The effects of insulin and liraglutide on osteoprotegerin and vascular calcification \textit{in vitro} and in patients with type 2 diabetes
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Abstract

\textbf{Objective:} Vascular calcification (VC) is inhibited by the glycoprotein osteoprotegerin (OPG). It is unclear whether treatments for type 2 diabetes are capable of promoting or inhibiting VC. The present study examined the effects of insulin and liraglutide on i) the production of OPG and ii) the emergence of VC, both \textit{in vitro} in human aortic smooth muscle cells (HASMCs) and \textit{in vivo} in type 2 diabetes.

\textbf{Design/methods:} HASMCs were exposed to insulin glargine or liraglutide, after which OPG production, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and levels of Runx2, ALP and bone sialoprotein (BSP) mRNA were measured. A prospective, nonrandomised human subject study was also conducted, in which OPG levels and coronary artery calcification (CAC) were measured in a type 2 diabetes population before and 16 months after the commencement of either insulin or liraglutide treatment and in a control group that took oral hypoglycemics only.

\textbf{Results:} Exposure to insulin glargine, but not liraglutide, was associated with significantly decreased OPG production (11 913 ± 1409 pg/10^4 cells vs 282 ± 13 pg/10^4 cells, control vs 10 nmol/l insulin, \( P < 0.0001 \)), increased ALP activity (0.82 ± 0.06 IU/10^4 cells vs 2.40 ± 0.16 IU/10^4 cells, control vs 10 nmol/l insulin, \( P < 0.0001 \)) and increased osteogenic gene expression by HASMCs. In the clinical study (n = 101), insulin treatment was associated with a significant reduction in OPG levels and, despite not achieving full statistical significance, a trend towards increased CAC in patients.

\textbf{Conclusion:} Exogenous insulin down-regulated OPG \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} and promoted VC \textit{in vitro}. Although neither insulin nor liraglutide significantly affected CAC in the present pilot study, these data support the establishment of randomised trials to investigate medications and VC in diabetes.

Introduction

Vascular calcification (VC) has been shown to exert a number of adverse effects on the cardiovascular (CV) system, and it is particularly prevalent in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, who tend to develop VC to a greater degree and at an earlier age (1, 2, 3). At the cellular level, VC is the end product of a complex pathophysiological process in which cell populations such as vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC) display osteoblastic activity similar to that seen in skeletal bone formation (1, 4). The glycoprotein osteoprotegerin (OPG), which is produced by VSMCs, has been identified as an inhibitor of this process (5, 6). Short-term clamp studies in human subjects have suggested that insulin decreases the production of OPG, while in rat models, glucagon-like
peptide-1 (GLP-1) has been reported to increase OPG production (7, 8). These data, which have yet to be confirmed in long-term studies in human subjects, nevertheless raise the possibility that treatment with insulin or GLP-1 analogues may promote or inhibit VC respectively in type 2 diabetes. In keeping with this hypothesis, a small number of in vitro studies have reported a promotion of osteoblastic activity in VSMCs following exposure to insulin (9, 10, 11, 12). These findings, however, have been limited by experimental protocols that utilise extremely high concentrations of human insulin, the measurement of suboptimal endpoints of osteoblastic activity (e.g. calcium deposition) and the use of non-human vascular cell populations. Furthermore, the effects of insulin analogues, which in comparison to endogenous insulin have different chemical structures and domains of biological activity, were not assessed, and the effects of GLP-1 analogues on osteoblastic activity in VSMCs have not been studied under any setting.

The present study was designed to expand on the limited evidence base in this area by examining the effects of insulin and GLP-1 analogue therapy on OPG production by human aortic smooth muscle cells (HASMCs), along with the chronic effects of these medications on circulating OPG concentrations in patients with type 2 diabetes. At the same time, we also measured the effects of these therapies on osteoblastic behaviour by HASMCs, along with their effects on coronary artery calcification (CAC) in this patient population.

**Subjects and methods**

**HASMC culture and maintenance**

Differentiated (positive for α-actin) HASMCs were used between passages 5 and 9. Smooth muscle cell growth medium 2 (additives included 0.05 ml/ml FCS, 0.5 ng/ml epidermal growth factor and 2 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor, with insulin omitted from the media mix) was obtained from Promocell GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany). Penicillin (100 IU/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml) were added to the media mix. While growing, HASMCs were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

**Exposure of HASMCs to experimental conditions**

Experiments were conducted in standard six-well culture dishes, and they commenced once the HASMC populations had achieved confluency. Experiments were performed in triplicate, with a final n for each experimental condition of 18. For the experiments, HASMCs were exposed either to insulin glargine (Sanofi-Aventis, Surrey, UK), which was added to the media at 1 nmol/l (pathophysiological concentrations observed in insulin-resistant patient populations) and 10 nmol/l concentrations (supraphysiological) or to liraglutide (Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) at 30 nmol/l (levels normally achieved during liraglutide treatment) and 300 nmol/l concentrations (supraphysiological) (13, 14). HASMCs cultured in media without the addition of either insulin glargine or liraglutide represented the control conditions. HASMCs were exposed to experimental conditions for 72 h.

**Measurement of OPG production and osteoblastic activity by HASMCs**

Following 72 h of exposure, we harvested the supernatant media surrounding the HASMCs along with the HASMC mRNA (mRNA harvested with Trizol, Invitrogen). Samples were stored at −80 °C, and the relevant assays were performed within 1 month as part of a single freeze–thaw cycle. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, a critical marker of osteoblastic activity, was measured in the supernatant media via the QuantiChrom kit (BioAssay Systems, Hayward, CA, USA), as were concentrations of OPG via ELISA (OPG Duoset kit, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) for both assays was <5%, as was the inter-assay CV for the QuantiChrom kit. mRNA samples were reverse transcribed into cDNA to allow for the measurement of HASMC osteogenic gene expression by quantitative RT-PCR (Applied Biosystems 7900 HT Fast RT-PCR System). S18 was employed as a housekeeping gene to normalise the cDNA quantity between samples. Primer sequences for S18 and the osteogenic differentiation genes runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2), ALP and bone sialoprotein (BSP) are listed in Table 1. HASMC number and viability were routinely measured for seeding density purposes using the advanced detection and accurate measurement cell counter (Digital Bio, Seoul, South Korea).

**Clinical study design and protocol**

The clinical component of the present research was conducted in three hospitals in the Dublin area – Beaumont Hospital, Connolly Hospital and the Sports Surgery Clinic – between January 2011 and November 2013. The study was approved by the local ethics committees and was performed in accordance with the...
Helsinki Declaration. Because the present study was the first to provide clinical data on the issue in question, a nonrandomised, observational design was chosen for this multicentre prospective research.

**Patient recruitment**

Three groups of patients with type 2 diabetes were recruited. The first group was the control group, and it consisted of patients taking metformin ± sulphonylurea for the duration of the study. The second and third groups consisted of patients who required optimisation of their diabetes control and were started on either liraglutide or a basal-bolus insulin regime in addition to their metformin ± sulphonylurea treatment. Patients in the control group were recruited from the diabetes outpatient clinics, whereas patients in the liraglutide and insulin groups were recruited opportunistically when they were referred by their diabetes team to a diabetes day centre to commence either liraglutide or insulin. Exclusion criteria included childbearing potential, a stroke or myocardial infarction in the preceding 6 months, an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of <60 ml/min per 1.73 m², active malignancy and treatment with medications known to interfere with circulating OPG concentrations and/or to alter bone metabolism (including denosumab, bisphosphonates, thiazolidinediones, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, strontium or calcitonin) within the preceding 6 months. If patients required treatment with such medications, or if they ceased taking their liraglutide or insulin during the time frame of the study, their participation in the study ended and they were not included in the final analysis. Medication compliance was assessed via 6 monthly telephone interviews during the study time frame.

**Measurement of clinical endpoints**

Immediately before the commencement of either liraglutide or insulin, and again after 16 months of exposure to these medications, anthropometric measurements, fasting serum samples and CAC were measured. Circulating OPG concentrations were measured with the Duoset ELISA assay, whereas lipids, serum creatinine and HbA1c were measured using standard laboratory techniques. CAC burden was measured via computed tomography (CT) using the Agatson scoring system with a GE Lightspeed VCT 64 slice CT scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) (15). CAC burden was calculated by a single radiologist who was blinded to treatment status.

**Statistical analysis**

Data from the in vitro experiments were analysed using GraphPad Prism version 4.03 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) and are expressed in means ± S.E.M. (normality was tested for via the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). Student’s unpaired t-test was employed for pair-wise comparisons. Data from the clinical study were analysed using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Incorporated). Data are reported as means ± S.E.M. or median (25th–75th percentile), as appropriate. Differences in study variables from baseline to study completion were analysed using a paired t-test or a Wilcoxon signed-rank test, as appropriate. To test whether exposure to liraglutide or insulin influenced the observed changes in either OPG or CAC over the study duration, an ANCOVA was performed, and it included as its covariates the values which differed between the three groups at baseline. The covariates that were added, therefore, included the pre-intervention OPG or CAC values as well as those variables noted as being significantly different between the groups in Table 2. After adjustment for pre-intervention covariates in this fashion, the ANCOVA was performed to identify any statistically significant differences in OPG/CAC concentrations between the groups post-intervention. A post hoc analysis using the Bonferroni adjustment was then performed to correct for the multiple comparisons performed during this analysis.

---

**Table 1** Sequences for S18, Runx2, BSP and ALP primer sets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Annealing temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| S18  | Forward: 5’-CAGCCACCCGAGATTGAGCA-3’  
Reverse: 5’-TAGTACCGAGGGGGTTG-3’  
Reverse: 5’-GAGGGCGTCAGAGAACAAAC-3’ | 59  
62  
61 | 315  
250  
567 |
| Runx2| Forward: 5’-GGTACCATGGATGGACGGGTTG-3’  
Reverse: 5’-GGGTGTTGATCTTCCAGGT-3’ | 58 | 293 |
| BSP  | Forward: 5’-TCAGCATTTTGGAATGGGCC-3’  
Reverse: 5’-GAGGGTGTGTTCTTCCAGGT-3’ | 58 | 293 |
| ALP  | Forward: 5’-GCCTGGCTACAAGGTGGTG-3’  
Reverse: 5’-GGCCAGAGCGAGCAGC-3’ | 58 | 293 |
Exposure to insulin glargine, but not liraglutide, decreased the secretion of OPG from HASMCs

OPG was noted to be secreted by HASMCs under basal conditions (mean ± S.E.M. 11 913 ± 1409 pg/10 000 cells), but following exposure to both 1 and 10 nmol/l insulin glargine (pathophysiological and supraphysiological concentrations respectively), the secretion of OPG decreased by >95% to 201 ± 11 and 282 ± 13 pg/10 000 cells respectively (P < 0.0001 for both changes). In contrast, liraglutide had no significant effect on OPG secretion by HASMCs (9743 ± 521 pg/10 000 cells under basal conditions vs 10 081 ± 762 pg/10 000 cells and 7693 ± 2346 pg/10 000 cells for 30 and 300 nmol/l liraglutide respectively).

Exposure to insulin glargine, but not liraglutide, increased osteoblastic activity in HASMCs

A significant increase in ALP activity was noted in the supernatant media surrounding the cells following exposure to insulin glargine (Fig. 1A), but no change in ALP activity was observed following exposure to liraglutide at either concentration (Fig. 1B). When osteogenic gene expression was assessed via analysis of Runx2, ALP and BSP mRNA levels, pro-osteogenic changes were observed following exposure to insulin glargine, with increased expression of both Runx2 and ALP at pathophysiological and supraphysiological concentrations and increased expression of BSP at supraphysiological concentrations (Fig. 2A). All of the increases were insulin dose-dependent. No changes in osteogenic gene expression were noted following exposure to liraglutide (Fig. 2B).

Baseline characteristics of the clinical population

In the clinical study, 110 patients were recruited, but nine were excluded from analysis because of changes in medication during the study time frame (n = 7) or because of failure to attend for the final visit (n = 2). Data from 101 patients, therefore, were included in the final analysis, and their baseline characteristics are presented in Table 2. The mean age of the entire study population was 59.4 ± 10.1 years. The control group comprised 44 patients on metformin ± sulphonylurea therapy for the duration of

Table 2 Characteristics of clinical study subjects at baseline. Data are given as means ± S.E.M. or median (25th–75th percentile), as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Metformin</th>
<th>Liraglutide</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female; %)</td>
<td>35/9; 79.5%</td>
<td>21/11; 65.6%</td>
<td>15/10; 60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>63.8 ± 1.3**</td>
<td>53.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>59.2 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>89.2 (75.5–96.2)**</td>
<td>109.8 (100.0–121.1)†</td>
<td>90.5 (80.4–113.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.1 (27.5–33.2)**</td>
<td>36.8 (33.3–41.1)</td>
<td>31.4 (29.2–39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>49 (46–53)**</td>
<td>58 (52–66)†</td>
<td>73 (63–85)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.00–1.34)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.95–1.29)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.93–1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.18–2.38)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.21–2.33)</td>
<td>2.12 (1.42–2.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>98 ± 3*</td>
<td>110 ± 4</td>
<td>96 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPG (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1080 ± 58</td>
<td>1192 ± 77</td>
<td>1445 ± 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC score</td>
<td>219 (3–768)</td>
<td>5 (0–150)</td>
<td>354 (3–738)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since diabetes dx</td>
<td>4.0 (3.0–6.0)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.0–6.5)</td>
<td>7.0 (4.5–11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (yes/no; %)</td>
<td>9/35; 20.5%</td>
<td>6/26; 17.1%</td>
<td>2/23; 8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHD (yes/no; %)</td>
<td>3/41; 6.8%</td>
<td>0/32; 0%</td>
<td>3/22; 12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA (yes/no; %)</td>
<td>1/43; 2.3%</td>
<td>0/32; 0%</td>
<td>1/24; 4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins (yes/no; %)</td>
<td>37/7; 84.1%</td>
<td>27/5; 84.4%</td>
<td>20/5; 80.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEi/ARB (yes/no; %)</td>
<td>30/14; 68.2%</td>
<td>23/9; 71.9%</td>
<td>18/7; 72.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphonylureas (yes/no; %)</td>
<td>4/40; 9.1%*</td>
<td>11/21; 34.4%</td>
<td>12/13; 48.0%‡‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For comparison between control and liraglutide groups, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005. † For comparison between liraglutide and insulin groups, †P < 0.05, †† P < 0.005. ‡ For comparison between insulin and control groups, ‡P < 0.05, ‡‡P < 0.005. ACEi, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; dx, diagnosis; IHD, ischemic heart disease; NS, non-significant.
the study, whereas 32 patients commenced and remained on liraglutide (liraglutide group, mean dose \( \pm \text{S.D.} \) 1.72 \( \pm \) 0.19 mg) and 25 patients commenced and remained on insulin (insulin group, mean dose \( \pm \text{S.D.} \) 32.12 \( \pm \) 19.44 IU). Within the insulin group, 22 patients received insulin glargine and three received insulin detemir, and bolus insulin (insulin aspart) was added at mealtimes to the basal insulin in five of the patients. Reflecting the observational nature of the study, baseline differences in a number of variables existed between the groups, including a higher BMI in the liraglutide group and higher HbA1c and duration of diabetes in the insulin group (Table 2). No significant correlations were noted between CAC scores at baseline and OPG concentrations, duration of diabetes, body weight, triglyceride levels, sulphonylurea use and HbA1c (\( P < 0.05 \)).

CAC increased in the group exposed to insulin but did not change in the group exposed to liraglutide

With regards to VC, CAC burden increased in the group exposed to insulin (Fig. 4), but it did not change alter significantly in the group exposed to liraglutide or in the control group (Table 3). Upon initial ANCOVA analysis, exposure to insulin analogues was associated with a significant increase in CAC (\( P < 0.0005 \) for a treatment effect from insulin on CAC). This relationship persisted when correcting for the baseline differences within the groups in terms of age, eGFR, duration of diabetes, body weight, triglyceride level and sulphonylurea use (\( P < 0.05 \) for a treatment effect from insulin on CAC). Significance was lost, however, when the analysis was corrected for the baseline differences in HbA1c (\( P = 0.11 \) for a treatment

**Figure 1**

ALP activity as measured by Quantichrom assay in supernatant media from cultures of HASMCs exposed to insulin glargine (1 and 10 nmol/l) (A) and liraglutide (30 and 300 nmol/l) (B). ALP activity is displayed in IU per 10 000 cells following correction for viable HASMC population. Data are given as means \( \pm \text{S.E.M.} \) \( n = 18 \) for all experiments.

**Figure 2**

Effects of 72 h of exposure to insulin glargine (1 and 10 nmol/l) (A) and liraglutide (at 30 and 300 nmol/l) (B) on levels of Runx2, ALP and BSP mRNA from HASMCs. mRNA differences are expressed as the fold change in mRNA levels relative to control. Data are given as means \( \pm \text{S.E.M.} \) \( n = 18 \) for all experiments.
Table 3  Change in baseline variables over the duration of the study. Data are given as means±S.E.M. or median (25th–75th percentile), as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Liraglutide</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolic characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>−0.31±0.8</td>
<td>−2.9±1.0†</td>
<td>0.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>−0.2±0.3</td>
<td>−1.1±0.4††</td>
<td>0.2±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>−0.8±1.0</td>
<td>−7.7±1.5†††</td>
<td>−5.3±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>−0.07±0.09</td>
<td>−0.7±0.14†††</td>
<td>−0.48±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>−0.01±0.09</td>
<td>−0.07±0.14</td>
<td>−0.23±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>−0.05 (−0.41−0.14)</td>
<td>−0.30 (−0.52−0.13)</td>
<td>−0.22 (−0.60−0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>−0.04 (−0.13−0.11)</td>
<td>−0.02 (−0.07−0.07)</td>
<td>0 (−0.23−0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.07 (−0.23−0.32)</td>
<td>0.08 (−0.32−0.71)</td>
<td>−0.07 (−0.53−0.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>−0.9±1.6</td>
<td>2.4±0.02††</td>
<td>−5.2±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calcification data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPG (pg/ml)</td>
<td>161±46*</td>
<td>−75±66</td>
<td>−286±55†††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.01±0.02</td>
<td>−0.01±0.02</td>
<td>−0.01±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC score</td>
<td>4 (−21−66)</td>
<td>0 (−8−4)</td>
<td>65 (2−309)††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For comparison of change within the control group, †P<0.005. ††For comparison of change within the liraglutide group, ††P<0.05, †††P<0.0005. †‡For comparison of change within the insulin group, †††P<0.005, †††P<0.0005.

Thus, it was not possible to exclude baseline differences as a potential reason for the increased progression of CAC in the group exposed to insulin.

**Discussion**

The present study, which incorporated both laboratory and clinical research, is notable for providing the first clinical data on the effects of exogenous insulin and liraglutide on OPG levels and VC activity in type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, the study provides the first data on liraglutide and osteoblastic activity in vascular cells of any species and the first data on insulin glargine and osteoblastic activity in human vascular cells. Across both aspects of the present study, *in vitro* and *in vivo*, we report that exposure to insulin analogues was associated with the down-regulation of OPG, an inhibitor of VC. Furthermore, exposure to insulin glargine was associated with increased osteoblastic activity in HASMCs. Liraglutide, however, was not seen to exert any significant effects on OPG release, osteoblastic activity in HASMCs or VC within the coronary arteries.

Only two studies have previously examined the relationship between insulin therapy and OPG in the clinical setting. With regards to patients with type 2 diabetes, Jørgensen et al. (7) performed euglycemic–hyperglycemic clamp studies of 4 h duration, during which they examined the acute response of circulating OPG concentrations to the administration of insulin. They reported a significant decrease in circulating concentrations of the glycoprotein. Xiang et al. (16), in contrast, measured OPG levels at the onset of type 1 diabetes, and they reported that the commencement of insulin therapy was associated with a significant reduction in circulating concentrations of OPG over 6 months. The present study is the first to perform a long-term analysis of this relationship in patients with type 2 diabetes, and its results indicate that the down-regulation of OPG by insulin therapy is a significant and persistent effect at 16 months following the commencement of therapy. With regards to our *in vitro* data, we noted a highly significant reduction in OPG release from HASMCs following exposure to insulin glargine. Oleson et al. (9) previously reported a 70% reduction in the concentration of OPG in lysates of VSMCs exposed to human insulin. It is interesting, in this context, that the present data focused on OPG release into the media surrounding HASMCs as opposed to lysates, and in doing so, they demonstrated a much greater down-regulation of OPG. These findings suggest that in addition to decreasing the production of OPG by HASMCs, insulin exposure may also have significant effects on the release of this protein from cells.

OPG knock-out mice have been shown to demonstrate extensive and severe VC at an early age (5). Furthermore, the administration of OPG has been shown to decrease VC in atherogenic mice and to prevent vitamin D-induced VC in rodent models (17, 18). The precise mechanism by which OPG exerts these effects is the subject of ongoing debate, but recent findings have suggested that its inhibitory effects on VC may be mediated by the ability of OPG to block the actions of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-β ligand (RANKL), another protein that has...
been identified within the vascular wall and one that has been reported to induce osteoblastic behaviour in VSMCs (19). Thus, the significant insulin-induced down-regulation of OPG reported in the present paper may lead to unopposed RANKL activity, which gives rise to a pro-osteoblastic phenotype within the vasculature. This represents a potential explanation for the increased osteoblastic activity noted in the present study. To the best of our knowledge, no study has previously investigated the long-term effects of liraglutide on OPG concentrations in human subjects, and the present data suggest that this medication does not exert any significant effect on circulating concentrations of OPG.

With regards to VC, although exposure to insulin analogue therapy was not associated with a significant increase in CAC burden when all baseline differences were taken into account, we nonetheless observed a trend towards an acceleration of VC in the insulin group. This trend is notable because it occurred in conjunction with a significant down-regulation of OPG, a known inhibitor of VC, and it parallels our in vitro data, in which insulin glargine promoted calcifying activity. Overall, these

**Figure 3**
Circulating OPG concentrations as measured at baseline (pre) and at 16 months (post), stratified into the control group (A), the patients exposed to liraglutide (B) and the patients exposed to insulin analogues (C). Datapoints are provided, with lines indicating mean or median values where appropriate.

**Figure 4**
CAC scores (log) as measured by CT at baseline (pre) and at 16 months (post), stratified into the control group (A), the patients exposed to liraglutide (B) and the patients exposed to insulin analogues (C). Datapoints are provided, with lines indicating mean or median values where appropriate.
results raise the possibility that insulin may promote VC, whereas no effect was seen with liraglutide at the cellular or systemic levels. Ultimately, therefore, we submit that randomised control trials are merited to further investigate this relationship. Although it would have been optimal to include such an approach in the present study, this research was the first to investigate the effects of these medications on VC in the clinical setting. As such, we felt it was both logistically and ethically necessary to adopt the present observational approach before randomised studies are established. Finally, we also note that the relationship between insulin therapy and VC is further complicated by additional effects of insulin on the vasculature: the reduction of hyperglycaemia in patients with type 2 diabetes leads to decreased oxidative stress, which is a recognised risk factor for VC (20). It is possible, therefore, that any calcifying effects of insulin therapy in the present study may have been balanced by the additional beneficial or ‘pleiotropic’ vascular effects of this medication in the complex in vivo setting. This explanation would be in keeping with the findings of clinical trials such as ORIGIN, which did not demonstrate increased macrovascular risk with insulin glargine (21). Once again, it is likely that randomised trials will be necessary to separate these effects.

There are a number of additional considerations regarding the present study. Although the magnitude of the reduction in OPG release from HASMCs exposed to insulin glargine raises the possibility of insulin interfering with the OPG ELISA, we tested this effect by exposing endothelial cell populations to similar insulin doses, and no reduction in OPG was observed, despite the presence of insulin glargine. Furthermore, we note that insulin glargine is capable of binding to and activating the insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) receptor, a signalling system whose relevance to VC is unclear at present, to a greater degree than human insulin is (22). As such, it is possible that the osteoblastic effects noted with glargine occurred secondary to the activation of the IGF1 pathway and not via classic insulin signalling systems. It is also noteworthy that we did not utilise the deposition of calcium within the cell cultures as an endpoint in our in vitro experiments. Although this approach has been used by other groups to demonstrate the emergence of calcification, it requires the use of hyperphosphataemic ‘calcifying’ culture media, which introduces confounders into the experimental model, because phosphate itself induces osteoblastic change in VSMCs (23). By measuring ALP activity and osteogenic gene expression in the absence of calcifying media, we were able to monitor the activity of a critical promoter of calcium crystal formation along with alterations of VSMC function towards an osteoblastic state without introducing additional confounders.

In conclusion, the present study represents the first investigation of the relationship between two widely utilized medications for the highly prevalent condition that is type 2 diabetes and the phenomenon of VC, a condition that is increasingly being recognised as exerting a variety of deleterious effects on the CV system. Our data indicate that liraglutide does not affect this system either at the cellular or the systemic level. In contrast, insulin analogues were associated with a significant down-regulation of OPG in vitro and in vivo, whereas osteoblastic activity was increased in HASMCs that were exposed to insulin. CAC was observed to increase in patients exposed to insulin, and although this change was potentially attributable to baseline differences, when considered as a whole, these results support the establishment of randomised studies into diabetes medications and VC in type 2 diabetes.
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