Serum levels of bioactive IGF1 and physiological markers of ageing in healthy adults
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Abstract

Objective: Senescent changes in body composition and muscle strength are accompanied by reduced production of GH and IGF1, but the causal relationship remains elusive. We speculate that serum bioactive IGF1, measured by the IGF1 kinase receptor activation assay, is closer related to human physiological ageing than total IGF1 measured by immunoassay.

Design: We conducted a cross-sectional study in 150 adult males and females, between 20 and 70 years. After an overnight fasting, serum levels of bioactive IGF1, total IGF1 and IGF-binding protein 1 (IGFBP1) and IGFBP3 were assessed. Furthermore, body composition and muscle strength was measured.

Results: Total IGF1 levels were higher in females ($P = 0.048$). Bioactive IGF1 were identical in males and females ($P = 0.31$), decreasing with age. Total IGF1 tended to decrease more with age compared with bioactive IGF1 ($-1.48$ vs $-0.89$ percent/year, $P = 0.052$). Total body fat (TBF) was lower and BMI was higher in males ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.005$), and both increased with age. Knee extension and elbow flexion force were higher in males ($P = 0.001$ and $P = 0.001$), but decreased with age in both genders.

Total but not bioactive IGF1 was positively correlated to TBF, knee extension and muscle function in males. In multiple linear regression, only age predicted total IGF1, whereas age and IGFBP1 predicted bioactive IGF1.

Conclusions: Bioactive IGF1 tends to decrease to a lesser extent than total IGF1 with age and was not correlated with measures of body composition or muscle strength. Therefore, levels of circulating bioactive IGF1 does not appear to be a better biomarker of physiological ageing than total IGF1.

Introduction

Ageing is accompanied by changes in body composition and deterioration of muscle quantity and quality. Cross-sectional studies have reported a 27% reduction in lean body mass from 25 to 70 years of age (1, 2), whereas knee strength declines with almost 10% per decade after the fourth decade (3, 4). This age-related loss of muscle mass and function seems attributed to various factors, including a decline in muscle fibre size, impairment of muscle cell mitochondrial capacity and induction of apoptosis (5). These alterations are accompanied by a significant age-related decline in the circulating levels of growth hormone (GH) and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) (6, 7), but whether this is causally linked to body composition and muscle strength remains elusive.

GH is a pituitary hormone secreted primarily in short bursts during sleep, in response to exercise and fasting (8, 9). It is well-known that GH promotes lipolysis and fat oxidation, and that administration of exogenous GH exerts protein anabolic effects in GH-deficient subjects (10). GH deficiency (GHD) is associated with increased fat...
mass, reduced lean body mass (11) and reduced muscle strength (12), and long-term GH administration to GHD patients is able to normalise body composition and functional capacity (13). By contrast, GH administration to healthy elderly subjects improves neither muscle mass nor function (14), but instead stimulates collagen synthesis in the skeletal muscle (15).

IGF1 is an important downstream mediator of the anabolic effects of GH and its serum levels are inversely correlated with age, with a small difference between sexes (16, 17). Moreover, total IGF1 levels are associated with strength, mobility and mortality in women (18, 19). The production of GH is three times higher in women compared with men, despite the fact that circulating IGF1 concentrations are only marginally higher in women (20)), which indicates a relative GH resistance in women. As the decline in muscle strength is identical for both males and females, it is plausible that changes in IGF1 are more closely coupled to the age-related deterioration of skeletal muscle function than GH.

An IGF1 kinase receptor activation (KIRA) assay has been developed for measuring the fraction of serum IGF1 which is able to activate (i.e. phosphorylate) the IGF1 receptor (IGF1R) in vitro (i.e. bioactive IGF1) (21). Subsequent studies have demonstrated that bioactive IGF1 is inversely correlated with age (22), significantly higher in females compared with males (23), and positively correlated with IGF1 as measured by immunoassay (i.e. total IGF1). However, the precise relation between bioactive IGF1 and indices of skeletal muscle mass and function has only sparsely been studied. Accordingly, the aim of this study was to compare serum levels of bioactive IGF1 vs total IGF1 as markers of age-related changes in muscle strength and body composition.

Bioactive IGF1 was determined by the KIRA assay based on human embryonic renal cells (EBNA 293) transfected with the human IGF1R gene (21), with modifications as previously published (24). Total serum IGF1 was determined by time-resolved immunofluorometric assay with modifications as recently published (24). Before assaying, serum was separated by gel chromatography at pH 2.7 in a semi-automated setup. In brief, 100 μl serum were incubated with 900 μl acetic acid (1 M) for at least 1 h. Hereafter, serum proteins were separated on a column according to size (Superdex 10/300 GL, GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) using acetic acid (0.2 M) as the eluent. The fraction containing IGF1 was neutralised with a 0.4 M Tris buffer in the microtiter well. A serum control was included for every 20 patient samples and showed a coefficient of variation of 5.9% (n=8). All samples were assayed in one run. Both IGF1 assays were using the international IGF1 standard (WHO 02/254) as a calibrator (obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC), Hertfordshire, UK). IGF-binding protein 1 (IGFBP1) was measured by an in-house assay developed and validated by our laboratory (25), as described previously (24).

The estimation of total body fat (TBF) was obtained with the Tanita Body Composition Analyzer BC-418MA (Arlington Heights, IL, USA). The arm muscle area (AMA) was calculated on the basis of Heymsfield’s equation using arm circumference and skin fold measurement of the triceps (26). Maximal isometric knee extension and maximal elbow flexion (EF) strength were measured on the Metitur isometric muscle strength device (Metitur, Jyväskylä, Finland). Grip strength was measured with Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer (Patterson Medical, Bolingbrook, IL, USA). Muscle function was expressed as a ratio between EF and AMA.

**Subjects and methods**

One hundred and fifty adults (75 males and 75 females) participated in the study. The subjects were divided in age decades from 20 to 70 years, with 15 males and 15 females in each decade. All subjects were healthy, 18 females used contraceptives and two elderly females received hormone replacement therapy. No other medications were used on a regular basis. Blood samples were drawn after an overnight fast. All participants provided written informed consent after receiving written and oral information regarding the study according to the Declaration of Helsinki II. The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee.

**Statistical analysis**

A linear regression model was fitted to describe data. The basic model included the following explanatory variables: age (continuous) and gender (categorical). Age was sufficiently explained by a linear expression, i.e. no significant curvature in any of the tested variables. To test if there was a different age effect between women and men, an interaction term between age and sex was added to the model. If not significant ($P>0.10$), they were removed from the model again. Models were validated with diagnostic plots of residuals, leverage and predicted values. In addition, Pearson’s correlation analysis and
multiple linear regression analyses were applied. The relative changes with age for total and bioactive IGF1 were calculated by dividing the yearly decline with the overall mean of the variable. Data and results are reported as means (95% CI). P values below 5% are considered significant. Statistics and figures were produced with Stata Statistical Software, release 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Body composition

TBF was lower in males through the entire age range \((P<0.001)\) but increased more with age in males \((0.23 \ (0.13; \ 0.30) \ vs \ 0.10 \ (0.09; \ 0.19))\), percent/year, \(P=0.05\) (Fig. 1). BMI was higher in males compared with females \((P=0.005)\) and it showed a larger annual increase of 0.05 \((0.01; \ 0.08) \ \text{kg/m}^2 \ \text{per year} \ (P=0.006)\). There was no interaction between age and gender \((P=0.4)\).

Muscle strength and function

Knee extension force was higher in males compared with females through the entire age range \((P<0.001)\) despite a more pronounced decrease with age in males than in females \((-5.39 \ (-7.1; \ -3.7) \ vs \ -2.7 \ (-4.5; \ -0.9) \ \text{n/year}, \ P=0.032)\) (Fig. 2).

Figure 1
Total body fat and BMI in males (grey) and females (black) in age decades from 20 to 70 years. Mean ± S.E.M.

Figure 2
Knee extension force, elbow flexion (EF) force and muscle function (EF force/arm muscle area) in males (grey) and females (black) in age decades from 20 to 70 years. Mean ± S.E.M.
EF force was also higher in males compared with females \((P<0.001)\), and again the age-related decrease was more pronounced in males \((−1.8; −2.7; −0.9)\) vs \(−0.5; −1.4; −0.4) \(n/\text{year}\), \(P<0.001\) than in females. Grip strength was higher in males \((P<0.001)\) and the age-related decrease was \(−0.4; −0.6; 0.2) \(n/\text{year}\) \((P<0.001)\), with no interaction between age and gender \((P=0.7)\). Muscle function expressed as EF divided by AMA was lower in males compared with females \((P<0.001)\), and the age-related decrease was \(−0.04\) \(n/cm^2\) \((−0.05; −0.018)\), \(P<0.001\), with no interaction between age and gender \((P=0.6)\).

**Total IGF1, bioactive IGF1, IGFBP1 and IGFBP3**

Total IGF1 levels were lower in males compared with females \((P=0.048)\), but there was no interaction between age and gender \((P=0.3)\) (Figs 3, 4 and 5). In the whole cohort, the annual decrease in total IGF1 was \(−1.73\) \(−2.16; −1.30) \(\mu\text{g/l}\), \(P<0.001\). The relative age-related decrease in the levels of total IGF1 was \(−1.48\) \(−1.84; −1.11)\) per year.

Bioactive IGF1 levels were identical in males and females \((P=0.31)\) but for total IGF1 we found no interaction between age and gender \((P=0.40)\). In the whole cohort, the annual decrease was \(−0.014; −0.021; −0.007) \(\mu\text{g/l}\), \(P<0.001\) corresponding to a relative change of \(−0.89\) \(−1.36; −0.42)\) per year. The relative age-related decrease in the levels of bioactive IGF1 tended to be less pronounced than that of total IGF1, \(P=0.052\). Statistically, five subjects (three older and two younger) had levels of bioactive IGF1 above the 95% CI, of which especially one was higher. For total IGF1, a single subject had an IGF1 level considerably above the 95% CI.

To test the influence of these outliers on the statistical analysis, we excluded them in the comparison of age-related decrease in bioactive IGF1 and total IGF1, which changed the difference to be significant \((P=0.048)\).
The levels of IGFBP1 were lower in males compared with females in the age range of 20–54 years, thereafter differences were no longer significant. IGFBP1 decreased with age in females only (\(K_{0.80}\ (K_{1.4};\ K_{0.2}) \mu g/l\ per year, P<0.006\).

IGFBP3 levels were lower in males compared with females (\(P<0.012\), with no interaction between age and gender (\(P=0.6\)). For the total cohort, the annual decrease was \(-24.3\ (\pm 31.9; -16.7) \mu g/l, P<0.001\).

Correlation and regression analyses

Bioactive and total IGF1 levels correlated positively. Total IGF1 correlated positively with TBF, whereas bioactive IGF1 correlated with neither BMI nor TBF (Table 1). Total IGF1 correlated with knee extension and muscle function, although the latter only in males, whereas bioactive IGF1 did not correlate with any measure of muscle strength or function.

Multiple linear regression analysis, including measures of body composition, muscle strength, age, gender, IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 as independent variables, showed that only age predicted total IGF1 negatively, whereas age and IGFBP1 both predicted bioactive IGF1 negatively (\(P<0.001\) and \(P=0.021\) respectively).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to compare in a cross-sectional design serum levels of bioactive IGF1 and total IGF1 as biomarkers of the age-related changes in muscle strength and body composition in healthy human subjects. We found that levels of bioactive and total IGF1 were positively correlated even though bioactive IGF1 decreased to a lesser extent with age. Bioactive IGF1 was not correlated to any measure of body composition or muscle strength.

It is well-known that serum total IGF concentrations are related with age and several indices of physiological ageing such as body composition, strength, mobility and mortality (14, 16, 18, 27, 28). However, the measurement of IGF1 by immunoassay does not take the modifying effect of the IGFBPs on IGF1 action into consideration and therefore we found it relevant to compare total IGF1 and bioactive IGF1 in healthy subjects. We hypothesised that bioactive IGF1 would be more accurately predicted by muscle strength and body composition than total IGF1.

Table 1  Pearson’s correlation analysis (\(r\) and \(P\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total IGF1</th>
<th>Bioactive IGF1</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>TBF</th>
<th>KE</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>MF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total IGF1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.610)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.524)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bioactive IGF1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.450)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.450)</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>(-0.527)</td>
<td>(-0.36)</td>
<td>(-0.416)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>(-0.524)</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>(-0.406)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant; KE, knee extension force; EF, elbow flexion force; TBF, total body fat; MF, EF/arm muscle area.
However, in contrast to our expectations, bioactive IGF1 correlated with neither measures of muscle strength nor body composition. In contrast to this, Karl et al. (29) found that bioactive IGF1 correlated inversely with the percentage of body fat determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in 44 young females. However, in the same study other measures of body composition did not correlate with either IGF1 or bioactive IGF1 (29). Frystyk et al. (30) also found that levels of bioactive IGF1 were unrelated to BMI.

Serum IGFBP1 levels have previously been demonstrated to associate inversely with bioactive IGF1 (31). During haemodialysis a fivefold increase in IGFBP1 was accompanied by a 50% decrease in bioactive IGF1 despite a marginal reduction in total IGF1 (32). Our data support this finding because we found that IGFBP1 significantly and negatively predicted bioactive IGF1 in multiple linear regression analysis. Although this regression analysis did not identify total IGF1 as a significant predictor of bioactive IGF1, we found that total and bioactive IGF1 were closely related in a correlation analysis and other studies have also demonstrated the positive associations between immunoreactive IGF1 and bioactive IGF1 (23, 33).

We analysed data in a group of healthy adults with their age range from 20 to 70 years, who were recruited by advertisement in the local newspaper. This might have induced a selection bias with overrepresentation of trained subjects, in whom relations between IGF1, bioactive IGF1 and physiological markers of ageing are differently affected than less-trained or more frail adult subjects. However, results from longitudinal exercise studies have shown that increases in muscle strength after 8 weeks’ training are not accompanied with changes in total IGF1 or bioactive IGF1 (34). We found that total, but not bioactive IGF1, correlated with muscle strength as expressed as knee extension. This leaves the question of whether the levels of bioactive IGF1 are subject to a more complex or subtle regulatory mechanism than total IGF1. Recently published data have suggested that a substance different from the IGFBPs may affect bioactive IGF1, as prednisolone treatment induced a reduction in serum levels of bioactive IGF1 without concomitant changes in the concentrations of IGF1 or the IGFBPs (35).

We observed a higher level of IGF1 in females compared with males, whereas levels of bioactive IGF1 were identical. Both levels of total and bioactive IGF1 were negatively correlated with age. In contrast to our observations, Brugts et al. observed higher levels of bioactive IGF1 in females compared with males until the age of 55 years, whereafter bioactive IGF1 decreased markedly in females resulting in higher levels in males. A decline in bioactive IGF1 related to the menopause was suggested (23). This age-related decrease in bioactive IGF1 in females was not accompanied by a decrease in total serum IGF1 levels. We found identical levels of bioactive IGF1 in males and females through the entire age range. The discrepancy between these findings may to some extent be explained by the blood sampling procedure, as we obtained blood samples after an overnight fast, whereas Brugts et al. took samples from non-fasting blood donors. With respect to IGFBP1 as a carrier of IGF1, overnight fasting may improve comparability of bioactive IGF1 levels between subjects, because IGFBP1 exhibits significant circadian variations (36) depending primarily on insulin fluctuations. Despite significant diurnal variation in binding proteins, levels of bioactive IGF1 do not seem to exhibit significant diurnal variation (30).

The higher levels of total IGF1 in women may be speculated to be secondary to higher levels of its principal carrier IGFBP3. This may also explain why there is no sex difference in bioactive IGF1 in spite of higher levels of total IGF1.

We hypothesised that circulating bioactive IGF1 would be a more sensitive and precise biomarker of age-related changes in body composition and muscle strength than circulating total IGF1, as the assessment of bioactive IGF1 takes into account factors influencing IGF1 action, in particular IGFBPs. However, our data do not support this statement, which may be explained by the fact that the activity of IGF1 is different in interstitial fluid compared with serum (37). Still, the precise coupling between total IGF1, bioactive IGF1 and muscle strength and body composition, respectively, is not sufficiently elucidated and our data support the view that the relation is complex. We measured circulating levels of total and bioactive IGF1, which mainly reflect IGF1 and IGFBPs produced by the liver. Therefore, the role of interstitial bioactive IGF1 in the peripheral target tissues may be overlooked, because it has been suggested that locally produced IGF1 is of major importance (38). Another limitation of our study is its cross-sectional design.

Overall our data did not support the theory that circulating bioactive IGF1 should be closer related to age-related changes in muscle strength and body composition than total IGF1. Furthermore, it appears that circulating bioactive IGF1 is regulated by different mechanisms than total IGF1, because the age-related decrease is less pronounced. Future studies of bioactive IGF1 in other compartments may reveal whether local levels of bioactive IGF1 are more powerful biomarkers of age-related changes.
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