Adiponectin oligomers as potential indicators of adipose tissue improvement in obese subjects
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Abstract

Objective: Adiponectin is an adipocytokine that exerts beneficial effects on obesity and related disorders by two receptors (ADIPORs). Adiponectin is produced as a monomer that circulates in serum as different oligomers. The oligomerization state and the tissue expression of adiponectin and ADIPORs are linked to its biological activities. In this study, the levels of total adiponectin and its oligomers were evaluated in relation to obesity and surgical weight loss. The expression of adiponectin and ADIPORs was analyzed in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissues of obese patients.

Design and methods: In 25 obese patients and 44 age- and sex-matched controls, the serum levels of adiponectin and its oligomers were measured and compared by ELISA, western blotting, and gel filtration. The expression of adiponectin and ADIPORs in both adipose tissues was evaluated by real-time quantitative PCR and western blotting.

Results: The amount of each adiponectin oligomer, including the monomer, increases after weight loss. The reduced circulating levels of adiponectin and its oligomers are not associated with the adipose tissue depot-specific expression of adiponectin and ADIPORs.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that in patients, adiposity is associated with the serum concentrations of adiponectin and its oligomers but not with adipose tissue depot-specific expression of adiponectin and ADIPORs. In particular, the increase in adiponectin monomer levels could probably be related to the improvement of the whole-body energy metabolism then being involved in the improvement of adipose tissue function after weight loss. This work indicates the importance of assessing the whole adiponectin oligomeric profile as further potential indicators of adipose tissue functions in obesity.
producing greater amounts of bioactive proteins, being more sensitive to lipolytic stimuli and highly deregulated in obesity and related disorders compared with SAT (1, 18). Previous data on ADIPOQ expression in relation to AT tissues are conflicting (19, 20, 21) even if increased ADIPOQ mRNA levels have been found in VAT vs SAT in animal models (22, 23).

Together with the well-known endocrine role of ACRP30, an autocrine/paracrine role has also been suggested (24); in fact, both ACRP30 receptors have been identified in adipose tissues, but their relative expression in VAT and SAT remains to be defined (25, 26).

In morbid obesity, surgery is the most suitable approach to obtain significant weight loss and beneficial metabolic effects as well as improvement of ACRP30 levels (27). However, few studies with conflicting results correlated weight loss with the distribution of each ACRP30 oligomer (28, 29).

In this work, we evaluated the levels of total ACRP30 and the distribution of its oligomers in relation to the anthropometrical and biochemical features of severely obese patients and of a subgroup of them subjected to bariatric surgery. The results were compared with age- and sex-matched control subjects. In addition, we investigated the expression of ADIPOQ, ADIPOR1, and ADIPOR2 at mRNA and protein levels in VAT compared with SAT of severely obese subjects.

Materials and methods

Subjects and sampling

Twenty-five severely obese subjects (BMI: 45.6 ± 9.0 kg/m²) were recruited from the Obesity Surgery Clinic of Federico II University. All patients were subject to laparoscopic gastric banding. Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus were not included in the study. Forty-four healthy age-matched volunteers were recruited as lean controls. For 19 patients, VAT, SAT, and serum samples were collected at the time of the bariatric surgery; for six patients, serum samples were collected and analyzed preoperatively (T0) as well as 12 months after the surgery (T1). Both tissues and serum samples were collected after a 12-h overnight fasting period, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C to extract RNA and/or proteins.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our Faculty of Medicine and was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles stated in the most recent version of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from each patient and each volunteer.

Anthropometric and biochemical measurements

The anthropometric and biochemical features of total study participants are given in Tables 1 and 2. BMI was calculated as reported previously (6), and the percentage of excess weight loss (% EWL) was calculated as the weight loss (kg) after the surgery divided by the T0 weight (kg) per 100. For all participants, total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, triglyceride, glucose, aspartate transaminase (AST), and alanine transaminase (ALT) levels were measured. Total serum ACRP30 was measured by ELISA method using a house-produced polyclonal antibody as described (6, 7); the amount of HMW oligomers was detected by a commercial kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) as reported (6, 7). Each serum sample was tested three times in triplicate.

Table 1 Comparison of anthropometric and biochemical data between controls and obese subjects. Data are expressed as mean ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Controls (n=44)</th>
<th>Obese (n=25)</th>
<th>P value*[a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>39.3±14.0</td>
<td>34.9±10.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male/female</td>
<td>20/24</td>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>38.9±3.4</td>
<td>46.5±9.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>116.4±6.6</td>
<td>132.6±5.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>80.5±3.8</td>
<td>88.3±7.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>199.9±39.7</td>
<td>195.9±39.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>54.5±17.2</td>
<td>52.1±5.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>128.3±32.5</td>
<td>118.9±30.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>104.8±64.7</td>
<td>122.1±49.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/l)</td>
<td>20.1±7.3</td>
<td>24.9±11.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/l)</td>
<td>21.6±14.3</td>
<td>40.1±39.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>80.9±11.2</td>
<td>84.5±12.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ACRP30 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>28.9±9.4</td>
<td>8.1±3.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMW ACRP30 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>4.4±2.2</td>
<td>5.9±3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistical significance was established at P<0.05. NS, not significant; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

Table 2 Comparison of anthropometric and biochemical data in obese patients before (T0) and after (T1) the surgery. Data are expressed as mean ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>T0 (n=6)</th>
<th>T1 (n=6)</th>
<th>P value*[a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>122.2±28.7</td>
<td>79.3±21.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>42.5±6.3</td>
<td>27.1±5.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>181.7±37.2</td>
<td>173.7±37.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>51.5±6.6</td>
<td>57.3±5.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>107.1±22.4</td>
<td>102.6±31.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>115.5±61.3</td>
<td>68.5±28.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/l)</td>
<td>17.2±3.5</td>
<td>15.5±2.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/l)</td>
<td>18.8±3.2</td>
<td>13.0±7.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>86.8±10.6</td>
<td>75.0±6.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ACRP30 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>8.7±3.5</td>
<td>11.7±3.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMW ACRP30 (µg/ml)</td>
<td>4.8±2.4</td>
<td>7.8±2.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistical significance was established at P<0.05. NS, not significant; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HMW, high molecular weight oligomers.

*aPaired t-test.
**RNA extraction and real-time PCR**

Total RNA from VAT and SAT of 19 patients was isolated using TRIzol according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen Life Technologies), quantified at 260 and 280 nm absorbance, and validated. The integrity of total RNA was assessed on a denaturing agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Retrotranscription of cDNA was started from 1 μg of total RNA. 25 mM dNt(N)6 random hexamers, 1 mM of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate (Amersham Biosciences), 10 mM dithiothreitol (Invitrogen Life Technologies), 20 U/ml of RNAguard RNase Inhibitor (Amersham Biosciences), 1× Buffer II (Applied Biosystems), and 200 U/ml of M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Samples without RNA were negative controls. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using standard protocols with a 7900HT Fast Real-time system instrument (Applied Biosystems). The primers, designed with PRIMER 3 Software (freely download at [http://primer3.wi.mit.edu/](http://primer3.wi.mit.edu/)), were as follows: 5′-CTGGTGAGA-AGGGTAGAAAG-3′ and 5′-ACTCCGGTTCACCAGATG-3′ for ADIPOQ 5′-CAGCCTAGGAAGATG-3′ and 5′-TCATATGGGATGACCCTGCAAC-3′ for ADIPOR1; 5′-TGGACCCCTCAGTGATG-3′ and 5′-GGATG-ATTCCACTCAGCCT-3′ for ADIPOR2; and 5′-CTGGTCTGACCGAGG-3′ and 5′-GAAGTCTCTACAACAGTCTGGFTG-3′ for the housekeeping gene β-actin. The primers were validated by direct sequencing of the obtained PCR products. Gene expression data were obtained using the ΔΔt method. Each mRNA sample was tested two times in triplicate in all tissues.

**Western blotting analysis**

Ten micrograms of serum proteins were treated with 1× Laemmli buffer, heated at 95 °C for 10 min, and loaded on 10% SDS–PAGE gel as described (6, 7, 23). The blots were developed by ECL (Amersham Biosciences), 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 mM NaF, and 10% glycerol (Invitrogen Life Technologies), duplicate. For SAT and VAT, the samples were lysed and homogenized in RIP A buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 mM NaF, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride plus protease inhibitors). To remove fat ring, lysates were centrifuged at 16 100 g for 10 min. Successively, lysates were incubated on ice for 10 min, vortexed for 45 s, and maintained on ice for 10 min. After centrifugation at 13 200 r.p.m. for 15 min at 4 °C, the supernatants were collected and proteins were quantified by the Bradford method. Twenty-five micrograms of proteins extracted were dissolved in 1× Laemmli buffer and separated using 10% SDS–PAGE gel as described (6, 7, 23).

Incubation with ACRP30 (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) and ADIPORs antibodies (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequently, the membranes were normalized with β-actin antibodies (Sigma–Aldrich). Finally, the blots were developed and analyzed as for serum samples. Each sample was tested three times in duplicate.

**Gel filtration analysis**

The ACRP30 oligomer pattern was analyzed on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column connected to a fast protein liquid chromatography system (Amersham Biosciences Biotech) as previously reported (23). In detail, 750 μg of total proteins in 250 μl were fractionated at 0.5 ml/min using PBS as elution buffer. Fractions (250 μl) were collected and ACRP30 oligomers were tested using ELISA (20 μl) and western blotting (20 μl). The column was calibrated using apoferritin (440 kDa), amylase (200 kDa), and glycer-aldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase (160 and 46 kDa) (Sigma–Aldrich). This analysis was performed on four controls and ten patients (six at T0 and T1) in duplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the SPSS (v 10.0) Software Package (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The significances of biochemical parameter differences were determined using the Mann–Whitney U test. The χ2 test was used to compare sex ratios. A multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to correct the significant P values obtained by the univariate analysis. The significant correlations were determined using the Spearman’s test. The statistical significance was established at P<0.05.

**Results**

The anthropometric and biochemical characteristics of the severely obese patients and the age-matched control group are given in Table 1. The analysis of total ACRP30 levels revealed a concentration statistically lower in the severely obese group than in controls who showed a threefold higher amount of ACRP30 (8.1±3.6 vs 28.9±9.4 μg/ml, P<0.01). For the total ACRP30 levels, we also observed a lower concentration of HMW oligomers in severely obese patients compared with controls (4.4±2.2 vs 5.9±3.7 μg/ml, P<0.05). The correlation analysis revealed that in the control group, total ACRP30 was negatively correlated with age (rs = −0.495, P<0.01), BMI (rs = −0.418, P<0.01), total cholesterol (rs = −0.304, P=0.05), triglycerides (rs = −0.441, P<0.01), and ALT (rs = −0.362, P<0.05). While in obese patients,
this correlation was evident only with age ($r_s = -0.495$, $P < 0.01$), triglycerides ($r_s = -0.448$, $P < 0.05$), and ALT ($r_s = -0.438$, $P < 0.05$). Furthermore, in the control group, total ACRP30 was positively correlated with HDL-cholesterol levels ($r_s = 0.440$, $P < 0.01$). HMW oligomer levels inversely correlated with BMI ($r_s = -0.438$, $P < 0.05$), triglycerides ($r_s = -0.349$, $P < 0.05$), AST ($r_s = -0.500$, $P < 0.01$), and ALT ($r_s = -0.552$, $P < 0.01$) in the control group, whereas only a negative correlation with triglycerides was observed in the obese group ($r_s = -0.585$, $P < 0.01$). In the control group, HMW oligomer levels positively correlated with HDL-cholesterol ($r_s = 0.306$, $P = 0.08$), although this correlation failed to reach the statistical significance. No correlation was found between HDL-cholesterol or LDL-cholesterol and ADIPOR2 did not differ between VAT and SAT of severely obese subjects (Fig. 1A, B and C). To investigate the profile of ACRP30 oligomers, western blotting was performed under native conditions and three bands corresponding to HMW ($\geq 250$ kDa), MMW ($\sim 180$ kDa), and LMW ($\sim 90$ kDa) oligomers were evident for both controls (Fig. 2A, lanes 1–4) and patients (Fig. 2A, lanes 5–8). The densitometric evaluation of the oligomeric distribution still showed a higher expression of the HMW, MMW, and LMW oligomers in controls compared with obese patients (Fig. 2A, $P < 0.05$).

Further investigation of the native distribution and abundance of ACRP30 oligomers was performed by gel filtration. The ELISA of the eluted fractions showed a lower amount of all ACRP30 oligomers in severely obese patients compared with controls (Fig. 2B). Particularly, we observed that forms having molecular weights lower than those of LMW oligomers were also less present in obesity. Western blot relative to FPLC fractions confirmed the same results (Fig. 2B). Western blotting, performed on the serum of the obese patients subjected to bariatric surgery, revealed that the T1 levels of HMW, MMW, and LMW oligomers were higher than the T0 levels ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 2C).

The ELISA and western blotting of T0 and T1 FPLC fractions also confirmed that the amount of total

---

**Figure 1** mRNA and protein expression of ADIPOQ/ACRP30, ADIPOR1, and ADIPOR2 in visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissues of severely obese subjects. Real-time PCR of (A) ADIPOQ (B) and (B) ADIPOR1 and ADIPOR2 relative to $\beta$-actin; data are expressed as mean of $2^{-\Delta C_t}$ of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. (C) Representative western blotting images of ACRP30, ADIPOR1, and ADIPOR2 with relative $\beta$-actin. Each experiment was performed twice in duplicate. The graphic representation of pixel analysis was performed using ImageJ Software and the values are reported as mean $\pm$ s.d. of two independent experiments performed in duplicate. WB, western blot.
ACRP30 as well as its oligomeric distribution was increased after weight loss: a different distribution and an abundance of ACRP30 oligomers are evident 12 months after the surgery with a higher amount of all oligomers at T1 (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, a pronounced increase in ACRP30 monomer levels was evident in T1 compared with T0 both in ELISA and even more so in western blotting of FPLC fractions.

### Discussion

ACRP30 attracted the interest of many scientists for its antiatherogenic, insulin-sensitizing, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer effects (1, 3, 6, 14, 15). ACRP30 is present in serum as different oligomers with biological functions defined not only by their absolute concentrations but also by their distribution (6, 7, 8, 9). The alteration of oligomerization state could be associated with a deregulation of adipose tissue (30). In this study, we investigated circulating total levels of ACRP30 and its whole oligomeric profile and evaluated their association with anthropometric and biochemical parameters of severely obese subjects; we compared obese features with age- and sex-matched control subjects. In addition, we evaluated and compared the same parameters in a subgroup of patients before and after weight loss induced by bariatric surgery. In addition, we compared the expression of ACRP30, ADIPOR1, and ADIPOR2 between VAT and SAT.

Several evidences indicate that both total ACRP30 and HMW oligomer levels decrease in obesity (31, 32, 33) and increase after weight loss (34). Interestingly, the present study also reveals an involvement of MMW, LMW, and monomeric forms of ACRP30 in the improvement of obesity state. These findings are in line with recent reports in which a role of LMW and MMW oligomers as new biomarkers for obesity-related diseases was proposed (11). In addition, it was also shown that hyperinsulinemia and hyperlipidemia affect total levels of ACRP30 levels modulating MMW and LMW oligomers (10), and after weight loss, an increase in total ACRP30 levels mainly due to LMW forms was also reported (34). However, data about the change in

---

**Figure 2** Western blotting and FPLC gel filtration analyses of ACRP30 and its oligomerization state in serum of controls and severely obese patients (A and B) and of six obese patients subjected to bariatric surgery (C and D). (A) One representative blot image showing different ACRP30 oligomers (HMW, MMW, and LMW) in controls (lanes 1–4) and in severely obese patients (lanes 5–8). (B) Each fraction’s aliquot from FPLC gel filtration was subjected to ELISA and western blotting. The values are reported as mean±s.d. of the absorbance at 405 nm of four controls and four obese patients relative to two independent experiments. (C) One representative blot image of HMW, MMW, and LMW oligomers in two severely obese patients at T0 and T1. (D) Each fraction’s aliquot was subjected to ELISA and western blotting analysis. The values are reported as mean±s.d. of the absorbance at 405 nm of six patients at T0 and T1 analyzed in two independent experiments. For western blotting, the graphic representation of pixel analysis was performed using ImageJ Software and the values are reported as mean±s.d. of two independent experiments performed in duplicate. The statistical significance was established at *P<0.05.
the distribution of ACRP30 oligomers after surgical weight loss are poor and to some extent conflicting. In fact, some reported no change in total ACRP30 levels but a specific increase in HMW oligomer levels and a decrease in MMW and LMW oligomer levels (28); others showed an increase in total ACRP30 levels mainly due to MMW forms (35), whereas some demonstrated an increase in total ACRP30 levels caused by HMW forms or an increase of only HMW forms (28, 34). Our findings showed that with the improvement of obesity state, the level of each ACRP30 oligomer increases, suggesting that the beneficial effects are exerted not only by HMW oligomers but also by other ACRP30 oligomers, including the monomeric form. Previously, it was reported that the monomeric form mainly, through ADIPOR1, stimulates AMPK activation in muscle (36); moreover, γACRP30 was described as a suitable therapeutic molecule as it increased fatty acid oxidation and glucose uptake at the peripheral level (37). Here, the increase of the monomeric form in the serum, after weight loss, confirms the endocrine and paracrine/autocrine role of this specific oligomer and probably could be related to the improvement of the whole-body energy metabolism (24).

Different studies reported that AT differences play a key role in the development of human diseases (17, 19). On the other hand, data on ACRP30 expression in relation to AT depot differences are conflicting (21, 22, 23) even if increased ACRP30 mRNA levels have been found in VAT vs SAT in animal models (22, 23). In the current study, the mRNA as well as the protein expression of ACRP30 and its two receptors did not differ between VAT and SAT in severely obese patients. Accordingly, it was reported that both ACRP30 receptors are similarly expressed between VAT and SAT (25). However, a decreased ADIPOR1 expression in SAT compared with VAT was also described in obese patients (26). In this study, the reduced circulating levels of ACRP30 seem to be not associated with the adipose tissue depot-specific expression of ACRP30 and its receptors.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that in our cohort of patients, adiposity is associated with the serum concentrations of total ACRP30 and HMW oligomers but not with a different expression of ACRP30 and its receptors between VAT and SAT. Our data confirm the central role of ACRP30 and its HMW oligomers but interestingly highlight a role of each ACRP30 oligomer in human obesity. The increase of the monomeric form of ACRP30 that could suggest its involvement in the improvement of adipose tissue function after weight loss is noteworthy. Altogether, these data suggest the importance of assessing the whole ACRP30 oligomeric profile as a further potential indicator of adipose tissue functions in obesity. However, further studies are warranted to elucidate the pathophysiological relevance of the distinct expression of ACRP30 oligomers and ADIPORs in adipose tissues in obesity and related diseases.
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