Reply to the Letter to the Editor by Dr Rosario

We thank Dr Rosario for his comment. He is right when stating that the rate of ablation was rather low, but this is not surprising because we are dealing with patients that may be metastatic at the moment of ablation and will not be cured with a single ablative dose of radioiodine. In this regard, our rates of ablation are in line with those reported in the literature.

The aim of ablation is to remove the normal thyroid remnant. To eradicate metastases is treatment and not ablation. The aim of our study was not to see how many times an ablative dose was able to cure the patient but just to see whether low or high ablation activities had an impact on the final outcome of patients who continued to be treated with additional therapeutic doses of radioiodine or other therapies whenever they had persistent or recurrent disease.

The last sentence of Rosario’s letter “…these patients should be treated with even higher 131-I activities” would imply that he pretends to cure metastatic patients just with one single dose of radioiodine. This is not current practice.
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