2, 13 or 52 weeks of age (105). However, in contrast to the other studies, none of the mothers had a 25(OH)D of <50 nmol/l, which is consistent with the notion that poorer skeletal mineralisation might only occur in fetuses of mothers with the lowest vitamin D levels.

There is evidence to support the persistence of these relationships outside of the neonatal period, although the data are less consistent. In the first study to report on the relationship between maternal 25(OH)D status and offspring bone mineralisation in childhood, Javaid *et al.* (15) demonstrated positive associations between late pregnancy 25(OH)D and offspring whole-body and lumbar spine BMC, bone area and areal bone mineral density (aBMD) measured at 9 years (Fig. 3). Positive relationships with umbilical venous calcium concentration were also observed, which suggests that the effect of vitamin D on skeletal development might be mediated through placental calcium transport (15). This was initially supported by data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), in which maternal estimated u.v. B exposure in late pregnancy, which was used as a proxy measure of vitamin D status, was positively associated with offspring whole-body less head (WBLH) BMC and bone area at 9–10 years of age in 6955 children (106). However, subsequent reanalysis in a more limited subset of the ALSPAC cohort using serum 25(OH)D measured in pregnancy demonstrated no association with WBLH BMC or bone area (107). Interestingly, there was strong collinearity between maternal gestational u.v. B exposure and offspring age at bone assessment, which limits the interpretation of these studies (108). Finally, data from the Raine cohort in Western Australia provide support for

Figure 3

Maternal 25(OH)D concentration in late pregnancy and childhood bone mass at age 9 years. Reprinted from Javaid MK, Crozier SR, Harvey NC, Gale CR, Dennison EM, Boucher BJ, Arden NK, Godfrey KM & Cooper C. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and childhood bone mass at age 9 years: a longitudinal study. *Lancet* 2006 **367** 36–43, Copyright (2014), with permission from Elsevier.

a positive relationship between maternal gestational vitamin D status and offspring bone development to peak bone mass (109). In that study, whole-body BMC and aBMD were 2.7 and 1.7% lower respectively at 20 years of age in the offspring of mothers with 25(OH)D of <50 nmol/l (as compared to the offspring of mothers with >50 nmol/l) at 18 weeks gestation after adjustment for sex, age, height and body composition at 20 years, maternal height and pre-pregnancy weight, age at delivery, parity, education, ethnicity, smoking during pregnancy and season of maternal blood sampling.

Currently there is only one intervention study of the effects of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy on offspring bone mineralisation. Congdon *et al.* (110) assessed forearm BMC using single-photon absorptiometry in 64 infants of Asian mothers living in the UK who participated in a non-randomised study of vitamin D and calcium supplementation during pregnancy. Nineteen women received 1000 IU vitamin D and a calcium supplement (of unknown strength) during the final trimester and were compared to 45 women who did not receive any supplementation. No significant differences were identified between these two groups, but interpretation of the study findings is limited by the small study size, the lack of randomisation and the technique used to assess BMC. The ongoing Maternal Vitamin D

Osteoporosis Study (MAVIDOS), in which more than 1000 women were randomised to 1000 IU cholecalciferol or placebo daily from 14 weeks gestation until delivery and in which offspring bone mineralisation were assessed at birth and at 4 years of age by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (111), will provide much-needed high-quality evidence on the role of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy in fetal skeletal development (112).

Conclusion

There is now a wealth of observational data relating vitamin D status in pregnancy to obstetric complications, fetal growth and offspring bone development. The findings of these studies are inconsistent, and although they justify the need for assessing vitamin D supplementation in high-quality randomised controlled trials, observational data alone should not be used as a basis for population-wide vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy. Indeed, it is possible that the variability in findings of both observational and a few intervention studies reflects the wide heterogeneity in the populations studied (including the prevalence of VDD, calcium status and ethnic diversity), the dose of vitamin D, the timing of initiation or the assessment of 25(OH)D status and the definition used for the outcomes considered. Thus, any public health recommendations need to be based on an appropriate population. Furthermore, although the currently available data do not suggest any short-term detrimental effects on the mother or fetus, the long-term safety of vitamin D supplementation, particularly at supra-physiological doses, remains to be established.

Declaration of interest

N C Harvey received consultancy, lecture fees and honoraria from the Alliance for Better Bone Health, AMGEN, MSD, Eli Lilly, Servier, Shire, Consilient Healthcare and Internis Pharma; C Cooper received consultancy, lecture fees and honoraria from AMGEN, GSK, Alliance for Better Bone Health, MSD, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, Novartis, Servier, Medtronic and Roche.

Funding

The authors are grateful to the UK Medical Research Council, Arthritis Research UK, the NIHR HTA programme and the International Osteoporosis Foundation, for their support of this work.

References

- 1 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Antenatal care (NICE Clinical Guideline 62). www.guidance.nice.org.uk/cg62, 2010.

- 2 Paxton GA, Teale GR, Nowson CA, Mason RS, McGrath JJ, Thompson MJ, Siafarikas A, Rodda CP & Munns CF. Vitamin D and health in pregnancy, infants, children and adolescents in Australia and New Zealand: a position statement. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2013 **198** 142–143. (doi:10.5694/mja11.11592)
- 3 Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, Murad MH & Weaver CM. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2011 **96** 1911–1930. (doi:10.1210/jc.2011-0385)
- 4 World Health Organisation. Guideline: Vitamin D supplementation in pregnant women. Geneva, 2012. Available online: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/micronutrients/guidelines/vit_d_supp_pregnant_women/en/
- 5 Harvey N, Holroyd C, Ntani G, Javaid M, Cooper P, Moon R, Cole Z, Tinati T, Godfrey K, Dennison E *et al.* Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Health Technology Assessment* 2014 **18** 1–190. (doi:10.3310/hta18450)
- 6 Jones KS, Assar S, Harnpanich D, Bouillon R, Lambrechts D, Prentice A & Schoenmakers I. 25(OH)D2 half-life is shorter than 25(OH)D3 half-life and is influenced by DBP concentration and genotype. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** 3373–3381. (doi:10.1210/jc.2014-1714)
- 7 Hollis BW & Wagner CL. Clinical review: The role of the parent compound vitamin D with respect to metabolism and function: why clinical dose intervals can affect clinical outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2013 **98** 4619–4628. (doi:10.1210/jc.2013-2653)
- 8 Bikle D, Adams JS & Christakos S. Vitamin D: production, metabolism, mechanism of action, and clinical requirements. In *Primer on the Metabolic Bone Diseases and Disorders of Mineral Metabolism*, pp 235–248: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2013.
- 9 Kovacs CS. Calcium and bone metabolism in pregnancy and lactation*. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2001 **86** 2344–2348. (doi:10.1210/jcem.86.6.7575)
- 10 Cross NA, Hillman LS, Allen SH, Krause GF & Vieira NE. Calcium homeostasis and bone metabolism during pregnancy, lactation, and postweaning: a longitudinal study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1995 **61** 514–523.
- 11 Ritchie LD, Fung EB, Halloran BP, Turnlund JR, Van Loan MD, Cann CE & King JC. A longitudinal study of calcium homeostasis during human pregnancy and lactation and after resumption of menses. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1998 **67** 693–701.
- 12 More C, Bhattoa HP, Bettembuk P & Balogh A. The effects of pregnancy and lactation on hormonal status and biochemical markers of bone turnover. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2003 **106** 209–213. (doi:10.1016/S0301-2115(02)00237-3)
- 13 Ardawi MS, Nasrat HA & BA'Aqueel HS. Calcium-regulating hormones and parathyroid hormone-related peptide in normal human pregnancy and postpartum: a longitudinal study. *European Journal of Endocrinology/European Federation of Endocrine Societies* 1997 **137** 402–409. (doi:10.1530/eje.0.1370402)
- 14 Zhang JY, Lucey AJ, Horgan R, Kenny LC & Kiely M. Impact of pregnancy on vitamin D status: a longitudinal study. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2014 **112** 1081–1087. (doi:10.1017/S0007114514001883)
- 15 Javaid MK, Crozier SR, Harvey NC, Gale CR, Dennison EM, Boucher BJ, Arden NK, Godfrey KM & Cooper C. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and childhood bone mass at age 9 years: a longitudinal study. *Lancet* 2006 **367** 36–43. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)67922-1)
- 16 McAree T, Jacobs B, Manickavasagar T, Sivalokanathan S, Brennan L, Bassett P, Rainbow S & Blair M. Vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy – still a public health issue. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 2013 **9** 23–30. (doi:10.1111/mcn.12014)
- 17 Maghbooli Z, Hossein-Nezhad A, Shafaei AR, Karimi F, Madani FS & Larijani B. Vitamin D status in mothers and their newborns in Iran. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2007 **7** 1. (doi:10.1186/1471-2393-7-1)

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R80
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

- 18 Song SJ, Si S, Liu J, Chen X, Zhou L, Jia G, Liu G, Niu Y, Wu J, Zhang W *et al*. Vitamin D status in Chinese pregnant women and their newborns in Beijing and their relationships to birth size. *Public Health Nutrition* 2013 **16** 687–692. (doi:10.1017/S1368980012003084)
- 19 Markestad T, Aksnes L, Ulstein M & Aarskog D. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D of D2 and D3 origin in maternal and umbilical cord serum after vitamin D2 supplementation in human pregnancy. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1984 **40** 1057–1063.
- 20 Novakovic B, Galati JC, Chen A, Morley R, Craig JM & Saffery R. Maternal vitamin D predominates over genetic factors in determining neonatal circulating vitamin D concentrations. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2012 **96** 188–195. (doi:10.3945/ajcn.112.035683)
- 21 Brooke OG, Brown IR, Bone CD, Carter ND, Cleeve HJ, Maxwell JD, Robinson VP & Winder SM. Vitamin D supplements in pregnant Asian women: effects on calcium status and fetal growth. *BMJ* 1980 **280** 751–754. (doi:10.1136/bmj.280.6216.751)
- 22 Grant CC, Stewart AW, Scragg R, Milne T, Rowden J, Ekeroma A, Wall C, Mitchell EA, Crengle S, Trenholme A *et al*. Vitamin D during pregnancy and infancy and infant serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration. *Pediatrics* 2014 **133** e143–53. (doi:10.1542/peds.2013-2602)
- 23 Mallet E, Gugi B, Brunelle P, Henocq A, Basuyau JP & Lemeur H. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy: a controlled trial of two methods. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1986 **68** 300–304. (doi:10.1097/00006250-198609000-00002)
- 24 Roth DE, Al Mahmud A, Raqib R, Akhtar E, Perumal N, Pezzack B & Baqui AH. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of high-dose prenatal third-trimester vitamin D3 supplementation in Bangladesh: the AViDD trial. *Nutrition Journal* 2013 **12** 47. (doi:10.1186/1475-2891-12-47)
- 25 Yu CK, Sykes L, Sethi M, Teoh TG & Robinson S. Vitamin D deficiency and supplementation during pregnancy. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2009 **70** 685–690. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2265.2008.03403.x)
- 26 Wagner CL, McNeil RB, Johnson DD, Hulsey TC, Ebeling M, Robinson C, Hamilton SA & Hollis BW. Health characteristics and outcomes of two randomized vitamin D supplementation trials during pregnancy: a combined analysis. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2013 **136** 313–320. (doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2013.01.002)
- 27 Hollis BW, Johnson D, Hulsey TC, Ebeling M & Wagner CL. Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: double-blind, randomized clinical trial of safety and effectiveness. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2011 **26** 2341–2357. (doi:10.1002/jbmr.463)
- 28 Dawodu A, Saadi HF, Bekdache G, Javed Y, Altaye M & Hollis BW. Randomized controlled trial (RCT) of vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy in a population with endemic vitamin D deficiency. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2013 **98** 2337–2346. (doi:10.1210/jc.2013-1154)
- 29 Hofmeyr GJ, Lawrie TA, Atallah AN, Duley L & Torloni MR. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy for preventing hypertensive disorders and related problems. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014 **6** Cd001059. (doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001059.pub4)
- 30 Bodnar LM, Catov JM, Simhan HN, Holick MF, Powers RW & Roberts JM. Maternal vitamin D deficiency increases the risk of preeclampsia. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2007 **92** 3517–3522. (doi:10.1210/jc.2007-0718)
- 31 Baker AM, Haeri S, Camargo CA Jr, Espinola JA & Stuebe AM. A nested case-control study of midgestation vitamin D deficiency and risk of severe preeclampsia. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010 **95** 5105–5109. (doi:10.1210/jc.2010-0996)
- 32 Bodnar LM, Simhan HN, Catov JM, Roberts JM, Platt RW, Diesel JC & Klebanoff MA. Maternal vitamin D status and the risk of mild and severe preeclampsia. *Epidemiology* 2014 **25** 207–214. (doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000000039)
- 33 Wei SQ, Audibert F, Hidirolou N, Sarafin K, Julien P, Wu Y, Luo ZC & Fraser WD. Longitudinal vitamin D status in pregnancy and the risk of pre-eclampsia. *BJOG* 2012 **119** 832–839. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2012.03307.x)
- 34 Robinson CJ, Alanis MC, Wagner CL, Hollis BW & Johnson DD. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in early-onset severe preeclampsia. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2010 **203** 366e1–6. (doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2010.06.036)
- 35 Xu L, Lee M, Jayabalan A & Roberts JM. The relationship of hypovitaminosis D and IL-6 in preeclampsia. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2014 **210** 149e1–7. (doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2013.09.037)
- 36 Abedi P, Mohaghegh Z, Afshary P & Latifi M. The relationship of serum vitamin D with pre-eclampsia in the Iranian women. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 2014 **10** 206–212. (doi:10.1111/mcn.12058)
- 37 Scholl TO, Chen X & Stein TP. Vitamin D, secondary hyperparathyroidism, and preeclampsia. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2013 **98** 787–793. (doi:10.3945/ajcn.112.055871)
- 38 Haugen M, Brantsaeter AL, Trogstad L, Alexander J, Roth C, Magnus P & Meltzer HM. Vitamin D supplementation and reduced risk of preeclampsia in nulliparous women. *Epidemiology* 2009 **20** 720–726. (doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181a70f08)
- 39 Shand AW, Nassar N, Von Dadelszen P, Innis SM & Green TJ. Maternal vitamin D status in pregnancy and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a group at high risk for pre-eclampsia. *BJOG* 2010 **117** 1593–1598. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2010.02742.x)
- 40 Powe CE, Seely EW, Rana S, Bhan I, Ecker J, Karumanchi SA & Thadhani R. First trimester vitamin D, vitamin D binding protein, and subsequent preeclampsia. *Hypertension* 2010 **56** 758–763. (doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.158238)
- 41 Seely EW, Wood RJ, Brown EM & Graves SW. Lower serum ionized calcium and abnormal calciotropic hormone levels in preeclampsia. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1992 **74** 1436–1440. (doi:10.1210/jcem.74.6.1592891)
- 42 Fernandez-Alonso AM, Dionis-Sanchez EC, Chedraui P, Gonzalez-Salmeron MD & Perez-Lopez FR. First-trimester maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D(3) status and pregnancy outcome. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2012 **116** 6–9. (doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2011.07.029)
- 43 Burris HH, Rifas-Shiman SL, Huh SY, Kleinman K, Litonjua AA, Oken E, Rich-Edwards JW, Camargo CA Jr & Gillman MW. Vitamin D status and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy. *Annals of Epidemiology* 2014 **24** 399–403.e1. (doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2014.02.001)
- 44 Zhou J, Su L, Liu M, Liu Y, Cao X, Wang Z & Xiao H. Associations between 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and pregnancy outcomes: a prospective observational study in southern China. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2014 **68** 925–930. (doi:10.1038/ejcn.2014.99)
- 45 Dalmar A, Raff H, Chauhan SP, Singh M & Siddiqui DS. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, calcium, and calcium-regulating hormones in preeclampsia and controls during first day postpartum. *Endocrine* 2015 **48** 287–292. (doi:10.1007/s12020-014-0296-9)
- 46 Yu CK, Ertl R, Skyfta E, Akolekar R & Nicolaides KH. Maternal serum vitamin D levels at 11–13 weeks of gestation in preeclampsia. *Journal of Human Hypertension* 2013 **27** 115–118. (doi:10.1038/jhh.2012.1)
- 47 Hypponen E, Cavadino A, Williams D, Fraser A, Vereczkey A, Fraser WD, Banhidy F, Lawlor D & Czeizel AE. Vitamin D and pre-eclampsia: original data, systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Nutrition & Metabolism* 2013 **63** 331–340. (doi:10.1159/000358338)
- 48 Thorne-Lyman A & Fawzi WW. Vitamin D during pregnancy and maternal, neonatal and infant health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 2012 **26** (Suppl 1) 75–90. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-3016.2012.01283.x)
- 49 Aghajafari F, Nagulesapillai T, Ronksley PE, Tough SC, O'Beirne M & Rabi DM. Association between maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and pregnancy and neonatal outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ* 2013 **346** f1169. (doi:10.1136/bmj.f1169)
- 50 Tabesh M, Salehi-Abargouei A, Tabesh M & Esmailzadeh A. Maternal vitamin D status and risk of pre-eclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2013 **98** 3165–3173. (doi:10.1210/jc.2013-1257)

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R81
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

- 51 Wei SQ, Qi HP, Luo ZC & Fraser WD. Maternal vitamin D status and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2013 **26** 889–899. (doi:10.3109/14767058.2013.765849)
- 52 Schneuer FJ, Roberts CL, Guilbert C, Simpson JM, Algert CS, Khambalia AZ, Tasevski V, Ashton AW, Morris JM & Nassar N. Effects of maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in the first trimester on subsequent pregnancy outcomes in an Australian population. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2014 **99** 287–295. (doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.065672)
- 53 Reeves IV, Bamji ZD, Rosario GB, Lewis KM, Young MA & Washington KN. Vitamin D deficiency in pregnant women of ethnic minority: a potential contributor to preeclampsia. *Journal of Perinatology* 2014 **34** 767–773. (doi:10.1038/jp.2014.91)
- 54 Xu L, Nicholson P, Wang Q, Alen M & Cheng S. Bone and muscle development during puberty in girls: a seven-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2009 **24** 1693–1698. (doi:10.1359/jbmr.090405)
- 55 Bener A, Al-Hamaq AO & Saleh NM. Association between vitamin D insufficiency and adverse pregnancy outcome: global comparisons. *International Journal of Women's Health* 2013 **5** 523–531. (doi:10.2147/IJWH.S51403)
- 56 Wetta LA, Biggio JR, Cliver S, Abramovici A, Barnes S & Tita AT. Is midtrimester vitamin D status associated with spontaneous preterm birth and preeclampsia? *American Journal of Perinatology* 2014 **31** 541–546. (doi:10.1055/s-0033-1356483)
- 57 Ullah MI, Koch CA, Tamanna S, Rouf S & Shamsuddin L. Vitamin D deficiency and the risk of preeclampsia and eclampsia in Bangladesh. *Hormone and Metabolic Research* 2013 **45** 682–687. (doi:10.1055/s-0033-1345199)
- 58 Robinson CJ, Wagner CL, Hollis BW, Baatz JE & Johnson DD. Association of maternal vitamin D and placenta growth factor with the diagnosis of early onset severe preeclampsia. *American Journal of Perinatology* 2013 **30** 167–172. (doi:10.1055/s-0032-1322514)
- 59 Anderson CM, Ralph J, Johnson L, Scheett A, Wright ML, Taylor JY, Ohm JE & Uthus E. First trimester vitamin D status and placental epigenomics in preeclampsia among Northern Plains primiparas. *Life Sciences* 2014 pii: S00024-3205 00621–00623. (doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2014.07.012)
- 60 Maghbooli Z, Hossein-Nezhad A, Karimi F, Shafaei AR & Larijani B. Correlation between vitamin D3 deficiency and insulin resistance in pregnancy. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews* 2008 **24** 27–32. (doi:10.1002/dmrr.737)
- 61 Clifton-Bligh RJ, McElduff P & McElduff A. Maternal vitamin D deficiency, ethnicity and gestational diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine* 2008 **25** 678–684. (doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02422.x)
- 62 Lacroix M, Battista MC, Doyon M, Houde G, Menard J, Ardilouze JL, Hivert MF & Perron P. Lower vitamin D levels at first trimester are associated with higher risk of developing gestational diabetes mellitus. *Acta Diabetologica* 2014 **51** 609–616. (doi:10.1007/s00592-014-0564-4)
- 63 McManus R, Summers K, de Vrijer B, Cohen N, Thompson A & Giroux I. Maternal, umbilical arterial and umbilical venous 25-hydroxyvitamin D and adipocytokine concentrations in pregnancies with and without gestational diabetes. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2014 **80** 635–641. (doi:10.1111/cen.12325)
- 64 Cho GJ, Hong SC, Oh MJ & Kim HJ. Vitamin D deficiency in gestational diabetes mellitus and the role of the placenta. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2013 **209** 560.e1–8. (doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2013.08.015)
- 65 Wang O, Nie M, Hu YY, Zhang K, Li W, Ping F, Liu JT, Chen LM & Xing XP. Association between vitamin D insufficiency and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus in pregnant Chinese women. *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences* 2012 **25** 399–406. (doi:10.3967/0895-3988.2012.04.004)
- 66 Farrant HJ, Krishnaveni GV, Hill JC, Boucher BJ, Fisher DJ, Noonan K, Osmond C, Veena SR & Fall CH. Vitamin D insufficiency is common in Indian mothers but is not associated with gestational diabetes or variation in newborn size. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2009 **63** 646–652. (doi:10.1038/ejcn.2008.14)
- 67 Whitelaw DC, Scally AJ, Tuffnell DJ, Davies TJ, Fraser WD, Bhopal RS, Wright J & Lawlor DA. Associations of circulating calcium and 25-hydroxyvitamin D with glucose metabolism in pregnancy: a cross-sectional study in European and South Asian women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** 938–946.
- 68 Perez-Ferre N, Torrejon MJ, Fuentes M, Fernandez MD, Ramos A, Bordiu E, del Valle L, Rubio MA, Bedia AR, Montanez C *et al.* Association of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in pregnancy with glucose homeostasis and obstetric and newborn outcomes. *Endocrine Practice* 2012 **18** 676–684. (doi:10.4158/EP12025.OR)
- 69 Poel YH, Hummel P, Lips P, Stam F, van der Ploeg T & Simsek S. Vitamin D and gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Internal Medicine* 2012 **23** 465–469. (doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2012.01.007)
- 70 Parildar H, Dogruk Unal A, Aksan Desteli G, Cigerli O & Guvener Demirag N. Frequency of vitamin D deficiency in pregnant diabetics at Baskent University Hospital, Istanbul. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences* 2013 **29** 15–20. (doi:10.12669/pjms.291.2896)
- 71 Merewood A, Mehta SD, Chen TC, Bauchner H & Holick MF. Association between vitamin D deficiency and primary cesarean section. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2009 **94** 940–945. (doi:10.1210/jc.2008-1217)
- 72 Scholl TO, Chen X & Stein P. Maternal vitamin D status and delivery by cesarean. *Nutrients* 2012 **4** 319–330. (doi:10.3390/nu4040319)
- 73 Savvidou MD, Makgoba M, Castro PT, Akolekar R & Nicolaides KH. First-trimester maternal serum vitamin D and mode of delivery. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2012 **108** 1972–1975. (doi:10.1017/S0007114512000207)
- 74 Dunlop AL, Taylor RN, Tangpricha V, Fortunato S & Menon R. Maternal micronutrient status and preterm versus term birth for black and white US women. *Reproductive Sciences* 2012 **19** 939–948. (doi:10.1177/1933719112438442)
- 75 Mehta S, Hunter DJ, Mugusi FM, Spiegelman D, Manji KP, Giovannucci EL, Hertzmark E, Msamanga GI & Fawzi WW. Perinatal outcomes, including mother-to-child transmission of HIV, and child mortality and their association with maternal vitamin D status in Tanzania. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2009 **200** 1022–1030. (doi:10.1086/605699)
- 76 Delmas PD, Glorieux FH, Delvin EE, Salle BL & Melki I. Perinatal serum bone Gla-protein and vitamin D metabolites in preterm and fullterm neonates. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1987 **65** 588–591. (doi:10.1210/jcem-65-3-588)
- 77 Thorp JM, Camargo CA, McGee PL, Harper M, Klebanoff MA, Sorokin Y, Varner MW, Wapner RJ, Caritis SN, Iams JD *et al.* Vitamin D status and recurrent preterm birth: a nested case-control study in high-risk women. *BJOG* 2012 **119** 1617–1623. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2012.03495.x)
- 78 Baker AM, Haeri S, Camargo CA Jr, Stuebe AM & Boggess KA. A nested case-control study of first-trimester maternal vitamin D status and risk for spontaneous preterm birth. *American Journal of Perinatology* 2011 **28** 667–672. (doi:10.1055/s-0031-1276731)
- 79 Bodnar LM, Rouse DJ, Momirova V, Peaceman AM, Sciscione A, Spong CY, Varner MW, Malone FD, Iams JD, Mercer BM *et al.* Maternal 25-hydroxyvitamin D and preterm birth in twin gestations. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2013 **122** 91–98. (doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182941d9a)
- 80 Bodnar LM, Klebanoff MA, Gernand AD, Platt RW, Parks WT, Catov JM & Simhan HN. Maternal vitamin D status and spontaneous preterm birth by placental histology in the US Collaborative Perinatal Project. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2014 **179** 168–176. (doi:10.1093/aje/kwt237)
- 81 Hossain N, Khanani R, Hussain-Kanani F, Shah T, Arif S & Pal L. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Pakistani mothers and their

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R82
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

- newborns. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2011 **112** 229–233. (doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2010.09.017)
- 82 Li N, Liu E, Guo J, Pan L, Li B, Wang P, Liu J, Wang Y, Liu G, Baccarelli AA *et al.* Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain on pregnancy outcomes. *PLoS ONE* 2013 **8** e82310. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082310)
- 83 Bautista-Castano I, Henriquez-Sanchez P, Aleman-Perez N, Garcia-Salvador JJ, Gonzalez-Quesada A, Garcia-Hernandez JA & Serra-Majem L. Maternal obesity in early pregnancy and risk of adverse outcomes. *PLoS ONE* 2013 **8** e80410. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080410)
- 84 Zhang S, Cardarelli K, Shim R, Ye J, Booker KL & Rust G. Racial disparities in economic and clinical outcomes of pregnancy among Medicaid recipients. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 2013 **17** 1518–1525. (doi:10.1007/s10995-012-1162-0)
- 85 Curtis EM, Moon RJ, Dennison EM & Harvey NC. Prenatal calcium and vitamin D intake, and bone mass in later life. *Current Osteoporosis Reports* 2014 **12** 194–204. (doi:10.1007/s11914-014-0210-7)
- 86 Hossain N, Kanani FH, Ramzan S, Kausar R, Ayaz S, Khanani R & Pal L. Obstetric and neonatal outcomes of maternal vitamin D supplementation: results of an open label randomized controlled trial of antenatal vitamin D supplementation in Pakistani women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** 2448–2455. (doi:10.1210/jc.2013-3491)
- 87 Yap C, Cheung NW, Gunton JE, Athayde N, Munns CF, Duke A & McLean M. Vitamin D supplementation and the effects on glucose metabolism during pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 2014 **37** 1837–1844. (doi:10.2337/dc14-0155)
- 88 Kalra P, Das V, Agarwal A, Kumar M, Ramesh V, Bhatia E, Gupta S, Singh S, Saxena P & Bhatia V. Effect of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy on neonatal mineral homeostasis and anthropometry of the newborn and infant. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2012 **108** 1052–1058. (doi:10.1017/S0007114511006246)
- 89 Marya RK, Rathee S & Manrow M. Effect of calcium and vitamin D supplementation on toxemia of pregnancy. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 1987 **24** 38–42. (doi:10.1159/000298772)
- 90 NICE Clinical Guideline 63. Diabetes in Pregnancy. 2008. Available online: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg63>
- 91 Soheilykhah S, Mojibian M, Moghadam MJ & Shojaoaddiny-Ardekani A. The effect of different doses of vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance during pregnancy. *Gynecological Endocrinology* 2013 **29** 396–399. (doi:10.3109/09513590.2012.752456)
- 92 Asemi Z, Hashemi T, Karamali M, Samimi M & Esmailzadeh A. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on glucose metabolism, lipid concentrations, inflammation, and oxidative stress in gestational diabetes: a double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2013 **98** 1425–1432. (doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.072785)
- 93 Innes AM, Seshia MM, Prasad C, Al Saif S, Friesen FR, Chudley AE, Reed M, Dilling LA, Haworth JC & Greenberg CR. Congenital rickets caused by maternal vitamin D deficiency. *Paediatrics & Child Health* 2002 **7** 455–458.
- 94 Anatoliotaki M, Tsilimigaki A, Tsekoura T, Schinaki A, Stefanaki S & Nicolaidou P. Congenital rickets due to maternal vitamin D deficiency in a sunny island of Greece. *Acta Paediatrica* 2003 **92** 389–391. (doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2003.tb00563.x)
- 95 Orbak Z, Karacan M, Doneray H & Karakelleoglu C. Congenital rickets presenting with hypocalcaemic seizures. *West Indian Medical Journal* 2007 **56** 364–367.
- 96 Marya RK, Rathee S, Lata V & Mudgil S. Effects of vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 1981 **12** 155–161. (doi:10.1159/000299597)
- 97 Hashemipour S, Ziaee A, Javadi A, Movahed F, Elmizadeh K, Javadi EH & Lalooha F. Effect of treatment of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency during pregnancy on fetal growth indices and maternal weight gain: a randomized clinical trial. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2014 **172** 15–19. (doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2013.10.010)
- 98 Ioannou C, Javaid MK, Mahon P, Yaqub MK, Harvey NC, Godfrey KM, Noble JA, Cooper C & Papageorgiou AT. The effect of maternal vitamin D concentration on fetal bone. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2012 **97** E2070–7. (doi:10.1210/jc.2012-2538)
- 99 Mahon P, Harvey N, Crozier S, Inskip H, Robinson S, Arden N, Swaminathan R, Cooper C, Godfrey K & Group SWSS. Low maternal vitamin D status and fetal bone development: cohort study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2010 **25** 14–19. (doi:10.1359/jbmr.090701)
- 100 Namgung R, Tsang RC, Lee C, Han DG, Ho ML & Sierra RI. Low total body bone mineral content and high bone resorption in Korean winter-born versus summer-born newborn infants. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998 **132** 421–425. (doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(98)70013-7)
- 101 Namgung R & Tsang RC. Factors affecting newborn bone mineral content: *in utero* effects on newborn bone mineralization. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* 2000 **59** 55–63. (doi:10.1017/S0029665100000070)
- 102 Weiler H, Fitzpatrick-Wong S, Veitch R, Kovacs H, Schellenberg J, McCloy U & Yuen CK. Vitamin D deficiency and whole-body and femur bone mass relative to weight in healthy newborns. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2005 **172** 757–761. (doi:10.1503/cmaj.1040508)
- 103 Viljakainen HT, Saarnio E, Hytinen T, Miettinen M, Surcel H, Makitie O, Andersson S, Laitinen K & Lamberg-Allardt C. Maternal vitamin D status determines bone variables in the newborn. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010 **95** 1749–1757. (doi:10.1210/jc.2009-1391)
- 104 Viljakainen HT, Korhonen T, Hytinen T, Laitinen EK, Andersson S, Makitie O & Lamberg-Allardt C. Maternal vitamin D status affects bone growth in early childhood—a prospective cohort study. *Osteoporosis International* 2011 **22** 883–891. (doi:10.1007/s00198-010-1499-4)
- 105 Prentice A, Jarjou LM, Goldberg GR, Bennett J, Cole TJ & Schoenmakers I. Maternal plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration and birthweight, growth and bone mineral accretion of Gambian infants. *Acta Paediatrica* 2009 **98** 1360–1362. (doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2009.01352.x)
- 106 Sayers A & Tobias JH. Estimated maternal ultraviolet B exposure levels in pregnancy influence skeletal development of the child. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2009 **94** 765–771. (doi:10.1210/jc.2008-2146)
- 107 Lawlor DA, Wills AK, Fraser A, Sayers A, Fraser WD & Tobias JH. Association of maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy with bone-mineral content in offspring: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2013 **381** 2176–2183. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62203-X)
- 108 Harvey NC, Javaid MK, Inskip HM, Godfrey KM & Cooper C. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and bone-mineral content in offspring. *Lancet* 2013 **382** 766. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61827-9)
- 109 Zhu K, Whitehouse AJ, Hart P, Kusel M, Mountain J, Lye S, Pennell C & Walsh JP. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and bone mass in offspring at 20 years of age: a prospective cohort study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2014 **29** 1088–1095. (doi:10.1002/jbmr.2138)
- 110 Congdon P, Horsman A, Kirby PA, Dibble J & Bashir T. Mineral content of the forearms of babies born to Asian and white mothers. *BMJ* 1983 **286** 1233–1235. (doi:10.1136/bmj.286.6373.1233)
- 111 Harvey NC, Javaid K, Bishop N, Kennedy S, Papageorgiou AT, Fraser R, Gandhi SV, Schoenmakers I, Prentice A & Cooper C. MAVIDOS Maternal Vitamin D Osteoporosis Study: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. The MAVIDOS Study Group. *Trials* 2012 **13** 13. (doi:10.1186/1745-6215-13-13)
- 112 Harvey NC & Cooper C. Vitamin D: some perspective please. *BMJ* 2012 **345** e4695. (doi:10.1136/bmj.e4695)
- 113 Azar M, Basu A, Jenkins AJ, Nankervis AJ, Hanssen KF, Scholz H, Henriksen T, Garg SK, Hammad SM, Scardo JA *et al.* Serum carotenoids and fat-soluble vitamins in women with type 1 diabetes and preeclampsia: a longitudinal study. *Diabetes Care* 2011 **34** 1258–1264. (doi:10.2337/dc10-2145)
- 114 Baker AM, Haeri S, Camargo CA Jr, Espinola JA & Stuebe AM. A nested case-control study of midgestation vitamin D deficiency and risk of

Review	R J Moon and others	Vitamin D in pregnancy	173:2	R83
--------	---------------------	------------------------	-------	-----

- severe preeclampsia. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010 **95** 5105–5109.
- 115 Makgoba M, Nelson SM, Savvidou M, Messow CM, Nicolaides K & Sattar N. First-trimester circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and development of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2011 **34** 1091–1093.
 - 116 Soheilykhah S, Mojibian M, Rashidi M, Rahimi-Saghand S & Jafari F. Maternal vitamin D status in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice* 2010 **25** 524–527. (doi:10.1177/0884533610379851)
 - 117 Zhang C, Qiu C, Hu FB, David RM, van Dam RM, Bralley A & Williams MA. Maternal plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and the risk for gestational diabetes mellitus. *PLoS One* 2008 **3** e3753. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003753)
 - 118 Parlea L, Bromberg IL, Feig DS, Vieth R, Merman E & Lipscombe LL. Association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in early pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Medicine* 2012 **29** e25–e32. (doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2011.03550.x)
 - 119 Savvidou MD, Akolekar R, Samaha RB, Masconi AP & Nicolaides KH. Maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels at 11⁺⁰–13⁺⁶ weeks in pregnant women with diabetes mellitus and in those with macrosomic neonates. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2011 **118** 951–955. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.02982.x)
 - 120 Marya RK, Rathee S, Dua V & Sangwan K. Effect of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy on foetal growth. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 1988 **88** 488–492.

Received 1 October 2014

Revised version received 10 March 2015

Accepted 10 April 2015