CLINICAL STUDY

Failure to increase insulin secretory capacity during pregnancy-induced insulin resistance is associated with ethnicity and gestational diabetes

Kjersti Mørkrid^{1,2}, Anne K Jenum^{3,4}, Line Sletner^{2,5,6}, Mari H Vårdal⁷, Christin W Waage⁴, Britt Nakstad^{2,5}, Siri Vangen⁶ and Kåre I Birkeland^{1,2}

¹Department of Endocrinology, Obesity and Preventive Medicine, Oslo University Hospital HF, PO Box 4959 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway, ²Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, PO Box 1171 Blindern, 0318 Oslo, Norway, ³Department of General Practice, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, PO Box 1130 Blindern, 0318 Oslo, Norway, ⁴Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, PO Box 4 St Olavs plass 0130 Oslo, Norway, ⁵Department of Child and Adolescents Medicine, Akershus University Hospital, 1478 Lørenskog, Norway, ⁶Norwegian Resource Centre for Women's Health, Oslo University Hospital HF, PO Box 4950 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway and ⁷Unit of Biostatistic and Epidemiology, Oslo University Hospital HF, PO Box 4956 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway

(Correspondence should be addressed to K Mørkrid at Department of Endocrinology, Obesity and Preventive Medicine, Oslo University Hospital HF; Email: kjersti.morkrid@medisin.uio.no)

Abstract

Objective: To assess changes in insulin resistance and β -cell function in a multiethnic cohort of women in Oslo, Norway, from early to 28 weeks' gestation and 3 months *post partum* and relate the findings to gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

Method: Population-based cohort study of 695 healthy pregnant women from Western Europe (41%), South Asia (25%), Middle East (15%), East Asia (6%) and elsewhere (13%). Blood samples and demographics were recorded at mean 15 (V1) and 28 (V2) weeks' gestation and 3 months *post partum* (V3). Universal screening was by 75 g oral glucose tolerance test at V2. GDM with modified IADPSG criteria (no 1-h measurement): fasting plasma glucose (PG) ≥ 5.1 or 2-h PG ≥ 8.5 mmol/l. Homeostatic model assessment (HOMA)- β (β -cell function) and HOMA-IR (insulin resistance) were calculated from fasting glucose and C-peptide.

Result: Characteristics were comparable across ethnic groups, except age (South Asians: younger, $P < 0.001$) and prepregnant BMI (East Asians: lower, $P = 0.040$). East and South Asians were more insulin resistant than Western Europeans at V1. From V1 to V2, the increase in insulin resistance was similar across the ethnic groups, but the increase in β -cell function was significantly lower for the East and South Asians compared with Western Europeans. GDM women compared with non-GDM women were more insulin resistant at V1; from V1 to V2, their β -cell function increased significantly less and the percentage increase in β -cell function did not match the change in insulin resistance.

Conclusion: Pregnant women from East Asia and South Asia were more insulin resistant and showed poorer HOMA- β -cell function than Western Europeans.

European Journal of Endocrinology 167 579–588

Introduction

During pregnancy, insulin resistance is reported to increase by 50–60% to secure shunting of nutrients to the foetus (1). This increase is mediated partly through secretion of hormones from the placenta and increase in body fat depots (2). Pancreatic β -cells increase their insulin production through hyperplasia, hypertrophy and hyperfunction to compensate for the pregnancy-induced insulin resistance in healthy women. The homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) provides estimates of insulin resistance and gives an indication of the insulin secretion from fasting blood sample values (3). If the insulin secretion is inadequate to meet the insulin demands, maternal hyperglycaemia may ensue (2).

Glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy is known as gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (2). The initial GDM criteria were established in 1964 and primarily set to predict future diabetes in the mother (4, 5). The most frequently used GDM criteria today are with only minor modifications similar to the initial GDM criteria or adopted from standards used outside pregnancy (6). Hence, GDM screening is thought to identify women with a failing β -cell function at high risk for the development of diabetes later in life (2).

The Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study was conducted to clarify unanswered questions of the associations between maternal glycaemia and the risk of adverse outcomes

(7). The HAPO study's main findings were a continuous linear relationship between maternal glycaemia and adverse foetal outcomes defined as >90th percentiles of birth weight, per cent body fat and cord C-peptide (7, 8).

Based on the findings from the HAPO study, the International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) have proposed new criteria for GDM (8). The IADPSG criteria were defined to identify women with an odds ratio (OR) of 1.75 for adverse foetal outcomes. Compared with the WHO criteria, the cut-off values in the IADPSG criteria are lowered for fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and raised for 2-h plasma glucose (PG) (5, 8). One abnormal glucose value is adequate for the IADPSG GDM diagnosis, and the majority of cases are reported to be identified by the FPG cut-off value (8, 9, 10). The IADPSG criteria thus identify some women who have not previously been identified with GDM (11). An important question is to which degree the IADPSG criteria identify women with a failing β -cell function and who are therefore at risk for future diabetes.

We recently reported that the prevalence of GDM with both the WHO and the modified IADPSG criteria was high for Western European and immigrant women living in Oslo (9). GDM is increasing in line with the diabetes type 2 prevalence worldwide (12, 13). Ethnic minority groups in Western countries are more insulin resistant and have higher diabetes prevalence rates compared with the host population (14). It is unclear whether the relationship between the pregnancy-induced insulin resistance and the β -cell function differs across ethnic groups.

The aim of this study was to assess the changes in insulin resistance and β -cell function by HOMA in a multiethnic cohort of women from early gestation to 28 weeks of gestation and 3 months *post partum* and to further assess how this appears in GDM women identified with the IADPSG criteria, modified due to lack of 1-h glucose values.

Materials and methods

Study population and data collection

The Stork Groruddalen Study is a population-based cohort study that collected data at three public Child Health Clinics (CHC) in Eastern Oslo, Norway, from May 6th 2008 to May 15th 2010 (15). The Regional Ethics committee and The Norwegian Data Inspectorate approved the study protocol. The methods are described in detail elsewhere (9, 15). Women were eligible if they: i) lived in the districts; ii) planned to give birth at one of two study hospitals; iii) were at <20 weeks of gestation at visit 1 (V1); iv) could communicate in Norwegian, Arabic, English, Sorani, Somali, Tamil, Turkish, Urdu or Vietnamese; and v) were able to give written consent to participate. Women with pregestational diabetes

or other diseases necessitating intensive hospital follow-up during pregnancy were excluded. Women were followed up at 28 ± 2 weeks of gestation (V2) and 3 months *post partum* (V3). Staff members were certified after training and assisted by professional translators when needed. Questionnaire data through interviews (demographics), anthropometric measurements (body height (fixed stadiometer), body weight (Tanita BC 418MA, Tokyo, Japan)) and venous fasting blood samples were collected at V1–V3. A 75 g oral glucose tolerance test was performed at V2. Ethnic origin was defined by country of birth of the participant or that of the participant's mother if the participant's mother was born outside Europe or North America (9).

From the total cohort of 823 (74% of the invited) women originally included in the STORK-Groruddalen study, 772 attended V2 (9). In total, 695 singleton pregnant women with available fasting glucose and C-peptide values from both V1 and V2 were included in this study and constitute the study population. Five hundred and ninety-six of these women attended V3 where 523 delivered fasting blood samples to estimate HOMA values. The reduced number at V3 was mainly due to resource limitations at the CHC; however, ethnic minority women and those with GDM with the WHO criteria were prioritised.

Laboratory methods

All glucose values were measured from venous blood on gel tubes, allowed to clot for 30 min before cells were separated from serum, stored at $+4^\circ\text{C}$ and daily shipped and handled at the Department of Multidisciplinary Laboratory Medicine and Medical Biochemistry, Akershus University Hospital (Vitros 5.1 FS, Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, slide adapted colorimetric method). HbA1c was measured with HPLC (Tosoh G8, Tosoh Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, normal reference range 4–6%). Fasting C-peptide and insulin were measured at the Hormone Laboratory, Oslo University Hospital, by non-competitive immunofluorometric assays (DELFA, PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland). FPG and 2-h PG for the diagnosis of GDM at V2 were measured on site in venous EDTA blood (HemoCue 201+, Angelholm, Sweden) (9).

GDM was diagnosed at V2 when $\text{FPG} \geq 5.1 \text{ mmol/l}$ or $2\text{-h PG} \geq 8.5 \text{ mmol/l}$ according to a modified version of the IADPSG criteria, as 1-h glucose values were not available (8). Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and β -cell function (HOMA- β) were estimated by the Oxford University HOMA Calculator 2.2 with fasting glucose and C-peptide concentrations (16).

Statistical analyses

Differences in characteristics between groups were tested with *t*-tests and one-way ANOVA for normally distributed and Mann–Whitney *U* and Kruskal–Wallis

Table 1 Characteristics of the total cohort stratified into ethnic groups. Values are mean and s.d. unless otherwise stated.

	Total (n = 695 (100%))		Western Europe (n = 286 (41%))		South Asia (n = 174 (25%))		Middle East (n = 105 (15%))		Sub-Saharan Africa (n = 42 (6%))		East Asia (n = 39 (6%))		Others (n = 49 (7%))	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Age (years)	29.8	4.9	30.9	4.5	28.6	4.4	29.3	5.4	27.9	5.3	31.0	4.6	29.3	5.2
Body height (cm)	163.6	6.7	167.3	5.6	160.1	5.7	161.2	5.5	162.6	6.1	157.0	6.4	165.5	6.3
BMI prepregnancy (kg/m ²)	24.5	4.8	24.7	4.9	23.6	4.1	25.7	5.1	26.1	5.4	22.3	3.4	24.7	5.2
Visit 1														
Weeks of gestation	15	3	14	2	15	4	15	3	17	4	17	4	15	3
Weight (kg)	67.6	14.1	70.9	14.0	62.0	11.4	69.6	15.2	71.1	14.6	57.0	10.6	69.5	14.0
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.2	4.8	25.3	4.8	24.2	4.0	26.7	5.4	26.8	5.0	23.1	3.6	25.4	4.9
HbA1c (%)	5.2	0.3	5.2	0.2	5.2	0.3	5.1	0.3	5.2	0.3	5.2	0.4	5.1	0.3
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	4.4	0.4	4.4	0.4	4.5	0.4	4.5	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.3	4.4	0.4
Insulin (pmol/l) ^a	40.0	33.0	32.0	26.0	52.5	40.0	40.0	35.0	43.0	49.0	39.0	26.0	37.0	32.0
C-peptide (nmol/l) ^a	0.52	0.26	0.48	0.23	0.59	0.30	0.52	0.27	0.48	0.29	0.53	0.22	0.51	0.23
Visit 2														
Weeks of gestation	28	1	28	1	28	1	28	1	28	1	28	1	28	1
Weight (kg)	74.3	14.0	77.5	13.9	68.7	11.6	76.7	14.7	76.0	14.97	63.2	10.3	77.6	12.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.7	4.6	27.7	4.7	26.8	4.0	29.5	5.1	28.7	5.1	25.6	3.4	28.3	4.4
HbA1c (%)	5.2	0.3	5.1	0.3	5.3	0.3	5.2	0.4	5.3	0.3	5.2	0.3	5.2	0.3
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.5	0.5	4.5	0.6	4.4	0.6	4.4	0.4	4.4	0.6
2-h glucose (mmol/l)	5.7	1.5	5.6	1.4	5.9	1.6	5.9	1.7	5.5	1.3	6.3	1.5	5.7	1.5
Insulin (pmol/l) ^a	57.0	44.0	48.0	36.0	75.0	42.0	60.0	46.0	62.0	52.0	52.0	35.0	56.0	38.0
C-peptide (nmol/l) ^a	0.76	0.39	0.71	0.34	0.86	0.39	0.76	0.46	0.74	0.35	0.71	0.35	0.73	0.27

^aMedian, IQR.

tests for non-normally distributed continuous variables, presented as mean with s.d. or median with interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. The Sign test was used for related samples and the exact *P* values were reported. Statistical significance was set at a two-tailed probability level of $P < 0.05$ and Bonferroni corrections for multiple testing were used. SPSS version 19 was used for all analyses.

The percentage change in the HOMA values from V1 to V2 were calculated by the formula $(\text{HOMA at V2} - \text{HOMA at V1}) / \text{HOMA at V1} \times 100$. Univariate and multiple linear regression analyses were performed with HOMA-IR at V1 and percentage change in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 as dependent variables. The dependent variables were adjusted for gestational week at inclusion and ethnic origin in Model 1 and in addition prepregnant BMI in Model 2. The residuals were checked for normality and independence. Sensitivity analyses in non-GDM women only were done to test the robustness of the findings. Univariate and multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to identify the effect of HOMA-IR at V1 and percentage change in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 on GDM separately, after adjustments for gestational week at inclusion, prepregnant BMI and ethnic origin.

The reference group was Western Europe, mainly women from Norway (93%) and Sweden/Denmark (4%). The ethnic minority groups were South Asia mainly from Pakistan (62%) and Sri Lanka (31%); Middle East mainly from Iraq (28%), Turkey (23%) and Morocco (21%); East Asia mainly from Vietnam (44%) and Philippines (28%); and others from Sub-Saharan Africa (mainly Somalia (62%) and Nigeria (7%)) and other ethnic minorities (Eastern Europe and South and Central America).

Results

The mean (s.d.) maternal age was 29.8 years (4.9), and the prepregnant BMI was 24.5 kg/m^2 (4.8) (Table 1). East Asian women had lower prepregnant BMI compared with the Western European women ($P = 0.040$). Women from South Asia were younger ($P < 0.001$), and like the East Asian and African women, they were recruited into the study slightly later in pregnancy compared with Western Europeans ($P \leq 0.001$). The East Asians had higher 2-h glucose values at V2 than the Western Europeans ($P = 0.06$). The proportion identified with GDM with the modified IADPSG criteria was for Western Europeans 25%, South Asians 40%, Middle Easterners 37%, Sub-Saharan Africans 41% and East Asians 28%. There were no statistically significant differences between the 695 women included and the 128 women excluded from this study with respect to ethnic origin (Western Europe vs ethnic minority), age, parity, prepregnant BMI, body height or level of education.

The overall insulin resistance measured by HOMA-IR increased from median (IQR) 1.1 (0.6) at V1 to 1.7 (0.9) at V2 ($P < 0.001$). South Asians had higher HOMA-IR compared with Western Europeans, at V1 (1.2 vs 1.0, $P < 0.001$) and V2 (1.8 vs 1.5, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 1a). There were no statistically significant differences between the ethnic groups in the absolute or percentage change in HOMA-IR from V1 to V2 (Table 2).

The overall β -cell function measured by HOMA- β increased from 133.2 (42.6) at V1 to 173.5 (49.3) at V2 ($P < 0.001$). South Asians had higher HOMA- β compared with Western Europeans at V1 (143.6 vs 125.9, $P < 0.001$) but not at V2 (178.6 vs 172.6, $P = 0.25$) (Fig. 1b). The absolute and percentage change in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 was less for the South Asian ($P < 0.005$) and East Asian ($P < 0.002$) compared with the Western European women (Table 2).

Linear regression analyses with HOMA-IR at V1 as the dependent variable revealed that South Asian and

Figure 1 Median (95% CI) of insulin resistance assessed by HOMA-IR (a) and β -cell function assessed by HOMA- β (b) for the ethnic groups at V1 (white) and V2 (grey).

Table 2 Absolute (Δ) and percentage change (% Δ) in biochemical parameters and HOMA values from V1 to V2 in study participants according to ethnic group. Values in median and IQR unless otherwise stated.

	Western Europe		South Asia		Middle East		Sub-Saharan Africa		East Asia		Others	
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Δ Fasting glucose ^a (mmol/l)	−0.1	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.5
Δ Insulin (pmol/l)	13.0	25.0	18.0	34.0	15.0	30.0	10.5	35.0	12.0	29.0	19.0	32.0
Δ C-peptide (nmol/l)	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.22	0.30	0.16	0.31	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.25
Δ HOMA-IR	0.45	0.54	0.50	0.58	0.47	0.70	0.32	0.69	0.42	0.52	0.45	0.45
Δ HOMA- β	43.5	39.5	32.5 [†]	45.1	35.9	43.8	37.2	46.0	23.8 [†]	45.3	46.0	43.9
% Δ HOMA-IR	46.0	57.6	43.0	56.1	43.6	66.8	31.5	76.5	33.2	40.3	47.7	75.0
% Δ HOMA- β	33.6	35.7	22.9 [†]	33.7	31.3	32.0	29.4	43.7	17.8*	36.5	34.4	31.6

* $P < 0.05$ difference from Western European, Bonferroni corrected; [†] $P < 0.005$ difference from Western European, Bonferroni corrected.

^aMean, s.d.

Middle Eastern women were more insulin resistant compared with Western Europeans in early gestation also after adjustments for gestational week at inclusion (Model 1; Table 3). After further adjustments for prepregnant BMI, this difference in HOMA-IR was no longer found for the Middle Easterners, was still present for the South Asians, while the East Asians became significantly more insulin resistant (Model 2). The findings still persisted after additional adjustments for parity and family history of diabetes (data not shown).

With the percentage change in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 as the dependent variable, the lesser increase in β -cell function among the East Asian and South Asian compared with the Western European women persisted after adjustments for gestational week at inclusion (Model 1; Table 3), and with further adjustments for prepregnant BMI (Model 2). The findings still persisted after additional adjustments for parity and family history of diabetes (data not shown).

The mean prepregnant BMI was significantly higher in women with GDM compared with women with normal glucose levels (Table 4). Their mean increase in BMI units from prepregnancy to V2 was similar ($P = 0.760$). Women with GDM compared with women without GDM were more insulin resistant, measured by HOMA-IR, at V1 (1.3 vs 1.0, $P < 0.001$) and V2 (2.0 vs 1.5, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2a), but their β -cell function, measured by HOMA- β , was similar at V1 (136.3 vs 132.0) and lower at V2 (166.7 vs 176.5, $P = 0.003$) (Fig. 2b). The absolute change in HOMA-IR from V1 to V2 was significantly higher for the GDM compared with non-GDM women, but the percentage change was similar (Table 4). The absolute and percentage change in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 was significantly less in the GDM compared with the non-GDM women. Logistic regression analysis revealed that there was a highly significant increased OR for GDM per unit increase in HOMA-IR at V1, also after adjustments for gestational week, prepregnant BMI and ethnic

Table 3 Linear regression analyses with (a) HOMA-IR at V1 (V1) and (b) percentage change (% Δ) in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 as the dependent variable.

	Univariate		Multiple Model 1 ^a		Multiple Model 2 ^b	
	β -Coefficient	<i>P</i> value	β -Coefficient	<i>P</i> value	β -Coefficient	<i>P</i> value
(a) V1 HOMA-IR						
Weeks of gestation V1	0.02	<0.001	0.02	0.001	0.02	0.002
South Asia	0.29	<0.001	0.27	<0.001	0.33	<0.001
Middle East	0.11	0.046	0.10	0.086	0.05	0.271
East Asia	0.11	0.171	0.07	0.422	0.19	0.009
Others	0.04	0.468	0.01	0.810	−0.02	0.735
BMI prepregnancy	0.05	<0.001			0.05	<0.001
(b) % Δ HOMA- β						
Weeks of gestation V1	−2.20	<0.001	−2.00	<0.001	−1.73	<0.001
South Asia	−12.28	0.003	−10.00	0.015	−11.05	0.005
Middle East	−5.74	0.237	−4.15	0.390	−3.36	0.432
East Asia	−20.68	0.005	−16.08	0.028	−19.27	0.006
Others	−0.63	0.902	2.16	0.673	−0.69	0.889
BMI prepregnancy	−0.98	0.003			−1.14	0.001

^aAdjusted for weeks of gestation at V1 and ethnic origin with Western Europe as reference.

^bAdditional adjustments for prepregnant BMI.

Table 4 Characteristics and biochemical parameters at V1 and absolute (Δ) and percentage change (% Δ) from V1 to V2 for the GDM and non-GDM women. Values in mean and s.d., median and IQR unless otherwise stated. *P* values for the difference between the groups.

	GDM (<i>n</i> =220 (32%))		Non-GDM (<i>n</i> =475 (68%))		<i>P</i> value
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Weeks of gestation at V1	15	3	15	3	0.905
Age (years)	30.2	5.1	29.6	4.7	0.176
BMI prepregnancy (kg/m ²)	25.9	5.5	23.9	4.2	<0.001
Body height (cm)	162.9	6.6	163.9	6.7	0.071
Weight (kg)	70.7	16.2	66.2	12.9	<0.001
HbA1c (%)	5.3	0.3	5.1	0.3	<0.001
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	4.7	0.4	4.3	0.3	<0.001
Insulin ^a (pmol/l)	55.0	47.0	36.0	26.0	<0.001
C-peptide ^a (nmol/l)	0.63	0.35	0.48	0.21	<0.001
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	
Δ Weight ^b (kg)	6.8	3.3	6.6	3.0	0.557
Δ Fasting glucose ^b (mmol/l)	0.2	0.5	-0.1	0.4	<0.001
Δ Insulin (pmol/l)	19.0	34.0	12.0	26.0	0.008
Δ C-peptide (nmol/l)	0.26	0.28	0.20	0.24	<0.001
Δ HOMA-IR	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.5	<0.001
Δ HOMA- β	30.0	40.8	43.5	40.1	<0.001
% Δ HOMA-IR	47.5	63.3	41.4	57.6	0.161
% Δ HOMA- β	21.9	32.5	32.9	35.3	<0.001

^aMedian, IQR.^bMean, s.d.

origin, OR 4.62 (95% CI 3.00–7.12). Per percentage increase in HOMA- β from V1 to V2 gave a highly significant protective OR for GDM, also after adjustments as previous, 0.99 (0.98–0.99).

At V3, the South Asian women had higher HOMA-IR and HOMA- β compared with the Western Europeans ($P<0.001$; Table 5). For the GDM compared with non-GDM women at V3, the BMI was 27.5 kg/m² (5.3) vs 25.3 kg/m² (4.5) ($P<0.001$), the HOMA-IR 1.7 (0.95) vs 1.2 (0.66) ($P<0.001$) and the HOMA- β 129.4 (52.5) vs 123.0 (38.8) ($P=0.029$). At V3, the GDM women had higher mean HbA1c and fasting glucose values compared with the non-GDM women (5.5 (0.3) vs 5.4% (0.3) and 5.0 mmol/l (0.5) vs 4.7 mmol/l (0.4) (both $P<0.001$)), but no women had fasting glucose ≥ 7 mmol/l or HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$. There were no significant differences between the 523 women with and the 180 women without HOMA values at all three visits with respect to age, parity, prepregnant BMI, level of education or GDM with the modified IADPSG criteria.

Discussion

The prevalence of GDM and type 2 diabetes varies between ethnic groups and is reported to be high in immigrants from Asia (12, 13, 14, 17). However, few studies have compared insulin resistance and β -cell function during pregnancy in a multiethnic cohort. In this study, we found that the East Asian and South

Asian women were more insulin resistant in early pregnancy compared with Western Europeans, and the differences were larger after adjustments for BMI. From early to 28 weeks of gestation, all women irrespective of ethnic origin and baseline level became equally more insulin resistant. During the same period, the β -cell function to the East Asian and South Asian women did not compensate to the same extent as the Western Europeans. Women identified with GDM with the modified IADPSG criteria were more insulin resistant and their β -cell function was not able to meet the insulin demands induced by the pregnancy.

Insulin resistance is impinged by adiposity, and overweight and obese women start their pregnancy more insulin resistant compared with normal weight women (1). In this study, a higher mean BMI explained the increased insulin resistance found in the Middle Eastern women. In previous studies, Middle Eastern women were also identified with higher BMI levels than women from other ethnic groups (14, 18). On the other hand, the East Asian and South Asian women were more insulin resistant after adjustments for BMI compared with the Western Europeans. Asians are known to have more fat per BMI unit compared with Western subjects (14, 19, 20, 21). This may contribute to increased insulin resistance, which is especially shown in South Asians (19, 20, 22). An increase in insulin resistance is a normal physiological phenomenon during pregnancy (1). Regardless of ethnic origin and baseline level, the insulin resistance increased ~ 40 –45% from early to 28 weeks of gestation, a finding that is in concert with previous reports (1).

Figure 2 Median (95% CI) of insulin resistance assessed by HOMA-IR (a) and β -cell function assessed by HOMA- β (b) for the GDM and non-GDM women at V1 (white) and V2 (grey).

To maintain normoglycaemia during pregnancy, an increase in insulin secretion is needed to compensate for the increased insulin resistance (2). The precise mechanisms of β -cells mass expansion during human pregnancy have only partially been elucidated, but reports indicate that there is an adaptive increase in β -cell numbers (23, 24, 25). From early to 28 weeks of gestation, the women from South Asia were not able to increase their β -cell function mutual to the insulin resistance. The β -cell response relative to the pregnancy-induced insulin resistance was therefore unbalanced compared with the Western Europeans. Reduced levels of adiponectin, a hormone secreted from adipose tissue and the placenta, are in pregnancy associated with β -cell dysfunction (26). Pregnant women from South Asia are reported to have hypoadiponectinaemia to a greater extent compared with Caucasians (27), but this needs to be explored further.

The women from East Asia, like the South Asians, showed less increase in β -cell function from early to 28 weeks of gestation compared with the Western Europeans. Even though there were no statistically significant ethnic differences in the increase in the

Table 5 Post-partum characteristics, biochemical parameters and HOMA values of the total cohort and stratified into ethnic groups. Values are mean and s.d. unless otherwise stated.

	Total (n=523 (100%))		Western Europe (n=203 (39%))		South Asia (n=141 (27%))		Middle East (n=85 (16%))		Sub-Saharan Africa (n=26 (5%))		East Asia (n=31 (6%))		Others (n=37 (7%))	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Weeks post partum	14	3	14	2	14	3	14	3	15	3	15	3	14	2
Weight (kg)	69.7	14.3	72.1	14.7	65.4	11.5	72.3	15.8	73.1	13.8	59.5	10.0	71.3	13.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.0	4.9	25.7	5.0	25.5	4.2	27.8	5.3	28.1	5.0	24.0	3.3	26.1	4.9
HbA1c (%)	5.4	0.3	5.4	0.2	5.5	0.3	5.3	0.3	5.5	0.3	5.5	0.4	5.4	0.3
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	4.8	0.4	4.7	0.4	4.8	0.4	4.9	0.5	4.8	0.4	4.8	0.4	4.8	0.6
Insulin (pmol/l) ^a	39.0	31.5	31.0	25.0	52.0	40.0	43.5	28.0	42.0	44.0	33.0	30.0	36.0	18.0
C-peptide (nmol/l) ^a	0.61	0.36	0.57	0.31	0.73	0.35	0.64	0.36	0.53	0.32	0.53	0.35	0.55	0.26
HOMA-IR ^a	1.3	0.8	1.2	0.7	1.6	0.8	1.4	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.6
HOMA- β ^a	125.7	41.3	121.3	40.4	138.1	45.9	124.7	40.0	118.9	27.4	116.8	34.0	119.2	25.9

^aMedian, IQR.

insulin resistance during the same period, the East Asians showed numerically less increase. Their increase in β -cell response relative to the increase in insulin resistance was therefore more in balance compared with the South Asians. East Asian subjects have in previous studies shown marked postprandial hyperglycaemia compared with matched Caucasian subjects (17, 18, 28) and might therefore be more predisposed to peripheral insulin resistance (29). Our results are in line with these findings, as the East Asian women showed a lesser degree of fasting hyperglycaemia, and higher 2-h glucose values (9). The HAPO study has also reported that GDM women in East Asia (Bangkok and Hong Kong) were less likely to be diagnosed based on the FPG value compared with women at other HAPO study sites (10).

GDM screening with the most frequently used GDM criteria today (6, 12) is thought to identify women with an underlying β -cell defect not able to handle the metabolic stress that occurs during pregnancy (2). In this study, using the modified IADPSG criteria, the GDM women were much more insulin resistant in early and at 28 weeks of gestation compared with the non-GDM women. However, in line with other studies, the percentage increase in insulin resistance was similar (1). The GDM women had poorer β -cell function at 28 weeks of gestation and showed inequality between the increase in insulin resistance and β -cell response from early to 28 weeks of gestation, compared with the non-GDM women. Impaired fasting glucose, at least outside pregnancy, is suggested to be an effect of hepatic insulin resistance (29). Further, it has been reported that elevated endogenous glucose production, pointing to hepatic insulin resistance during pregnancy, may lead to the development of type 2 diabetes. This together with our results could indicate that the IADPSG criteria, primarily set to prevent adverse foetal outcomes (7), also identify women with a β -cell dysfunction at risk for developing diabetes later in life (30).

The South Asians were more insulin resistant and had elevated β -cell function compared with the Western Europeans 3 months *post partum*, similar to the differences found at V1. No women qualified for a diagnosis of diabetes by using either FPG or HbA1c criteria. The GDM women were more insulin resistant compared with the non-GDM women 3 months *post partum*, but the β -cell function did not seem to differ to the same extent. The GDM women's higher fasting glucose and HbA1c values might indicate that their β -cell function in relation to the higher insulin resistance was inappropriate and could therefore be a sign of relative β -cell failure. It has been reported that GDM women seem able to compensate for the increased insulin resistance in the first months *post partum* but that they may manifest progressive loss of β -cell function in the long run (2). Severe hepatic insulin resistance, which is linked to elevated FPG (29), is reported to deteriorate β -cell function in the first year

post partum after gestational dysglycaemia (31). Recent reports indicate that subjects with elevated FPG have impaired first-phase insulin secretion and therefore β -cell failure (32).

Although no significant differences between those who did and did not attend V3 were found, we cannot rule out that selection bias might have affected the results 3 months *post partum*. The Stork Groruddalen study was not purely observational as 13% of the women identified with GDM with the WHO criteria received lifestyle advice at 28 weeks of gestation (9). The Stork Groruddalen study was planned before the announcement of the IADPSG criteria and used the WHO criteria with fasting and 2-h glucose values to diagnose GDM according to Norwegian standards. The lack of the 1-h glucose value is therefore an additional limitation of this study. However, the majority of women identified with GDM with the IADPSG criteria in previous studies are identified with the FPG value. Due to limited resources and the wish to include a high percentage of women from all ethnic groups, the recourse intensive 'gold standard' methods, clamp studies or intravenous glucose tolerance tests, to quantify insulin resistance and β -cell function *in vivo*, were not feasible. The HOMA is a surrogate measure of these parameters, estimated from fasting glucose and insulin or C-peptide concentrations (3). HOMA- β therefore has limited ability to detect chronic β -cell dysfunction and might explain the absence of a difference in β -cell function between the GDM and non-GDM women in early gestation. However, HOMA is feasible in large studies and has also been validated in studies of pregnant women (16, 33, 34).

The strength of this study is the follow-up design and high response rate both during and after pregnancy across the ethnic groups (9, 15). It is important to characterise the diabetes pathogenesis and ethnic differences in order to curb the diabetes pandemic, where Asians are especially affected (12, 13). Asians are the fastest growing minority group in the US, and South Asians are the largest minority group in several European countries (20). The results from this study should therefore be relevant outside the Norwegian context as the sample is fairly representative for the largest ethnic groups included (9).

Conclusion

We found ethnic differences in insulin resistance and β -cell function measured by HOMA through pregnancy and 3 months *post partum*. East Asian and South Asian women were more insulin resistant compared with Western Europeans after adjustments for BMI and showed poorer β -cell function. GDM diagnosed with modified IADPSG criteria was associated with lower ability to compensate for the pregnancy-induced insulin

resistance. We therefore conclude that the IADPSG criteria, primarily set to prevent adverse foetal outcomes, may also identify women with a latent β -cell failure presumably at risk of future diabetes.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

The Research Council of Norway has funded PhD fellowships for K Mørkrid and L Sletner, and the data collection was also supported by the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, The Norwegian Directorate of Health and collaborative partners in the city of Oslo, Stovner, Grorud and Bjerke administrative districts.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the administrative leaders in Stovner, Grorud and Bjerke city districts, H S Hatlehol and the other staff at the Child Health Clinics for collecting the data and the participants. They also thank H L Gulseth, Oslo University Hospital, for commenting on the work.

References

- Catalano PM. Obesity, insulin resistance, and pregnancy outcome. *Reproduction* 2010 **140** 365–371. (doi:10.1530/REP-10-0088)
- Buchanan TA, Xiang A, Kjos SL & Watanabe R. What is gestational diabetes? *Diabetes Care* 2007 **30** (Suppl 2) S105–S111. (doi:10.2337/dc07-s201)
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF & Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and β -cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985 **28** 412–419. (doi:10.1007/BF00280883)
- O'Sullivan JB, Gellis SS, Dandrow RV & Tenney BO. The potential diabetic and her treatment in pregnancy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1966 **27** 683–689.
- Alberti KG & Zimmet PZ. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus: provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabetes Medicine* 1998 **15** 539–553. (doi:10.1002/(sici)1096-9136(199807)15:7<539::aid-dia668>3.0.co:2-s)
- Paglia MJ & Coustan DR. Gestational diabetes: evolving diagnostic criteria. *Current Opinion in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2011 **23** 72–75. (doi:10.1097/GCO.0b013e328342d21e)
- Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Persson B, Rogers MS & Sacks DA. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2008 **358** 1991–2002. (doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0707943)
- Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, Buchanan TA, Catalano PA, Damm P, Dyer AR, Leiva A, Hod M, Kitzmiller JL, Lowe LP, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Omori Y & Schmidt MI. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2010 **33** 676–682. (doi:10.2337/dc10-0719)
- Jenum AK, Morkrid K, Sletner L, Vangen S, Torper JL, Nakstad B, Voldner N, Rognerud-Jensen OH, Berntsen S, Mosdol A, Skriverhaug T, Vardal MH, Holme I, Yajnik CS & Birkeland KI. Impact of ethnicity on gestational diabetes identified with the WHO and the modified International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups criteria: a population-based cohort study. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2012 **166** 317–324. (doi:10.1530/EJE-11-0866)
- Sacks DA, Hadden DR, Maresh M, Deerochanawong C, Dyer AR, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Coustan DR, Hod M, Oats JJ, Persson B & Trimble ER. Frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus at collaborating centers based on IADPSG consensus panel-recommended criteria: the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study. *Diabetes Care* 2012 **35** 526–528. (doi:10.2337/dc11-1641)
- Leary J, Pettitt DJ & Jovanovic L. Gestational diabetes guidelines in a HAPO world. *Best Practice & Research. Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2010 **24** 673–685. (doi:10.1016/j.beem.2010.05.009)
- Jiwani A, Marseille E, Lohse N, Damm P, Hod M & Kahn JG. Gestational diabetes mellitus: results from a survey of country prevalence and practices. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2012 **25** 600–610. (doi:10.3109/14767058.2011.587921)
- Nolan CJ, Damm P & Prentki M. Type 2 diabetes across generations: from pathophysiology to prevention and management. *Lancet* 2011 **378** 169–181. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60614-4)
- Jenum AK, Diep LM, Holmboe-Ottesen G, Holme IM, Kumar BN & Birkeland KI. Diabetes susceptibility in ethnic minority groups from Turkey, Vietnam, Sri Lanka and Pakistan compared with Norwegians – the association with adiposity is strongest for ethnic minority women. *BMC Public Health* 2012 **12** 150. (doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-150)
- Jenum AK, Sletner L, Voldner N, Vangen S, Morkrid K, Andersen LF, Nakstad B, Skriverhaug T, Rognerud-Jensen OH, Roald B & Birkeland KI. The STORK Groruddalen research programme: a population-based cohort study of gestational diabetes, physical activity, and obesity in pregnancy in a multiethnic population: Rationale, methods, study population, and participation rates. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2010 **38** 60–70. (doi:10.1177/1403494810378921)
- Wallace TM, Levy JC & Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care* 2004 **27** 1487–1495. (doi:10.2337/diacare.27.6.1487)
- Gunton JE, Hitchman R & McElduff A. Effects of ethnicity on glucose tolerance, insulin resistance and β cell function in 223 women with an abnormal glucose challenge test during pregnancy. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2001 **41** 182–186. (doi:10.1111/j.1479-828X.2001.tb01205.x)
- Wong VW. Gestational diabetes mellitus in five ethnic groups: a comparison of their clinical characteristics. *Diabetic Medicine* 2012 **29** 366–371. (doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2011.03439.x)
- Misra A & Khurana L. Obesity-related non-communicable diseases: South Asians vs White Caucasians. *International Journal of Obesity* 2011 **35** 167–187. (doi:10.1038/ijo.2010.135)
- Eapen D, Kalra GL, Merchant N, Arora A & Khan BV. Metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease in South Asians. *Vascular Health and Risk Management* 2009 **5** 731–743. (doi:10.2147/VHRM.S5172)
- Wulan SN, Westertep KR & Plasqui G. Ethnic differences in body composition and the associated metabolic profile: a comparative study between Asians and Caucasians. *Maturitas* 2010 **65** 315–319. (doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2009.12.012)
- Retnakaran R, Hanley AJ, Connelly PW, Sermer M & Zinman B. Ethnicity modifies the effect of obesity on insulin resistance in pregnancy: a comparison of Asian, South Asian, and Caucasian women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2006 **91** 93–97. (doi:10.1210/jc.2005-1253)
- Butler AE, Cao-Minh L, Galasso R, Rizza RA, Corradin A, Cobelli C & Butler PC. Adaptive changes in pancreatic β cell fractional area and β cell turnover in human pregnancy. *Diabetologia* 2010 **53** 2167–2176. (doi:10.1007/s00125-010-1809-6)
- Ernst S, Demirci C, Valle S, Velazquez-Garcia S & Garcia-Ocana A. Mechanisms in the adaptation of maternal β -cells during pregnancy. *Diabetes Management* 2011 **1** 239–248. (doi:10.2217/dmt.10.24)

- 25 Van Assche EA, Aerts L & De Prins F. A morphological study of the endocrine pancreas in human pregnancy. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1978 **85** 818–820. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1978.tb15835.x)
- 26 Retnakaran R, Qi Y, Connelly PW, Sermer M, Hanley AJ & Zinman B. Low adiponectin concentration during pregnancy predicts *postpartum* insulin resistance, β cell dysfunction and fasting glycaemia. *Diabetologia* 2010 **53** 268–276. (doi:10.1007/s00125-009-1600-8)
- 27 Retnakaran R, Hanley AJ, Connelly PW, Maguire G, Sermer M & Zinman B. Low serum levels of high-molecular weight adiponectin in Indo-Asian women during pregnancy: evidence of ethnic variation in adiponectin isoform distribution. *Diabetes Care* 2006 **29** 1377–1379. (doi:10.2337/dc06-0413)
- 28 Dickinson S, Colagiuri S, Faramus E, Petocz P & Brand-Miller JC. Postprandial hyperglycemia and insulin sensitivity differ among lean young adults of different ethnicities. *Journal of Nutrition* 2002 **132** 2574–2579.
- 29 Faerch K, Borch-Johnsen K, Holst JJ & Vaag A. Pathophysiology and aetiology of impaired fasting glycaemia and impaired glucose tolerance: does it matter for prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes? *Diabetologia* 2009 **52** 1714–1723. (doi:10.1007/s00125-009-1443-3)
- 30 Miyakoshi K, Saisho Y, Tanaka M, Shimada A, Itoh H & Yoshimura Y. Pancreatic β -cell function in women with gestational diabetes mellitus defined by new consensus criteria. *Diabetes Care* 2011 **34** e8. (doi:10.2337/dc10-1725)
- 31 Retnakaran R, Qi Y, Ye C, Hanley AJ, Connelly PW, Sermer M & Zinman B. Hepatic insulin resistance is an early determinant of declining β -cell function in the first year *postpartum* after glucose intolerance in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2011 **34** 2431–2434. (doi:10.2337/dc11-0817)
- 32 Kanat M, Mari A, Norton L, Winnier D, DeFronzo RA, Jenkinson C & Abdul-Ghani MA. Distinct β -cell defects in impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes* 2012 **61** 447–453. (doi:10.2337/db11-0995)
- 33 Radaelli T, Farrell KA, Huston-Presley L, Amini SB, Kirwan JP, McIntyre HD & Catalano PM. Estimates of insulin sensitivity using glucose and C-peptide from the hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome glucose tolerance test. *Diabetes Care* 2010 **33** 490–494. (doi:10.2337/dc09-1463)
- 34 Wallace TM & Matthews DR. The assessment of insulin resistance in man. *Diabetic Medicine* 2002 **19** 527–534. (doi:10.1046/j.1464-5491.2002.00745.x)

Received 23 May 2012

Revised version received 8 August 2012

Accepted 10 August 2012