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Abstract

Objective: IGFBP3 immunoreactivity may appear elevated in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), in part due to accumulation of low molecular fragments. The importance of these IGFBP3 variants for binding and inactivation of IGF1 and their relevance for the impaired growth of uremic children are unclear. Nevertheless, IGFBP3, measured as total (t-)IGFBP3, is frequently used as a diagnostic parameter in pediatric CKD patients. A new assay for functional (f-)IGFBP3 exclusively detects IGFBP3 capable of IGF binding. The aim of the study was to evaluate the significance of f-IGFBP3 measurements for the assessment of uremic abnormalities of the GH/IGF1 axis.

Design: Prospective cross-sectional study.

Methods: t-IGFBP3, f-IGFBP3, and IGF1 were measured in pediatric CKD patients, including patients with CKD stage 3–4 not on dialysis (CKD, n = 33), on dialysis treatment (DT, n = 26), patients after renal transplantation (RTx, n = 89), healthy children (n = 29), children with GH deficiency (GHD, n = 42), and small for gestational age (SGA) children (SGA, n = 34).

Results: Mean t-IGFBP3 SDS was elevated in CKD, DT, and RTx children compared with controls and GHD patients (P < 0.0004). Highest values were reached in DT (P < 0.0001 vs all groups). In contrast, mean f-IGFBP3 was similar in all groups (P = 0.30).

Conclusions: Pediatric CKD patients displayed elevated serum concentrations of t-IGFBP3 but not f-IGFBP3, supporting the hypothesis that IGFBP3 fragments not binding IGF1 accumulate during uremia. f-IGFBP3 is an indicator of IGFBP3 fragmentation and seems to reflect IGF1 binding in CKD better than t-IGFBP3. However, the role of f-IGFBP3 for the diagnosis of disturbances of the GH/IGF hormonal axis appears to be limited.
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Introduction

Children with chronic kidney disease (CKD) often suffer from severe growth retardation (1, 2). Not only malnutrition, metabolic acidosis, chronic inflammation, renal osteodystrophy but also disturbances of the somatotropic hormone axis contribute to this state (2, 3, 4). In uremic children, growth can be improved significantly by treatment with recombinant GH (5, 6). Following renal transplantation (RTx), with normalization of renal function and improvement of the hormonal disturbances, catch-up growth is noted in most of the children. Sixty-eight percent of the transplanted children reach a normal adult height (7).

Biochemically, longitudinal growth and bone mass are regulated by GH, whose effects are predominantly mediated by IGF1. The bioavailability and functionality of IGF1 are regulated by IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs) (8, 9). Seventy-five to eighty percent of IGF1 in the circulation is bound in a ternary 150 kDa complex, consisting of IGFBP3 or -5, IGF1, and the acid-labile subunit (10, 11, 12). IGF1 binding by IGFBPs is thought to inhibit interaction with the IGF receptor, thereby weakening the signal that promotes longitudinal growth (12). An important mechanism to release IGF1 from its ternary complex is the limited proteolysis of IGFBP by specific proteases. The emerging fragments exhibit lower affinity for IGF1 with subsequent dissociation of IGF1, resulting in an increased IGF1 bioactivity (13).

During uremia, IGF1 concentrations and, even more so, IGFBP3 immunoreactivity are increased within or exceeding the upper limit of the normal range (14, 15, 16). In the light of reduced IGF1 production rates, evidenced by reduced mRNA levels in liver tissue (17), and reduced IGF bioactivity, the normal
immunoreactive IGF1 concentrations seen in uremia are thought to result from decreased IGF degradation caused by enhanced IGFBP binding (14, 18). Binding to IGFBP protects IGF1 from metabolic degradation but could, at the same time, inhibit IGF1 interaction with its receptor, resulting in an impaired IGF1 bioactivity (8, 19).

Increased IGFBP3 immunoreactivity under uremic conditions is not exclusively caused by intact molecules. Significant immunoreactivity appears to result from accumulation of IGFBP3 fragments of 19 and 26 kDa size due to a reduced clearance (15, 16).

Although alterations of the GH/IGF axis under uremic conditions are complex and often difficult to interpret, IGF1 and IGFBP3 are frequently measured in patients with CKD (20). In general, measurements of IGFBP3 are performed using commercially available assays quantifying total IGFBP3 immunoreactivity (t-IGFBP3). The results are used, in combination with the height velocity, to initiate or adjust GH therapy. As the immunoreactivity of t-IGFBP3 in CKD does also include inactive fragments, measurement of t-IGFBP3 by routine immunoassay methods may not reflect the effective hormonal status of these patients.

Recently, an immunoassay for IGFBP3 has been described that exclusively measures the IGF1-binding molecular variants of IGFBP3 (functional (f-)IGFBP3) (21). We tested this immunoassay in a cohort of healthy children and two other groups of patients with CKD, GHD, or short stature after SGA status at birth and 29 healthy children who served as a control group. The latter children were inpatients of the University Children’s Hospital or the ENT Department of the University Duisburg-Essen admitted for planned minor surgery or minor diseases that did not impair renal function or influence the metabolic or endocrine status. The control children had no history of chronic diseases and did not receive any regular medication. Their height was within the ±2 s.d. range for chronological age (CA).

The patients’ cohort consisted of 33 patients with CKD not treated with dialysis (CKD group; CKD stage 3–4), 26 patients on dialysis treatment (DT group; nine patients on hemodialysis and 17 patients on peritoneal dialysis), and 89 patients after kidney transplantation (RTx group). Etiology of renal failure was obstructive uropathy (n = 15), congenital anomalies of the kidney and the urinary tract (n = 27), hemolytic uremic syndrome (n = 18), nephrotic syndrome (n = 21), nephropathies (n = 17), autoimmune kidney disease (n = 13), syndromal kidney disease (n = 14), autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (n = 6), neurogenic uropathy (n = 2), hyperoxaluria type I (n = 4), and other renal disorders (n = 11). Forty-two non-CKD patients were diagnosed to have GHD group with a maximum GH level below 10 µg/l in at least two tests. Thirty-four patients fulfilled the auxological criteria for GH therapy due to SGA status at birth and subsequent impaired growth (SGA group). No GFR data were available for the GHD and the SGA group. The patient groups and the control group differed with regard to their mean age and GFR (Table 1). None of the patients received GH therapy. The concomitant medication of the patients with CKD included, if necessary, bicarbonate, antihypertensive drugs, erythropoietin, oral iron, and phosphate binders. The stages of CKD were defined using the KDOQI criteria (22): CKD stage 3: GFR 30–60 ml/min per 1.73 m²; CKD stage 4: GFR 15–30 ml/min per 1.73 m². Patients on dialysis started DT at a GFR of ≤10–15 ml/min per 1.73 m². Other inclusion criteria were age <20 years and written informed consent by the parents or legal guardians.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients**

We prospectively analyzed data of 224 pediatric patients with CKD, GHD, or short stature after SGA status at birth and 29 healthy children who served as a control group. The latter children were inpatients of the University Children’s Hospital or the ENT Department of the University Duisburg-Essen admitted for planned minor surgery or minor diseases that did not impair renal function or influence the metabolic or endocrine status. The control children had no history of chronic diseases and did not receive any regular medication. Their height was within the ±2 s.d. range for chronological age (CA).

The patients’ cohort consisted of 33 patients with CKD not treated with dialysis (CKD group; CKD stage 3–4), 26 patients on dialysis treatment (DT group; nine patients on hemodialysis and 17 patients on peritoneal dialysis), and 89 patients after kidney transplantation (RTx group). Etiology of renal failure was obstructive uropathy (n = 15), congenital anomalies of the kidney and the urinary tract (n = 27), hemolytic uremic syndrome (n = 18), nephrotic syndrome (n = 21), nephropathies (n = 17), autoimmune kidney disease (n = 13), syndromal kidney disease (n = 14), autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (n = 6), neurogenic uropathy (n = 2), hyperoxaluria type I (n = 4), and other renal disorders (n = 11). Forty-two non-CKD patients were diagnosed to have GHD group with a maximum GH level below 10 µg/l in at least two tests. Thirty-four patients fulfilled the auxological criteria for GH therapy due to SGA status at birth and subsequent impaired growth (SGA group). No GFR data were available for the GHD and the SGA group. The patient groups and the control group differed with regard to their mean age and GFR (Table 1). None of the patients received GH therapy. The concomitant medication of the patients with CKD included, if necessary, bicarbonate, antihypertensive drugs, erythropoietin, oral iron, and phosphate binders. The stages of CKD were defined using the KDOQI criteria (22): CKD stage 3: GFR 30–60 ml/min per 1.73 m²; CKD stage 4: GFR 15–30 ml/min per 1.73 m². Patients on dialysis started DT at a GFR of ≤10–15 ml/min per 1.73 m². Other inclusion criteria were age <20 years and written informed consent by the parents or legal guardians.

**Laboratory methods**

Blood samples were collected in the morning after overnight fasting. In infants, duration of fasting was at least 4 h. Serum was immediately frozen at −80 °C until analyzed. Samples were analyzed for t-IGFBP3 and f-IGFBP3 using commercially available assays (IGFBP3

**Table 1** Characteristics of healthy children (controls) and patients groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject number</th>
<th>Controls (32)</th>
<th>CKD (33)</th>
<th>DT (26)</th>
<th>RTx (89)</th>
<th>GHD (42)</th>
<th>SGA (34)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female/male ratio (no. of females)</td>
<td>0.93 (14)</td>
<td>0.74 (14)</td>
<td>0.53 (9)</td>
<td>0.65 (35)</td>
<td>0.45 (13)</td>
<td>0.89 (16)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean ± s.d.)</td>
<td>10.03 ± 4.03</td>
<td>10.92 ± 5.79</td>
<td>11.50 ± 5.50</td>
<td>12.98 ± 4.66</td>
<td>6.89 ± 2.73</td>
<td>6.95 ± 2.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>107.8 ± 20.5</td>
<td>22.1 ± 13.3</td>
<td>13.92 ± 6.9</td>
<td>69.1 ± 19.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P* values (ANOVA) indicate differences between groups.
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ELISA and Functional IGFBP3 LIA: Mediapost, Reutlingen, Germany. The functional assay (ligand immunofunctional assay, LIA) quantifies only those IGFBP3 molecules capable of binding labeled IGF1, called functional molecules. The LIA is designed as a sandwich assay, using an immobilized IGFBP3 polyclonal antibody as solid phase and biotinylated IGF1 as the capture molecule. The sample is first treated with a dilution buffer that releases all naturally bound IGF1s from their binding proteins. Aliquots of this solution are then added to microwell plate wells containing excess soluble biotinylated IGF1. The walls of the wells are coated with IGFBP3 antibody. The biotinylated IGF1 occupies all existing specific binding sites of the serum IGFBP3 molecules. Further, IGFBP3 binds to the IGFBP3 antibody. Although all IGFBP3 molecules are bound to the microtiter plate, only those complexed with biotinylated IGF1 are involved in signal generation. Thus, IGFBP3 fragments that do not bind IGF1 are not detected.

Both assays are calibrated against recombinant hIGFBP3. When dilutions (6 µg/l) of two different recombinant hIGFBP3 preparations (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA, and Novozyymes GroPrep, Adelaide, Australia) in assay buffer were measured using the f-IGFBP3 assay, recovery was 98.6 and 93.6%. Serial dilutions with assay buffer (1:50 to 1:300) of two patient samples (mean f-IGFBP3 concentration 1.78 and 2.36 ng/ml) revealed coefficients of variation (CV) of 4.4 and 2.5% respectively. Further details regarding validation and technical properties of the f-IGFBP3 assay (LIA) have been published elsewhere (21). The interassay CV was 6.8% for f-IGFBP3 and 6.3% for t-IGFBP3, the intra-assay variability was 5.6% for f-IGFBP3 and 4.51% for t-IGFBP3. The cross-reactivity of both assays with IGFBP1 and -2 (1000 µg/l), or with IGFBP4 and -5 (200 µg/l), was <0.03%. Measurement of both f- and t-IGFBP3 was not influenced by serum triglyceride concentrations up to 100 g/l (21).

IGF1 was measured using an IGFBP-blocked commercial ELISA (IGF1 ELISA, Mediapost; calibrated against WHO NIBSC 02/254; interassay CV 7.4%, intra-assay variability 4.8%). In this assay system, interference of IGFBPs with IGF1 detection is diminished by adding excess IGF2.

For IGF1 and t-IGFBP3, the original data were transformed into SDS using the reference data of Blum & Breier (23). We also calculated the IGF1/IGFBP3 molar ratio, which in cancer epidemiological studies had been used as a surrogate parameter associated with IGF1 bioactivity (24). The f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio was calculated to serve as an index of IGFBP3 fragmentation. A ratio close to 0 would indicate almost complete fragmentation compatible with loss of function whereas a ratio close to 1 would indicate the presence of mostly intact IGFBP3 molecular variants.

Measurement of other analytes
Creatinine was determined colorimetrically by the Jaffe reaction. The creatinine clearance was calculated according to the Schwartz formula (25).

Ethics approval
The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University Duisburg-Essen (registry no. 04-2393). Patients and controls were entered into the study after having obtained written informed consent from the parents and, if appropriate, also from the patients.

Statistical analysis
Results are given as arithmetic mean ± 1 S.D. (mean ± s.d.) or as least squares mean with 95% confidence interval (CI), as indicated. The level of statistical significance was defined as P<0.05. Diagnostic groups were tested for sex differences using the χ² test. Continuous variables were compared with ANOVA or ANCOVA (Proc GLM), correcting for multiple comparisons by Tukey’s test. The model used the patient diagnostic groups as independent variable. GFR, IGF1 SDS, f-IGFBP3, t-IGFBP3, t-IGFBP3 SDS, IGF1/f-IGFBP3 molar ratio, IGF1/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio, and the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio were included as dependent variables. CA was included as a covariable. Data were also analyzed for possible interactions of diagnostic groups with CA. Correlations were performed by linear regression using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Statistical analysis was carried out using the SAS software (SAS Corporation, Cary, NJ, USA).

Results
Comparison between groups
As diagnostic groups and controls differed with regard to age (P<0.0001; Table 1), data were analyzed using age as a covariate. With this, differences between groups could be demonstrated for all hormonal variables and ratios (IGF1 SDS, t-IGFBP3, t-IGFBP3 SDS, IGF1/f-IGFBP3 molar ratio, IGF1/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio, and f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio; P≤0.001), except for f-IGFBP3. Hormonal variables and their ratios according to CA for normal children, patients with CKD stage 3–4 (CKD), children undergoing DT, patients with GHD, and patients born SGA are depicted in Fig. 1.

For f-IGFBP3 serum concentration, ANCOVA did not reveal any differences between healthy children, children with CKD, under DT or after RTx, or children with GHD or SGA (P=0.38; Fig. 2, panel A). This was in contrast to t-IGFBP3, where serum concentrations in the CKD, DT, and RTx groups were significantly elevated over controls and the GHD group (P≤0.0004).
t-IGFBP3 concentration was highest in the DT group, where it was elevated even over the RTx group ($P < 0.0001$; Fig. 2, panel B). No interaction between age and diagnostic group was found for any of these two parameters.

The IGF1/IGFBP3 molar ratio has been viewed by some authors as a measure for inhibition of IGF1 action, with a low ratio due to excess IGFBP3 reflecting increased IGF sequestration and low IGF bioactivity (reviewed by [26, 27, 28]). When this ratio is calculated...
based on t-IGFBP3, the upper limit of the 95% CI does not exceed 0.23 in all groups (Fig. 2, panel D). The IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio is highest in the controls, exceeding that of the DT, GHD, and SGA children ($P < 0.0001$). CKD and DT children have lower ratios than the RTx children and higher ratios than the GHD children. RTx children have a higher ratio than those with GHD and SGA ($P < 0.0001$). Also, there is a significant influence of an interaction between age and diagnostic group on the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio ($P = 0.0004$).

In contrast, IGF1/IGFBP3 molar ratio based on f-IGFBP3 concentrations is generally higher, with the lower and upper limits of the 95% CI for the groups spanning a range between 0.12 and 0.92 (Fig. 2, panel C). The IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio is lowest for the GHD and SGA children, being lower than that for the DT and RTx children ($P < 0.0001$) and the controls ($P < 0.01$). GHD children also have a lower ratio than CKD children ($P < 0.01$), whereas DT and RTx children have a higher ratio than CKD children ($P < 0.05$). There is no influence of an interaction between age and diagnostic group on the IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio.

The f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio serving as an index of IGFBP3 fragmentation was influenced not only by age ($P < 0.0001$) but also by the diagnostic group ($P < 0.006$). There was no influence of an interaction between age and diagnostic group. Control children had higher ratios than CKD ($P = 0.002$) and DT ($P = 0.0003$) children. CKD children ($P \leq 0.005$) and DT children ($P \leq 0.001$) had lower ratios than children with GHD or SGA. RTx children had ratios higher than those of DT ($P = 0.035$) but lower than those of GHD ($P = 0.016$) children (data not shown).

**Association of IGFBP3 concentrations and GFR**

When controls and children with kidney diseases were analyzed together according to GFR, there was a significant negative correlation of GFR with t-IGFBP3 (Fig. 3, panel A) but not with f-IGFBP3 (Fig. 3, panel B).

**Group characteristics**

**Healthy controls** In healthy children serving as controls, the calculated GFR (107.83 ± 20.53 ml/min per 1.73 m²) was significantly higher than that of the patients with CKD, DT, and after RTx ($P < 0.0001$). The majority of the healthy control children had IGF1 SDS and t-IGFBP3 SDS in the normal range throughout CA (mean $-0.68 \pm 1.07$ and $0.24 \pm 0.92$ respectively). In these children, both f-IGFBP3 and t-IGFBP3 increased with age ($r = 0.80$, $P < 0.0001$, and $r = 0.49$, $P = 0.007$; Fig. 1). f-IGFBP3 was lowest at an age <6 years and increased to a mean concentration of 2.24 ± 0.25 mg/l in
adolescents aged 14–18 years, and the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio increased from 0.20 ± 0.13 at ages <6 years to 0.65 ± 0.10 in the 14- to 18-year-olds (both P < 0.0001 for all age groups) (Table 2). The f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio significantly correlated with age (r = 0.77, P < 0.0001) and GFR (r = 0.46, P = 0.01). The IGF1/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio (mean 0.23 ± 0.11 s.d.) showed a positive correlation with CA (r = 0.74, P < 0.0001) and a weak correlation with GFR (r = 0.39, P = 0.035). No correlation was seen between CA (GFR) and the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio, IGF1 SDS, and t-IGFBP3 SDS respectively.

**CKD (CKD stage 3–4)** The mean GFR in this group was 22.1 ± 13.3 ml/min per 1.73 m². IGF1 was low normal for CA (mean −0.71 ± 1.55 s.d.). Throughout the age range, many t-IGFBP3 SDS were above normal (mean SDS 2.1 ± 1.13 s.d.), f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.48 ± 0.96 mg/l), t-IGFBP3 (mean 4.88 ± 1.58 mg/l), and the f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio (mean 0.29 ± 0.16 s.d.) correlated with age (r = 0.57, P = 0.0006; r = 0.63, P < 0.0001; and r = 0.43, P = 0.013 respectively). Furthermore, there was a correlation between IGF1/t-IGFBP3 (IGF1/f-IGFBP3) and CA with a mean 0.15 ± 0.09 s.d., r = 0.75, and P < 0.0001 (mean 0.59 ± 0.29, r = 0.48, P = 0.004). No significant correlation was detected for the independent variables with GFR and for IGF1 SDS or t-IGFBP3 SDS with age.

**DT group** Mean GFR (13.9 ± 6.9 ml/min per 1.73 m²) and the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.26 ± 0.17 s.d.) were lowest in this group. With a few exceptions, the data for IGF1 SDS were within the normal range according to CA (mean 0.35 ± 1.70 s.d.), but serum levels of t-IGFBP3 SDS were above normal in most children (mean 3.62 ± 1.12 s.d.) (Fig. 1). In this group, f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.91 ± 1.36 mg/l, r = 0.53, P = 0.005) and t-IGFBP3 (mean 7.16 ± 2.35 mg/l, r = 0.45, P = 0.02) and the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.16 ± 0.09 s.d., r = 0.51, P = 0.008) correlated with age. No significant correlation was seen between age and IGF1 SDS, t-IGFBP3 SDS, the IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio, or the f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio and between GFR and all dependent variables.

**Renal transplantation** The mean GFR (69.1 ± 19.6 ml/min per 1.73 m²) was increased over that of the CKD and DT groups but lower in the controls (all P < 0.0001). Most IGF1 concentrations were normal (mean IGF1 SDS 0.33 ± 1.28 s.d.), but many t-IGFBP3 concentrations were still elevated (mean t-IGFBP3 SDS 1.71 ± 1.02 s.d.) (Fig. 1). There was a negative correlation of both SDS with age (r = −0.39, P = 0.0002 and r = −0.24, P = 0.02 respectively). IGF1/f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.78 ± 0.73 mg/l) and t-IGFBP3 (mean 4.76 ± 2.18 mg/l) correlated with age (r = 0.51, P < 0.0001 and r = 0.26, P = 0.01 respectively). The mean IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio in this group of patients was 0.26 ± 0.08 s.d. and the mean IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio 0.76 ± 0.29 s.d. The mean value for the f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio was 0.38 ± 0.15 s.d. Positive correlations with age could be demonstrated for the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio and f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio (r = 0.47 and r = 0.41, both P < 0.0001). No significant correlation was seen between GFR and all dependent variables.

**GIH deficiency** Due to the underlying disease, the IGF1 SDS was below the normal range (mean −3.69 ± 2.13 s.d.) in most children and correlated with age (r = 0.43, P = 0.004); t-IGFBP3 SDS was decreased much less with a mean −1.54 ± 1.38 s.d. (Fig. 1). f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.19 ± 0.57 mg/l), t-IGFBP3 (mean 2.61 ± 0.82 mg/l), IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.09 ± 0.03 s.d.), and the IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.20 ± 0.10 s.d.) correlated with age (r = 0.47, P = 0.002; r = 0.55, P = 0.0002; r = 0.61, P < 0.0001; r = 0.047, P = 0.0015 respectively). The mean f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio was 0.45 ± 0.13 s.d. No significant correlation with age was seen for the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio.

**Small for gestational age** In short children born SGA, IGF1 SDS was low for age (mean −1.88 ± 1.41 s.d.) but t-IGFBP3 SDS was within the normal range for age (mean −0.47 ± 1.27 s.d.) (Fig. 1). There was no correlation with age. IGF1/f-IGFBP3 and the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio showed mean values of 1.29 ± 0.87 mg/l and 0.11 ± 0.03 s.d., respectively, and correlated with age

---

**Table 2** Reference ranges of f-IGFBP3 and the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio in healthy children and adolescents of different age all age groups or between pairs of groups labelled with.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronological age (years) and number of subjects (n)</th>
<th>≤6 (6)</th>
<th>6 to ≤10 (8)</th>
<th>10 to ≤14 (9)</th>
<th>14 to ≤18 (6)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f-IGFBP3 (mg/l) Mean ± 1 s.d.</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.40†</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.58†</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.63†</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.23†</td>
<td>All &lt; 0.0001; †,‡ &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.17–1.26</td>
<td>0.30–1.88</td>
<td>0.37–2.49</td>
<td>2.00–2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio Mean ± 1 s.d.</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.13†</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.16†</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.17†</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.10†</td>
<td>All &lt; 0.0001; †,‡ &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.10–0.42</td>
<td>0.10–0.58</td>
<td>0.15–0.74</td>
<td>0.61–0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values (ANOVA) indicate differences between all age groups or between pairs of groups labelled with †,‡ or †.
In our patients and controls, the rise observed in f-IGFBP3 described for a group of healthy adult blood donors (21), with age to adolescent values that are similar to those of the t-IGFBP3 molar ratio increases significantly of the t-IGFBP3 immunoreactivity consists of fragments. In children below the age of 6 years, up to 80% of the t-IGFBP3 immunoactivity consists of fragments. The f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio then increases significantly with age. The physiological relevance of this higher fragmentation at young age is yet unclear. These observations could be seen to indicate that the rate of IGFBP3 proteolysis decreases or the elimination of fragments from the circulation increases with age. Limitations apply concerning our reference group with regard to the small patient number, not allowing for stratification according to pubertal stages, or for separate analysis according to sex in pubertal subjects, as a sex difference for f-IGFBP3 has been found in adults (21).

Routinely, IGF1 and t-IGFBP3 are measured in patients with CKD to monitor the GH/IGF axis (20). Alterations of these parameters in combination with decrease in height velocity lead to initiation and adjustment of GH therapy. Depending on our results, t-IGFBP3 measurements alone are not sufficient to assess the impairment of the somatotropic axis as they do not reflect the degree of IGFBP3 fragmentation. More specific measuring techniques include isotope dilution mass spectrometry, a method that is very complex, labor intensive, and sensitive to interfering factors (31). To assess fragmentation, direct measurement of the functional component F-IGFBP3 by immunoaassay, and calculating its ratio to t-IGFBP3, is a practicable alternative. However, the overall significance of F-IGFBP3 for assessing the state of the somatotropic axis appears to be low, as F-IGFBP3 did not differ between healthy children, children with CKD, and children with GHD and SGA. In addition, especially older patients with GHD had normal serum concentrations of both parameters.

In CKD, IGF1 SDS was within the normal range but slightly decreased compared with healthy controls, whereas dialysis patients as well as patients following RTx with their minor impairment of renal function displayed IGF1 SDS levels higher than healthy controls. This is consistent with the literature, where immunoactive IGF1 levels are described to be normal or even elevated despite reduced production and bioactivity (15, 16, 32). This observation was explained by the prolonged half-life due to IGFBP3 binding in the presence of high t-IGFBP3 levels.

Our data indicate that in CKD, DT, and RTx patients, the elevation of t-IGFBP3, as measured by
conventional assays, is probably due to the accumulation of non-IGF1-binding, inactive IGFBP fragments. The low functional to total ratio in these groups is compatible with this notion. Simultaneous measurement of f- and t-IGFBP3 could provide an index of IGFBP3 fragmentation. The fact that f-IGFBP3 concentrations in CKD, DT, and RTx are not different from controls would challenge the concept of growth failure caused by excessive IGFBP3 concentrations blocking IGF1 action under these conditions.
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