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Abstract

Objective: Patients with active acromegaly have an increased prevalence of cardiomyopathy and heart failure but a less than expected risk of coronary artery disease, considering the frequent association of diabetes mellitus and hypertension. We examined whether changes in high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) might contribute to this phenomenon.

Design and methods: Two hundred patients of the Belgian acromegaly registry (AcroBel) were divided in two groups: active disease (IGF1 Z-score > 2; n = 95) and controlled disease (IGF1 Z-score ≤ 2; n = 105). Serum levels of hs-CRP and NT-proBNP were measured and correlated with BMI, blood pressure, fasting lipids, fasting glucose and insulin, HbA1c, IGF1, interleukin 6 (IL6), adiponectin, and sE-selectin. In a subset of acromegaly patients, hs-CRP, IL6, and NT-proBNP levels were also compared with those/the values of an age-, gender-, and BMI-matched reference group.

Results: Patients with active acromegaly had significantly lower levels of hs-CRP (median (interquartile range), 0.5 mg/l (0.1, 0.9) vs 1.3 mg/l (0.5, 4.1); P < 0.001) and NT-proBNP, vs 71.0 ng/l (43.0, 184.0); P < 0.001) compared with patients with controlled acromegaly. Compared with the reference population, hs-CRP was not different in controlled acromegaly but significantly lower in active acromegaly (median, 0.4 mg/l (0.1, 0.8) vs 1.4 mg/l (0.8, 2.9); P < 0.001), while NT-proBNP was similar in active acromegaly but significantly higher in controlled acromegaly (66.5 ng/l (40.0, 119.5) vs 50.8 ng/l (26.5, 79.7); P < 0.001).

Conclusions: Patients with active acromegaly have significantly lower values of NT-proBNP and hs-CRP compared with patients with controlled disease and even lower values of hs-CRP compared with control subjects.
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Introduction

Active acromegaly is associated with increased morbidity and mortality (1, 2). Among the causes of death, cardio- and cerebrovascular events are particularly prominent (3). The causal relationship between chronic excess in GH and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) and cardiovascular morbidity seems to be multifactorial and includes hypertension, cardiomyopathy, and direct vascular alterations such as vascular stiffness (4). Acromegalic cardiomyopathy is variably characterized by concentric cardiac hypertrophy associated with diastolic dysfunction, abnormalities of cardiac rhythm, and/or alterations of cardiac valves (5). In later stages, impaired systolic function evolving to heart failure may occur. In contrast, no increased prevalence of coronary artery disease was found in patients with newly diagnosed acromegaly (6, 7). Similarly, the extent of carotid atherosclerosis and carotic internal media thickening in acromegalic patients was not higher than that in non-acromegalic subjects (6, 8). The reasons for this unexpected observation are still unclear, but this finding suggests that the known atherogenic effects of hypertension, insulin resistance, and diabetes induced by GH excess (9) are counterbalanced by cardioprotective factors (7).
Several biomarkers have now been identified to be associated with atherosclerotic morbidity and are used in clinical practice to determine individual cardiovascular risk and treatment strategy. Two such markers are the inflammatory protein high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), which is related to cardiovascular disease and is stimulated by the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin 6 (IL6) (10), and the N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), used as a diagnostic parameter for congestive heart failure (11). Previous studies on patients with active acromegaly have shown lower than normal values of hs-CRP in most (9, 12, 13, 14, 15), but not all studies (16). Data on NT-proBNP are contradictory, since values were found to be either lower in active acromegaly (13, 17) or not influenced by the acromegaly status (16, 18).

To further characterize the cardiovascular risk factor profile in acromegaly, we measured in this study hs-CRP and NT-proBNP in a large cohort of patients with controlled and uncontrolled disease. We also compared these data with those obtained from a reference population.

**Materials and methods**

**Overall design**

To address our research questions we used two distinct methodological approaches. First, we analyzed clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients who had been included in the Belgian acromegaly registry (cross-sectional design). Next, we compared a subset of these acromegaly patients with a group of age- and gender-matched healthy controls from the general population (matched case–control design).

**Acromegaly patients**

Patients were selected from a nationwide survey in Belgium and the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg in 2003–2004 (AcroBel-1), which included patients with acromegaly diagnosed or in follow-up since January 1, 2000. The survey design and the main demographic, epidemiological, and outcome results have been reported previously (19).

Of the 316 patients with centralized measurements of GH (mean of three samples) and IGF1 (St Luc University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium), 205 had simultaneously local determinations of fasting glucose, HbA1c, and lipids, and additional measurements of fasting insulin, hs-CRP, NT-proBNP, IL6, adiponectin, and sE-selectin performed in another central laboratory (University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium).

We excluded patients with GH deficiency (n = 5) on the basis of their disease status reported by the referring physician and a low-IGF1 Z-score for age and gender, leaving 200 patients for analysis. In insulin-treated patients (n = 3), data on insulin levels were discarded.

**Healthy controls**

The 200 acromegaly patients were individually matched for age (within 2 years), gender, and BMI (within 0.5 kg/m²) with a control group of healthy Belgian individuals from the Asklepios study (20). This reference group is a representative cohort of 2524 community-dwelling male and female volunteers, aged 35–55 years at study initiation (October 2002) and recruited from the twinned communities Erpe-Mere and Nieuwerkerken near Brussels, Belgium. We conducted a 1:2 matching in which one acromegaly patient (case) had two matching controls. Fifty-one patients could not be matched because of a too large discrepancy in age and BMI (both higher in acromegaly). Of the 149 remaining acromegaly patients, 148 cases had two matching controls and one case had only one matching control from the Asklepios study.

**Assays**

Glucose, HbA1c, and lipids were measured locally by standard techniques. Serum GH and IGF1 concentrations were measured by chemiluminescence immunoassays (Nichols Advantage HGH assay and Nichols Advantage IGF1 assay; Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA). At the time of measurement (December 2004), GH values were still expressed in terms of the first WHO international standard 80/505 for pituitary-derived GH. The conversion factor for expression of GH concentration in terms of the new WHO international standard 98/574 is 0.56 (19). IGF1 values were compared with age- and gender-specific normal values and were expressed as a Z-score (normal range −2 to +2).

In the patients with acromegaly, IL6, adiponectin, and sE-selectin were measured by quantitative sandwich immunoassays (Quantikine HS; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). hS-CRP was measured by an immunoturbidimetric method (BN II analyzer; Dade Behring, Glasgow, UK). Insulin was measured by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Elecsys 2010; Roche Diagnostics).

In the control persons, IL6 was measured by chemiluminescent sequential immunometric assay (Immulite 2000; Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, USA) and hs-CRP was measured by a immunoturbidimetric method (Integra 400 analyzer; Roche Diagnostics). NT-proBNP, in both patients and controls, was determined with the same sandwich immunoassay (Elecsys 2010; Roche Diagnostics).

**Definitions**

Active acromegaly was defined as an IGF1 Z-score > 2, whereas patients with an IGF1 Z-score between −2 and +2 were considered as having controlled disease. In accordance with earlier findings, IGF1 was considered the determining parameter for disease activity in patients with discordant IGF1 and GH values (21).
Statistical analysis

Acromegaly patients For each group of interest categorical data are presented as percentages and continuous data as mean ± S.D. or by the median (interquartile range (IQR)) in case of non-normal distribution, or graphically as boxplots. Comparisons between groups were performed by \( \chi^2 \) tests for categorical variables and by Student’s t-test for continuous variables based on log-transformed data. Because of baseline differences in gender and duration of disease between both groups, multivariate regression analysis was done with adjusting for age, gender, and duration of disease to further assess between-group differences for continuous variables. For the risk factors of primary interest in the current report (hs-CRP, IL6, and NT-proBNP), additional multivariate regression analyses further adjusting for the presence of TSH and ACTH deficiency were also performed. Likewise, multivariate regression analyses were conducted to assess the contribution of the presence of diabetes, arterial hypertension, and cardiac disease in relation to the measured parameters. Correlations between continuous variables were quantified by the Pearson’s correlation coefficient using log-transformed data. Partial correlations with age, gender, and duration of disease as covariates were also calculated. Very weak correlations (absolute value of \( r \leq 0.19 \)) were left out of the manuscript.

Acromegaly patients and matched controls Data analyses for the matched case–control design were stratified according to the control of acromegaly: patients with controlled disease and their matched controls, or patients with active disease and their matched controls. For each group of interest, categorical data are presented as percentages and continuous data as mean ± S.D. or the median and IQR in case of non-normal distribution. Matched groups were compared using conditional logistic regression (unadjusted analyses) with log-transformed continuous variables. Data analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 Software (IBM Corporation).

Results

Acromegalic patients

Clinical characteristics As shown in Table 1, patients with controlled and active acromegaly were of similar age, but the group with active disease had a significantly higher proportion of males. Patients with controlled disease had the longest duration of disease. Active acromegaly seemed to be associated with more diabetes and less ischemic heart disease, but the difference did not reach significance. No differences were found for smoking, percentage of macroadenomas, NT-proBNP and hs-CRP levels in acromegaly
presence of hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, and cardiomyopathy.

After adjustment for age, gender, and duration of disease, no difference was observed between both groups regarding BMI and blood pressure. Patients with active acromegaly underwent less neurosurgery and had more therapy with dopamine agonists and somatostatin analogs, only the latter reaching statistical difference. Patients with controlled disease suffered from more frequent pituitary deficits in ACTH and TSH.

**Biochemical parameters** By definition, levels of GH and IGF1 were significantly different between either groups (Table 2). No differences were found in lipid levels and in levels of adiponectin and sE-selectin. Concordant with the higher frequency of diabetes, significantly higher levels of fasting glucose, HbA1c, and insulin were seen in patients with active acromegaly.

Patients with active acromegaly had markedly lower levels of hs-CRP (2.5-fold), IL6 (1.5-fold), and NT-proBNP (1.5-fold) than patients with controlled disease (Fig. 1, Table 2). The observed differences for hs-CRP, IL6, and NT-proBNP were statistically significant after adjusting for age, gender, and duration of disease (Table 1, final column), and remained statistically significant even after further adjusting for the presence of TSH and ACTH deficiency and for the presence of diabetes, arterial hypertension, and cardiac disease (P < 0.001, P = 0.001, and P = 0.005 for hs-CRP, IL6, and NT-proBNP respectively). According to the multivariable regression analyses, diabetes, arterial hypertension, and cardiac disease had no impact on the differences in NT-proBNP (P = 0.481), and cardiac disease was associated with a positive difference in NT-proBNP (P < 0.001).

**Correlations between hs-CRP and NT-proBNP and other cardiovascular risk markers** Significant inverse correlations were found between IGF1 SDS and hs-CRP (Pearson’s correlation coefficient r = −0.408, P < 0.001); partial correlation with age, gender, and duration of disease as covariates = −0.369, P < 0.001), IL6 (r = −0.284, P < 0.001; partial correlation = −0.287, P < 0.001). A strong positive relationship was found between hs-CRP and IL6 (r = 0.497, P < 0.001; partial correlation = 0.466, P < 0.001).

hs-CRP correlated also positively with BMI (r = 0.288, P < 0.001; partial correlation = 0.289, P < 0.001) and triglycerides (r = 0.304, P < 0.001; partial correlation = −0.261, P < 0.001) and negatively with HDL-cholesterol (r = −0.202, P < 0.001; partial correlation = −0.214, P = 0.002). NT-proBNP showed a positive correlation with age (r = 0.477, P < 0.001; partial correlation = 0.372, P < 0.001) and with adiponectin (r = 0.334, P < 0.001; partial correlation = 0.256, P < 0.001). IGF1 SDS correlated positively with fasting glycemia (r = 0.308, P < 0.001; partial correlation = 0.297, P < 0.001) and fasting insulin (r = 0.228, P = 0.001; partial correlation = 0.281, P < 0.001).

**Influence of previous and current treatment** Higher levels of hs-CRP were observed after radiation therapy (median (IQR), 1.50 (0.50, 4.90) vs 0.60 (0.20, 0.50); P = 0.001). In contrast, hs-CRP and NT-proBNP levels were not influenced by other types of therapy, in particular the use of somatostatin analogs. Likewise, when separately analyzing the patients who were

---

**Table 2** Biochemical parameters of the 200 patients with controlled (n=105) vs active acromegaly (n=95).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Controlled disease</th>
<th>Active disease</th>
<th>Unadjusted P value*</th>
<th>Adjusted P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GH (µg/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.42, 1.76)</td>
<td>2.60 (1.43, 4.52)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1 (SDS), median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.11, 1.49)</td>
<td>3.53 (2.64, 4.47)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1 (µg/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>161.9 (128.2, 217.2)</td>
<td>391.7 (291.4, 602.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l), mean±s.d.</td>
<td>5.28±0.91</td>
<td>5.28±0.10</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l), mean±s.d.</td>
<td>1.56±0.55</td>
<td>1.49±0.40</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l), mean±s.d.</td>
<td>1.37±0.66</td>
<td>1.31±0.91</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia (mmol/l), mean±s.d.</td>
<td>5.19±1.20</td>
<td>5.80±1.65</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%), mean±s.d.</td>
<td>5.78±0.77</td>
<td>5.99±0.78</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (µU/ml), median (IQR)</td>
<td>5.70 (3.30, 9.18)</td>
<td>7.00 (4.70, 10.00)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (µg/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>5161 (3449, 7699)</td>
<td>5188 (3504, 8879)</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sE-selectin (µg/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>37.1 (24.2, 59.3)</td>
<td>32.4 (22.5, 50.4)</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6 (ng/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>2.34 (1.29, 4.09)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.87, 2.92)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP (mg/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.50, 4.10)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.10, 0.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP (ng/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>71.0 (43.0, 184.0)</td>
<td>47.0 (26.0, 86.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student’s test based on log-transformed continuous data.

*Multivariable (regression) analysis adjusting for age, gender, and duration of disease based on log-transformed continuous data.

---
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strictly cured by surgery and those controlled by medical treatment, no statistically significant differences were found for hs-CRP, IL6, and NT-proBNP. Patients on somatostatin analogs had significantly higher levels of fasting glucose ($P < 0.001$) but comparable levels of insulin.

**Comparison with healthy controls matched for age, gender, and BMI**

The left panel of Table 3 shows the clinical and biochemical characteristics of the acromegalic patients with controlled disease ($n = 84$) and their matched controls ($n = 167$), while the right panel shows the clinical characteristics of the acromegalic patients with active disease ($n = 65$) and their matched controls ($n = 130$). In both categories, the prevalence of diabetes and fasting glycemia was higher among acromegaly patients than among matched controls. The prevalence of hypertension and diastolic blood pressure was higher in active acromegalics compared with matched controls, but not statistically different between patients with controlled disease and their matched controls.

Compared with the reference population, total cholesterol and triglycerides were lower in acromegaly whether the disease was controlled or not. In contrast, fasting glucose was higher in active disease patients compared with controls, but this was not the case for patients with a controlled disease.

The biomarkers for cardiovascular risk showed a different pattern. hs-CRP was markedly lower in active acromegaly than in controls, but similar between controlled patients and the reference subjects. NT-proBNP concentrations were higher in patients with controlled acromegaly compared with their matched group, and similar between active disease patients and controls. Finally, IL6 was significantly lower both in active and controlled acromegaly vs controls.

**Discussion**

Although patients with acromegaly are well known to suffer from increased cardiovascular morbidity, knowledge on cardiovascular risk factors in acromegaly is still inconclusive. Previous data have repeatedly shown that acromegaly is associated with an increased prevalence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus (22, 23). In this study, we were able to confirm in patients with active acromegaly a higher prevalence of diabetes and hypertension and higher levels of fasting glycemia and diastolic blood pressure. Conflicting data exist about the effect of acromegaly on lipid levels. Some studies indicate a worse lipid profile in active acromegaly (9, 24) while other authors reported better values (22, 25, 26) and a worse profile after therapy (26). We found total cholesterol and triglycerides to be significantly lower both in controlled and non-controlled acromegaly.

In the current analysis, we focused predominantly upon two cardiovascular risk factors, hs-CRP and NT-proBNP, which have been shown in previous studies to deteriorate during acromegaly treatment. We could indeed document 2.5-fold lower hs-CRP levels and 1.5-fold lower NT-proBNP levels in a large group of patients with active acromegaly compared with patients with controlled disease. Levels of hs-CRP in active acromegaly were also much lower than those in the reference population, whereas NT-proBNP values were similar. In controlled acromegaly, hs-CRP was comparable to those of the normal population and NT-proBNP was higher.

This study thus confirms and extends previously reported data on cardiovascular risk factors in smaller groups of patients with acromegaly. This study is also more robust by the application of a large number of patients, the measurement of several other biomarkers, and the use of a large number of patients.
cardiovascular factors, the central determination of most assays, and the use of an age-, sex-, and BMI-matched control group. Limitations of the study relate to the strategy of the study, using a cross-sectional instead of a longitudinal study design, and therefore the necessary use of surrogate endpoints for cardiovascular disease.

Regarding hs-CRP, our results are in accordance with those found in other studies on acromegaly (12, 15). The important role played by hs-CRP in the development of cardiovascular disease has been stressed in the general, non-acromegalic population. A strong association has indeed been demonstrated between elevated hs-CRP and an increased risk for coronary heart disease, ischemic stroke, and vascular mortality, even after correction for other risk factors (27). Whether hs-CRP is a nonspecific marker of the inflammation in atherothrombic plaques or a direct participant in the progression of atherosclerosis remains an unsettled issue (28). Nevertheless, the present finding in acromegaly suggests less inflammation and a lower tendency to atheromatosis and this seems to be confirmed by clinical data (6, 7). It could therefore be speculated that a low hs-CRP level in active acromegaly counteracts the risk caused by other cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension and diabetes.

How GH/IGF1 exerts its effect on hs-CRP is still debated. This may be directly through the immune system (29) or through stimulation of secretion of endothelial adhesion molecules such as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1, which can promote leukocyte extravasation (30). Another hypothesis relates to the effect of GH on visceral and central fat. As adipose tissue is a source of IL6 synthesis (31) and IL6 is an important regulator of CRP production (32), low hs-CRP levels in active acromegaly may be a reflection of a lower proportion of fat (12). In accordance with this hypothsis, a negative correlation was found between hs-CRP and BMI in this study. hs-CRP was also negatively related to HDL-cholesterol and positively related to triglyceride levels, two factors known to be highly influenced by visceral fat.

We also found lower concentrations of NT-proBNP in active acromegaly patients, similar to healthy controls, and than normal levels in controlled disease. This finding is in accordance with two studies which found lower levels of NT-proBNP in active acromegaly compared with controlled disease (13, 17), but do not confirm other studies which failed to find such differences (16, 18). BNP and its pro-hormone proBNP are secreted by the heart in response to volume overload and induce natriuresis. Plasma BNP and NT-proBNP levels, the latter being more specific, predict all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events including heart failure, myocardial infarction, stroke, atrial fibrillation, and cardiovascular death in stable patients with or without known cardiovascular disease and provide information about cardiovascular risk additional to that provided by traditional risk factors (11). Although in the general population low levels of NT-proBNP are considered advantageous for the heart, it is unclear if this is also applicable in acromegaly. An argument in favor of a beneficial effect is the finding of an increase in end-diastolic volume after 3 months of acromegaly therapy, accompanied by increased levels of BNP and NT-proBNP, thus suggesting an initial alteration of cardiac function after starting GH-lowering treatment (33).

However, a direct inhibition of BNP or NT-proBNP by GH, independent of cardiac function, cannot be excluded. High levels of NT-proBNP are observed in

### Table 3 Matched case–control design. Baseline and clinical characteristics of the patients with controlled acromegaly (n = 84) and their matched controls (n = 167), and the patients with active disease (n = 65) and their matched controls (n = 130).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Acromegaly controlled disease (n = 84)</th>
<th>Matched controls (n = 167)*</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>Acromegaly active disease (n = 65)</th>
<th>Matched controls (n = 130)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>51.0 ± 8.8</td>
<td>51.1 ± 8.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>50.2 ± 8.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active smoking (%)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension (%)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>29.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>28.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>28.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>127.2 ± 17.7</td>
<td>130.1 ± 14.2</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>130.9 ± 13.1</td>
<td>130.5 ± 13.0</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>79.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>82.1 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>82.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>81.9 ± 10.1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.91</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.91</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.56</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.41</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.70</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.58</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia (mmol/l), mean ± s.d.</td>
<td>5.15 ± 1.16</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>5.70 ± 1.17</td>
<td>5.31 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6 (ng/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>2.39 (1.28, 4.00)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.00, 1.88)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.44 (0.90, 2.37)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.00, 2.34)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP (mg/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.50, 4.10)</td>
<td>1.53 (0.70, 3.37)</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.40 (0.10, 0.80)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.81, 2.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP (ng/l), median (IQR)</td>
<td>66.5 (40.0, 119.5)</td>
<td>50.8 (26.5, 79.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>43.0 (24.5, 64.5)</td>
<td>31.6 (18.9, 65.8)</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value for conditional logistic regression analyzing the 1:2 matching by age, gender, and BMI. IQR, interquartile range; BP, blood pressure.

*One acromegaly patient (case) has only one matching control.
patients with severe GH deficiency and are corrected by GH therapy (13, 34, 35). However, in such conditions, the observed changes in these natriuretic peptides are not correlated with changes in cardiac structure or function (35, 36, 37), thus suggesting that effects of GH are here independent of cardiovascular alterations. Alternatively, indirect effects of GH through its effects on kidney filtration and fluid equilibrium may also be considered. This would imply inappropriately low levels of BNPs in situations of GH-induced volume overload, and in that case a rather unfavorable phenomenon (13). Clearly, more studies are needed to clarify this issue.

Adiponectin has anti-atherogenic and anti-inflammatory properties with direct effects on endothelial cells and macrophage-to-foam cell transformation. We and others (38, 39) failed to find any difference in levels of adiponectin between active acromegaly and controlled disease. These results support the view that adiponectin levels do not significantly improve during treatment of acromegaly. Contrasting to our findings, other authors did find a significant increase in adiponectin levels during acromegaly treatment, but the change was small (40, 41).

In conclusion, patients with active acromegaly have lower values of hs-CRP, and this might be linked to the relatively low incidence of coronary artery disease in these patients, despite the increase in other risk factors such as hypertension or diabetes. NT-proBNP is also lower in active acromegaly, but it remains unclear if this reflects a beneficial effect on the heart or not. Adequate treatment of acromegaly reverses these positive changes in cardiovascular risk factors, next to many well-known beneficial effects.
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