Role of emotional stress in the pathophysiology of Graves' disease
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Abstract

The role of stress in the pathophysiology of Graves’ disease is suggested by several clinical observations, by recent advances in immunology and by better understanding of autoimmune diseases which provides new insights into potential effects of stress hormones on T helper cell imbalance involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Stress management should therefore be an important part of the treatment of Graves’ disease, as stress reduction may improve the effect of therapy. However, this field still requires interventional data to support stress management in the treatment of Graves’ disease.

Introduction

The association of goiter and orbitopathy was first described between AD 1000 and 1110 by two Persian physicians and philosophers, Avicenna and Al-Jurjani (1). In 1825, several years before the description of Graves’ disease by Graves (2) and Basedow (3), Parry described the case of a 21-year-old woman who fell out of a wheelchair while going fast down a hill (4). She was extremely frightened without being badly hurt. Shortly after that event, she developed nervousness, palpitations, and swelling of the thyroid gland.

It took some time to understand the pathophysiology of Graves’ disease. Initially, it was considered a disease of cardiac (according to Parry and Graves), nervous (according to Charcot and Pierre Marie who described the tremor), or thyroid (thyroid surgery started in the 1880s) origin. Later, following the discovery of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) in 1933, Collip suggested that it was a disease of pituitary origin. In the late 1950s, Adams and McKenzie discovered in the serum of the affected individuals a long-acting substance stimulating the secretion of thyroid hormones. In 1965, this substance called long-acting thyroid stimulator was characterized as being an antibody against a thyroid component (5). In 1978, this thyroid component was identified as the TSH receptor (RTSH) (6).

In general practice, clinicians are often convinced about the role of stress not only in triggering the disease but also in the effectiveness of the treatment (i.e. rest, use of beta blockers or benzodiazepines).

The objective of this article is to describe evidence of the involvement of stress in the pathophysiology of Graves’ disease based on an extensive review of the literature. We think that it is possible to have a plausible mechanism for the role of stress based on recent advances in immunology.

Epidemiological data on stress and Graves’ disease

The role of stress in the pathophysiology of Graves’ disease was proposed very early, especially during the Boer War and the First World War. Some of the publications reported the presence of stress in 62 or 85% of the cases, or even in all cases (review in (7)). A review of the literature including seven case–control studies has highlighted the preexistence of a ‘negative’ stressful event in patients with Graves’ disease (8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15). However, one can criticize the principle of case–control studies: it is difficult to assess the effect of a given stressful event in different individuals; the validity of filling out a questionnaire may be limited in an emotional context, the actual date of the onset of the disease is difficult to specify and nothing proves that it occurred after the stressful event (8). However, a prospective study conducted in Serbia shows that while the incidence of Graves’ disease had been stable since 1971, it increased significantly between 1992 and 1995, i.e. during the war (16). Despite these criticisms, we can consider that the effect...
of stress on the onset of Graves’ disease is at least possible. Therefore, it is necessary to consider if we can propose a plausible mechanism.

Plausible pathophysiological mechanisms for the effect of stress in Graves’ disease

Genes and environment

Although Graves’ disease has a well-known familial tendency, heredity does not explain everything (17, 18, 19). A study of twins, who are not always ‘concordant’ for the disease, suggests that genetic factors explain 79% of the incidence of the disease, while environmental factors are responsible for 21% of the cases (20). A recent study shows that the risk of having Graves’ disease is multiplied by 5 if there is one affected member in the family, by 3 if there are two affected members in the family, and by 1.6 if one twin is affected. The risk is higher in men than in women. The finding that the risk is increased among spouses by 2.75 highlights the role of the environment (21). The environmental parameters commonly reported as contributing factors are infectious agents, iodine, drugs (amiodarone, interferon-α (IFN-α), and CD52 MABs), tobacco, and stress (22).

Autoimmunity

Hashimoto’s thyroiditis was previously considered a predominantly T helper 1 (Th1) autoimmune disease. The lymphocytic infiltrate contains B cells and T cells (with a predominance of Th1 or Th17 subtype). The disease is manifested by the presence of anti-thyroid peroxidase and anti-thyroglobulin antibodies following the apoptosis of the thyroid follicular cells induced by the immune cells. This cell destruction leads to hypothyroidism. Graves’ disease is still considered a predominantly T helper 2 autoimmune disease. The lymphocytic infiltrate contains Th2 lymphocytes. The disease can result in the production of stimulating anti-TSH receptor antibodies causing goiter and hyperthyroidism. In 50% of the cases, the antibodies are blocking the TSH receptor and cause thyroid atrophy and hypothyroidism (23). Finally, a recent publication provided the evidence for a high Th1/Th2 cell ratio in patients with clinical Hashimoto’s hypothyroidism, and a high proportion of Th17 cells in intractable Graves’ disease (24).

To better understand how stress may affect the development of a thyroid autoimmune disease, it is necessary to remind that this pathology displays a tolerance disruption and that the antibodies against TSH receptor are Th cell dependent. While Graves’ disease was considered for some time as an only Th2 disease, it is now admitted that autoantibodies against TSH receptor could also be Th1-dependent antibodies. Indeed, the same antigen (TSH receptor) can trigger both types of Th differentiation (Th1 or Th2) depending on the way of processing the antigen by the antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which is directly related to the route of injection or transfection in APC. Thus, the Th2 immune response seems to be predominantly involved in mouse models using APC (fibroblasts or B cells) expressing the TSH receptor in a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) type II molecules context, while Th1 cells are key cells in models where immunization is obtained with plasmid or adenosivirus-transfected cells as well as dendritic cells (DCs) expressing the TSH receptor (25). The first case involves the extracellular trafficking of the antigen into APC leading to MHC class II presentation while the second case is more like an endogenous presentation of the antigen leading to a MHC class I presentation (Fig. 1).

Th17 cells are CD4 T effector cells described more recently, producing interleukin 17 (IL17) and implicated in the pathophysiology of many autoimmune diseases. The contribution of IL17 has been clearly demonstrated in a model of IL17-deficient mice. However, the genetic context is critical: surprisingly, the commonly Graves’ disease-susceptible BalbC strain is not Th17 dependent. In contrast, the non-susceptible non-obese diabetic (NOD)-H2h4 mouse model is IL17 dependent. While demonstrating the implication of IL17 and Th17 effector cells, these data show the implication of IL17 regarding the genetic context in mice models (26).

The complexity of the Th1/Th2/Th17 imbalance has been demonstrated in the thyroiditis mouse model. Indeed, Horie et al. (27) showed that both Th1 and Th2 cells are critical for the development of the iodine-induced autoimmune thyroiditis in NOD mice. Furthermore, Th17 cells have been implicated in the human Hashimoto’s disease (28). In parallel, it has been demonstrated that impaired regulatory functions can be observed in patients with Graves’ disease. In the mouse model, regulatory T (Treg) depletion renders C57BL/6 mice susceptible to induction of Graves’ disease (29). Indeed, Treg cells CD4⁺ CD25⁺ FOXP3⁺ displaying a regulatory phenotype have been found to be low in untreated Graves’ disease patients and inversely correlated with serum concentration of TSH receptor antibodies. Recently, Hu et al. (30) confirmed the role of Treg in Graves’ disease. They observed that intrathyroid injection of dexamethasone increased Treg function while it has no effect on Treg proportion in peripheral blood cell count or on FOXP3 RNA expression. In parallel, when investigating the maturation and phenotypes of DC, Mao et al. (31) observed that plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) were numerous and produced IFN-α. They showed that these pDCs were able to induce apoptosis in Treg cells. The inhibition of production of IFN-α with a nucleotide blocking the P2Y6 receptor signaling (expressed on both DC and macrophages) modified pDC and restored suppressive function of Treg.
One hypothesis is that activated pDC, then highly producing IFN-α, could together with high level of thyroid hormones favor impaired capacities of Treg and, thus, facilitate the production of autoantibodies against TSH receptor. Altogether, these data put Treg in a key role in Graves’ disease pathophysiology. Moreover, Weetman (32) hypothesized that the improvement of Graves’ disease in pregnancy, as well as the post partum susceptibility to Graves’ disease, might be linked in part to the Treg overproduction by the pregnant mother.

**Hormones**

**Glucocorticoids** Many cells of the immune system such as T and B cells, neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages express glucocorticoid receptors. At pharmacological doses, glucocorticoids are immunosuppressive by impairing leukocyte migration, decreasing antigen presentation, and expression of MHC class II antigens, inhibiting the proliferation and differentiation of lymphocytes, and decreasing the effect of cytokines. But at physiological concentrations, as observed during stress, glucocorticoids secreted by the adrenal gland during the activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis have immunomodulatory roles. They suppress the production of IL12 by APCs and decrease the expression of IL12 receptors on T cells. In contrast, they increase the production of IL4 and IL10 by Th2 cells. Thus, there is an imbalance in favor of Th2 cells leading to the development of humoral immunity (23). During stress, the adrenal production of DHEA decreases. DHEA directs the immunity toward a Th1 response. Therefore, the decrease in DHEA can also promote a Th2-type immunity (23). However, as is well known, serum levels of DHEA decrease with age. If DHEA decrease had to play a role in facilitating Graves’ disease, the peak of incidence for Graves’ disease would have been in the elderly, and not in the young adult, as it is.

**Catecholamines** Catecholamines have a similar effect (23). Receptors to catecholamines (adrenaline and noradrenaline) are expressed by T and B cells, natural killer cells, monocytes, and macrophages. They suppress the production of IL12 by APCs, inhibit the production of tumor necrosis factor-α, and potentiate the production of IFN-γ by APCs. β-2 adrenergic receptors are expressed only on Th1 cells. The agonists of these receptors inhibit the production of IFN-γ by Th1 cells. In mice, preexposition of DC to epinephrine induces an overmaturation phenotype. Moreover, epinephrine–lipopolysaccharide-stimulated DC produce IL12 and IL23 that favor IL4 and IL17 production by effector T cells (33). This is a Th2/Th17 environment known to be appropriate for the occurrence of autoimmune diseases.

These effects of catecholamines are consistent with an imbalance in favor of Th2 cells. Interestingly, a case of relapse of Graves’ disease has been reported at the onset of a pheochromocytoma (34). However, if the favoring role was exerted by excess catecholamines, then there would have been many more reports of Graves’ disease elicited/precipitated by pheochromocytoma.

Glucocorticoid and catecholamine stimulation of the immune system are not the only mechanisms that link stress to autoimmunity. Depression and stress are characterized by a production of proinflammatory cytokines, among them IL6, a DC and macrophage-produced...
cytokine. Serum levels of IL6 are elevated in stress (35). This could directly cause the Th1/Th17/Treg imbalance implicated in autoimmune diseases (36). In parallel, nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) is rapidly produced after stress, and stress induces NF-κB signaling in mononuclear cells (37, 38). The oxidative stress could also be involved in long-term production of proinflammatory cytokines (39). As these cytokines influence regulation mechanisms, this could influence Treg stimulation and function and, thus, have an effect on Graves’ disease development.

Sex hormones Stress inhibits the production of estrogens. The corticotropin-releasing hormone inhibits the GnRH secretion (probably via glucocorticoids). Glucocorticoids inhibit the secretion of GnRH and decrease the production of sex hormones and their action at target tissues. Estrogens increase (while androgens decrease) the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis response to stress. Women activate this axis during stress more than men and this may explain the higher incidence of autoimmune diseases in females. Pregnancy and post partum are interesting conditions to consider in this regard. During pregnancy, there is an increase in the production of glucocorticoids, estrogens, and progesterone. There is also a decrease of proinflammatory cytokines (IL2, IFN-γ, and IL17) and an increase of type 2 cytokines (IL4), as well as a Treg overproduction. This may prevent the immune rejection of the fetoplacental unit (40). It may also explain the remission of some autoimmune diseases (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, type 1 diabetes, and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis) and aggravation of type 2 autoimmune diseases (e.g. lupus glomerulonephritis) during pregnancy. In the post partum period, hormone levels normalize and the level of Treg decreases.

The sudden termination of the suppressive environment in pregnancy leads to a modification that could favor autoimmune thyroiditis. These changes are evoking the autoimmune phenomena described in the immune reconstitution syndrome, for example observed in human immunodeficiency virus infection (41, 42, 43, 44). These immune changes may explain the occurrence of post partum thyroiditis, basically, an attenuated form of Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, which is much more common than the occurrence of Graves’ disease in the post partum period (45, 46). It is possible that such changes can occur at the end of a stress, explaining the occurrence of Hashimoto’s thyroiditis after Graves’ disease in the same patient (47, 48).

Thyroid hormones Does thyroid function affect the immune response? This is a relevant question since thyroxine (T4) and triiodothyronine (T3) receptors are ubiquitously present. While in experimental hypothyroidism there is a decrease in humoral immunity, the immune status in hyperthyroidism is not clearly defined. What is the effect of stress on the hypothalamic–pituitary–thyroid axis? TSH secretion is suppressed during stress through the activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. Glucocorticoids decrease the secretion of TSH. Furthermore, stress decreases the conversion of T4 to T3. Conversely, thyroid hormones potentiate the effects of glucocorticoids and catecholamines. Therefore, there is a ‘cross-talk’ between the two axes, and a disruption resulting from an excessive secretion of thyroid hormones during thyrotoxicosis can lead to vicious circles between thyroid homeostasis and immune response (8).

Stress and clinical expression of Graves’ disease

A recent study has evaluated the degree of stress, the intensity of symptoms (hyperthyroid symptom scale (HSS) score), and the biological status (T4, T3, and thyrotropin-binding inhibitory immunoglobulins (TBII) serum levels) in 263 consecutive patients (age 32–53 years) with a first episode of Graves’ disease. There was an association between the degree of stress and the HSS score. A positive correlation was present between the biological status (T4 and T3 serum levels) and the HSS score. Older age was associated with less severe hyperthyroidism, both clinically (lower HSS score) and biochemically (lower free T3, free T4, and TBII serum levels). But this study did not reveal any effect of stress on biological parameters. In other words, stress can directly influence the clinical expression of the disease (49). However, one may argue that a statistically significant association between severity of stress and severity of biochemical hyperthyroidism is not necessarily expected because two major determinants of the latter are thyroid size and concentrations of TSH receptor-stimulating antibodies.

Stress and relapse of Graves’ disease

A study on patients treated with radioactive iodine has shown that patients who had a previous history of stress were affected earlier by hypothyroidism when compared with patients with no history of stress (12 vs 36 months). This may be due to the potentiation of radioactive iodine effect by stress-induced autoimmunity (50). Two studies have shown that the occurrence of stress after the start of antithyroid drug therapy delays the onset of a euthyroid state (51). There are some interesting data supporting the beneficial effect of benzodiazepines on the treatment outcome after antithyroid drugs. A study has shown a decrease in the relapse rate of Graves’ disease in patients treated with benzodiazepine compared with untreated patients (29 vs 74%) (52). A recent publication has also reported the case of a young woman with Graves’ disease treated with antithyroid drug in whom repeated exacerbations of hyperthyroidism were triggered by...
stress. Further exacerbations were avoided by starting benzodiazepine treatment soon after she experienced stressful events (53).

Conclusion

More studies are needed for a more robust conclusion. The data in favor of an effect of stress remain circumstantial and not evidence-based, and mostly suggested by expert opinion and theoretical effect of stress on immune mechanism. Nevertheless, the role of stress in the pathophysiology of Graves’ disease is suggested by several clinical observations and by recent advances in immunology and better understanding of autoimmune diseases.

This issue is important as if stress has a causal role in Graves’ disease, its management would be an important part of the treatment of Graves’ disease. Limited work activity, rest, avoidance of daily stressors, stress management, and use of benzodiazepine should be recommended. It is also interesting to consider that the use of propranolol, which decreases the conversion of T₄ to T₃ with the subsequent reduction in heart rate, can also be beneficial by affecting mood. As far as benzodiazepine use is concerned, we have to admit that there are no interventional data supporting such recommendations and clarifying, for instance, which patients should receive benzodiazepine, or which molecule, dose, duration of treatment, etc. Also, the effect of rest, although largely recommended in clinical practice, is not supported by interventional data.
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