Genetic forms of severe insulin resistance: what endocrinologists should know
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Abstract

‘Insulin resistance’ (IR) is a widely used clinical term. It is usually defined as a state characterised by reduced glucose-lowering activity of insulin, but it is also sometimes used as a shorthand label for a clinical syndrome encompassing major pathologies such as type 2 diabetes, polycystic ovary syndrome, fatty liver disease and atherosclerosis. Nevertheless, the precise cellular origins of IR, the causal links among these phenomena and the mechanisms underlying them remain poorly understood or contentious. Prevalent IR usually results from a genetic predisposition interacting with acquired obesity; however, even in some lean individuals, very severe degrees of IR can be observed. It is important to identify these people as they often harbour identifiable single-gene defects and they may benefit from molecular diagnosis, genetic counselling and sometimes tailored therapies. Observation of people with known single-gene defects also offers the opportunity to make inferences about the mechanistic links between IR and common pathologies. Herein, we summarise the currently known monogenic forms of severe IR, with an emphasis on the practical aspects of their recognition, diagnosis and management. In particular, we draw distinctions among the biochemical subphenotypes of IR that arise from primary adipose tissue dysfunction or from primary insulin signalling defects and discuss the implications of this dichotomy for management.

Introduction

Obesity and the metabolic syndrome pose a substantial threat to health and longevity in contemporary society. Integral to this is the propensity of obesity to induce a state of insulin resistance (IR), or reduced responsiveness to the glucose-lowering action of insulin in some, but not all, people. Evidence from twin studies suggests that a significant part of this individual susceptibility is genetically determined (1, 2, 3, 4); however, population-based, genome-wide association studies have been largely ineffective to date in uncovering the precise genetic variants accounting for this. Improving our understanding of both the mechanisms leading to IR susceptibility and the mechanisms linking it to disease is imperative, as IR is strongly associated with, and in some cases the driver of, major morbidity and mortality in the form of diabetes mellitus (5), the spectrum of fatty liver disease (6, 7), atherosclerosis (8), ovulatory dysfunction (9) and malignancy (10).

Syndromes of severe IR (SSIRs) will be used in this article to denote a group of rare disorders that feature severe IR (SIR) that is not accounted for by concomitantly severe obesity. In fact, in the majority of conditions to be described, the affected individuals are usually lean. No formal criteria for diagnosing SIR biochemically exist. In our own practice, we use a fasting insulin value > 150 pmol/l and/or a peak insulin value on glucose tolerance testing > 1500 pmol/l in individuals with a BMI < 30 kg/m² and with normal glucose tolerance as a useful indicator, while in those with absolute insulin deficiency and a BMI < 30 kg/m², exogenous insulin requirement of > 3 units/kg per day is suggestive. However, it is important to emphasise that such biochemical criteria should not be applied rigidly. This is partly because of the difficulty in interpreting insulin levels once partial β-cell decomposition has occurred, as in many patients at first evaluation, and partly because of the influence of age, pubertal status and adiposity on insulin levels in the general population. Thus, while some biochemical testing is of great value, it should be interpreted in the light of clinical features including acanthosis nigricans, oligomenorrhea and hyperandrogenism, or features specific to individual syndromes such as abnormal adipose distribution. The prevalence of SSIRs has not been formally assessed, though quaternary referral practice in our centre suggests a prevalence of the order of 0.1–0.5% among patients visiting hospital diabetes clinics (11).
It is important to understand that while biochemically confirmed SIR is a convenient defining feature of SSIRs, SIR per se is a biochemical state shared by many heterogeneous disorders. These may be acquired, with SIR being attributable to critical illness or pharmacotherapy or, in rare cases, to anti-insulin receptor antibodies (12, 13) or to the destruction of some or all adipose tissue (14, 15). As these disorders have been thoroughly reviewed (13, 16, 17, 18, 19), the focus of this article will be on genetic SSIRs.

Over the past 25 years, the pace of genetic discovery in the field of SSIRs has been intensifying as the power and reach of genetic technologies have advanced (20, 21). However, the genetic landscape of SSIRs has not evolved as initially anticipated, for although pathogenic mutations have been identified in several critical molecules directly involved in insulin signalling, the majority of patients have proved to have primary genetic defects affecting adipose tissue development or function or indeed affecting other cellular processes such as DNA repair, whose link to SIR is yet to be fully elucidated. We have incorporated these discoveries into a classification scheme for SSIRs that distinguishes primary insulin signalling disorders, primary lipodystrophies and complex, more pleiotropic disorders (11) (Table 1). This has been facilitated by a growing ability to subphenotype SSIRs clinically and biochemically prior to genetic testing (22, 23, 24), and this approach holds further promise for the future in permitting customised therapeutic approaches to each condition.

In many SSIRs, abnormal glucose homeostasis is not the presenting problem, with the first clinical contact often being with paediatricians, endocrinologists, dermatologists, lipidologists or gynaecologists, among others. This review aims, first, to highlight the classical presentations of genetic SSIRs and, second, to outline the principles of investigation and management that have emerged from specialised clinical practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Classification of the syndromes of severe insulin resistance.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syndromes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary insulin signalling defects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSR (AR or AD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKT2 (AD), TBC1D4 (AD) and others to be defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary to adipose tissue abnormalities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired or genetic (e.g. MC4R (AD), LEP (AR), POMC (AR) and SH2B1 (AD))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lipodystrophy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGPAT2 (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSC1L2 (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMNA (AD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARG (AD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLIN1 (AD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIDEC (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMPSTE24 (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>As a feature of complex syndromes\textsuperscript{b}</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRN (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALMS1 (AR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCNT (AR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Single cases reported only. 
\textsuperscript{b}Summary of clinical features in Table 2.
SSIRs presenting peri- or postpubertally will be considered first, followed by the much rarer, predominantly autosomal recessive SSIRs that usually present in infancy or in prepubertal children.

**SSIRs presenting peri- or postpubertally**

SSIRs most commonly present to clinical attention in the peripubertal period, although in many cases severe hyperinsulinaemia is congenital. Usually, it is the symptoms arising from ovarian dysfunction that trigger medical consultation. Puberty is often accelerated in those with SSIRs, most probably due to the action of hyperinsulinaemia, which exerts synergistic effects with gonadotrophins on the ovary (25, 26). Many SSIRs are biochemically and clinically more severe in females, so that index cases in the large majority of affected families are female. To illustrate some of the diagnostic and therapeutic issues that arise in SSIRs, several representative case vignettes are described below.

**Case 1: a lean adolescent with hirsutism, polycystic ovaries and acanthosis nigricans**

A 15-year-old girl was referred to a specialist SIR clinic with hirsutism and primary amenorrhoea. Coarse facial hair had first been noticed at 12 years of age and had subsequently become progressively more severe and cosmetically distressing. There was a history of diabetes in a paternal grandmother.

Investigation at initial presentation revealed enlarged ovaries with multiple peripheral cysts (Fig. 1A), an elevated LH:FSH ratio and a strikingly high testosterone level of 8.2 nmol/l. DHEAS levels were normal, and subsequent ovarian vein sampling confirmed the serum testosterone to be of ovarian origin. Fasting insulin levels were extremely elevated at 1088 pmol/l (reference <60 pmol/l) with a concomitant glucose level of 3.4 mmol/l. Pharmacological therapy including metformin, rosiglitazone, acarbose and antiandrogenic therapy was used over the next 2 years, with gradual improvement of hyperandrogenaemia and hirsutism (Fig. 1B).

On re-evaluation at 16 years of age, her BMI was 21 kg/m² and height 1.52 m. She had moderately severe acne and severe hirsutism affecting her face, chest and lower abdomen. Puberty was well advanced (Tanner stage 4). Acanthosis nigricans was prominent in both axillae (Fig. 1C), with numerous skin tags, and the patient volunteered that her mother had always scrubbed her neck when she was a child because it was ‘dirty’. General examination was otherwise normal.

Severe hyperinsulinaemia persisted (fasting plasma insulin level 745 pmol/l); however, the lipid profile was normal, and there was neither biochemical nor radiological evidence of fatty liver. Adiponectin levels were elevated at 17.7 µg/l (2.4–14.9). A genetic defect in the INSR gene, encoding the insulin receptor, was diagnosed, and sequencing identified a well-known dominant negative heterozygous missense mutation, Pro1178Leu.

**Comment**

Hyperandrogenism with primary amenorrhoea or oligomenorrhoea is the commonest presentation of SIR, with acanthosis nigricans and hyperglycaemia often only being noticed on subsequent evaluation. Hyperandrogenism is usually particularly severe in the second decade, when pubertal IR interacts with the underlying genetic defect. Testosterone levels may be extremely high (up to 15 nmol/l) and may induce some virilisation. Such hyperandrogenaemia commonly triggers a search for a virilising tumour; however, clinical experience suggests that testosterone levels may usually be lowered to the normal female range by the use of GNRH analogues to suppress gonadotrophin levels, as has been reported in postmenopausal hyperthecosis with severe hyperandrogenism and IR (27, 28, 29). Nevertheless, bona fide virilising tumours have been described in the context of congenital SIR (30) (RK Semple, unpublished data), raising the possibility that sustained hyperinsulinaemia is a risk factor for the development of autonomous androgen-secreting tumours. More formal evaluation of the relative risks and benefits of a ‘block-and-replace’ strategy in young women with SIR-related hyperandrogenism, using GNRH agonists in conjunction with hormone replacement, is yet to be reported. Nevertheless, in our own practice, several examples of autonomous virilising tumours arising in the context of many years of SIR suggest that IR-related hyperandrogenaemia may not be an entirely benign entity, at least in the long term.

Although these ovarian features of IR are generic to nearly all forms of SSIRs, other aspects of insulin receptoropathy are distinct: dyslipidaemia and fatty liver are almost never a feature of proximal insulin
signalling disorders (24), while inappropriately normal or high plasma adiponectin levels are characteristic (23, 31, 32) and can be used to triage patients with SSIRs for INSR gene screening (33). Whether the apparently benign lipid profile and lack of fatty liver translate into long-term protection of patients with INSR mutations from atherosclerosis and fibrotic liver disease despite their SIR is yet to be determined.

**Case 2: the ‘asymptomatic’ male relative**

The 46-year-old father of a 15-year-old girl recently diagnosed with an INSR mutation was referred for genetic counselling. He insisted that he was in excellent health, but on detailed enquiry, a long-standing history of postprandial lightheadedness and sweating was established. On examination, he was lean, with marked acanthosis nigricans in the axillae and nuchal region. Fasting glucose levels were 7.8 mmol/l, and HbA1c levels were suggestive of occult diabetes at 52 mmol/mol. On prolonged glucose tolerance testing, his blood glucose levels fell to 1.9 mmol/l at 150 min, with concomitant sweating and confusion. Plasma insulin levels were subsequently found to be severely elevated at 1872 pmol/l (Fig. 2). The lipid profile and indices of liver function were normal, and the presence of the INSR mutation was confirmed. A low-glycaemic index diet, acarbose and advice on snacking between meals helped to alleviate postprandial hypoglycaemia.

**Comment**

IR is commonly less severe in men than in women, even in the face of the same underlying genetic defect. Compounding this, the lack of the ‘early warning’ signs of oligomenorrhoea and clinical hyperandrogenism means that men are commonly either undiagnosed or simply diagnosed with ‘type 2 diabetes’ in mid-life. SSIRs are thus identified far more frequently in females than in males, and after diagnosis of a girl with SIR, it is very common in autosomal dominant cases later to diagnose occult SIR and diabetes in her father. As in this case, hypoglycaemia may be a major feature of SIR due to either insulin receptor dysfunction or lipodystrophy and may be sufficiently severe to cause neuroglycopaenia and loss of consciousness. This may be the presenting feature of a SSIR, although as β-cells decompensate hypoglycaemia lessens and hyperglycaemia comes to dominate. It is not uncommon for detailed work-ups for primary hyperinsulinism (tumoural or otherwise) to be undertaken, though the presence of acanthosis nigricans and severe hyperinsulinaemia should point towards a SSIR.

Hypoglycaemia is most commonly symptomatic in the postprandial state, though may commonly also be observed in the fasting state, and may be a feature of any form of SIR (34, 35, 36). Because of this, fasting glucose levels may be normal or frankly low in the early stages of evaluation of a patient with a SSIR, contrasting with a blood glucose level in the diabetic range after an oral glucose challenge. The mechanism of hypoglycaemia in SSIRs is not clear, but a low-glycaemic index diet and acarbose, which blunts postprandial glucose and thus insulin excursion, may be helpful. However, comparative studies of these strategies are yet to be performed.

**Case 3: a muscular young adult with severe dyslipidaemia and abdominal pain**

A 21-year-old woman presented with a 12-h history of severe central abdominal pain radiating to her back. She reported previous similar but milder episodes over the preceding 2–3 years. A family history of non-insulin-dependent diabetes in her father was noted. She drank minimal quantities of alcohol and exercised infrequently. On inspection, she was lean with a BMI 23.5 kg/m². There was generalised paucity of subcutaneous adipose tissue on the limbs and torso, with evidence of previous breast augmentation surgery. There was generalised paucity of subcutaneous adipose tissue on the limbs and torso, with evidence of previous breast augmentation surgery. The amount of adipose tissue was increased in the head and neck, giving a somewhat Cushingoid appearance, but there were no other clinical features of Cushing’s syndrome. Indeed,
far from showing muscle wasting peripherally, she was conspicuously muscular, most strikingly in her calves. There was moderate facial hirsutism as well as both nuchal and axillary acanthosis nigricans. Examination of the abdomen revealed pronounced epigastric tenderness, some distension and a palpable liver edge, with very quiet bowel sounds. There were no stigmata of chronic liver disease. On insertion of a urinary catheter, pronounced accumulation of labial adipose tissue, but no cliteromegaly, was noted.

Acute pancreatitis was suspected, but serum amylase levels were normal. Markedly lipaemic serum was reported, with evidence of a systemic inflammatory response, and abdominal computed tomography (CT) imaging showed a swollen pancreas consistent with pancreatitis. Fasting plasma triglyceride levels were later found to be 38 mmol/l, with a fasting blood glucose level of 8.6 mmol/l and a plasma insulin level of 2 300 pmol/l.

After recovery from this acute episode, further evaluation showed adiponectin and leptin levels to be low at 2.3 mg/l (normal range 2.6–14.9) and 12 µg/l (normal range 14.9–60.2) respectively, while a liver ultrasound study was suggestive of hepatic steatosis. A T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the abdomen depicted a marked expansion of visceral adipose stores in stark contrast to the lack of abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue. A clinical diagnosis of familial partial lipodystrophy type 2 (FPLD2) was made, and a heterozygous missense mutation, p.Arg482Trp, in the LMNA gene was identified.

Long-term management centred on dietary ‘off-loading’ of adipose tissue through a low-fat, energy-balanced diet, with adjunctive use of metformin. Fenofibrate therapy was initiated to help control persistent hypertriglyceridaemia, but leptin therapy was not considered initially in view of the baseline serum leptin levels > 12 µg/l.

**Comment** This case illustrates several classical features of lipodystrophy. There may be a delay in recognising partial lipodystrophy, especially the commonest type described here, associated with LMNA mutations, because the amount of adipose tissue in the head and neck, and often in visceral depots, is increased (Fig. 3). Adiposity of the labia majora may also be markedly expanded and distressing in this condition. In other forms of partial lipodystrophy, some patients can have BMIs in the obese range. The adipose topography may have a Cushingoid appearance, and it is common for biochemical screening for Cushing’s syndrome to have taken place prior to definitive diagnosis, although thin skin, easy bruising, striae and muscle atrophy are not the features of lipodystrophy.

Although imaging modalities such as MRI or body composition analyses using, for example, Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) or skinfold thickness, are sometimes used to substantiate a diagnosis of lipodystrophy, it remains predominantly a clinical diagnosis, made by inspection of patients in their underwear. This is especially true in partial lipodystrophies, where not uncommonly it is the disproportion between different adipose depots that is more striking than absolute deficiency in any one depot, manifest as relatively lean limbs with preserved or slightly increased truncal adiposity.

In view of the difficulty that may be encountered in confidently clinically discerning lipodystrophy, being alert to collateral clinical features that may aid diagnosis is of great importance, especially when evaluating the descriptions of other clinicians. The first such feature is unusually severe dyslipidaemia (low HDL cholesterol and high triglyceride levels), sometimes complicated by eruptive xanthomata and episodes of acute pancreatitis. Notably, serum amylase levels may be normal in the context of hypertriglyceridaemia-induced pancreatitis (37) and so should not be used as the basis for ruling it out. A feature associated with lipodystrophy is unusually severe and early-onset fatty liver disease, which may even progress to bridging fibrosis within the first decade of life in severe cases. A ‘muscular appearance’ is often commented on in women with lipodystrophy, which is due to the composite effects of the lack of limb adipose tissue and true muscular hypertrophy (38), the pathogenesis of which is yet to be elucidated.

Dietary management is the most critical element of managing lipodystrophy, with the aim being to ‘offload’ adipose tissue. This is achieved with low-fat, energy-balanced or sometimes hypocaloric diets, which may have a dramatic effect on metabolic derangement. Indeed, a particular risk to lipodystrophic patients is the misinterpretation of their lack of adipose tissue as a sign of malnourishment, as well-meaning efforts to ‘build them up’ with calorie supplements may have severely adverse metabolic consequences. Thus, an experienced dietician is a critical part of the
multidisciplinary team approach to lipodystrophy. Measures targeted wholly or in part at weight loss, including orlistat and glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue therapies and bariatric surgery (39), should be considered in poorly controlled lipodystrophic patients even when, as is likely, they are not obese; however, despite the strong rationale for this approach, large-scale evidence for its efficacy is awaited.

Pharmacological therapies in lipodystrophic SSIRs should be aimed first at insulin sensitisation. Metformin is best established and should be titrated to the maximum tolerated dose. Thiazolidinediones may sometimes be effective (40, 41, 42), but the best available evidence suggests that they require residual adipose depots to achieve metabolic benefit (43), and this may occur at the expense of the expansion of cosmetically distressing depots such as those in the head and neck. Thus, they should be used only with caution. Drugs aimed at reduction of hypertriglyceridaemia (e.g. fibrates) also have an important place in management.

In patients with lipodystrophy and low levels of serum leptin, s.c. administration of recombinant human leptin may be dramatically beneficial in improving glycaemic control, dyslipidaemia and hepatic lipid accumulation (43, 44, 45, 46, 47). The patients to benefit most from this are those with generalised lipodystrophy and consequently extremely low or undetectable serum leptin levels. Some patients with partial lipodystrophy also benefit; however, the upper limit of pretreatment serum leptin levels that predicts a clinically meaningful response to therapy remains to be precisely defined. To date, it has been demonstrated that patients with partial lipodystrophy and features of severe metabolic derangement and leptin levels up to around 7 µg/l do benefit from leptin replacement, but further work is required to clarify the role of leptin in patients with higher leptin levels (48). It is important to appreciate that leptin is not a panacea for the metabolic treatment of lipodystrophy, however, and dietary non-compliance will severely attenuate the response to its use.

Leptin has been found to be well tolerated generally. The most serious adverse events associated with its use have been progressive renal disease and T-cell lymphoma, which has been reported in two patients (47). It remains unclear whether these adverse events are causally related to leptin therapy, as all the affected patients had acquired lipodystrophy and pre-existing active autoimmune disease. Nevertheless, as replacing leptin in hypoleptinaemic patients has been shown to exert stimulatory effects on T-lymphocyte function (49), due caution should be exercised when it is used in the context of active evidence of autoimmune disease.

**Case 4: a diabetic patient with acromegalic features**

A 50-year-old man with long-standing type 2 diabetes was evaluated for deteriorating glycaemic control. Initial presentation had been at 25 years of age with eruptive xanthomata, polyuria and polydipsia. Subsequently, he had developed treatment-resistant hypertension, renal dysfunction and hepatic steatosis. Jaw prognathism and large hands were repeatedly noted (Fig. 4), but no supporting biochemical evidence for acromegaly was found on multiple evaluations. Medications included 380 units of insulin/day, metformin, fenofibrate, fish oil and six different antihypertensive agents.

On inspection, acral enlargement, widely spaced teeth, prognathism and large hands were noted. His BMI was 33.6 kg/m² with predominantly central adipose distribution and relatively lean, muscular limbs. Mild acanthosis nigricans was present in both axillae. HbA1c levels were 78 mmol/mol and lipid levels were well controlled. Serum insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) levels were again within the normal range. A clinical diagnosis of SIR with pseudoacromegaly was made, and sequencing of the PPARG gene identified a frameshift mutation, confirming a diagnosis of FPLD3.

**Comment** Among the most striking differences between SSIRs and insulin deficiency is the range of overgrowth phenomena observed in the presence of hyperinsulinaemia. Acanthosis nigricans is the most common of these, giving the appearance of thickened, brown, ‘velvety’ skin in flexures, often associated with skin tags or acrochordons. In the most severe cases, it may be observed in perioral, periocular and buccal regions or even on planar surfaces. Unlike dyslipidaemia and fatty liver, acanthosis nigricans is observed in all known monogenic forms of SIR. Pseudoacromegalic soft tissue overgrowth is also well described, although observed in only some cases. It is most obvious in settings where there is concomitant lack of adipose tissue, but it is also observed in the context of obesity-related SIR. It is most likely that SIR/hyperinsulinaemia drives these growth features.
phenomena by augmenting mitogenic signalling through the IGF1 or other tyrosine kinase growth factor receptors, as there is cross talk between both endocrine and paracrine IGF1 signalling at multiple levels. For example, very high levels of insulin can act directly on the IGF1 receptor, while insulin action may also modulate the expression of the IGF1 receptor and bioavailability of IGF1 itself through alterations in binding protein levels. Nevertheless, formal proof of this notion, and understanding of the precise mechanism involved, is currently lacking.

### SSIRs presenting in prepubertal children

Deranged blood glucose levels are often not the first features of prepubertal SSIRs that are recognised, unless hypoglycaemia is severe, as is often observed in infantile insulin receptor defects. Rather, problems with growth, or other syndromic features, tend to be the earliest problems to trigger investigation.

Donohue syndrome (formerly ‘leprechaunism’) and Rabson–Mendenhall syndrome, the eponyms of which reflect their early clinical descriptions many decades before the identification of the insulin receptor, represent different parts of the spectrum of abnormality caused by autosomal recessive insulin receptor defects. In Donohue syndrome, little or no residual insulin receptor function is observed, while in Rabson–Mendenhall syndrome, receptor function is slightly less severely impaired. Clinical features of both syndromes have been thoroughly reviewed elsewhere. In brief, it is often the failure to thrive, with a combination of impaired linear growth, underdevelopment of fat and muscle, and overgrowth of soft tissues including skin, hair, teeth and viscera, that is first noticed. Although postprandial hyperglycaemia, which may be severe, is the norm, fasting hypoglycaemia is also very common and may dominate the metabolic picture. Nephrocalcinosis, rectal prolapse and ventricular hypertrophy are common, and in girls, ovarian enlargement may be massive, in some cases complicated by the development of tumours. Surprisingly, infants with Donohue syndrome are protected from ketoacidosis initially, although this eventually supervenes after the first 2 or 3 years. In Donohue syndrome, death most commonly occurs during intercurrent infection, while in Rabson–Mendenhall syndrome, microvascular complications of diabetes in the second decade are the major threat.

Congenital generalised lipodystrophy is also usually apparent in infancy, sometimes being picked up in the early weeks of life by clinicians, but commonly first brought to their attention by mothers concerned about the ‘wrinkly’ and thin appearance of their babies, which may be coupled to muscular enlargement, accelerated growth and abdominal distension due to hepatic engorgement with triglycerides. Where adequate control is not achieved, congenital generalised lipodystrophy may give rise to some degree of irreversible liver fibrosis within the first one to two decades, and soft tissue overgrowth may also require surgical intervention. In general, FPLD does not become overtly clinically obvious until puberty, when body adipose tissue accretion gathers pace, especially in girls. However, few genetically affected individuals have been studied prepubertally, and, at least in the case of FPLD3 due to \( PPARG \) mutations, diabetes and dyslipidaemia may present well before 10 years of age.

The final group of disorders commonly identified in the prepubertal years is that where SIR is only part of a larger constellation of problems. These include Alström syndrome, some forms of primordial dwarfism including osteodysplastic primordial dwarfism of Majewski type 2, due to defects in the pericentromal protein pericentrin, and several forms of progeria or DNA damage repair disorders including Werner and Bloom syndromes and

### Table 2 Complex syndromes associated with severe insulin resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Gene(s)</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Core clinical features</th>
<th>IR subphenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alström (OMIM #203800)</td>
<td>ALMS1</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Rod-cone dystrophy, deafness, cardiomyopathy and pulmonary/hepatic/renal dysfunction and Moyamoya vascular anomalies</td>
<td>Severe fatty liver and dyslipidaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPDII (OMIM #210720)</td>
<td>PCNT</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Short stature, microcephaly, osteodysplasia and Telangiectases, photosensitivity, short stature, immunodeficiency and increased susceptibility to cancer</td>
<td>Severe fatty liver and dyslipidaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom (OMIM #210900)</td>
<td>RECOD2 (BLM)</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>premature ageing, osteoporosis, cataracts, atherosclerosis, increased susceptibility to cancer and limb contractures</td>
<td>Severe fatty liver and dyslipidaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner (OMIM #277700)</td>
<td>RECOD2 (WRN)</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Postnatal growth retardation, craniofacial and skeletal abnormalities and cutaneous pigmentation</td>
<td>Severe fatty liver and dyslipidaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibuloacral dysplasia (OMIM #248370)</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Severe fatty liver and dyslipidaemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOPDII, osteodysplastic primordial dwarfism of Majewski type II; AR, autosomal recessive.
mandibuloacral dysplasia (63) (Table 2). The early natural history of the metabolic derangement in these conditions has not been studied in great detail, but cross-sectional assessments suggest that SIR is not congenital, but rather appears in the first few years of life. The metabolic phenotype in each of these conditions resembles that of lipodystrophy rather than that associated with insulin receptor defects. That is, extremely elevated levels of insulin are often accompanied by severe metabolic dyslipidaemia and fatty liver. However, while suggestive that the key defect may lie in the adipose tissue in these conditions, this evidence is circumstantial only, and the role of other key insulin-responsive tissues remains to be investigated.

Management of prepubertal SSIRs

The principles guiding the management of prepubertal SSIRs are broadly similar to those guiding the management of disease in older patients. Thus, in generalised lipodystrophy, minimising the dietary load of fat is essential, and careful nutritional follow-up is required to balance this with the demands of growth. As in older patients with absent adipose tissue, leptin replacement may have a major beneficial effect, and indeed there is a strong argument that early treatment from infancy may protect children with generalised lipodystrophy from accruing complications of poor metabolic control. However, case literature is only beginning to emerge in this group now (64).

In infants and children with SSIRs due to insulin signalling defects, recombinant human IGF1 has been widely used and appears to improve glycaemia and perhaps survival in some cases (65, 66). It has variously been proposed to exert beneficial effects through insulin mimetic activity, through action as a trophic factor for pancreatic β-cells, or by enhancing insulin sensitivity through postreceptor cross talk between insulin and IGF1 signalling pathways. Nevertheless, its dominant mode of action, optimal dosing and precise clinical indications for its use remain unclear.
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