Triglycerides-to-HDL ratio as a new marker of endothelial dysfunction in obese prepubertal children
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Abstract

Objective: To investigate whether there is an association of the triglyceride-to-HDL cholesterol (TG:HDL-C) ratio with cardiovascular risk factors and early signs of vascular damage in obese prepubertal children.

Design and methods: In 50 obese (27 boys, 7.8 ± 1.4 years) and 37 normal-weight (20 boys; 7.3 ± 1.5 years) prepubertal children, anthropometric measurements, oxidative stress markers (urinary isoprostanes (PGF2α, prostaglandin F2α)), soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) and insulin sensitivity (homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and whole-body insulin sensitivity index (WBISI)) were evaluated. Lipids profile was assessed and the TG:HDL-C ratio was calculated. In addition, high-resolution ultrasound was performed to assess carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT).

Results: Obese children showed significantly higher values of the TG:HDL-C ratio (1.9 ± 1.1 vs 1.2 ± 0.6, P = 0.002) compared with controls. After dividing the population in tertiles of the TG:HDL-C ratio (< 1.04, 1.04–1.67, > 1.67), cIMT (P = 0.0003), and HOMA-IR (P = 0.0001) progressively increased from the lower to the upper tertile, whereas WBISI (P = 0.0003) and sRAGE (P = 0.05) progressively decreased. In a regression model, the TG:HDL ratio was significantly and positively associated with cIMT (r = 0.493; P = 0.0005). A cutoff point for TG:HDL-C ratio of 1.12 had 81% sensitivity and 49% specificity in the identification of children with cIMT values in the upper quartile (Area under the curve values from receiver operating characteristic curves = 0.633 ± 0.065, P = 0.045).

Conclusion: This study confirms the reliability of the TG:HDL-C ratio as a useful marker of cardiovascular risk. Interestingly, our results underline that the TG:HDL-C ratio is directly related with early signs of vascular damage already present in prepubertal children.

Introduction

Childhood obesity is an important health problem that has reached epidemic proportions worldwide (1). Several lines of evidence have highlighted an alarming association between childhood obesity and the development of cardiovascular disease (2). Excess body weight during childhood leads to metabolic and inflammatory alterations, which in turn may induce changes in the arterial wall and contribute to the occurrence of cardiovascular events during adulthood (2, 3).

During the last years, several studies have shown that obese children and adolescents already present early signs of atherosclerosis, such as increased carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) (4, 5). Many metabolic and inflammatory factors seem to be implicated in the pathogenesis of
Atherosclerosis in obese children. In particular, insulin resistance (IR) represents an important link between obesity and the associated cardiovascular risk (6, 7), and it has been suggested as one of the first mechanisms involved in the development of endothelial dysfunction in obese youth (5, 8). In addition, oxidative stress and proinflammatory molecules, related to an increased adipose tissue, are additional players in the development of the atherosclerotic plaque (5).

Recently, there has been growing interest in the role of the triglyceride-to-HDL cholesterol (TG:HDL-C) ratio as a new emerging marker, which could predict subjects at increased risk of developing metabolic and cardiovascular complications (9, 10). In obese adults, the TG:HDL-C ratio is a useful marker for the early identification of subjects with IR and at risk of cardiovascular complications (11). With regards to the pediatric population, recently Giannini et al. (10) have found that the TG:HDL-C ratio was strongly associated with IR in obese children, and suggested that this marker may be used, along with other risk factors, to identify young subjects at increased cardiometabolic risk. In addition, Di Bonito et al. (12) showed that, in obese children and adolescents, the TG:HDL-C ratio is related to signs of cardiac remodeling, such as concentric left ventricular hypertrophy. However, up to now, no data are available on the role of the TG:HDL-C ratio as a potential marker of early vascular damage in obese children.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether there is an association between the TG:HDL-C ratio and cardiovascular markers as well as early signs of vascular damage in obese prepubertal children.

Subjects and methods

Study population

We recruited 50 obese prepubertal children (27 boys and 23 girls) who had been referred to the Obesity Clinic of the Department of Pediatrics, University of Chieti, Italy. All subjects were obese (BMI > 95th percentile for the age and sex), but otherwise healthy. None had other chronic diseases (diabetes, endocrine disorders, hereditary diseases, or systemic inflammation) or were taking any medication. As a control group, we recruited 37 (20 boys and 17 girls) normal-weight prepubertal children comparable for age, gender, and pubertal stage, who were admitted in the Department of Paediatrics of the University of Chieti for minor diseases (trauma, orthopedic disease, etc.). Blood and urinary samples and anthropometric and instrumental measurements were taken only after complete recovery of those diseases.

The Ethics Committee of University of Chieti approved the study. Parental informed consent and child assent were obtained.

Study methods

A detailed medical and family history was obtained from all study participants and a complete physical examination was performed, including anthropometric measurements (height, weight, waist circumference (WC), and hip circumference (HC)), staging of puberty, and blood pressure measurements.

A fasting blood sample for measurement of lipid profile, insulin, glucose, and soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) was collected from all subjects, before starting an oral glucose tolerance test. All subjects were asked to keep an overnight urine collection on the night preceding the study visit. On a second day, after an interval of 1–2 days, the study participants underwent an ultrasound assessment of the right and left cIMT.

Anthropometric measurements

Body weight was determined to the nearest 0.1 kg and height was measured with Harpenden stadiometer to the nearest 0.1 cm. BMI was calculated as weight/height$^2$ and expressed as kg/m$^2$. WC was measured at its smallest point between iliac crest and rib cage (13); HC was evaluated at its largest width over the greater trochanters. Height, weight, and BMI SDS were calculated based on the age and sex reference values for Italian children and using the LMS method (14).

In all subjects, pubertal stage was defined on the basis of breast development in girls and genital development in boys (15).

Oral glucose tolerance test

Subjects were seated for the test between 0800 and 0900 h, after fasting overnight for at least 12 h. After a plasma baseline sample for measurements of plasma glucose, insulin, and lipids, flavored glucose in a dose of 1.75 g/kg body weight (up to maximum of 75 g) was given orally, and blood samples were obtained every 30 min up to 120 min for the measurement of plasma glucose and insulin.
Indexes of IR

We used homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) for the determination of insulin resistance. HOMA-IR was calculated with the formula: (fasting insulin (mU/l) ÷ fasting glucose (mmol/l)) × 22.5. In addition, we calculated whole-body insulin sensitivity index (WBISI) in order to estimate the insulin sensitivity: (10 000/ (fasting glucose ÷ fasting insulin ÷ mean glucose concentration ÷ mean insulin concentration)^1/2).

Biochemical analysis

Plasma glucose level was determined using the glucose oxidase method, and plasma insulin was measured with two-site immunoenzymometric assay (AIA-PACK IRI, Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan). The limit of detection was 0.5 μU/ml with intra- and interassay coefficients of variation (CV) < 7% for quality control.

Lipid analysis

Serum total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, and TG concentrations were determined by calorimetric enzymatic method. LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated according to the Friedewald formula (LDL-C = TC − HDL-C − TG/5). In addition, the TG:HDL-C ratio was calculated.

Urinary isoprostanes

Urine samples were added with the antioxidant 4-hydroxy-tempo (Sigma Chemical Co.) and multiple aliquot samples were stored at −80°C until analysis. Urinary isoprostanes (PGF2α (prostaglandin F2α)) were evaluated in triplicate by a immunoenzymatic method (ELISA, Oxford Biomedical Research (Oxford, MI, USA), Enzyme Immunoassay for Urinary Isoprostane) (16).

Soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products

Serum concentration of sRAGE was measured in duplicate by using the B-Bridge sRAGE ELISA Kit (which determines the total pool of all sRAGE; manufactured by Daiichi Fine Chemicals, Takaoka, Japan, and distributed by B-Bridge Int., San Francisco, CA, USA). The intra-assay CV for repeated sRAGE measurements ranged from 3.5 to 6.7% and from 3.2 to 7.1% respectively.

Instrumental procedures

Blood pressure

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured twice at the right arm after 10-min rest in supine position using a calibrated sphygmomanometer and average. The cuff size, which was based on the length and circumference of the upper arm, was chosen to be as large as possible without having the elbow skin crease obstructing the stethoscope. An inflatable bladder width that was at least 40% of the arm circumference at a point midway between the olecranon and the acromion and that was such a length as to cover 80–100% of the circumference of the arm was used. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure values above the 95th percentile for height, age, and sex (17).

Carotid ultrasonography

High-resolution B-mode ultrasonography of the right and left carotid arteries was performed with a linear 14 mHz transducer from Philips Sonos. Children were examined in the supine position with the head turned slightly to the left and right. The common, internal, and external carotid arteries were identified by combined B-mode and Color-Doppler ultrasound examinations. A careful search was performed to obtain an optimal visualization of the vessel wall demonstrating the typical double lines representing the intima-media layer. cIMT was defined as the distance between the leading edge interface of the far wall and the leading edge of the median adventitia interface of the far wall, as described previously (5, 18).

The ultrasonic protocol requires the visualization of the near and far wall of the right and left common carotid, internal carotid arteries, and bifurcation in three different projections: anterior, lateral, and posterior, for a total of ~ 15 carotid segments per patient. All procedures were performed according to recent recommendations proposed by the American Heart Association (18).

Three determinations of cIMT were conducted and these three determinations were averaged (mean cIMT). During the ultrasonography valuations, images were frozen and printed. The measurements were performed by the same examiner who was blinded to the participants’ case status and risk factors level.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using SPSS version 16 Software for Windows (SPSS, Inc.). Data were analyzed for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and log-transformed to normal distributions wherever necessary to allow use of parametric tests. All data are expressed as
mean ± S.D. or median (interquartile range) unless otherwise specified. Two-tailed significance was set to \( P < 0.05 \).

Unpaired \( t \)-test and \( \chi^2 \) test were used to assess differences between the two study groups for continuous and categorical variables respectively.

After categorizing subjects according to tertiles of the TG:HDL-C ratio, differences in cIMT and other parameters across these tertiles were evaluated by one-way ANOVA test. Tukey’s test was used for post-hoc comparison of means between each pair of groups. Adjustment for potential confounding factors (BMI, age, sex, blood pressure, and LDL-C) was performed using analysis of covariance.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to estimate a threshold of TG:HDL-C ratio that was able to identify the subjects in the upper quartile of cIMT. The optimal cutoff point for TG:HDL-C ratio was obtained using the Youden index (maximum sensitivity + specificity – 1)). A logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the odds ratio (OR) of subjects with the dependent variable and BMI SDS, sRAGE, HOMA-IR, and TG:HDL-C ratio, after adjusting for other factors, using the independent variables BMI SDS, WC, lipid profile, HOMA-IR, WBISI, PGF2α, and age and sex were used as covariates. Results were expressed as OR with 95% CI.

Pearson’s correlation was performed to evaluate the relationship between TG:HDL-C ratio and each variable relating to cardio-metabolic risk (BMI, blood pressure, WC, lipid profile, HOMA-IR, WBISI, PGF2α, and sRAGE).

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to assess the possible independent association between cIMT and TG:HDL-C ratio, after adjusting for other factors, using two different models: model A, where cIMT was the dependent variable and BMI SDS, sRAGE, HOMA-IR, PGF2α, age, and sex were the independent variables and model B, where cIMT was the dependent variable and BMI SDS, WBISI, sRAGE, PGF2α, age, and sex were the independent variables.

### Results

#### Anthropometric characteristics

The general characteristics and levels of biochemical parameters of the obese and normal-weight prepubertal children are reported in Table 1. The two groups were similar for age, sex, and pubertal stage. As expected, weight, weight SDS, BMI, and BMI SDS were significantly higher in obese children than in controls (all \( P < 0.05 \)). No differences were found in SBP and DBP between the two groups (SBP, \( P = 0.306 \); DBP, \( P = 0.379 \)).

| Table 1 Baseline clinical characteristics and levels of biochemical parameters. Data are mean ± S.D. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Anthropometric measurements** | **Obese prepubertal children** | **Prepubertal controls** |
| Age (years) | 7.8 ± 1.4 | 7.3 ± 1.5 | 0.08 |
| Gender | 27 M/23 F | 20 M/17 F | 0.320 |
| Height (cm) | 131.7 ± 12.4 | 128.3 ± 15.4 | 0.302 |
| Height SDS | 1.2 ± 0.9 | 1.2 ± 1.0 | 0.853 |
| Weight (kg) | 42.5 ± 1.3 | 28.6 ± 7.3 | 0.0004 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 24.2 ± 4.3 | 16.9 ± 1.6 | 0.0006 |
| BMI SDS | 2.63 ± 0.59 | -0.06 ± 0.73 | 0.0002 |
| WC (cm) | 74.1 ± 12.9 | 55.6 ± 6.5 | 0.0005 |
| HC (cm) | 80.7 ± 10.03 | 64.9 ± 9.6 | 0.0005 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 104 ± 8 | 102 ± 9 | 0.306 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 66 ± 8 | 66 ± 8 | 0.379 |

Insulin resistance

Fasting insulin (µU/ml) 10.4 ± 6.4 | 3.9 ± 1.5 | 0.0001 |
Fasting glycemia (mg/dl) 89 ± 8 | 85 ± 7 | 0.32 |
HOMA-IR | 2.2 ± 1.3 | 0.8 ± 0.3 | 0.0002 |
WBISI | 7.9 ± 4.6 | 15.4 ± 6.6 | 0.0006 |

Lipid profile

Total cholesterol (mg/dl) 164 ± 12 | 165 ± 14 | 0.892 |
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) 52 ± 12 | 62 ± 13 | 0.06 |
LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) 95 ± 16 | 88 ± 13 | 0.114 |
Triglyceride (mg/dl) 91 ± 38 | 75 ± 30 | 0.059 |
TG:HDL-C ratio | 1.9 ± 1.1 | 1.2 ± 0.6 | 0.002 |

Oxidant status

sRAGE (pg/ml) | 946.9 ± 425.3 | 1481.6 ± 589.4 | 0.0001 |
PGF2α (ng/ml) | 7.8 ± 3.2 | 1.7 ± 0.8 | 0.0001 |
cMTP Right cIMT (mm) | 0.42 ± 0.06 | 0.29 ± 0.10 | 0.0004 |
Left cIMT (mm) | 0.43 ± 0.06 | 0.32 ± 0.07 | 0.0003 |
Mean cIMT (mm) | 0.42 ± 0.06 | 0.31 ± 0.07 | 0.0003 |

M, male; F, female; WC, waist circumference; HC, hip circumference; WHR, waist-to-hip circumference ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; WBISI, whole-body insulin sensitivity index; TG:HDL-C, triglycerides-to-HDL cholesterol ratio; PGF2α, urinary isoprostanes; sRAGE, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products; cIMT, intima-media thickness.

#### Indices of IR/insulin sensitivity

No differences were found between the two groups in fasting glycemia, whereas obese children had higher levels of fasting insulin than normal-weight children (\( P = 0.0001 \)). In addition, obese children presented a higher HOMA-IR (\( P = 0.0002 \)) and a lower WBISI (\( P = 0.0006 \)) compared with control children (Table 1).

#### Oxidant–antioxidant status

Levels of PGF2α were higher in obese children than in controls (\( P = 0.0001 \)), whereas sRAGE levels were lower in obese than in normal-weight children (\( P = 0.0001 \)) (Table 1).
Lipid profile

No significant differences were found between two groups in TC, LDL-C and HDL-C, and TG (TC, \(P=0.89\); HDL-C, \(P=0.06\); LDL-C, \(P=0.114\); and TG, \(P=0.059\)), whereas the TG:HDL-C ratio was significantly higher in obese than in normal-weight children (1.9 ± 1.1 vs 1.2 ± 0.6, \(P=0.002\)) (Table 1).

Intima-media thickness

Obese children presented a significantly higher cIMT, both on right and left sides, compared with normal-weight children (\(P=0.0004\), \(P=0.0003\) respectively). HOMA-IR (\(P=0.0003\)) progressively decreased (Table 1). Interestingly, also cIMT progressively increased moving across tertiles (\(P=0.0003\); Table 2 and Fig. 1).

Post-hoc analysis showed significant differences in the parameters between the upper tertile when compared with both the lower and the middle tertiles (Table 2). These changes in metabolic and cardiovascular markers persisted after dividing the study population in to obese and normal-weight children (Tables 3 and 4).

ROC curve

A ROC curve was calculated to individuate a value of the TG:HDL-C ratio that was able to identify children with cIMT in the upper quartile. The AUC–ROC for the ability of TG:HDL-C ratio to predict values of cIMT in the highest quartile was significant (0.633 ± 0.065, \(P=0.045\)). In particular, we found that the cutoff point of 1.12 for the TG:HDL-C ratio had a 81% sensitivity and 49% specificity in the identification of prepubertal children with values of cIMT in the upper quartile. Children with a TG:HDL-C ratio higher than 1.12 had an OR of 4.775 (95% CI 1.503–15.174, \(P=0.008\)), having their cIMT in the upper quartile after adjusting for age and sex.

Table 2  cIMT, metabolic parameters, and levels of oxidative status according to tertiles of the TG:HDL-C ratio. Data are mean ± S.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tertiles of TG:HDL-C ratio</th>
<th>1st tertile (&lt;1.04)</th>
<th>2nd tertile (1.04–1.67)</th>
<th>3rd tertile (&gt;1.67)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>13 M/15 F</td>
<td>15 M/13 F</td>
<td>18 M/13 F</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI SDS</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.49</td>
<td>1.86 ± 1.30</td>
<td>2.39 ± 1.27</td>
<td>0.0001&lt;sup&gt;*,†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>65.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>60 ± 9.0</td>
<td>78 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.0007&lt;sup&gt;*,†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.0001&lt;sup&gt;*,†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBISI</td>
<td>11.9 ± 6</td>
<td>12.9 ± 5.9</td>
<td>6.4 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.0003&lt;sup&gt;*,†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRAGE (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1350 ± 759</td>
<td>1101 ± 423</td>
<td>1000 ± 444</td>
<td>0.05&lt;sup&gt;*,‡&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF2α (ng/ml)</td>
<td>5.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>6.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.0003&lt;sup&gt;*,†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant values by post-hoc analysis: *3rd tertile vs 1st tertile and 3rd tertile vs 2nd tertile. WC, waist circumference; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; WBISI, whole-body insulin sensitivity index; TG:HDL-C, triglycerides-to-HDL cholesterol ratio; PGF2α, urinary isoprostanes; sRAGE, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products; cIMT, intima-media thickness.
Table 3  cIMT, metabolic parameters, and levels of oxidative status across tertiles of the TG:HDL-C ratio in normal weight children. Data are mean ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tertiles of TG:HDL-C ratio</th>
<th>1st tertile (≤ 0.97)</th>
<th>2nd tertile (0.97–1.38)</th>
<th>3rd tertile (≥ 1.38)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBISI</td>
<td>17.83 ± 9.03</td>
<td>14.38 ± 4.22</td>
<td>14.14 ± 5.38</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF2α</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.82</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRAGE</td>
<td>1526.0 ± 583.7</td>
<td>1469.2 ± 975.3</td>
<td>1481.6 ± 589.6</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant values by post-hoc analysis: *3rd tertile vs 1st tertile and †3rd tertile vs 2nd tertile. HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; WBISI, whole-body insulin sensitivity index; TG:HDL-C, triglycerides-to-HDL cholesterol ratio; PGF2α, urinary isoprostanes; sRAGE, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products; cIMT, intima-media thickness.

positive correlation was also found between the TG:HDL-C ratio and cIMT ($r = 0.493, P = 0.0005$; Fig. 2).

In order to investigate the potential independent contribution of the TG:HDL-C ratio on cIMT, a multiple stepwise regression analysis was performed. In the model A, where we considered as independent variables BMI SDS, HOMA-IR, TG:HDL-C ratio, sRAGE, PGF2α, age, and sex, cIMT was independently and positively associated only with TG:HDL-C ratio and PGF2α (Table 5). In the second model (model B), where the independent variables were BMI SDS, WBISI, TG:HDL-C ratio, sRAGE, PGF2α, age, and sex, cIMT was significantly associated with TG:HDL-C ratio, PGF2α, and WBISI, whereas age, sex, BMI SDS, and sRAGE were not related (Table 5).

Discussion

In this study, we have found that obese prepubertal children had an increased TG:HDL-C ratio compared with normal-weight peers, and that this ratio was associated with well-known cardiovascular risk factors. Even more interesting was the finding of a significant association between the TG:HDL-C ratio and early abnormalities in the arterial wall, such as increased cIMT.

During the last years, several studies have clearly demonstrated the role of atherogenic dyslipidemia in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis in obese subjects (10, 12, 19, 20). The hallmark of atherogenic dyslipidemia is represented by decreased levels of HDL-C associated with increased TG and normal or minimally elevated levels of LDL-C (20). However, in adults with an increased cardiometabolic risk, the individual values of TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C do not always reflect their overall cardiovascular risk, whereas the combination of TG and HDL-C in a single ratio seems to have a better predictive power for cardiovascular disease (21). In line with these data, in this study, we found that obese prepubertal children presented no differences in terms of TG and HDL-C levels compared with normal-weight peers, whereas the TG:HDL-C ratio was significantly increased in obese children.

Recent guidelines for the clinical approach to obese patients recommend that the TG:HDL-C ratio should be used to define the impaired metabolic status and chronic inflammation in these subjects (22). Recently, some studies have reported that even in the pediatric population, the TG:HDL-C ratio is related to IR and chronic inflammation (10, 12, 23). Accordingly with these findings, our results confirm the already reported association between TG:HDL-C ratio, IR status, and chronic inflammation, and highlight the value of the TG:HDL-C

Table 4  cIMT, metabolic parameters, and levels of oxidative status across tertiles of the TG:HDL-C ratio in obese children. Data are mean ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tertiles of TG:HDL-C ratio</th>
<th>1st tertile (≤ 1.14)</th>
<th>2nd tertile (1.14–2.25)</th>
<th>3rd tertile (≥ 2.25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.97</td>
<td>2.05 ± 1.55</td>
<td>2.67 ± 1.21</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBISI</td>
<td>10.2 ± 4.61</td>
<td>8.49 ± 2.62</td>
<td>5.73 ± 2.41</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF2α</td>
<td>5.79 ± 2.15</td>
<td>7.48 ± 1.93</td>
<td>8.48 ± 3.68</td>
<td>0.01**†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRAGE</td>
<td>1526.0 ± 583.7</td>
<td>1460.2 ± 975.3</td>
<td>1481.6 ± 589.6</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant values by post-hoc analysis: *3rd tertile vs 1st tertile and †3rd tertile vs 2nd tertile. HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; WBISI, whole-body insulin sensitivity index; TG:HDL-C, triglycerides-to-HDL cholesterol ratio; PGF2α, urinary isoprostanes; sRAGE, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products; cIMT, intima-media thickness.

Figure 2  Association between cIMT and TG:HDL-C ratio.
The TG:HDL-C ratio were not particularly high when acknowledged that in our study population, values of indicated by higher values of cIMT. It needs to be with more marked signs of early atherosclerosis as cardiovascular risk that was able to discriminate subjects, where also adolescents and a mixture of ethnic groups were studied. These could reflect the well-known influences of puberty and ethnicity on insulin sensitivity and cardio-metabolic parameters.

Some limitations of this study need to be acknowledged. In particular, the cross-sectional study design does not allow proving causality between the TG:HDL-C ratio and increased cIMT. In addition, the small sample size and the clinical-based design limit the generability of the results. Therefore, further larger and prospective studies are required to confirm our preliminary findings. Another study limitation might be the use of cIMT as a marker of early vascular damage instead of flow-mediated dilatation and arterial distensibility. However, several studies have validated cIMT and extensively applied it.

In conclusion, our findings support the role of the TG:HDL-C ratio as a useful marker, related to cardio-vascular risk factors and early signs of vascular damage, and reiterate the concept that early signs of cardiovascular disease are already detectable in obese prepubertal children.

### Table 5 Relationship between cIMT, TG:HDL-C, and other main parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R² adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cIMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model A (BMI SDS, sRAGE, HOMA-IR, age, and gender)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF2α</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG:HDL-C</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B (BMI SDS, sRAGE, age, and gender)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF2α</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG:HDL-C</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBISI</td>
<td>−0.230</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cIMT, intima-media thickness; TG:HDL-C, triglycerides-to-HDL cholesterol ratio; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; WBISI, whole-body insulin sensitivity index; PGF2α, urinary isoprostanes; sRAGE, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products.
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