Circulating acylghrelin levels are suppressed by insulin and increase in response to hypoglycemia in healthy adult volunteers
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Abstract

Objective: Ghrelin has glucoregulatory and orexigenic actions, but its role in acute hypoglycemia remains uncertain. We aimed to investigate circulating levels of acylghrelin (AG) and unacylated ghrelin (UAG) in response to hyperinsulinemia and to hypoglycemia.

Design: A randomized, single-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study including 3 study days was performed at a university hospital clinical research center.

Methods: Nine healthy men completed 3 study days: i) saline control (CTR), ii) hyperinsulinemic euglycemia (HE) (bolus insulin 0.1 IE/kg i.v. and glucose 20% i.v. for 105 min, plasma glucose ≤5 mmol/l), and iii) hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia (HH) (bolus insulin 0.1 IE/kg i.v.).

Results: HH and HE suppressed AG concentrations at t=45–60 min as compared with CTR (P<0.05). At t=90 min, a rebound increase in AG was observed in response to HH as compared with both HE and CTR (P<0.05). UAG also decreased during HH and HE at t=45 min (P<0.05), whereas the AG-to-UAG ratio remained unaffected.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that AG and UAG are directly suppressed by hyperinsulinemia and that AG concentrations increase after a latency of ~1 h in response to hypoglycemia, suggesting a potential counterregulatory role of AG.

Introduction

Ghrelin is a 28 amino acid gut-derived peptide hormone, which is present in the circulation in an acylated (AG) and unacylated (UAG) form (1). AG is the endogenous ligand for the ghrelin receptor (2) and stimulates growth hormone (GH) and adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) secretion (3). In addition, it has orexigenic effects (4). Data regarding the regulation and action of UAG are less consistent (5). The ghrelin receptor is also located in peripheral tissues, indicating direct effects of AG (6). Among these effects, glucoregulatory properties seem to be important (5) and ghrelin-deficient mice developed profound hypoglycemia during calorie restriction (7). In response to insulin-induced hypoglycemia, however, total (8, 9) as well as AG (10) and UAG (11) levels decrease rather than increase in human subjects, irrespective of GH status (12). Whether this discrepancy reflects reciprocal effects of insulin and hypoglycemia per se remains unclear. In addition, analytical procedures may impose major limitations to clinical investigations of AG levels, because AG degrades rapidly if plasma samples are not processed appropriately (13). Furthermore, the analytical methods for measuring ghrelin concentrations have
recently been updated (14). We therefore aimed to reassess the AG and UAG response to insulin-induced euglycemia and hypoglycemia using the most recent assay procedures (15).

Materials and methods
The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, and all subjects gave their oral and written informed consent to participate in the trial. The local Ethics Committee approved the study protocol. The protocol was registered at Clinicaltrials.gov (ID NCT01919788). Nine healthy men averagely aged 22.5 (18–27) years with an average BMI of 23.4 (21.7–26.0) kg/m² were recruited in this study. All had a normal physical examination.

Study protocol
In a single-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study, each subject underwent 3 randomized study days, all started at 0900 h (time t=0): i) an i.v. bolus injection of saline (saline control, CTR); ii) an i.v. bolus injection of insulin (0.1 IE/kg; Actrapid, Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsværd, Denmark) followed by adjustable infusion rates of glucose 20% to maintain plasma glucose as close to 5 mmol/l as possible (hyperinsulinemic euglycemia (HE)); and iii) an i.v. bolus injection of insulin (0.1 IE/kg) (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk A/S) without glucose infusion (hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia (HH)). None of the participants experienced severe symptoms during hypoglycemia. All study subjects completed all 3 study days.

On each occasion, the subjects reported to the clinical research unit at 0750 h after a 10-h overnight fast. The subjects were examined in a quiet, thermoneutral room. The subjects fasted during each study day. One i.v. cannula was inserted into a dorsal hand vein for arterialized blood sampling. Plasma glucose level was measured every 5 min from t=0 to 105 min. Blood samples were drawn at t=−40, 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, and 105 min for AG and UAG analyzes, and t=60, 75, 90, and 105 min for serum insulin, and at t=60 for serum free fatty acids (FFA) and GH.

Blood samples and measurements
Plasma glucose was analyzed by glucose oxidase method (YSI 2300 STAT plus, YSI Life Sciences, Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Serum insulin was analyzed by time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay (AutoDELFIA Insulin Kit, catalog no. B080-101; PerkinElmer, Turku, Finland). Serum FFA was analyzed by a commercial kit (Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany). Serum GH was analyzed by chemiluminescence technology (IDS-iSYS Multi-Discipline Automated Analyzer; Immunodiagnostics Systems Nordic, Herlev, Denmark). Plasma AG and UAG samples were drawn in 2 ml EDTA-prepared vacutainers with 20 μl of 200 mg/ml AEBSF (Sigma–Aldrich Denmark A/S) and centrifuged immediately at 2500 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The plasma was then transferred to 1.8 ml tubes and stored at −80 °C until analysis. AG and UAG were determined using ELISAs (Bertin Pharma, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France; A05106 and A05119 respectively). The samples from each individual were analyzed in duplicate in one assay using a modified protocol according to Delhanty et al. (15). Plasma samples were thawed in cold water and kept on ice until transferred to the assay plates. The standards, quality controls, and samples (diluted 1:2 in assay buffer) were incubated on the plate without tracer for 2 h at room temperature on an orbital shaker, followed by a manual washing step (four times). The tracer antibody was added to the wells and incubated for 2 h at room temperature on an orbital shaker. After repeating the previous wash procedure, wells were incubated for 30 min in Ellmans reagent on an orbital shaker. All volumes were used as recommended in the original ELISA protocol. After the last incubation, absorbance was measured at 405 nm using a VICTOR3 1420 multilabel plate reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). A spline-smoothed model was used as fitting algorithm for the standard curve. The intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 9.4 and 9.5% and the inter-assay CV were 10.9 and 14.3% for the AG and UAG kits respectively.

Statistical analyses
Results are expressed as means±S.E.M, mean and range Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), and median and range (serum insulin). One subject was excluded from the statistical analyses because AG and UAG levels were increased from 50- to 100-fold as compared with the mean concentrations in the remaining subjects. The statistical analysis is therefore based on eight data sets. The statistical analyses were performed by using SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). A two way-ANOVA (time×treatment) for repeated measurements with Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc analysis was used to test for significant differences in the major endpoints of this
study. A Pearson’s Product Moment was used for correlation analysis. Baseline concentrations of AG, UAG, and plasma glucose were analyzed using one-way ANOVA for repeated measures. GH and FFA concentrations were also analyzed using a one-way ANOVA for repeated measures with Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc analysis. Insulin concentrations were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA for ranks for repeated measures. A $P<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Plasma glucose

Baseline concentrations of plasma glucose were similar (mmol/l) (5.0±0.1 (CTR) vs 5.0±0.1 (HE) vs 5.0±0.1 (HH), $P=0.78$) (Fig. 1A). Plasma glucose was unchanged at $t=30$ min on the CTR day (4.9±0.1), whereas it increased on the HE day (5.5±0.1, $P=0.01$) and reached nadir on the HH day (2.3±0.2, $P<0.001$). Plasma glucose was slightly increased from $t=30$ min during HE as compared with CTR.

Insulin

Overall, a pooled mean of serum insulin concentrations from $t=60$ to 105 differed between CTR, HE, and HH, ANOVA–$P<0.001$. On the CTR day (42 (29–58) pmol/l) and HH day (34 (23–81) pmol/l), serum insulin was similar, but serum insulin increased in response to HE (78 (59–129) pmol/l) as compared with HH, $P<0.05$ and CTR, $P<0.05$.

Free fatty acid

At $t=60$ min, serum FFA differed between CTR, HE, and HH, ANOVA–$P<0.001$, and pairwise comparisons also revealed differences between the three interventions (mmol/l) (0.57±0.07 (CTR) vs 0.09±0.02 (HE), $P<0.001$; 0.09±0.02 (HE) vs 0.27±0.05 (HH), $P=0.028$; and 0.57±0.07 (CTR) vs 0.27±0.05 (HH), $P=0.002$).

Growth hormone

At $t=60$ min, serum GH differed between CTR, HE, and HH, ANOVA–$P<0.001$. A post hoc analysis showed no difference between CTR and HE (μg/l) (1.9±0.7 (CTR) vs 0.9±0.3 (HE), $P=0.465$), but comparisons between the other interventions showed an increase in GH in response to hypoglycemia (17.8±1.7 (HH) vs 0.9±0.3 (HE), $P<0.001$ and 1.9±0.7 (CTR) vs 17.8±1.7 (HH), $P<0.001$).

Acylated ghrelin

Baseline concentrations of plasma AG were comparable (pg/ml) (64.0±21.3 (CTR) vs 57.9±16.7 (HE) vs 63.8±19.2 (HH), $P=0.79$) (Fig. 1B). Overall, plasma AG levels
were significantly different between CTR, HE, and HH (time×treatment interaction, \( P < 0.001 \)). Post hoc analyses revealed that plasma AG concentrations were decreased from \( t = 15 \) to 75 min during HE as compared with CTR, \( P < 0.05 \) and from \( t = 75 \) to 105 min during HE as compared with HH, \( P < 0.05 \). Plasma AG concentrations also decreased significantly from \( t = 45 \) to 60 min during HH as compared with CTR, \( P < 0.05 \). However, during HH, plasma AG concentrations showed a \( \approx 37\% \) rebound increase at \( t = 90 \) min (\( P = 0.008 \)) as compared with CTR, which remained significant at \( t = 105 \) min (\( P = 0.04 \)).

### Unacylated ghrelin

Baseline concentrations of plasma UAG were comparable on each occasion (pg/ml) \((39.7 \pm 10.5 \) (CTR) vs 46.0 \pm 14.8 (HE) vs 40.3 \pm 9.3 (HH), \( P = 0.45 \)) (Fig. 1C). Overall, plasma UAG levels changed significantly with time and treatment (time×treatment interaction, \( P = 0.004 \)). Post hoc analyses revealed that plasma UAG concentrations were decreased from \( t = 45 \) to 60 min during HE as compared with CTR, \( P = 0.001 \) and 0.04, respectively, and plasma UAG concentrations were significantly decreased at \( t = 45 \) min during HH as compared with CTR, \( P = 0.04 \). However, no rebound increase in plasma UAG levels was recorded in response to hypoglycemia.

### AG-to-UAG ratio

Overall, the AG-to-UAG ratios were significantly different between CTR, HE, and HH (time×treatment interaction, \( P = 0.01 \)), but post hoc analyses revealed no differences between the interventions at different time points.

### Insulin sensitivity and correlations with AG and UAG

The HOMA-IR was 0.81 (range 0.53–1.10). There was an inverse correlation between HOMA-IR and the decline in AG during HE conditions, \( R = -0.8, P = 0.017 \), but no significant correlation with the decline in UAG, \( R = -0.69, P = 0.056 \). No correlation was recorded during HH with regards to the decline in AG, \( R = -0.32, P = 0.45 \) or in UAG, \( R = -0.53, P = 0.18 \).

### Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate whether AG increases in response to acute insulin-induced hypoglycemia. The metabolic response to hypoglycemia involves the release of counterregulatory hormones including ACTH and GH, which mobilize fatty acids and suppresses glucose uptake. In addition, hypoglycemia also stimulates appetite (16). Insulin, on the other hand, primarily promotes anabolism and glucose uptake. Taken together, it would be teleologically meaningful if AG levels are suppressed by insulin and stimulated by hypoglycemia. This study demonstrates that AG and UAG measured by updated assays are initially suppressed by hyperinsulinemia, which is in concordance with studies using older assays (8, 9, 10, 11). Our study demonstrates an independent effect of acute hyperinsulinemia on both AG and UAG levels in the absence of a change in plasma glucose levels. In concordance with a previous report (8), we revealed a significant correlation between the decline of AG during hyperinsulinemia and euglycemia and insulin sensitivity. Reciprocal effects of hypoglycemia and hyperinsulinemia on AG secretion may explain the lack of correlation during hyperinsulinemia and hypoglycemia. The molecular mechanisms regulating ghrelin secretion have been investigated in an in vitro study in newborn rat stomach cells (17). In that study, insulin incubation directly inhibited ghrelin secretion through an increase in phosphorylated serine-threonine kinase (AKT) and a reduction in intracellular cAMP. Patients suffering from anorexia nervosa are characterized by low systemic insulin levels and hypoglycemia in concomitance with elevated ghrelin levels (18, 19), which are in accordance with our experimental data.

We observed a rebound increase in AG \( \sim 1 \) h after the insulin injection, and it persisted for the rest of the study day. Blood was sampled until 105 min after the insulin injection and it is uncertain how long time the AG increase lasts after hyperinsulinemia and hypoglycemia. The early-rebound increase in AG as compared with the more stable UAG levels following hyperinsulinemia suggests an acute effect on the regulation of AG-to-UAG ratio. This effect could hypothetically be attributable to acylation rate of newly synthesized ghrelin or reduced disposal, but the mechanism remains to be determined. A rebound increase in AG could contribute to the increased hunger after an episode of hypoglycemia. The rebound increase in AG levels after hypoglycemia has not been reported in earlier studies on AG concentrations during hypoglycemia, which may be due to a combination of lack of a placebo control day and an euglycemic day (10) and analytical imprecision. It is unlikely that the rebound increase in AG following hyperinsulinemia and hypoglycemia is caused by increased concentrations of GH or FFA, because previous reports showed that total ghrelin was not correlated with GH (20) and that increased concentrations...
of FFA reduce total concentrations of ghrelin (21). In our study, we report AG-to-UAG ratios close to 150%, which is significantly higher than previous estimates ranging from 4% (1) to 50% (22). We believe that our data yield a better estimate due to improved sample handling and assay technique.

There are some limitations to our study. We aimed to clamp plasma glucose at 5 mmol/l, equal to the plasma glucose levels on the CTR day, in order to unravel the isolated effects of hyperinsulinemia on systemic ghrelin concentrations. However, plasma glucose levels increased on the study day with concomitant insulin injection and glucose infusion as compared with the CTR day, which resulted in slightly increased insulin levels during the terminal period of the HE study day. Glucose infusion has been shown to suppress ghrelin levels (23), and this in addition to increased concentrations of insulin could explain why AG and UAG concentrations were slightly lower on the intervention with insulin and glucose.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that AG and UAG are directly suppressed by hyperinsulinemia and that AG concentrations increase after a latency of ~1 h in response to hypoglycemia, suggesting a potential counter-regulatory role of AG. Whether hypoglycemia-induced appetite stimulation is related to increased AG concentrations should be addressed in future studies.
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