Specificity and sensitivity of commercially available assays for glucagon and oxyntomodulin measurement in humans
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Abstract

Aim: To determine the specificity and sensitivity of assays carried out using commercially available kits for glucagon and/or oxyntomodulin measurements.

Methods: Ten different assay kits used for the measurement of either glucagon or oxyntomodulin concentrations were obtained. Solutions of synthetic glucagon (proglucagon (PG) residues 33–61), oxyntomodulin (PG residues 33–69) and glicentin (PG residues 1–69) were prepared and peptide concentrations were verified by quantitative amino acid analysis and a processing-independent in-house RIA. Peptides were added to the matrix (assay buffer) supplied with the kits (concentration range: 1.25–300 pmol/l) and to human plasma and recoveries were determined. Assays yielding meaningful results were analysed for precision and sensitivity by repeated analysis and ability to discriminate low concentrations.

Results and conclusion: Three assays were specific for glucagon (carried out using the Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA), Bio-Rad (Sundbyberg, Sweden), and ALPCO (Salem, NH, USA) and Yanaihara Institute (Shizuoka, Japan) kits), but none was specific for oxyntomodulin. The assay carried out using the Phoenix (Burlingame, CA, USA) glucagon kit measured the concentrations of all three peptides (total glucagon) equally. Sensitivity and precision were generally poor; the assay carried out using the Millipore RIA kit performed best with a sensitivity around 10 pmol/l. Assays carried out using the BlueGene (Shanghai, China), USCN LIFE (Wuhan, China) (oxyntomodulin and glucagon), MyBioSource (San Diego, CA, USA) and Phoenix oxyntomodulin kits yielded inconsistent results.

Introduction

Glucagon, oxyntomodulin and glicentin are products of the glucagon gene (GCG) located on chromosome 2q36,37 (1). The peptides arise from differential processing of the glucagon precursor, proglucagon (PG), a peptide consisting of 160 amino acids, but they all have the full glucagon amino acid sequence (2). Glucagon, corresponding to PG residues 33–61, is formed in the pancreas by the action of prohormone convertase (PC) 2 (3). Glicentin, corresponding to PG residues 1–69, is produced in the gut, where PG is processed by PC1/3 (4, 5) (Fig. 1). Part of glicentin may be cleaved to generate oxyntomodulin corresponding to PG residues 33–69 (6). Together, the intestinal products have been designated as ‘gut glucagon’, ‘gut glucagon-like immunoactivity’ or ‘enteroglucagon’ (7). The remaining part of PG gives rise to a single large peptide, major PG fragment (PG residues 72–158), upon pancreatic processing, and to the two glucagon-like peptides (GLP1 and GLP2) upon intestinal processing (3, 8, 9, 10, 11).
Glucagon is secreted by the α-cells in the pancreas in response to a decrease in plasma glucose concentrations and in response to increased concentrations of specific amino acids (12). This means that secretion is stimulated by protein-rich meals, but inhibited by carbohydrate-rich meals. Patients with type 2 diabetes are characterised by increased concentrations of fasting glucagon and inappropriate suppression of glucagon during an oral glucose tolerance test (7, 13, 14, 15). Glucagon is a counter-regulatory hormone opposing the actions of insulin in glucose homeostasis (8, 16). The secretion of glicentin and oxyntomodulin by the intestinal L cells is stimulated in parallel with that of GLP1 and GLP2 by the luminal presence of digested nutrients (including carbohydrates, proteins and fat) (9) depending on the size of the meal. The possible biological effects of glicentin are unclear, although an effect on gastric acid secretion has been reported (17). Oxyntomodulin, on the other hand, has been shown in animal and human intervention studies to reduce food intake, with a resulting weight reduction (18). It also has glucagon-like effects on insulin secretion and possibly hepatic glucose production (19). A distinct receptor for oxyntomodulin remains to be identified, but oxyntomodulin interacts with the receptors of both GLP1 and glucagon, albeit with a potency that is 50–100 times less than their respective ligands (20).

Measurements of these three glucagon-containing peptides present several difficulties regarding specificity. The dominating antigenic determinant of the glucagon molecule corresponds to a mid-region of the peptide (13), and antibodies against this region will also recognise oxyntomodulin and glicentin (7). Antibodies with an absolute requirement for the free C-terminus of glucagon will react with glucagon alone (but also with PG, if secreted). The concentrations of gut glucagon (glicentin + oxyntomodulin) have been estimated by the subtraction of results obtained with a C-terminal assay (specific assays) from those measured with antibodies against the mid-region (processing-independent or ‘side-viewing’ assays, determining ‘total glucagon’ concentrations) (22). Clearly, therefore, assays for any of these peptides must be fully characterised in terms of their specificity. In addition, as these hormones generally circulate at low concentrations (0–50 pmol/l), adequate sensitivity is also required. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the specificity and sensitivity of assays carried out using commercially available kits marketed for the determination of glucagon and oxyntomodulin concentrations.

**Subjects and methods**

**Peptides**

The concentrations of synthetic glucagon 1–29 (Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland, Cat. no. H-6790), oxyntomodulin (Bachem, Cat. no. H-6058) and glicentin (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ, USA, custom-made service no. SC1208) were determined by quantitative amino acid analysis (QAAA; duplicate determination) at the Department of Systems Biology, Enzyme and Protein Chemistry (Søltofts Plads, DTU, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark), as well as by an analysis using an in-house mid-region-specific glucagon RIA employing antisera code no. 4304 (20). Peptides were dissolved in phosphate buffer containing 1% human serum albumin (Calbiochem, affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, Cat. no. 12666), and 1 mM stock solutions were prepared for each peptide, aliquoted and stored in Nunc tubes (Cat. no. 443990; VWR-Bie&Berntsen A/S, Herlev, Denmark) at −20 °C. The peptide concentrations measured by RIA were used for the determination of the amounts of peptides added in recovery studies, as these assays (unlike the QAAA) could be carried out on the albumin-containing stock solutions of the peptides.

**Assays**

The assay kits tested are listed in Table 1. Kits were stored according to the manufacturers’ recommendations. Several kits (n=3–8, encompassing different lot numbers) were obtained for each assay from different suppliers over a period of 1 year (but all were used immediately upon receipt and well before expiry dates).
Table 1  Assay characteristics of different commercially available kits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Catalogue no.</th>
<th>Type of the assay</th>
<th>Type of reader</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>LLOD</th>
<th>Incubation time</th>
<th>Plasma extraction</th>
<th>Amount of plasma (single determination in μl)</th>
<th>Number of samples (in duplicate)</th>
<th>Assay storage conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Millipore glucagon kit</td>
<td>GL-32K</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>Gamma counter</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>Glucagon 100%</td>
<td>18.453 pg</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>−20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-Plex Pro Human Diabetes, glucagon</td>
<td>171-87007M</td>
<td>Luminex</td>
<td>Luminex/Bio-Plex 100 instrument</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin &lt;0.1% Glucagon, full length</td>
<td>4.9 pg/ml</td>
<td>1 h + 30 min + 10 min</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix, oxyntomodulin Ultra Sensitive kit</td>
<td>RKU-028-22</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>Gamma counter</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin 100% Glucagon 0%</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2–8 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO, glucagon</td>
<td>48-GLUHU-E01</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Microplate reader</td>
<td>Human, mouse, rat</td>
<td>The EIA kit has high specificity to pancreatic glucagon and exhibits no cross-reactivity with intestinal glucagon, GLP1 and GLP2</td>
<td>50 pg/ml</td>
<td>24 h + 1.5 h or 48 h + 1.5 h</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>100 or 50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2–8 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix, glucagon</td>
<td>EK-028-02</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Microplate reader</td>
<td>Human, rat, mouse, porcine and bovine</td>
<td>Glucagon 100% Oxyntomodulin 53%</td>
<td>0.28 ng</td>
<td>2 h + 1 h + 1 h</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyBioSource, oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>MBS701592</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Microplate reader</td>
<td>This assay recognises human oxyntomodulin. No significant cross-reactivity or interference was observed</td>
<td>0.08 ng</td>
<td>2 h + 1 h + 1 h + 15--30 min + 30 min</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2–8 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlueGene, glucagon</td>
<td>E01G0101</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Microplate reader</td>
<td>This assay has high sensitivity and excellent specificity for the detection of human GC. No significant cross-reactivity or interference was observed. Note: limited by current skills and knowledge, it is impossible for us to complete the cross-reactivity detection between human GC and all the analogues; therefore, cross-reaction may still exist</td>
<td>7.5 pg/ml</td>
<td>1 h + 30 min + 15--25 min</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2–8 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USCN LIFE, glucagon</td>
<td>E91266Hu</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Microplate reader</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4 °C, but some reagents at −20 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Specificity was analysed from recovery experiments. Known amounts of the peptides were added to the matrix (assay buffer) supplied with each kit to yield final concentrations of 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 and 300 pmol/l (spiked buffer). Peptides from the same batch were also added to the RIA buffer (80 mmol/l sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, containing in addition 0.1% wt/vol human serum albumin, 10 mmol/l EDTA and 0.6 mmol/l thimerosal (no. T-5125, Sigma Chemical Co.)) and measured with the in-house RIA 4304 (7). For each assay, one aliquot from each spiked buffer sample was measured in duplicate on three separate occasions using three separate kits.

Human plasma was prepared by pooling plasma samples (collected into tubes containing EDTA) from a total of 15 healthy volunteers, to which aprotinin (Trasylool 10 000 KIE/ml; Bayer Health Care AG 51368) was added to give a final concentration of 500 KIE/ml. The pooled plasma was aliquoted and stored at −20 °C, but was used within 1 year of preparation. Known amounts of the peptides were added to plasma at 0 °C to give final concentrations ranging from 1.25 to 160 pmol/l (spiked plasma) and aliquoted into Nunc tubes.

Sensitivity was estimated by determining the lowest concentrations of added peptide that could be measured as being significantly different from 0 (by paired analysis of three duplicate determinations). Precision was determined for assays specific for glucagon (three assays) using a single plasma pool spiked with 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 40 pmol/l glucagon respectively and measuring each sample 7–10 times in the same assay run. Means and s.d. were calculated for each concentration. This was done using three different pools of plasma, with intervals of 5–6 months, using different assay batches and different researchers.

The ability of assays carried out using three commercial glucagon-specific kits (ALPCO, Millipore and Bio-Rad) to measure endogenous glucagon concentrations was assessed using reserve plasma samples (collected into tubes containing EDTA plus aprotinin (final concentration 500 KIU/ml blood) and a specific dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor (valine pyrrolidide, a gift from Novo Nordisk, Bagsværd, Denmark; final concentration of 0.01 mmol/l) and stored at −20 °C) from a previously published human study (22), in which arterialised blood was drawn from each of ten healthy human volunteers. In these individuals, plasma glucose concentrations had been increased with glucose infusions or lowered with insulin injection.
The same samples were also measured using a fully characterised and validated in-house glucagon-specific RIA employing antiserum code no. 4305 (23). All samples were set up in each of the four assays on the same day.

For all assays, the manufacturers’ instructions, including sample preparation by extraction (including purification columns and buffers), were followed closely.

Calculations and statistical analysis
The concentrations of peptides measured were plotted against the calculated concentrations, after the subtraction of plasma zero values (see an example in Fig. 2), and linear regression analyses were carried out for each individual set of samples. The regression coefficient $r^2$ shows the fit of the line, and the slope of the fitted linear lines corresponds to the recovery in the tested assay; for the slopes of each peptide and assay, $P$ values were calculated for the null hypothesis: horizontal line. Correlation was calculated using Pearson’s distribution. All calculations were done using GraphPad Prism ver 5.0 (La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results
Synthetic peptide verification
The concentrations of the peptides, expressed as percentages of the expected concentrations, were as follows:


Specificity
In assays carried out using the BlueGene and MyBioSource kits, there was no consistent recovery of any of the three peptides (including glucagon) in the spiked buffer, regardless of the concentration. Assays carried out using two USCN LIFE kits yielded zero results in the recovery experiments; therefore, we analysed their standards by RIA (4304), but found neither oxyntomodulin nor glucagon. The assay carried out using the Phoenix oxyntomodulin kit did not exhibit consistent recoveries for any of the peptides, including oxyntomodulin. The assay carried out using the Phoenix glucagon kit exhibited a linear and equal recovery (in buffer) of all the three peptides (Fig. 2), but, surprisingly, the measured recovery was consistently around 2.5-fold greater than the added amount of each peptide. These assays were not characterised further.

The assay carried out using the Millipore glucagon RIA kit exhibited a small cross-reactivity with oxyntomodulin (recovery 0.66%) and glicentin (recovery 1.33%) in the spiked buffer (Table 2). In the spiked plasma (Table 3), there was a similar small cross-reactivity with oxyntomodulin (recovery 1.66%) and glicentin (recovery 1%). The recovery of glucagon was relatively constant between kit batches in both buffer and plasma.

The assay carried out using the Bio-Rad Luminex kit exhibited some cross-reaction with oxyntomodulin (recovery 6.3%) and glicentin (recovery 4%) in the spiked plasma, although this was lower in the spiked buffer. It exhibited poor sensitivity (precluding measurement of the recovery of low concentrations), but detectable concentrations were variable and overestimated with a mean recovery of 282% in the spiked buffer. In the spiked plasma, the mean recovery of glucagon was 154%.

The ALPCO assay kit is the only one on the market employing a standard microplate reader, whereas all other assays require special equipment. It exhibits an exaggerated recovery (215%) in buffer. There was almost no cross-reactivity with oxyntomodulin and glicentin. In plasma, the recovery of glucagon was, on average, 49%.

Precision and sensitivity
Table 4 summarises the results of the precision analysis for the glucagon-specific assays.
The table indicates that widely different results are obtained for the (identical) baseline samples (i.e. before the addition of synthetic glucagon) in the three assays and for the three plasma pools. As has been mentioned, assays carried out using the Millipore and ALPCO kits were tested three times (with 5- to 6-month intervals) with three different batches and three different technicians/researchers. The assay carried out using the Bio-Rad kit was tested only twice. The three sets of precision studies were comparable. In general, the coefficients of variation (CV) were large and recoveries of the added glucagon were non-linear and variable. For instance, the assay carried out using the Millipore kit nominally recognised the addition of 1 pmol/l glucagon, but could not distinguish between 10 and 20 pmol/l and measured the difference between 20 and 40 pmol/l as 3 pmol/l in the first set of experiments; as 0 in the second set; and as 7 pmol/l in the third set. Using this method for the evaluation of precision and sensitivity, all assays were found to perform poorly.

**Human samples**

Figure 3 shows the performance of assays carried out using three different commercial kits and the in-house RIA 4305 applied to identical samples drawn under hypoglycaemic and hyperglycaemic conditions. During hyperglycaemic conditions, the assay carried out using the Bio-Rad kit was not sensitive enough to detect any glucagon; however, during hypoglycaemic conditions, glucagon concentrations increased after 40 min. The remaining assays exhibited the expected suppression during hyperglycaemic conditions and increases during hypoglycaemic conditions and in general the changes were parallel. Thus, it was mainly the basal concentrations that differentiated the assays. This is quantitatively illustrated by the results of correlation analyses in Table 5, where values obtained with the in-house assay generally correlated well with those obtained in the assay using the Millipore kit ($r = 0.99–1.0$), whereas the other assays typically yielded lower values.

**Table 2** Specificity and recovery of synthetic glucagon, oxyntomodulin and glicentin added to the assay buffer (spiked buffer) determined with assays carried out with commercially available kits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assay 1</td>
<td>Assay 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-Rad Luminex</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(24-h incubation)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>(24-h incubation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Specificity and recovery of synthetic glucagon, oxyntomodulin and glicentin added to human plasma (spiked plasma) determined with assays carried out with commercially available kits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assay 1</td>
<td>Assay 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-Rad Luminex</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO</td>
<td>Glucagon</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glicentin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion and conclusion

Assays for the pancreatic hormone glucagon have typically been carried out using RIAs, but specificity has always been a problem, as the same amino acid sequence is present in other endogenous peptides in addition to glucagon, as discussed in the Introduction. Sensitivity (i.e. the smallest concentration of a substance that can be reliably measured) is also a prominent issue, as circulating concentrations are likely to be low, around 10 pmol/l or less (22). The relevant changes in glucagon secretion, resulting from changes in plasma glucose concentrations, are also modest with increases in response to hypoglycaemia ranging from 20 to 30 pmol/l, but even more challenging are decreases in response to hyperglycaemia.

Table 4
Precision for glucagon assays. Each result represents mean ± s.d. of 7–10 replicated determinations of glucagon concentrations in a pool (n = 5) of human plasma samples without and with the addition of known amounts of synthetic glucagon. For the Millipore and ALPCO kits, the analysis was repeated twice after 6 and 3 months using a new plasma pool, different assay batches and researchers (two lower panels). Average CV for standard curves: Millipore: 4–8%; ALPCO: 2–8%; and Bio-Rad: 10%.

Table 4: Precision for glucagon assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added amount of glucagon (mean ± s.d. in pmol/l)</th>
<th>0 pmol/l</th>
<th>1 pmol/l</th>
<th>2 pmol/l</th>
<th>5 pmol/l</th>
<th>10 pmol/l</th>
<th>20 pmol/l</th>
<th>40 pmol/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company – run 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore RIA</td>
<td>27 ± 6</td>
<td>28 ± 9</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>28 ± 6</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
<td>32 ± 8</td>
<td>35 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO ELISA</td>
<td>59 ± 3</td>
<td>63 ± 3</td>
<td>62 ± 3</td>
<td>63 ± 2</td>
<td>64 ± 4</td>
<td>65 ± 2</td>
<td>68 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminex Bio-Rad</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
<td>34 ± 7</td>
<td>27 ± 8</td>
<td>35 ± 15</td>
<td>27 ± 18</td>
<td>19 ± 27</td>
<td>81 ± 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company – run 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore RIA</td>
<td>7 ± 3</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>11 ± 7</td>
<td>13 ± 5</td>
<td>27 ± 10</td>
<td>27 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO ELISA</td>
<td>147 ± 37</td>
<td>215 ± 38</td>
<td>215 ± 48</td>
<td>209 ± 23</td>
<td>212 ± 19</td>
<td>220 ± 38</td>
<td>238 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company – run 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore RIA</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
<td>30 ± 1</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO ELISA</td>
<td>65 ± 3</td>
<td>64 ± 2</td>
<td>64 ± 1</td>
<td>64 ± 3</td>
<td>66 ± 3</td>
<td>68 ± 1</td>
<td>70 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3
Glucagon concentrations in human plasma samples obtained under hypoglycaemic and hyperglycaemic conditions, measured with assays carried out using three commercially available glucagon kits and a validated in-house RIA.
which may lower the concentrations to 1–2 pmol/l (24). Clearly, assays with sensitivities > 5 pmol/l are, therefore, unsuitable for the complete characterisation of glucagon secretion. The present study demonstrates that it is important to test a commercial assay carefully before being applied to precious and unique samples. This is not easy as the kit price is high, and often knowledge and training with respect to assay technology, peptide handling and instruments are required to obtain reliable and reproducible results. Moreover, the manufacturers do not always supply important and sufficiently detailed assay information. For instance, the Bio-Rad Luminex glucagon assay kit contained no specificity information, but, upon direct request, the manufacturer provided the information that there was no cross-reaction with GLP1 or glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide, but gave no information about the more relevant peptides, oxyntomodulin and glicentin. In such cases, researchers are left to trust the commercial suppliers, but this is made more complicated by the fact that some companies provide related assay kits with different names, e.g. the Phoenix Ultra Sensitive Oxyntomodulin RIA Kit and Oxyntomodulin RIA Kit. It was impossible to retrieve any information about the difference between assays carried out using these two kits, and for the present study, we used the ‘Ultra Sensitive’ version. Moreover, the same assay kit seems to be sold under different names. For instance, the Cusabio Human Oxyntomodulin ELISA kit, Cat. no. CSB-E12948h appears to be identical to the MyBioSource assay kit. Similarly, the Yanaihara Institute, Inc., YK090 glucagon EIA kit appears to be identical to the ALPCO ELISA kit and performs similarly. Of further concern is the finding that some of the kits that we purchased appeared to be incapable of quantifying what they purported to. For instance, when measured with our in-house mid-region RIA, neither the glucagon nor the oxyntomodulin standards (only one oxyntomodulin kit was tested) provided with the USCN LIFE China kits for glucagon and oxyntomodulin contained measurable amounts of (correct) peptide. Given also that economical considerations may deter proper assay validation and influence the choice of assays, it must be suspected that many published results have been obtained with non-validated and/or inappropriate assays.

In the present study, we tested specificity by analysing the ability of assays to register relevant concentrations of glucagon, oxyntomodulin and glicentin in both buffer and plasma (the latter being clearly more demanding because of unspecific interference or other matrix effects). In addition, for the best of the assays, precision (i.e. the reproducibility of the result when the same sample is measured repeatedly, assessed using the CV) was analysed formally by performing repeated measurements of samples spiked with small, increasing amounts of exogenous glucagon. Among the assays carried out using the ten assay kits examined in the present study, five performed so poorly in terms of sensitivity and precision that it was considered meaningless to characterise them further. Among the remaining assays, the assays carried out using the ALPCO and the Yanaihara Institute kits for glucagon appeared, as mentioned, to be identical and performed similarly; the assay carried out using the Phoenix glucagon kit cross-reacted equally with all the three peptides, which qualifies the assay for measuring ‘total glucagon’ but, of course, not for the measurements of glucagon in mixed samples. Thus, the assays carried out using the Millipore, the Bio-Plex and the ALPCO kits were the only ones with adequate specificity for glucagon measurement (although the assay carried out using the Bio-Plex kit did exhibit some cross-reaction with the gut peptides), and these were evaluated for precision and sensitivity. Their performance can also be evaluated from their ability to discriminate between low concentrations of glucagon in the specificity/recovery series. The assay carried out with the Millipore kit had CV values around 7% for all the tested concentrations (1–40 pmol/l) and exhibited an intermediate linearity over the tested range; as a consequence, its sensitivity is probably not below 10 pmol/l. The assay carried out with the ALPCO ELISA kit measured a very high concentration of glucagon in the plasma pool and had variable precision and a non-linear recovery, with little difference between the results regardless of the added amount of glucagon (1–40 pmol/l).

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In-house RIA</th>
<th>ALPCO</th>
<th>Millipore</th>
<th>Bio-Rad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyperglycaemia</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-house RIA</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypoglycaemia</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-house RIA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPCO</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation coefficient $R^2$ between the different assays, derived from data presented in Fig. 3. Correlation was calculated (Pearson’s distribution) with GraphPad Prism 5.
plasma sample pooled during each study and new batches of assay reagents. The assay carried out with the Bio-Rad Luminex kit had a relatively high basal plasma value (27 pmol/l) and a very variable recovery with a very poor precision (CV ranging from 17 to 65% over the different concentrations tested).

During the completion of the study, we were informed by Millipore of a new glucagon assay kit, Cat. no. EZGLU-30K. It was too late to incorporate this in the full protocol, but we tested it with spiked buffer and plasma. The assay carried out using this kit is described as being a specific sandwich ELISA with a low cross-reactivity (3%) with oxyntomodulin and glicentin. However, in our hands, it lacked sensitivity in the physiological range of glucagon concentrations (0–20 pmol/l). The regression coefficient ($r^2$) dropped from 0.99 to 0.78 when using spiked plasma instead of spiked buffer, and it needs a high plasma volume of 150 µl because of an extraction step. Plasma volume required for analysis has become an important issue, given the many studies currently carried out in rodents. For mouse studies, the volume available is limited due to the low blood volume of the animal. The volumes required for the three selected assays were 100 µl (Millipore), 50 µl (ALPCO) and 15 µl (Bio-Plex), rendering only the assay carried out using the Bio-Plex kit suitable for rodent studies.

When endogenous glucagon concentrations were measured in a series of biological samples to compare assays carried out using three commercial kits with our validated in-house RIA 4305, basal concentrations differed markedly, although the curves showed a similar pattern. Most probably, the differences in basal concentrations are due to different matrix effects and/or the presence of factors in the plasma that interfere in the assays in a non-specific way. Our in-house RIA employed an ethanol extraction step to reduce this non-specific interference, without which basal levels would show a greater variation and be somewhat higher. None of the three selected assays requires an extraction step.

Based on the results of the present study, it can be concluded that none of assays carried out with the tested commercially available kits can measure human oxyntomodulin (or glicentin) concentrations with any reliability. The best-performing assay for glucagon is that carried out with the Millipore RIA kit, but its accuracy in the low concentration range does not appear to be adequate under conditions where, for instance, glucagon secretion is suppressed. In addition, the assay carried out with the Millipore RIA kit requires a relatively large sample volume (100 µl), which precludes its use in mouse experiments. Clearly, there is a need for improved glucagon assays.
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