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Abstract

Objective: Patients with Cushing’s syndrome (CS) in long-term remission have impaired cognitive function. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers are important diagnostic tools in the work-up of patients with cognitive impairment. The aim of this study was to analyze neurodegenerative and inflammatory biomarkers in the CSF of patients with CS in remission.

Design: A cross-sectional, single-center study.

Patients: Twelve women previously treated for CS and six healthy subjects.

Measurements: Neurodegenerative CSF markers: total tau, hyperphosphorylated tau, amyloid beta peptides, soluble amyloid precursor protein alpha and beta, neurofilament light proteins, glial fibrillary acidic protein, and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1; and inflammatory CSF markers: interferon gamma, interleukin (IL) 1B, IL2, IL4, IL5, IL8, IL10, IL12p70, IL13, and tumor necrosis factor alpha.

Results: The mean age (mean ± S.D.) was similar in patients with CS in remission (44.9 ± 14 years) and healthy subjects (42.3 ± 15.7 years; P = 0.726). No differences were observed in the concentrations of any neurodegenerative biomarkers between the patients and healthy subjects. Nor were the concentrations of inflammatory biomarkers different between the groups.

Conclusions: The pattern of neurodegenerative and inflammatory biomarkers in the CSF of patients with CS in remission does not differ from that of the healthy subjects. The underlying mechanisms of the cognitive deficits in patients with CS in remission are different from those observed in patients with neurodegenerative disorders and remain to be explained.
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Introduction

Cognitive function is impaired in patients with active Cushing’s syndrome (CS) (1). Morphological changes in the CNS have also been reported including decreased total brain volume as well as hippocampal formation volume (2, 3). Recent studies have also demonstrated that after successful treatment, patients with CS in long-term remission have impaired memory and executive functions as well as decreased brain gray matter volumes (4, 5).

Recently, we have found that attention, visual–spatial orientation, alerting, working memory, verbal fluency, and reading speed in patients with CS in long-term remission are diminished in comparison with those of controls (6). Cognitive impairment was independent of scores for depression, anxiety, and fatigue and could not be explained by concomitant hormone deficiency or previous pituitary radiotherapy. Also, patients with CS due to cortisol-producing adrenal adenoma (CPAA) showed cognitive deficits similar to those observed in patients with ACTH-producing pituitary adenoma (Cushing’s disease (CD)). Indeed, the etiology of the persistent cognitive impairment after treatment in patients with CS is unknown to date.

Endogenous cortisol production has been reported to be increased in patients with mild dementia (7) and Alzheimer’s disease (8). Furthermore, in patients with dementia, higher plasma cortisol levels are associated with more rapidly increasing symptoms of dementia and worse performance on neuropsychological testing (9). Analysis of biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is increasingly being used in explorative research on neurochemical and neurodegenerative processes and as a diagnostic aid in the clinical work-up of patients with mild cognitive impairment and dementia (10) where different forms of dementia can be differentiated with high sensitivity and specificity (11).
The main aim of this study was to analyze biological markers in the CSF of patients with CS in remission, a group of patients who frequently suffer from cognitive impairment. Due to the overlapping similarities between patients with CS and those with dementia, i.e. cognitive dysfunction and hypercortisolemia, the main hypothesis was that the pattern of CSF biomarkers in CS patients resembles the pattern found in patients with other neurodegenerative disorders.

Subjects and methods

Study design

This study was a part of a cross-sectional study on 55 patients previously treated for CS and 55 healthy subjects matched for age, gender, and educational level. The study design has been described in detail elsewhere (6). In short, the subjects were studied on three occasions. During the first visit, medical history was reviewed, physical examination was performed, and hormonal status was evaluated. Psychiatric evaluation, neuropsychological tests, and computer-based neurocognitive testing were performed during the second and third visits.

During the fourth visit, CSF was collected from a subgroup of 12 women with CS in remission and six healthy subjects who accepted to undergo lumbar puncture.

Subjects

Of the 12 patients with CS in remission, seven had previously been diagnosed with CD and five with CPAA. The mean age at diagnosis was 35 ± 13 years (range 19–58). Clinical, biochemical, radiological, and histopathological data from the time of diagnosis were thoroughly reviewed in order to verify that the initial diagnosis of CD and CPAA was correct. The primary treatment for CD was transsphenoidal surgery in six patients and bilateral adrenalectomy in one patient. All patients with CPAA were treated with unilateral adrenalectomy. Of the patients with CD (n = 7), four were receiving glucocorticoid replacement therapy and four GH replacement therapy. Four women were premenopausal, all with normal gonadotropic axis function. No patient had central hypothyroidism or diabetes insipidus. To confirm remission of CS, 1 mg overnight dexamethasone suppression test was performed. S-cortisol concentration ≤ 50 nmol/l following dexamethasone administration was considered an adequate suppression.

Healthy subjects were recruited from a random population sample from the Swedish Tax Agency. Potential subjects were contacted by an invitation letter. Those who responded were interviewed on telephone and those who matched the patients’ educational levels and had no known endocrine disorder or chronic diseases known to affect cognitive function were included.

Ethical considerations

Informed written consent was obtained from all the participants. The local ethical committee of the University of Gothenburg, Göteborg, Sweden, approved the study. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric evaluation

As described previously, neuropsychological evaluation was performed by using parts A and B of the trail making test, digit symbol-coding test, the digit span and spatial span tests, the verbal fluency test, and a reading speed test (6). The three domains of attention, i.e. alerting, orienting, and executive control (conflict), were assessed using the attention network test. The fatigue impact scale was used to evaluate fatigue and the comprehensive psychopathological rating scale to evaluate affective disorder (calculated from the subscale score for depression and anxiety).

CSF analysis

CSF was collected in polypropylene tubes after lumbar puncture through the L3–L4 or L4–L5 interspace. The CSF samples were aliquoted and stored at −80 °C until analyzed in a single run at the end of the study.

The following neurodegenerative CSF markers were analyzed: total tau (T-tau, marker of cortical axonal damage), tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (P-tau, marker of tangle pathology), amyloid beta peptides (Aβ1–42, Aβ38, Aβ40, and Aβ42), the soluble amyloid precursor protein alpha and beta (sAPPα and sAPPβ, markers of amyloid pathology), neurofilament light proteins (NFL (NEFL), marker of subcortical damage), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, a protein that reflects glial cell injury), and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP1 (CCL2), a microglial marker) (12).

The concentrations of T-tau, P-tau, and Aβ1–42 in CSF were measured using xMAP technology and the INNO-BIA AlzBio3 kit (Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium), as described previously in detail (13). The concentrations of sAPPα, sAPPβ, AβX-38, AβX-40, and AβX-42 in CSF were measured using the sAPPα/sAPPβ and Human/Rodent (4G8) Abeta Triplex Ultra-Sensitive Multiplex assays from Mesoscale (Mesoscale Discovery, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The concentration of NFL was measured using a new, highly sensitive, two-site ELISA with a lower limit of detection at 50 ng/l (UMAN Diagnostics, Umeå, Sweden). The concentration of GFAP was measured using a previously described in-house ELISA (14). The concentration of MCP1 was measured using the MCP1 singleplex assay from MSD (Mesoscale Discovery). The concentrations of a panel of inflammatory markers (interferon gamma (INFγ), interleukin 1B (IL1B), IL2, IL4, IL5, IL8, IL10, IL12p70, IL13, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), IL12p40, and IL18), the soluble amyloid precursor protein alpha and beta (sAPPα and sAPPβ, markers of amyloid pathology), neurofilament light proteins (NFL (NEFL), marker of subcortical damage), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, a protein that reflects glial cell injury), and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP1 (CCL2), a microglial marker) (12).
(TNF)) were measured using the Human TH1/TH2 10-Plex Base Kit from Meso Scale (Meso Scale Discovery). The concentrations of most of the inflammatory markers in CSF were below the detection limit of the assays, but those of IL8, IL10, and TNFα were quantifiable. Albumin levels were measured by immunonephelometry on a Beckman Immage Immunochromistry system (Beckman Instruments, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). The albumin ratio was calculated as CSF albumin (mg/l)/serum albumin (g/l) and was used as a measure of the blood–brain barrier function (15). The intra-assay coefficients of variation were below 10% for all the biomarker measurements.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed with PASW statistics, version 17.0 for windows. Data are presented as median (25–75 percentiles or range). The Mann–Whitney U test was used for comparisons between the groups. For proportions, Fisher’s exact test was used. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The mean age (mean ± s.d.) was similar in patients with CS in remission (44.9 ± 14 years) and healthy subjects (42.3 ± 15.7 years: P = 0.7), and the educational level was the same (P = 1.0). Compared with the healthy subjects, patients had a higher score on the comprehensive psychopathological rating scale for affective disorder (depression and anxiety) and had a higher total score on the fatigue impact scale, reflecting greater burdens of fatigue (Table 1). On the neuropsychological and neurocognitive evaluation, patients performed significantly worse in the reading speed test (P = 0.02) but not in the other tests performed. The pattern was similar as observed in the whole study population (6).

**Neurodegenerative biomarkers in CSF**

No statistically significant difference was observed in any neurodegenerative biomarkers between the patients with CS in remission and healthy subjects (T-tau, P-tau, NFL, Aβ1–42, Aβ38, Aβ40, Aβ42, sAPPα and sAPPβ, GFAP, and MCP1; Table 2).

**Inflammatory biomarkers in CSF**

The concentrations of IL8, IL10, and TNFα were not different between the patients with CS in remission and healthy subjects (Table 2). The concentrations of INFγ, IL1B, IL2, IL4, IL5, IL12p70, and IL13 were below the lower limit of detection in more than 50% of both patients with CS in remission and healthy subjects.

**Table 1** Results from the fatigue impact scale, comprehensive psychopathological rating scale (affective disorder), and neuropsychological and computer-based neurocognitive testing in patients with Cushing’s syndrome in remission and controls. Data are presented as median (range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CS in remission (n = 12)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 6)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue impact test</td>
<td>88 (63–101)</td>
<td>40 (2–57)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective disorder</td>
<td>14 (9–17)</td>
<td>3 (0–8)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail making A (s)</td>
<td>33 (26–40)</td>
<td>26 (21–36)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail making B (s)</td>
<td>71 (65–82)</td>
<td>66 (44–93)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span (score)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5–0.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6–0.7)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span (score)</td>
<td>15 (12–21)</td>
<td>17 (14–20)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial span (score)</td>
<td>16 (13–18)</td>
<td>16 (14–16)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency (words/min)</td>
<td>46 (37–55)</td>
<td>51 (40–61)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading speed (words/s)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.9–2.4)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.5–3.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alerting (ms)</td>
<td>24 (–31–61)</td>
<td>29 (–81–43)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orienting (ms)</td>
<td>93 (–40–155)</td>
<td>55 (12–90)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict (m)</td>
<td>194 (168–286)</td>
<td>313 (198–360)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Results from the measurements of neurodegenerative and inflammatory markers in CSF and CSF/serum albumin ratio in patients with Cushing’s syndrome in remission and controls. Data are presented as median (range). More than 50% of the measurements of INFγ, IL1B, IL2, IL4, IL5, IL12p70, and IL13 were under the lower limit of detection and are, therefore, not presented in the table. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for comparisons between patients with CS in remission and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CS in remission (n = 12)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 6)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSF:serum albumin ratio</td>
<td>4.8 (3.4–6.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.7–4.8)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-tau (ng/l)</td>
<td>35 (16–77)</td>
<td>36 (23–66)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-tau (ng/l)</td>
<td>26 (17–35)</td>
<td>27 (22–35)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFL (ng/l)</td>
<td>495 (190–1380)</td>
<td>430 (220–730)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ1–42 (ng/l)</td>
<td>303 (181–378)</td>
<td>321 (304–374)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ40 (ng/l)</td>
<td>1145 (546–1995)</td>
<td>1213 (824–2610)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ42 (ng/l)</td>
<td>8215 (4677–12 751)</td>
<td>9212 (6933–15 329)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sAPPα (ng/ml)</td>
<td>644 (182–1094)</td>
<td>741 (457–953)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sAPPβ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>209 (44–384)</td>
<td>220 (129–339)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP (ng/l)</td>
<td>420 (150–870)</td>
<td>320 (250–660)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP1 (ng/l)</td>
<td>630 (387–796)</td>
<td>610 (398–692)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL8 (ng/l)</td>
<td>28 (10–48)</td>
<td>30 (19–38)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL10 (ng/l)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6–1.5)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.6)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα (ng/l)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.6–1.4)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.6–1.4)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

In this small pilot study, we did not find any significant differences in the concentrations of neurodegenerative or inflammatory biomarkers in CSF between patients with CS in remission and healthy subjects. Therefore, the results do not support our hypothesis that patients with CS in remission with cognitive impairment have a CSF biomarker profile that indicates an ongoing neurodegenerative process.

Cognitive impairment can also be a predominating finding in various inflammatory disorders of the CNS such as multiple sclerosis and neuroborreliosis (10). In many cases, glucocorticoids are one of the treatment alternatives for neuroinflammatory disorders. However, there are reports demonstrating a paradoxically enhanced neuroinflammatory response during chronic glucocorticoid exposure (16). Theoretically, a chronic neuroinflammation might, therefore, explain the cognitive dysfunction in patients with CS. However, we did not find increased concentrations of CSF inflammatory biomarkers in patients with CS in remission. In fact, the concentrations of the majority of the inflammatory markers that were analyzed were under the lower limit of detection in more than 50% of the patients.

The main limitation of this study is the small number of participants. The reason is that only 12 patients and six healthy subjects were willing to undergo lumbar puncture. It is possible that the study is not sufficiently powered to confirm or reject our hypothesis. That the study may be underpowered is also demonstrated by the results of cognitive testing where only two variables were significantly different between the groups when compared with more distinct differences in the original study (6). Further limitations are that only women were studied and that the healthy subjects and patients may differ in other aspects that were not analyzed but could potentially affect the results.

In conclusion, the pattern of neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory biomarkers in the CSF of patients with CS in remission was not found to be different when compared with that of the healthy subjects. Our preliminary data indicate that the mechanism behind the cognitive impairment in CS is different from that in patients with other common neurodegenerative disorders. The underlying mechanisms behind the cognitive deficits in patients with CS remain to be explained.
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