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Effectiveness of eplerenone or spironolactone treatment in preserving renal function in primary aldosteronism

Verena Fourkiotis, Oliver Vonend1, Sven Diederich2, Evelyn Fischer3, Katharina Lang4, Stephan Endres3, Felix Beuschlein3, Holger S Willenberg5, Lars C Rump1, Bruno Allolio4, Martin Reincke3, Marcus Quinkler and for the Mephisto Study Group

Clinical Endocrinology, Charité Campus Mitte, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Charitéplatz 1, D 10117 Berlin, Germany, 1Department of Nephrology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, 2Endokrinologikum Berlin, Berlin, Germany, 3Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Medizinische Klinik - Innenstadt, University Hospital Munich, Munich, Germany, 4Endocrinology and Diabetes Unit, Department of Internal Medicine I, University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany and 5Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Rheumatology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

(Correspondence should be addressed to M Quinkler; Email: marcus.quinkler@charite.de)

Abstract

Objective: Primary aldosteronism (PA) has deleterious effects on kidney function independent of blood pressure levels. Up to now, data on effectiveness of different PA therapies regarding renal function are scarce.

Design and methods: This prospective multi-center study included 29 patients with newly diagnosed PA evaluated before and 1 year after treatment initiation, and a second cohort including 119 patients who were evaluated 5.3 and 6.8 years after treatment initiation. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR), spot urine albumin excretion/urinary creatinine (UAE/Ucrea) ratio, biochemical parameters, and 24-h blood pressure were measured. In a larger cross-sectional cohort, renal function was evaluated depending on the type of treatment (adrenalectomy (ADX; n = 86); spironolactone (n = 65); and eplerenone (n = 18)).

Results: GFR and UAE/Ucrea ratio significantly decreased in newly diagnosed PA patients after treatment initiation. In the second cohort, GFR and UAE/Ucrea ratio did not change during study period, and blood pressure was well controlled. In the larger cross-sectional cohort, no differences were seen in GFR and UAE/Ucrea ratio between PA patients on different treatment regimens. However, eplerenone treatment showed lower potassium levels and higher number of required antihypertensive medications.

Conclusions: Renal dysfunction with elevated albuminuria was seen in PA patients and was reversible after treatment initiation. Medical therapies with spironolactone or eplerenone seem to be as effective as ADX regarding renal function and blood pressure; however, sufficient daily doses need to be given.
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Introduction

Primary aldosteronism (PA) is the most common form of secondary hypertension and affects up to 10% of all hypertensive patients (1, 2, 3, 4, 5). Patients with PA have an increased risk of developing relevant comorbidities and comorbidities, e.g. vascular, cardiac or cerebrovascular morbidities, and renal insufficiency (2, 6, 7, 8). On the basis of the German Conn’s Registry, we have discovered a positive correlation between aldosterone levels and the prevalence of the most common comorbidities, including the prevalence of chronic renal failure (2). There is strong evidence that autonomous aldosterone secretion causes an earlier and more extensive renal damage than essential hypertension (EH) (5, 9, 10). The excess of proteinuria, an early marker of renal damage, is significantly higher in patients with PA compared with patients with EH (9, 11). The Italian PAPY study reported an even stronger effect on urinary albumin excretion in patients with aldosterone-producing adenoma (APA) than in those with bilateral adrenal hyperplasia (BAH) (5).

Treatments of PA are effective in correcting hypertension and hypokalemia, and currently available information on their capability to prevent cardiovascular events and deterioration of renal function indicates that surgery and medical treatment are equally beneficial in the long-term (12, 13). Recent studies have suggested different pathomechanisms with a direct harmful effect of aldosterone on kidney tissue. In a clinical study, human kidney biopsies from patients with proteinuria, hematuria, or deterioration of kidney function for uncertain reasons were investigated, showing a highly upregulated mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) expression...
and upregulated inflammatory markers in the group with severe proteinuria compared with those without proteinuria (14). Further clinical and experimental studies have demonstrated an increased level of inflammatory markers such as macrophage-chemo-attractant protein 1 and transforming growth factor β1, osteopontin, interleukin 1 (IL1), and IL6 expression due to aldosterone excess (14, 15, 16, 17, 18). Especially, the excess of aldosterone in combination with an elevated salt intake results in renal inflammation, fibrosis, podocyte injury, and mesangial cell proliferation (19, 20).

In summary, aldosterone excess has deleterious effects on kidney function independent of blood pressure levels. Therefore, diagnosis of PA is important and treatment of PA should not only aim at lowering blood pressure but also at prevention of morbidity and mortality, especially renal impairment.

Today, bilateral disease in PA is treated with MR antagonists while patients with unilateral PA usually undergo adrenalectomy (ADX) (1). Both treatment regimens are thought to abolish the risk of increased comorbidities and comortalities. However, up to now data regarding the effectiveness of different PA treatment forms on renal function are scarce (10), especially concerning the more selective MR antagonist eplerenone. We, therefore, analyzed prospective data of the German Conn’s Registry to compare the effectiveness of different treatment forms on kidney function. The specific questions were as follows:

i) What is the effect of therapy initiation on glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and urinary albumin excretion in newly diagnosed PA patients and in treated PA patients within the long-term follow-up?

ii) Are there differences regarding renal function between patients treated with ADX compared with those treated with the MR antagonists spironolactone or eplerenone?

**Materials and methods**

**Design and patients**

The German Conn’s Registry (www.conn-register.de) is a multi-center database analyzing comorbidities and long-term outcome of patients with PA (2, 3, 21). Since the initiation of the prospective phase in October 2008, all patients actively treated within the centers were entered in a common database after pseudonymization (22). For this study, the patients of the four largest German centers (Munich, n = 146; Berlin, n = 84; Düsseldorf, n = 55; Würzburg, n = 12) treated prospectively between 2008 and August 2011 were included in the analysis. The Ethics Committees of the University of Munich and of the participating centers approved the protocol. Data protection laws were strictly adhered to.

Clinical data at the time of diagnosis were extracted from patients’ charts including laboratory test results, initiation of mineralocorticoid antagonist treatment, surgical treatment, cardiovascular comorbidities, BMI, and metabolic conditions. In case of multiple determinations, the measurements of potassium, plasma renin concentration, and aldosterone at first presentation were used for statistical calculations. Blood was generally drawn in fasting state, although this was not standardized within centers. Every 6 and 12 months the patients were seen for follow-up visits including a clinical examination, complete laboratory investigation, cardiovascular examinations, and 24-h urinary sampling. GFR was calculated by the abbreviated MDRD equation: GFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²) = 186 × (serum creatinine/0.95)^−1.154 × (age)−0.203 × (0.742 if female) × (1.21 if colored). In case of significant changes in renal function between groups, eGFR values were reanalyzed by using the CKD-EPI equation. This was done, as the MDRD equation has limitations on eGFR values > 60 ml/min per 1.73 m² (23, 24).

The diagnostic criteria for PA in this study were chosen according to the Endocrine Society Practice guidelines (25). All patients included had an elevated aldosterone–renin ratio (ARR) and an abnormal confirmatory test (saline infusion test, fludrocortisone suppression test, captopril test, or oral salt loading test with demonstration of elevated excretion of aldosterone and its metabolites in urine) (2, 3). Adjustment of medication before screening and confirmation was performed whenever possible, with beta-blockers, central alpha–2 agonists, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and diuretics withdrawn for at least 1 week, and mineralocorticoid antagonists at least for 4 weeks. The diagnosis of PA was centrally verified by review of all available data.

**Whole cohort**

Two hundred and ninety-seven patients with confirmed PA from the German Conn’s Registry for whom sufficient data coverage was available were included in the final analysis. Subtype differentiation between APA and BAH was based on adrenal imaging (computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging). In addition, adrenal vein sampling was performed in 54–87% of the patients in the participating centers (26). Unilateral ADX was performed in 127 of 297 patients (43%) for suspected unilateral aldosterone excess, mainly APA. The remaining non-operated 170 patients were treated with different medical regimens: spironolactone (n = 80; 26%), eplerenone (n = 22; 7%), or other antihypertensives (n = 68; 22%). For further analysis we distinguished between two patient cohorts in our prospective cohort.
Prospective cohort of newly diagnosed PA patients

Newly diagnosed PA patients (since October 2008; cohort 1) were included and evaluated before start of therapy (pretreatment) and followed up thereafter (follow-up). Of the 109 newly diagnosed PA patients, complete data of 29 patients were available including pretreatment and 12-month follow-up visits. Only patients with a complete data set were included.

Prospective cohort of diagnosed PA patients on therapy

PA patients (diagnosis of PA before October 2008; cohort 2) were included in the study after initiation of therapy had already started, and were evaluated during long-term follow-up at two outpatient visits (called visits V1 and V2, which were ~1.5 years apart). Of these 188 patients, 119 patients had a complete data set of follow-up visits V1 and V2. The impact of therapy was evaluated in a cross-sectional approach in all 188 patients of this cohort 2 at visit V1.

Statistical analysis

Before statistical analysis, parameters were checked for normal distribution. Linear regression analyses were performed to determine correlations between normally distributed data variables. When required, as determined by normality testing for skewness, data variables were normalized by log transformation. The influence of age, disease duration, BMI, potassium, blood pressure, and other parameters on kidney function and urinary albumin excretion was analyzed by linear multivariate regression analysis in a backward-stepwise fashion. Characteristics of the study subjects were compared among groups by the Student’s t-test. The Pearson’s χ²-test was used to compare categorical variables. Changes from pretreatment (cohort 1) or visit V1 (cohort 2) were assessed by two-way ANOVA. Analyses were performed using the statistical software package SPSS, version 15.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics). Significance was accepted if P < 0.05.

Results

Cohort 1

Twenty-nine patients (12 women, 17 men) with a mean age of 48.6 ± 2.1 years (range 25–66 years) were examined at diagnosis of PA (before treatment initiation) and 1.0 ± 0.1 years afterwards under therapy. At diagnosis of PA, the patients had arterial hypertension for 10.1 ± 1.7 years. After treatment initiation, the systolic and diastolic blood pressures decreased significantly, especially night time blood pressure values which were lowered considerably (Table 1). Furthermore, potassium levels increased, and aldosterone levels and ARR dropped (Table 1). Serum sodium levels decreased and serum urea levels increased significantly (Table 1). The mean GFR decreased significantly after start of treatment (Table 1), as did the spot urine albumin excretion/urinary creatinine. Normal ranges (SI units shown in brackets): sodium, 134–145 mmol/l; potassium, 3.4–5.2 mmol/l; ARR, <20; urea, 10–50 mg/dl; UAE/Ucrea, <20 mg/g. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01; ‡P < 0.001 compared with pretreatment.

| Table 1 Clinical and biochemical parameters in 29 patients with PA before (pretreatment) and 1.0 ± 0.1 years after initiation of treatment (follow-up; cohort 1). Data are means ± S.E.M. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Pretreatment Follow-up          |                 |                 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                     | 28.0 ± 1.1      | 27.9 ± 1.0      |
| Systolic/diastolic BP (mmHg)    | 154/92 ± 5/2    | 131*63* ± 3/2   |
| 24-h systolic/diastolic BP (mmHg)| 147/90 ± 4/2   | 134*63* ± 4/2   |
| 24-h systolic/diastolic BP day (mmHg)| 148/91 ± 4/2 | 137/85 ± 4/3 |
| 24-h systolic/diastolic BP night (mmHg)| 142/85 ± 4/2 | 126*77* ± 6/3 |
| No. of antihypertensive drugs   | 3.1 ± 0.3       | 2.3 ± 0.4*      |
| Serum sodium (mmol/l)           | 141.3 ± 0.6     | 139.7 ± 0.6*    |
| Serum potassium (mmol/l)        | 3.45 ± 0.11     | 4.07 ± 0.09†    |
| Aldosterone (ng/l)              | 264.1 ± 49.8    | 43.7 ± 17.0†    |
| ARR                             | 67.8 ± 13.4     | 13.8 ± 7.2†     |
| Urea (mg/dl)                    | 17.8 ± 2.0      | 24.7 ± 2.8‡     |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dl)        | 0.83 ± 0.05     | 0.93 ± 0.05*    |
| GFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²)        | 92.3 ± 2.4†     | 81.5 ± 3.8‡     |
| UAE/Ucrea (mg/g)                | 89.1 ± 33.0     | 19.8 ± 9.8*     |

BP, blood pressure; ARR, aldosterone–renin ratio; UAE/Ucrea, spot urine albumin excretion/urinary creatinine. Normal ranges (SI units shown in brackets): sodium, 134–145 mmol/l; potassium, 3.4–5.2 mmol/l; ARR, <20; urea, 10–50 mg/dl; UAE/Ucrea, <20 mg/g. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01; ‡P < 0.001 compared with pretreatment.

Cohort 2

One hundred and nineteen patients (47 women, 72 men) with a mean age of 61.0 ± 1.0 years (range 22–83 years) were already on therapy for PA diagnosed 5.3 ± 0.4 years before study inclusion (range 0.5–34 years), and were evaluated in a prospective fashion during long-term follow-up. At visit 1, the patients had arterial hypertension for 18.8 ± 1.1 years. The clinical and biochemical data are presented in Table 3. During long-term follow-up, patients’ blood pressure and potassium levels were within the normal range (Table 3), and GFR and UAE/Ucrea ratio (Table 3) remained stable over the study period (16 ± 0.4 months).
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in a dose-dependent manner with ~7% of cases with <50 mg/day, and up to 50% of cases with >150 mg/day. In women, menstrual disturbances and breast tenderness are described. In our cohort on spironolactone medication no major sexual adverse effects were observed which might have resulted in a change of medication.

Discussion

Blockade of the MR has been demonstrated to have a general renoprotective effect in patients with diabetes mellitus (27, 28, 29, 30) and with chronic kidney disease (31, 32, 33) as indicated by a reduction of proteinuria. Although aldosterone excess as in PA is known to cause additional deleterious effects on the kidney (34), there are only a few studies investigating effectiveness of PA therapy on renal function. Ribstein et al. (11) reported a decrease in urinary albumin excretion after ADX in 25 PA patients who were followed up for 6 months. Sechi et al. (10) demonstrated that albuminuria declined during the initial 6-month treatment period in PA patients, and that restoration of normal albumin excretion was more common in PA than in EH. They also showed that PA patients did not differ in renal outcome (GFR and proteinuria) in comparison with patients with EH after a 9-year follow-up.

Our current prospective study is the largest cohort of PA patients so far analyzing renal function and confirms observations from a smaller cohort (10) and of our own retrospective data (3) regarding renal function and urinary albumin excretion. In our prospective study setting, we found a decline in GFR in early phase after initiation of the treatment. This observation has been explained by an aldosterone-induced hyperfiltration, which is abolished after treatment (35, 36, 37), and was shown recently also for cystatin C-based estimations of GFR.

Table 3 Clinical and biochemical parameters in 119 patients with PA (47 females, 72 males) after initiation of treatment during long-term follow-up (study visits V1 and V2; cohort 2). Data are means ± S.E.M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V1</th>
<th>V2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic/diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>140/84 ± 3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h systolic/diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>128/75 ± 1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h systolic/diastolic BP day (mmHg)</td>
<td>132/81 ± 1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h systolic/diastolic BP night (mmHg)</td>
<td>119/71 ± 1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of antihypertensive drugs</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum sodium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>138 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum potassium (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/dl)</td>
<td>26.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>67 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE/UCrea (mg/g)</td>
<td>36.8 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP, blood pressure; UAE/UCrea, spot urine albumin excretion/urinary creatinine. Normal ranges (SI units shown in brackets): sodium, 134–145 mmol/l; potassium, 3.4–5.2 mmol/l; urea, 10–50 mg/dl; UAE/UCrea, <20 mg/g. *P < 0.05 compared with study visit V1.
GFR (38). Previous studies have investigated this renal hyperfiltration with more accurate methods such as 24-h-creatinine clearance and radioisotopic clearance (10, 11). The observed glomerular hyperfiltration is associated with decreased intrarenal vascular resistance as assessed by duplex Doppler sonography (39). In a study by Catena et al. (40), the initial GFR and the decline in GFR after treatment were significantly lower in patients with a low pretreatment plasma aldosterone (<225 pg/ml). However, we did not find differences in GFR or urinary albumin excretion depending on pretreatment aldosterone levels. In our cohort including newly diagnosed PA patients (cohort 1), only age was correlated to renal outcome, whereas age, serum urea, and serum potassium levels were predictors for renal outcome in our PA patients already on treatment (cohort 2).

In our cohort 1, we were able to show a significant decrease in serum sodium levels after initiation of therapy indicating the reversal of aldosterone-induced sodium-retaining effects and hypervolemia. The initiation of therapy affected especially the blood pressure during the night, which significantly dropped through therapy initiation.

PA patients of cohort 1 who underwent ADX showed marked improvement in blood pressure, serum potassium, and albumin excretion; however, patients who were started on medical treatment also showed improvements in these parameters, but they were not as strong as that in the adrenalectomized patients. These smaller improvements might be possibly due to the rather low average daily dose of 55 mg spironolactone in cohort 1. Patients of cohort 2 received a higher average daily dose of spironolactone (63.5 ± 5.8 mg) and showed no differences in blood pressure or renal outcome to adrenalectomized patients. Our data suggest that at least 63.5 mg of spironolactone daily might be necessary to sufficiently block aldosterone effects in PA patients; however, the exact dose needs to be adapted individually. This is supported by the finding from a Taiwanese study which failed to show significant changes in proteinuria after 1 year of spironolactone treatment with low doses (50 mg daily) (38).

Looking at the long-term outcome of PA patients in our prospective cohort 2, it is remarkable that the GFR remained stable after such a long time of treatment supporting data from a previous smaller cohort (10). A slightly elevated UAE/Ucrea ratio was present in this cohort indicating some renal impairment. However, blood pressure remained in a normal range besides a slight increase in number of antihypertensive drugs.

Furthermore, the UAE/Ucrea ratio remained low, indicating no further progress in renal damage. There is evidence that in PA, the advantage of blood pressure control is higher regarding renal function in comparison with patients with EH (10), probably due to reduction of high aldosterone levels or MR blockade, respectively, abolishing direct deleterious effects of aldosterone on kidney tissue.

In general our PA patients showed normal blood pressure levels and stable kidney function independent of the therapy they received. Considering previous studies, we are the first to investigate renal outcome depending on different therapeutic regimens in PA patients. Eplerenone is described to be as effective as spironolactone in reducing blood pressure in patients with BHA (41), whereas other studies claimed that the
antihypertensive effect of spironolactone is significantly greater than that of eplerenone in PA patients (42). In the latter study, it was suggested that higher doses of eplerenone are needed to achieve the same effect as spironolactone. To our knowledge, our study is the first one investigating different PA therapies on renal function which included the MR antagonist eplerenone. Eplerenone seems as effective as ADX regarding renal function (GFR and UAE/Ucrea ratio). However, one noticeable difference was the lower serum potassium level compared with the other treatment groups. In a recent study, hyperkalemia occurred more often in spironolactone than in eplerenone-treated patients (42). Therefore, we believe that the eplerenone doses used in our study might still be too low to effectively block the MR. This is supported by the finding that the observed sufficient reduction in blood pressure levels and UAE/Ucrea ratio in the eplerenone group was only achieved due to a significantly higher number of other antihypertensive drugs. In addition, the MR antagonist spironolactone is also an androgen receptor antagonist and its effectiveness and superiority in blood pressure lowering might also be caused by the additional androgen receptor blockade (43, 44).

Patients who received no MR antagonist (e.g. due to spironolactone side effects) had significantly higher systolic blood pressure, lower serum potassium levels, and a higher number of antihypertensive drugs. This indicates the need of MR blockade in the therapy of PA.

Regarding lower serum potassium levels in the eplerenone group, further analysis is needed to evaluate if this subgroup has a disadvantage due to the lower potassium levels, e.g. resulting in a higher incidence of atrial fibrillation or renal cysts. In contrast to a previous report, where a significantly greater increase in serum aldosterone was observed in spironolactone- compared with eplerenone-treated PA patients after 4 months of therapy (42), we did not find a difference in aldosterone levels between these two groups in our study cohort. However, patients on spironolactone and eplerenone treatment had significant higher aldosterone levels than the ADX group, raising the problem of possible non-classical actions due to these elevated aldosterone levels. However, no data are available yet regarding this topic. Limitations of our study were that we had no control cohort with EH for comparison.

In conclusion, we showed in a prospective study that distorted kidney function in PA is reversible after treatment initiation. Medical therapies with spironolactone or eplerenone seem to be as effective as ADX regarding renal function and blood pressure. However, our data suggest that eplerenone needs to be given in higher daily doses than spironolactone.
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