CLINICAL STUDY

Thyreotropin levels in diabetic patients on metformin treatment

Carlo Cappelli, Mario Rotondi, Ilenia Pirola, Barbara Agosti, Annamaria Formenti, Emanuela Zarra, Umberto Valentini, Paola Leporati, Luca Chiovato and Maurizio Castellano

Endocrine and Metabolic Unit, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Clinica Medica, University of Brescia, c/o 1 Medicina Spedali Civili Piazzale Spedali Civili 1, 25100 Brescia, Italy, 1 Unit of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, Fondazione Salvatore Maugeri, I.R.C.C.S., INAIL Laboratory for Endocrine Disruptors and Chair of Endocrinology, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy and 2 Diabetic Unit, Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy

(Correspondence should be addressed to C Cappelli; Email: cappelli@med.unibs.it)

Abstract

Objective: A retrospective study to evaluate the changes in TSH concentrations in diabetic patients treated or not treated with metformin and/or L-thyroxine (L-T4).

Methods: Three hundred and ninety three euthyroid diabetic patients were divided into three groups on the basis of metformin and/or L-T4 treatment: Group (M+/L-), 119 subjects never treated with metformin and L-T4; Group (M+/L+), 203 subjects who started metformin treatment at recruitment; and Group (M+/L+), 71 patients on L-T4 who started metformin recruitment.

Results: The effect of metformin on serum TSH concentrations was analyzed in relation to the basal value of TSH (below 2.5 mIU/l (Q1) or between 2.51 and 4.5 mIU/l (Q2)). In patients of group M+/L-, TSH significantly decreased independently from the basal level (Q1, from 1.45 ± 0.53 to 1.01 ± 1.12 mU/l (P = 0.037); Q2, from 3.60 ± 0.53 to 1.91 ± 0.89 mU/l (P < 0.0001)). In M+/L+ group, the decrease in TSH was significant only in those patients with a basal high-normal serum TSH (Q2: from 3.24 ± 0.51 to 2.27 ± 1.28 mU/l (P = 0.004)); in M-/L- patients, no significant changes in TSH levels were observed.

In patients of group M+/L- showing high-normal basal TSH levels, a significant decrease in TSH was observed independently from the presence or absence of thyroid peroxidase antibodies (AbTPO; Q2 AbTPO +: from 3.38 ± 0.48 to 1.87 ± 1.08 mU/l (P < 0.001); Q2 AbTPO -: from 3.21 ± 0.52 to 2.34 ± 1.31 mU/l (P < 0.001)).

Conclusions: These data strengthen the known TSH-lowering effect of metformin in diabetic patients on L-T4 treatment and shows a significant reduction of TSH also in euthyroid patients with higher baseline TSH levels independently from the presence of AbTPO.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus and thyroid disorders represent the two most frequent endocrinopathies encountered in clinical practice, with an estimated prevalence in the general population around 4 and 6% respectively (1, 2). Both conditions frequently coexist and the prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in diabetic patients appears to be higher than in the general population (3, 4), ranging from 10 to 30% (5, 6).

Metformin is a widely used drug for the treatment of diabetes mellitus, not only in patients with the type 2 disease (7, 8) but also in adults and adolescents affected by diabetes mellitus type 1 when insulin resistance is present (9, 10).

Previous studies from the literature, including those from our groups, indicate that metformin influences the serum levels of TSH by lowering the circulating concentrations of the pituitary hormone to a subnormal level in hypothyroid patients (11, 12) treated with L-thyroxine (L-T4) at substitution doses. We also reported a significant reduction of serum TSH levels in diabetic patients with primary untreated hypothyroidism (13). More recently, a decrease in serum TSH was also observed in hypothyroid women being treated with metformin for a concomitant polycystic ovarian syndrome (14, 15).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the changes in serum TSH concentrations in a large series of diabetic patients being treated or not treated with metformin and/or L-T4.

Patients and methods

Diabetic patients were recruited by searching the computerized database of subjects treated and followed at the Diabetic Unit of the Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Brescia. Searching criteria were as follows: i) complete personal medical history; ii) detailed current drug treatment and any previous change; iii) thyroid hormone profile at
recruitment and then every year; and iv) a follow-up period of at least 1 year.

Among 7020 subjects, a total of 393 euthyroid diabetic patients were collected and divided into three groups on the basis of metformin and/or L-T4 treatment:
- Group (M−/L−): 119 subjects never treated with metformin and L-T4;
- Group (M+/L−): 203 subjects who started metformin treatment at recruitment;
- Group (M+/L+): 71 patients on l-T4 who started metformin treatment at recruitment.

### Laboratory assay

Serum concentrations of free T4 (fT4; normal range: 8.0–19.0 pg/ml) and TSH (third generation TSH assay; normal range: 0.4–4.5 mIU/l) were measured using immunochemiluminescent assays by an automated analyzer (Immulite 2000, DPC Cirus, Los Angeles, CA, USA) using commercial kits (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, USA). The serum concentrations of thyroid peroxidase antibodies (AbTPO; normal range: <60 U/ml) were measured using immunochemiluminescent assays using commercial kits (Brahms, Hennigsdorf, Germany).

### Statistical analysis

Between- and within-group comparisons were performed by ANOVA general linear model, including repeated measures analysis. \( \chi^2 \) test was used for between-group comparisons of categorical variables. All data were analyzed using SPSS version 17 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are expressed as mean ± s.d. unless otherwise stated. Statistical significance was considered at \( P<0.05 \).

### Results

Among 7020 diabetic patients included in the computerized database, 393 subjects (255 females and 138 males, mean age 54.1±11.2 years) satisfied the above inclusion criteria: 34 (8.7%) patients were affected by type 1 diabetes mellitus and 359 (91.3%) by type 2 diabetes. Seventy-one (18.1%) patients were euthyroid on l-T4; among them, 60 (84.5%) had Hashimoto's thyroiditis, 10 (14.1%) had been treated with thyroidectomy for benign thyroid diseases, and one (1.4%) had an amiodarone-induced hypothyroidism.

Clinical data of patients subdivided in the three groups according to their drug therapy at recruitment are shown in Table 1. The three patient groups did not significantly differ in age, BMI, and smoking habits at recruitment, but a higher prevalence of men was observed in the M−/L− group. Patients affected by type 1 diabetes mellitus were similarly distributed among the three groups (18/203 (8.8%) in M+/L+ group, 6/71 (8.4%) in M+/-L− group, and 10/119 (8.4%) in M−/L− group respectively). Significantly lower values of serum TSH were observed in M−/L− patients, whereas serum fT4 levels were superimposable among the groups. Positive tests for circulating AbTPO were found in 39/203 (19.2%), 65/71 (91.5%), and 21/119 (17.6%) patients in M+/L−, M+/L+, and M−/L− groups respectively.

When considered as a whole group, after 1 year of follow-up, no significant change in serum levels of TSH (1.83±0.96 vs 1.75±1.25, NS), serum levels of fT4 (11.9±1.2 vs 12.1±1.1, NS), and BMI (32.1±4.5 vs 32.7±3.4, NS) was observed. Multiple regression analysis with TSH serum levels at 1-year follow-up as dependent variable showed that metformin treatment was related to TSH decrease. Age, gender, smoking habits, BMI, and l-T4 treatment were nonsignificant variables in this model (Table 2).

The serum levels of TSH at baseline and after 1 year in the three groups of patients are shown in Fig. 1. TSH levels decreased in patients undergoing metformin treatment, but statistical significance was reached only in those receiving l-T4 (from 2.16±1.18 to 1.33±1.12 mIU/l \( P<0.001 \)). Moreover, 3/203 (1.5%) subjects of M+/L− group and 6/71 (8.4%) of M+/L+ group showed undetectable serum TSH levels at the end of the study. A slight but not significant increase in serum TSH levels was observed in M−/L− group (from Table 2: Multiple regression analysis for TSH serum levels at 1 year of follow-up as dependent variable in the whole group of euthyroid diabetic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>-0.449</td>
<td>-0.747</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-T4</td>
<td>-0.192</td>
<td>-0.747</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* \( P<0.05 \) vs group M+/L+. \*\* \( P<0.001 \) vs group M+/L−.

Table 1: Clinical data of patients according to their drug therapy at recruitment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group M+/L−</th>
<th>Group M+/L+</th>
<th>Group M−/L−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>87/116</td>
<td>14/57</td>
<td>60/59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52±7.1</td>
<td>53±2±6.8</td>
<td>55±7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.6±5.4</td>
<td>31.3±4.4</td>
<td>33.1±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (n)</td>
<td>70/203</td>
<td>24/71</td>
<td>41/119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mIU/l)</td>
<td>2.07±1.43</td>
<td>2.16±1.19</td>
<td>1.67±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fT4 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>12.4±1.7</td>
<td>12.6±1.4</td>
<td>12.4±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin (mg/day)</td>
<td>1735±659.8</td>
<td>1747±611.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-T4 (µg/day)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>88.7±15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* \( P<0.05 \) vs group M+/L+. \*\* \( P<0.001 \) vs group M+/L−.
A significant decrease in serum TSH (Q2: from 0.89 mU/l (P=1.12 mU/l (value at baseline (Q1, from 1.45 G to 0.53 to 1.91 ± 0.89 mU/l (P<0.0001)) was observed only in those patients with a high-normal basal serum TSH (> 2.5 mU/l). The serum levels of TSH remained unchanged in patients with a basal TSH ≤ 2.5 mU/l. In patients of M–/L– group, no significant change in the serum levels of TSH was observed throughout the study span independently of the basal TSH level.

Patients of M+/L– group were further stratified according to the presence or absence of positive tests for AbTPO. No significant difference was found in the behavior of TSH between patients with detectable or undetectable AbTPO levels (Fig. 3). Only those subjects with high-normal TSH levels, independently from the presence or not of AbTPO, showed a significant decrease in serum thyrotropin (Q2 AbTPO+, from 3.38±0.48 to 1.87±0.8 mU/l (P<0.01); Q2 AbTPO–, from 3.21±0.52 to 2.34±1.31 mU/l (P<0.001)).

**Discussion**

The results of the present retrospective study indicate that the TSH-lowering effect of metformin, previously observed in hypothyroid subjects (13), also occurs in euthyroid diabetic patients with basal TSH in the upper-normal range. This effect of metformin appears to occur independently of the presence of AbTPO.

Metformin is commonly regarded as a safe drug in that clinically relevant pharmacological interactions have not been described when metformin is associated with commonly used drugs, with the exceptions of folate and B12 vitamin (16, 17).

Vigersky et al. (11) reported for the first time in 2006 that metformin may interfere with thyroid status, by decreasing the circulating levels of TSH in hypothyroid patients receiving a stable substitution dose of l-T4. This observation was confirmed by Isidro et al. (12). In a previous study, we showed that treatment with metformin is associated with a significant reduction in the serum levels of TSH in diabetic patients with primary hypothyroidism both untreated and on l-T4.
replacement therapy (13). Evidence for this TSH-lowering effect of metformin was recently extended to overweight women with polycystic ovarian syndrome and hypothyroidism (14, 15). In this study, performed on a large series of patients, we confirmed that metformin treatment results in a decrease in serum TSH levels in diabetic patients receiving L-T4 at substitution doses (M+/L+ group).

Furthermore, when patients were stratified according to their basal serum TSH (below 2.5 mIU/l and between 2.51 and 4.5 mIU/l), a different behavior emerged among the three groups of patients. Serum TSH significantly decreased in all patients in the M+/L+ group independently of their basal TSH value. In M+/L− group, only patients with high-normal serum TSH levels showed a significant decrease in TSH. No change in TSH levels was observed in the control group of patients who did not receive either metformin or L-T4.

The differential TSH-lowering effect of metformin in patients of M+/L− group (i.e. TSH decrease in patients with a high-normal basal TSH but not in those with a low-normal TSH level) occurred independently of the presence of AbTPO. This observation would suggest that the TSH-lowering action of metformin is not related to a direct effect on underlying autoimmune thyroiditis.

The design of the current study does not allow a firm conclusion to be drawn as to a possible explanatory mechanism for this novel and still unclear metformin effect. The early hypothesis that metformin would improve the bioavailability of L-T4 appears no more plausible following the demonstration that the TSH-lowering effect was also observed in patients who were not treated with L-T4 (13).

As recently reviewed by Duntas et al. (18), an emerging hypothesis to explain the effect of metformin on TSH involves the action of metformin on 5′-AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). AMPK regulates cellular metabolism and integrates nutritional and hormonal signals in the hypothalamus, being a central target for both modulation of insulin sensitivity and feedback of thyroid hormones on appetite and energy expenditure. Peripherally, AMPK is dose and time dependently stimulated by tri-iodothyronine (T3). In the liver, metformin suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis by activating AMPK. The opposite effect is observed in the CN where metformin inhibits hypothalamic AMPK (19). Although few studies on the regulation of the hypothalamic isoforms (α1 and α2) of AMPK are available, the results provided by Lopez et al. (20) support the concept that the effects of metformin on hypothalamic AMPK activity can counteract T3 effects at the hypothalamic level. As an alternative hypothesis, the central effect of metformin could be mediated by a reduction of circulating fatty acids (21).

In summary, it should be admitted that although various hypotheses have been proposed, at the moment the mechanisms by which metformin may exert its TSH-lowering effect remain not fully elucidated, and that studies specifically designed to investigate the mechanisms are required. The original finding of our study is that the TSH-lowering effect of metformin can also be observed in euthyroid patients, but only in those with serum TSH in the upper-normal range, independently of the presence of antithyroid antibodies.

Owing to the fact that the normal distribution of TSH is skewed to the left and that 95% of healthy euthyroid volunteers, screened by the National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry, display a serum level of TSH lower than 2.51 mIU/l (22), we could assume that a considerable proportion of our patients with a normal but higher than 2.51 mIU/l serum level of TSH might in fact have a subclinical hypothyroidism. This concept would be in line with the recommendation put forward by some experts (23).

In conclusion, these data strengthen the known TSH-lowering effect of metformin in diabetic patients on L-T4 treatment. We also show, for the first time, a similar TSH-lowering effect in patients with borderline high serum TSH levels.
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