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Abstract

Objective: In patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes, the changes in insulin resistance are associated with the changes in expression of genes involved in nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). As such studies have never been carried out in patients with gestational diabetes (GDM), in this study, we evaluated the expression of genes involved in NF-κB activation and related to glucose metabolism in PBMCs obtained from pregnant women with GDM and normal glucose tolerance (NGT).

Design and methods: RT-PCR was performed in 60 pregnant women divided into three groups: GDM at the 1st visit, i.e. in the 24th–28th weeks of gestation (GDM1), NGT at the first visit and GDM in the 29th–32nd weeks (GDM2), and NGT at both visits. The tests were repeated 3 months postpartum.

Results: The GDM1 group had significantly higher TLR2 (P = 0.024), TLR4 (P = 0.037), STAT1 (P = 0.027), and CX3CL1 (P = 0.017) mRNA expression, whereas the GDM2 group showed markedly lower TNFRSF1A (P = 0.042), PPARγ (P = 0.018), STAT3 (P = 0.013), and CX3CL1 (P = 0.036) mRNA expression in comparison with the NGT group. The women with NGT at the 1st visit who later developed GDM had significantly higher fasting glucose (P = 0.01), HOMA-IR (P = 0.004), and TLR2 mRNA expression (P = 0.04), as well as lower ISSI2 (P = 0.01) and disposition indices, DI₃₀ (P = 0.03) and DI₁₂₀ (P = 0.01), than had the women who remained normoglycemic.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that elevated TLR2 expression, as well as higher fasting glucose and lower compensation for increased insulin resistance, may represent early metabolic disturbances in the development of GDM.
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Introduction

The nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) is a primary regulator of inflammatory response, controlling both the innate and adaptive immune systems (1, 2). NF-κB (NFκB1) proteins are present in the cytoplasm in association with inhibitory proteins known as inhibitors of NF-κB (IκBs) (1, 2). After activation by a wide range of stimuli, such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), interleukin 1β (IL1β), pathogens, T-cell activation signals, growth factors, and stress inducers, IκB proteins become phosphorylated by IκB-kinase β (IKKBβ) and are subsequently degraded by the proteasome, which allows NF-κB proteins to translocate to the nucleus and activate the transcription of a large number of genes, including pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules (1, 2). Among various NF-κB inducers, the toll-like receptors (TLRs) seem to be key initiators of innate immunity, recognizing certain pathogen-associated lipopeptides, peptidoglycans, and other lipid moieties and then triggering a cascade of cellular signals, culminating in the activation of NF-κB (3, 4, 5, 6).

In addition to host defense against foreign pathogens, recent studies implicate a role of NF-κB (7, 8, 9, 10, 11) and TLR pathway (10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20) in the pathogenesis of obesity- and type 2 diabetes-related insulin resistance and metabolic disturbances. Moreover, de Mello et al. (10, 11) demonstrated that in patients with the metabolic syndrome, the changes in insulin resistance were associated with the changes in the expression of genes involved in the NF-κB signaling pathways in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). On the other hand, it has been shown that insulin suppresses TLR expressions (21) and NF-κB binding activity (22) in PBMCs. Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that PBMCs are a target for insulin action and may be used as a convenient model to...
study the relationship between low-grade inflammation and insulin resistance (10, 11, 22, 23, 24).

As such studies have never been carried out in patients with gestational diabetes (GDM), we hypothesized that the development of insulin resistance and hyperglycemia during pregnancy may influence the expression of genes encoding receptors of surface ligands and immune mediators involved in NF-κB signaling pathway. To verify this hypothesis, we obtained PBMCs from women with GDM and normal glucose tolerance (NGT) during pregnancy and measured mRNA expression of genes: i) encoding receptors of surface ligands (TLR4, TLR2, TNF receptor superfamily member 1A (TNFRSF1A) and 1B (TNFRSF1B), and receptor for IL1 (IL1R1)) and mediators (IKBKB and chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5 (CCL5)) involved in NF-κB signaling; ii) related to immunological and endothelial function and positively regulated by NF-κB (IL8, IL17 (IL17A), chemokine (C-X3-C motif) ligand 1 (CX3CL1), and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1)); iii) essential for cytokine receptor signaling (signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) and STAT2); iv) involved in inhibiting inflammatory response via negative regulation of several cytokine pathways (IL10, suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1), SOCS2, and SOCS3), including NF-κB signaling pathway (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARG)); and v) potentially positively (dipeptidylpeptidase-4 (DPP4)) or negatively (solute carrier family 27 fatty acid transporter, member 2 (SLC27A2)) regulated by insulin resistance (25, 26, 27).

Materials and methods

Study population

Sixty pregnant women attending the gynecological outpatient clinic of the Medical University of Białystok and tested for GDM with a 75 g 2-h oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) between the 24th and 28th weeks of gestation were recruited. GDM was diagnosed according to the criteria of the Polish Diabetological Association, with the following threshold glucose levels: fasting ≥ 100 mg/dl (5.5 mmol/l), 1 h ≥ 180 mg/dl (10.0 mmol/l), and 2 h ≥ 140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/l). The subjects with NGT at the first visit were tested again for GDM 4 weeks later. All tests were repeated 3 months after childbirth. Patients with abnormal glucose readings before pregnancy, as well as with pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia, and other complications (except GDM), were not included. All pregnancies were singletons. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the protocol was approved by the Local Ethics Committee (Medical University of Białystok). On the basis of the OGTT, the patients were divided into three groups: GDM at the first visit (GDM1, n = 12), NGT at the first visit and GDM 4 weeks later (GDM2, n = 21), and the patients with NGT at the first visit who remained so 4 weeks later (NGT, n = 27).

Analytical methods

The OGTT was performed after an overnight fast and blood samples were collected at 0, 30, 60, and 120 min after glucose ingestion. Plasma glucose concentration was measured using oxidase method (CORMAY, Lublin, Poland), serum insulin was assayed by immunoradiometric method (Biosource Europe SA, Nivelles, Belgium), and HbA1c was evaluated by a HPLC technique (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglyceride concentrations were measured by enzymatic methods (ANALCO-GBG, Warsaw, Poland). LDL-cholesterol concentrations were calculated using the Friedewald equation. Serum TNFα, soluble receptors sTNFR1 and sTNFR2, CCL5 (regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted, RANTES), CX3CL1 (fractalkine), and IL10 concentrations were measured using commercial ELISA (Quantikine, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Serum IL8 and IL17 concentrations were also assayed by commercial ELISA (Invitrogen and Biosource respectively).

Insulin sensitivity calculation

Insulin sensitivity was measured using the OGTT insulin sensitivity index of Matsuda & DeFronzo (ISOGTT) (28), defined as 10 000/(FPG×FPI)×(G×I), where FPG, fasting plasma glucose; FPI, fasting plasma insulin; G, mean glucose during the OGTT, and I, mean insulin (both calculated from glucose and insulin levels at 0, 30, and 120 min of the OGTT). As a measure of β-cell function, the insulin secretion sensitivity index-2 (ISSI2), defined as the product of i) insulin secretion measured by the ratio of the area under the insulin curve (AUC) to the area under the glucose curve and ii) insulin sensitivity measured by ISOGTT, was used (29, 30, 31). The ratio of insulin AUC and glucose AUC during 0–30 min of the OGTT (AUCIns30/AUCGlu30) as a surrogate index of the early-phase insulin release was also calculated (31). The trapezoidal method was used to calculate glucose and insulin AUC during the OGTT. Two disposition indices as the products of insulin sensitivity × insulin secretion (DI30 = ISOGTT × AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and DI120 = ISOGTT × AUCIns120/AUCGlu120) were calculated as a measure of β-cell response to a given insulin sensitivity level (31). The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was also calculated as FPG×FPI/22.5 (32).

Isolation of PBMCs, RNA extraction, and cDNA synthesis

PBMCs were isolated from anticoagulated peripheral blood using Histopaque-1077 gradient (Sigma–Aldrich).
according to the manufacturer’s instructions and were stored in −80 °C until assayed. Total RNA was isolated using the RNase Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA concentration was determined using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 1000, Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). cDNA synthesis was performed using High Capacity cDNA RT Kit (Life Technologies) in the MJ Research Thermal Cycler (Model PTC-200, USA).

**Quantitative real-time RT-PCR**

RT-PCR was performed with TaqMan Low-Density Array chemistry (TLDA, Life Technologies). The reaction mixture consisted of 50 μl cDNA and 50 μl Master Mix (TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix, Life Technologies). Each sample was transferred to the fill port of a TaqMan Array Micro Fluidic Card and run on the 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). The thermal profile of the reaction was as follows: 2 min at 50 °C, 10 min at 94.5 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 97 °C, and 1 min at 59.7 °C. β-Actin and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were used as an endogenous control.

**Statistical analysis**

The differences in gene expression between the groups studied were calculated by REST (Relative Expression Software Tool) 2009, taking into account qPCR efficiency and fold changes of threshold cycle \( \left( C_T \right) \) values relative to the control (33). Other data were analyzed by the Statistica 8.0 for Windows Software (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, USA). Before analysis, data were tested for normality of distribution using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Differences between the groups were compared by Mann–Whitney U test, and relationships between variables were tested by Spearman’s correlations. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s correction was used to compare the differences in gene expression during the course of pregnancy. \( P \) value <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the groups studied**

The clinical characteristics of the groups studied are summarized in Table 1. The patients diagnosed as having GDM at the 1st visit (GDM1) had significantly higher fasting \( (P = 0.04) \) and post-load glucose levels \( (P < 0.0001) \), higher insulin at 120 min of the OGTT \( (P = 0.04) \), higher triglyceride concentration \( (P = 0.04) \), as well as markedly lower \( IS_{OGTT} \) \( (P = 0.04) \), \( ISS12 \) \( (P = 0.001) \), \( DI_{30} \) \( (P = 0.002) \), and \( DI_{120} \) \( (P = 0.001) \) values than had the women with NGT.

The women with NGT in the 2nd trimester but diagnosed as having GDM after the second OGTT (GDM2) at the 1st visit had significantly higher fasting glucose \( (P = 0.01) \), insulin \( (P = 0.006) \), HbA1c \( (P = 0.01) \), triglycerides \( (P = 0.003) \), and HOMA-IR \( (P = 0.004) \), as well as lower HDL-cholesterol \( (P = 0.01) \), \( ISS12 \) \( (P = 0.01) \), \( DI_{30} \) \( (P = 0.03) \), and \( DI_{120} \) \( (P = 0.01) \), than had the women who remained normoglycemic throughout pregnancy.

The same patients at the 2nd visit, when they were classified as having GDM (GDM2), had significantly higher fasting \( (P = 0.02) \) and post-load glucose levels \( (P < 0.0001) \), respectively, higher insulin levels at 0 and 120 min of the OGTT \( (P = 0.03 \) and \( P = 0.004 \) respectively), higher HOMA-IR \( (P = 0.001) \), as well as markedly lower \( IS_{OGTT} \) \( (P = 0.003) \), \( ISS12 \) \( (P = 0.0002) \), \( DI_{30} \) \( (P = 0.0009) \), and \( DI_{120} \) \( (P = 0.0002) \) values, than had the women with NGT. Three months postpartum, there were no significant differences in anthropometric or metabolic variables between the patients with and without GDM in pregnancy.

**Serum II. levels in patients with and without GDM**

At the 1st visit, the women with NGT who later developed GDM (GDM2) had significantly lower serum IL10 concentration \( (P = 0.04) \) than had the patients with NGT throughout pregnancy (Table 2). The same patients also showed markedly higher IL8 and lower IL17 levels but the differences were not significant, probably due to large variations among individuals. There were no significant differences in the serum levels of other ILs and chemokines studied between the women with and without GDM both during and after pregnancy (Table 2).

**Gene expression in PBMCs of GDM patients**

At the 1st visit, the patients from the GDM1 group had significantly higher \( TLR2 \) \( (P = 0.024) \), \( TLR4 \) \( (P = 0.037) \), \( STAT1 \) \( (P = 0.027) \), and \( CX3CL1 \) \( (P = 0.017) \) mRNA expression than had the women from the NGT group (Table 3). The women with NGT at the 1st visit but diagnosed as having GDM after the second OGTT (GDM2) had significantly higher \( TLR2 \) mRNA expression compared with the subjects with NGT throughout pregnancy \( (32.0 \ (24.2–34.0) \) vs 9.4 \( (6.8–28.2) \) AU, \( P = 0.04) \).

At the 2nd visit, women from the GDM2 group showed significantly lower \( TNFRSF1A \) \( (P = 0.042) \), \( PPARG \) \( (P = 0.018) \), \( STAT3 \) \( (P = 0.013) \), and \( CX3CL1 \) \( (P = 0.038) \) mRNA expression in PBMCs in comparison with the NGT group. Three months postpartum, the women with previous GDM had significantly higher \( IL1R1 \) \( (P = 0.028) \), \( IKBKB \) \( (P = 0.018) \), and \( SL27A2 \)
Table 1 Clinical and biochemical characteristics of the groups studied. Data are shown as medians (interquartile range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2nd trimester</th>
<th></th>
<th>3rd trimester</th>
<th></th>
<th>3 months postpartum</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGT</td>
<td>GDM1</td>
<td>GDM2</td>
<td>NGT</td>
<td>GDM1</td>
<td>GDM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.7 (21.7–26.6)</td>
<td>25.9 (24.2–29.4)</td>
<td>24.5 (22.6–27.1)</td>
<td>24.0 (21.0–26.6)</td>
<td>24.7 (23.2–28.6)</td>
<td>24.0 (21.0–26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.1 (25.6–29.5)</td>
<td>28.1 (27.3–31.6)</td>
<td>27.9 (25.6–31.7)</td>
<td>28.1 (25.8–30.7)</td>
<td>28.8 (27.1–31.4)</td>
<td>24.2 (21.9–27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose 0 (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.0–4.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.4–4.7)§</td>
<td>4.8 (4.1–5.2)§</td>
<td>4.2 (3.9–4.8)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.3–4.8)§</td>
<td>4.8 (4.4–5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose 120 (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.4 (5.6–6.8)</td>
<td>6.6 (6.4–7.2)</td>
<td>8.7 (8.0–9.2)§</td>
<td>6.3 (5.7–7.3)</td>
<td>8.2 (8.1–8.5)§</td>
<td>4.8 (4.4–5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin 0 (µmol/l)</td>
<td>7.10 (38.0–107.5)</td>
<td>116.3 (97.2–132.6)</td>
<td>85.5 (71.1–155.9)</td>
<td>74.0 (36.4–107.9)</td>
<td>126.0 (97.0–142.7)§</td>
<td>8.7 (36.6–116.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin 120 (µmol/l)</td>
<td>5.19 (350.7–786.7)</td>
<td>462 (336–629)</td>
<td>749.4 (600.4–869.7)§</td>
<td>499.5 (296.6–760.0)</td>
<td>824.3 (517.1–1124.9)§</td>
<td>78.7 (36.6–116.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.5–4.9)</td>
<td>5.0 (4.8–5.1)§</td>
<td>4.8 (4.5–4.9)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.7–5.6)</td>
<td>5.0 (4.9–5.3)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.9–5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS12</td>
<td>366.2 (287.1–413.7)</td>
<td>243.4 (211.3–292.7)§</td>
<td>205.7 (152.9–251.4)§</td>
<td>366.6 (275.7–446.3)</td>
<td>233.4 (180.8–267.0)§</td>
<td>221.8 (191.6–355.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC30/AUCGlu120 (pmol/mmol)</td>
<td>52.3 (32.9–59.6)</td>
<td>44.8 (41.5–67.9)</td>
<td>46.7 (31.4–57.9)</td>
<td>42.2 (32.6–60.6)</td>
<td>49.7 (35.4–65.2)</td>
<td>32.2 (29.7–44.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI30</td>
<td>235.8 (182.2–265.9)</td>
<td>190.8 (156.7–229.7)§</td>
<td>133.1 (97.7–207.2)§</td>
<td>214.3 (170.4–313.2)</td>
<td>135.6 (123.1–168.8)§</td>
<td>193.9 (149.2–253.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI120</td>
<td>365.9 (287.3–413.8)</td>
<td>243.5 (211.5–292.9)§</td>
<td>205.7 (152.7–251.4)§</td>
<td>366.6 (276.1–446.4)</td>
<td>233.1 (180.8–267.2)§</td>
<td>221.8 (191.6–355.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR (mU/l, mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.02–3.02)</td>
<td>3.27 (2.74–3.97)§</td>
<td>2.71 (1.94–4.99)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.93–3.30)</td>
<td>3.87 (2.65–4.39)§</td>
<td>2.31 (1.53–3.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.8 (5.5–6.8)</td>
<td>6.5 (6.0–7.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.5–6.9)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.2–7.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (6.4–8.0)</td>
<td>0.36 (3.6–4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4–1.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.8–2.2)§</td>
<td>1.9 (1.7–2.1)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.4–1.9)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.6–2.0)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.4–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.0–4.4)</td>
<td>3.3 (3.1–4.6)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.5–3.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.6–4.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (3.6–5.1)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.8–2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4–1.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0–2.9)§</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7–3.0)§</td>
<td>2.2 (1.7–2.7)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.1–3.1)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.72–1.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NGT, normal glucose tolerance; GDM1, gestational diabetes mellitus in the 2nd trimester; GDM2, NGT in the 2nd trimester and GDM in the 3rd trimester; ISOGTT, OGTT insulin sensitivity index; ISS12, insulin secretion sensitivity index; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; DI, disposition index; differences between NGT and GDM were significant at *P<0.0001, †P<0.001, ‡P<0.01, and §P<0.05 by Mann–Whitney U test.
Gene expression in PBMCs of GDM patients

Changes in PBMC gene expressions during and after pregnancy

In patients with NGT, there was a significant increase in TLR4 (P=0.03), CX3CL1 (P=0.02), STAT1 (P=0.04), and STAT3 (P=0.015) mRNA expression in the 3rd trimester, as well as a significant decrease in STAT1 (P=0.01) and PPARG (P=0.006) mRNA expression 3 months after childbirth (Fig. 1A, B and C).

In the GDM2 group, CCL5 (P=0.04), IKBKB (P=0.02), DPP4 (P=0.01), IL17A (P=0.04), and SOCS2 (P=0.04) mRNA expression decreased significantly in the 3rd trimester compared with the 2nd trimester values. Three months after childbirth, CCL5 and DPP4 mRNA expressions were significantly higher (P=0.04 and P=0.02 respectively), whereas STAT1 mRNA expression was markedly lower (P=0.03) than in the 3rd trimester (Fig. 1D, E and F).

Correlations between gene expression and other variables

In patients with NGT, there were negative correlations between HOMA-IR and DPP4 expression (R = -0.43, P = 0.03), AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and STAT3 expression (R = -0.47, P = 0.03), as well as positive correlations between ISOGTT and DPP4 expression (R = 0.42, P = 0.04), ISOGTT and IL17A expression (R = 0.57, P = 0.03), and between CX3CL1 mRNA level and CX3CR1 expression in PBMCs (R = 0.57, P = 0.02).

In patients with NGT, there were negative correlations between AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and TLR4 expression (R = -0.78, P = 0.03) and between AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and STAT1 expression (R = -0.78, P = 0.03), as well as positive correlations between DI30 and IKBKB expression (R = 0.85, P = 0.02), DI120 and IKBKB expression (R = 0.82, P = 0.02), and DI120 and IL17A expression (R = 0.82, P = 0.03).

Discussion

The most interesting finding of our study was a significant increase in TLR2 mRNA expression than had the women with NGT in pregnancy (Table 3).

Correlations between gene expression and other variables

In patients with GDM, there were negative correlations between HOMA-IR and DPP4 expression (R = -0.43, P = 0.03), AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and STAT3 expression (R = -0.47, P = 0.03), as well as positive correlations between ISOGTT and DPP4 expression (R = 0.42, P = 0.04), ISOGTT and IL17A expression (R = 0.57, P = 0.03), and between CX3CL1 mRNA expression and CX3CR1 expression in PBMCs (R = 0.57, P = 0.02).

In patients with GDM, there were negative correlations between AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and TLR4 expression (R = -0.78, P = 0.03) and between AUCIns30/AUCGlu30 and STAT1 expression (R = -0.78, P = 0.03), as well as positive correlations between DI30 and IKBKB expression (R = 0.85, P = 0.02), DI120 and IKBKB expression (R = 0.82, P = 0.02), and DI120 and IL17A expression (R = 0.82, P = 0.03).
Table 3  Fold changes of relative gene expression in PBMCs obtained from women with gestational diabetes in comparison with healthy pregnant women. Differences between groups were calculated by REST 2009 Software. Relative gene expression of the respective gene in the healthy pregnant group = 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>2nd trimester, GDM1</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>3rd trimester, GDM2</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>3 months postpartum, GDM1 + GDM2</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF1A</td>
<td>1.114</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF1B</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1R1</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>1.430</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>2.022</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM1</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL5</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKBKB</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP4</td>
<td>1.777</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>1.236</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARG</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL8</td>
<td>1.494</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL10</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.263</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL17A</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>1.649</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX3CL1</td>
<td>2.134</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX3CR1</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS1</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>1.309</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS2</td>
<td>1.630</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC27A2</td>
<td>1.157</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ExpR, expression ratio.

that inflammatory effects of high glucose may be at least in part mediated through TLR activation as high glucose induces a marked increase in TLR2 and TLR4 expression in human monocytes, resulting in NF-kB activation and proinflammatory cytokine secretion. However, recently, it has been shown that moderate postprandial glucose excursions are not able to increase TLR expressions and that the major mediators of TLR activation may be free fatty acids and specific macro-nutrients such as saturated fat (17, 34, 35). It is also relevant that insulin exerts an opposite, i.e. suppressive, effect on TLR expressions in PBMCs (21) so that insulin deficit or insulin resistance would be expected to result in TLR expression upregulation. Indeed, TLR2 and TLR4 expressions have shown to be increased in the skeletal muscle and adipose tissue of type 2 diabetic subjects (12, 19), as well as in the monocytes obtained from the patients with both type 1 (36) and type 2 diabetes (14), and the metabolic syndrome (15).

The next finding in our study was an upregulation of CX3CL1 mRNA expression in the women with GDM in the 2nd trimester compared with the healthy pregnant subjects. However, in the 3rd trimester, CX3CL1 mRNA expression rose markedly in the patients with NGT, probably as a result of a physiological increase in insulin resistance during pregnancy, and it was even higher than in the patients with late-onset GDM. CX3CL1 (fractalkine) is a structurally unique chemokine that signals through a single known receptor CX3CR1 and acts either as a soluble chemotactic factor or as a membrane-anchored adhesion molecule for circulating leukocytes (37, 38), thereby modulating monocyte recruitment and adhesion to adipocytes (39, 40) and vascular endothelium (41). Although no data concerning CX3CL1 expression in women with GDM are available so far, both animal and human studies suggest that CX3CL1–CX3CR1 system is implicated in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, obesity, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes (38, 39, 40, 41).

We also showed that 3 months postpartum, women with the history of GDM had significantly higher expression of some genes involved in the activation of NF-kB and upregulated in obesity and insulin resistance, in particular IL1R1 and IKBKB (4, 7, 11). Interestingly, the expression of SLC27A2 – a gene associated with lipid biosynthesis and fatty acid degradation, which may be an early marker of over-weight development (42) – was also elevated in these patients compared with women with NGT.

This study also showed that the patients with NGT in the 24th–28th weeks of gestation, who later developed GDM, had significantly higher fasting glucose, insulin, HbA1c, and HOMA-IR, as well as lower ISSI2 and DIs, than had the patients who remained normoglycemic. Although the pathogenesis of GDM is still far from clear, it is believed that it results from a limitation in pancreatic β-cell reserve and an inadequate insulin secretion unable to meet increasing tissue insulin demands (43). As a decrease in β-cell function is to some degree masked by physiological insulin resistance during pregnancy, the DI has been developed to adjust for compensatory high insulin response to a decreased
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insulin sensitivity (31). As it was demonstrated by Qvigstad et al. (44), DI seems to be markedly lower in GDM subjects and this reduction may be noticeable at the beginning of the 2nd trimester when the patients are classified as glucose tolerant. In our study, the patients with both earlier and late onset of GDM also demonstrated a significant decrease in the surrogate markers of \( \beta \)-cell function, although an index of the early-phase insulin release (AUC\(_{\text{Ins30}}\)/AUC\(_{\text{Glu30}}\)) did not differ markedly between the women with and without GDM. The patients who developed GDM in the 3rd trimester also had significantly higher HOMA-IR, which emphasizes the role of increased insulin resistance against the background of enormous tissue insulin demands in the development of late-onset GDM. Our findings are consistent with the results of other studies (44, 45, 46) showing unchanged AUC\(_{\text{Ins}}\)/AUC\(_{\text{Glu}}\) index, but an inadequate insulin compensation for decreased insulin sensitivity in women with GDM. We should also mention that the number of patients with a normal OGTT result at the 1st visit, but later diagnosed as having GDM, was much higher than expected. Although this study was part of a large genetic research program and the group studied might not reflect an incidence of GDM in the general population, our results suggest that the number of women with late-onset GDM may be underestimated.

Our results were limited by the fact that we did not measure NF-\( \kappa \)B activity in PBMCs and that among all analyzed genes involved in NF-\( \kappa \)B signaling pathway, only increased TLR expressions seemed promising as a potential early marker of low-grade inflammation in pregnant women. However, the validity of this finding needs to be confirmed in larger studies with more statistical power and with the analysis of TLR protein expressions in PBMCs.

In conclusion, our results showed quite a different pattern of gene expression in PBMCs obtained from the patients who developed GDM before and after the 28th week of gestation. Furthermore, our findings suggest that an increase in TLR2 expression, as well as higher fasting glucose and lower compensation for increased insulin resistance, may represent very early metabolic disturbances, which may be noticeable before the clinical onset of GDM.
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