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Abstract

Objective: IGFBP3 immunoreactivity may appear elevated in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), in part due to accumulation of low molecular fragments. The importance of these IGFBP3 variants for binding and inactivation of IGF1 and their relevance for the impaired growth of uremic children are unclear. Nevertheless, IGFBP3, measured as total (t-)IGFBP3, is frequently used as a diagnostic parameter in pediatric CKD patients. A new assay for functional (f-)IGFBP3 exclusively detects IGFBP3 capable of IGF binding. The aim of the study was to evaluate the significance of f-IGFBP3 measurements for the assessment of uremic abnormalities of the GH/IGF1 axis.

Design: Prospective cross-sectional study.

Methods: t-IGFBP3, f-IGFBP3, and IGF1 were measured in pediatric CKD patients, including patients with CKD stage 3–4 not on dialysis (CKD, n=33), on dialysis treatment (DT, n=26), patients after renal transplantation (RTx, n=89), healthy children (n=29), children with GH deficiency (GHD, n=42), and small for gestational age (SGA) children (SGA, n=34).

Results: Mean t-IGFBP3 SDS was elevated in CKD, DT, and RTx children compared with controls and GHD patients (P<0.0004). Highest values were reached in DT (P<0.0001 vs all groups). In contrast, mean f-IGFBP3 was similar in all groups (P=0.30).

Conclusions: Pediatric CKD patients displayed elevated serum concentrations of t-IGFBP3 but not f-IGFBP3, supporting the hypothesis that IGFBP3 fragments not binding IGF1 accumulate during uremia. f-IGFBP3 is an indicator of IGFBP3 fragmentation and seems to reflect IGF1 binding in CKD better than t-IGFBP3. However, the role of f-IGFBP3 for the diagnosis of disturbances of the GH/IGF hormonal axis appears to be limited.
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Introduction

Children with chronic kidney disease (CKD) often suffer from severe growth retardation (1, 2). Not only malnutrition, metabolic acidosis, chronic inflammation, renal osteodystrophy but also disturbances of the somatotropic hormone axis contribute to this state (2, 3, 4). In uremic children, growth can be improved significantly by treatment with recombinant GH (5, 6). Following renal transplantation (RTx), with normalization of renal function and improvement of the hormonal disturbances, catch-up growth is noted in most of the children. Sixty-eight percent of the transplanted children reach a normal adult height (7).

Biochemically, longitudinal growth and bone mass are regulated by GH, whose effects are predominantly mediated by IGF1. The bioavailability and functionality of IGF1 are regulated by IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs) (8, 9). Seventy-five to eighty percent of IGF1 in the circulation is bound in a ternary 150 kDa complex, consisting of IGFBP3 or -5, IGF1, and the acid-labile subunit (10, 11, 12). IGF1 binding by IGFBPs is thought to inhibit interaction with the IGF receptor, thereby weakening the signal that promotes longitudinal growth (12). An important mechanism to release IGF1 from its ternary complex is the limited proteolysis of IGFBP by specific proteases. The emerging fragments exhibit lower affinity for IGF1 with subsequent dissociation of IGF1, resulting in an increased IGF1 bioactivity (13).

During uremia, IGF1 concentrations and, even more so, IGFBP3 immunoreactivity are increased within or exceeding the upper limit of the normal range (14, 15, 16). In the light of reduced IGF1 production rates, evidenced by reduced mRNA levels in liver tissue (17), and reduced IGF bioactivity, the normal
immunoreactive IGF1 concentrations seen in uremia are thought to result from decreased IGF degradation caused by enhanced IGFBP binding (14, 18). Binding to IGFBP protects IGF1 from metabolic degradation but could, at the same time, inhibit IGF1 interaction with its receptor, resulting in an impaired IGF1 bioactivity (8, 19).

Increased IGFBP3 immunoreactivity under uremic conditions is not exclusively caused by intact molecules. Significant immunoreactivity appears to result from accumulation of IGFBP3 fragments of 19 and 26 kDa size due to a reduced clearance (15, 16).

Although alterations of the GH/IGF axis under uremic conditions are complex and often difficult to interpret. IGF1 and IGFBP3 are frequently measured in patients with CKD (20). In general, measurements of IGFBP3 are performed using commercially available assays quantifying total IGFBP3 immunoreactivity (t-IGFBP3). The results are used, in combination with the height velocity, to initiate or adjust GH therapy. As the immunoreactivity of t-IGFBP3 in CKD does also include inactive fragments, measurement of t-IGFBP3 by routine immunoassay methods may not reflect the effective hormonal status of these patients.

Recently, an immunoassay for IGFBP3 has been described that exclusively measures the IGF1-binding molecular variants of IGFBP3 (functional (f-)IGFBP3) (21). We tested this immunoassay in a cohort of children with different stages of CKD and after RTx. The concomitant medication of the patients with CKD included, if necessary, bicarbonate, antihypertensive drugs, erythropoietin, oral iron, and phosphate binders. The stages of CKD were defined using the KDOQI criteria (22): CKD stage 3–4, 26 patients on dialysis treatment (DT group; nine patients on hemodialysis and 17 patients on peritoneal dialysis), and 89 patients after kidney transplantation (RTx group). Etiology of renal failure was obstructive uropathy (n = 15), congenital anomalies of the kidney and the urinary tract (n = 27), hemolytic uremic syndrome (n = 18), nephrotic syndrome (n = 21), nephropathiasis (n = 17), autoimmune kidney disease (n = 13), syndromal kidney disease (n = 14), autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (n = 6), neurogenic uropathy (n = 2), hyperoxaluria type I (n = 4), and other renal disorders (n = 11). Forty-two non-CKD patients were diagnosed to have GHD group with a maximum GH level below 10 µg/l in at least two tests. Thirty-four patients fulfilled the auxological criteria for GH therapy due to SGA status at birth and subsequent impaired growth (SGA group). No GFR data were available for the GHD and the SGA group. The patient groups and the control group differed with regard to their mean age and GFR (Table 1). None of the patients received GH therapy. The concomitant medication of the patients with CKD included, if necessary, bicarbonate, antihypertensive drugs, erythropoietin, oral iron, and phosphate binders.

The stages of CKD were defined using the KDOQI criteria (22): CKD stage 3: GFR 30–60 ml/min per 1.73 m²; CKD stage 4: GFR 15–30 ml/min per 1.73 m². Patients on dialysis started DT at a GFR of ≤10–15 ml/min per 1.73 m². Patients on dialysis started DT at a GFR of ≤10–15 ml/min per 1.73 m². Other inclusion criteria were age < 20 years and written informed consent by the parents or legal guardians.

### Patients and methods

#### Patients

We prospectively analyzed data of 224 pediatric patients with CKD, GHD, or short stature after SGA status at birth and 29 healthy children who served as a control group. The latter children were inpatients of the University Children’s Hospital or the ENT Department of the University Duisburg-Essen admitted for planned surgery or minor diseases that did not impair renal function or influence the metabolic or endocrine status. The control children had no history of chronic diseases and did not receive any regular medication.

**Table 1** Characteristics of healthy children (controls) and patients groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>CKD</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>RTx</th>
<th>GHD</th>
<th>SGA</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject number</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male ratio</td>
<td>0.93 (14)</td>
<td>0.74 (14)</td>
<td>0.53 (9)</td>
<td>0.65 (35)</td>
<td>0.45 (13)</td>
<td>0.89 (16)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean ± S.D.)</td>
<td>10.03 ± 4.03</td>
<td>10.92 ± 5.79</td>
<td>11.50 ± 5.50</td>
<td>12.98 ± 4.66</td>
<td>6.89 ± 2.73</td>
<td>6.95 ± 2.66</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>107.8 ± 20.5</td>
<td>22.1 ± 13.3</td>
<td>13.92 ± 6.9</td>
<td>69.1 ± 19.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P values (ANOVA) indicate differences between groups.*

#### Laboratory methods

Blood samples were collected in the morning after overnight fasting. In infants, duration of fasting was at least 4 h. Serum was immediately frozen at −80 °C until analyzed. Samples were analyzed for t-IGFBP3 and f-IGFBP3 using commercially available assays (IGFBP3...
ELISA and Functional IGFBP3 LIA; Mediagnost, Reutlingen, Germany). The functional assay (ligand immunofunctional assay, LIA) quantifies only those IGFBP3 molecules capable of binding labeled IGF1, called functional molecules. The LIA is designed as a sandwich assay, using an immobilized IGFBP3 polyclonal antibody as solid phase and biotinylated IGF1 as the capture molecule. The sample is first treated with a dilution buffer that releases all naturally bound IGF1s from their binding proteins. Aliquots of this solution are then added to microwell plate wells containing excess soluble biotinylated IGF1. The walls of the wells are coated with IGFBP3 antibody. The biotinylated IGF1 occupies all existing specific binding sites of the serum coated with IGFBP3 antibody. The biotinylated IGF1 is then added to microtiter plate wells containing excess soluble biotinylated IGF1. The walls of the wells are coated with IGFBP3 antibody. Although all IGFBP3 molecules are bound to the microwell plate, only the complexed IGFBP3 molecules. Further, IGFBP3 binds to the IGFBP3 antibody. Thus, IGFBP3 that do not bind IGF1 are involved in signal generation. Therefore, IGFBP3 fragments that do not bind IGF1 are not detected.

Both assays are calibrated against recombinant hIGFBP3. When dilutions (6 µg/l) of two different recombinant hIGFBP3 preparations (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA, and Novozenzymes GroPrep, Adelaide, Australia) in assay buffer were measured using the f-IGFBP3 assay, recovery was 98.6 and 93.6%. Serial dilutions with assay buffer (1:50 to 1:300) of two patient samples (mean f-IGFBP3 concentration 1.78 and 2.36 ng/ml) revealed coefficients of variation (CV) of 4.4 and 2.5% respectively. Further details regarding validation and technical properties of the f-IGFBP3 assay (LIA) have been published elsewhere (21). The interassay CV was 6.8% for f-IGFBP3 and 6.3% for t-IGFBP3. The intra-assay variability was 5.6% for f-IGFBP3 and 4.51% for t-IGFBP3. The cross-reactivity of both assays with IGF1 was measured using an IGFBP-blocked commercial ELISA (IGF1 ELISA, Mediagnost; calibrated against WHO NIBSC 02/254; interassay CV 7.4%, intra-assay variability 4.8%). In this assay system, interference of IGFBPs with IGF1 detection was diminished by adding excess IGF2.

IGF1 was measured using an IGFBP-blocked commercial ELISA (IGF1 ELISA, Mediagnost; calibrated against WHO NIBSC 02/254; interassay CV 7.4%, intra-assay variability 4.8%). In this assay system, interference of IGFBPs with IGF1 detection was diminished by adding excess IGF2.

For IGF1 and t-IGFBP3, the original data were transformed into SDS using the reference data of Blum & Breier (23). We also calculated the IGF1/IGFBP3 molar ratio, which in several epidemiological studies had been used as a surrogate parameter associated with IGF1 bioactivity (24). The f/-t-IGFBP3 molar ratio was calculated to serve as an index of IGF3 fragmentation. A ratio close to 0 would indicate almost complete fragmentation compatible with loss of function whereas a ratio close to 1 would indicate the presence of mostly intact IGFBP3 molecular variants.

Measurement of other analytes
Creatinine was determined colorimetrically by the Jaffe reaction. The creatinine clearance was calculated according to the Schwartz formula (25).

Ethics approval
The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University Duisburg-Essen (registry no. 04-2393). Patients and controls were entered into the study after having obtained written informed consent from the parents and, if appropriate, also from the patients.

Statistical analysis
Results are given as arithmetic mean ± 1 s.d. (mean ± s.d.) or as least squares mean with 95% confidence interval (CI), as indicated. The level of statistical significance was defined as P<0.05. Diagnostic groups were tested for sex differences using the χ² test. Continuous variables were compared with ANOVA or ANCOVA (Proc GLM), correcting for multiple comparisons by Tukey’s test. The model used the patient diagnostic groups as independent variable. GFR, IGF1 SDS, IGF1 f-IGFBP3, t-IGFBP3, t-IGFBP3 SDS, IGF1/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio, IGF1/f-IGFBP3 molar ratio, and the IGF3/f-IGFBP3 ratio were included as dependent variables. CA was included as a covariable. Data were also analyzed for possible interactions of diagnostic groups with CA. Correlations were performed by linear regression using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Statistical analysis was carried out using the SAS software (SAS Corporation, Cary, NY, USA).

Results
Comparison between groups
As diagnostic groups and controls differed with regard to age (P<0.0001; Table 1), data were analyzed using age as a covariate. With this, differences between groups could be demonstrated for all hormonal variables and ratios (IGF1 SDS, t-IGFBP3, t-IGFBP3 SDS, IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio, IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio, and f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio; P≤0.001), except for f-IGFBP3. Hormonal variables and their ratios according to CA for normal children, patients with CKD stage 3–4 (CKD), children undergoing DT, patients with GH deficit, and patients born SGA are depicted in Fig. 1.

For f-IGFBP3 serum concentration, ANCOVA did not reveal any differences between healthy children, children with CKD, under DT or after RTx, or children with GH or SGA (P=0.30; Fig. 2, panel A). This was in contrast to t-IGFBP3, where serum concentrations in the CKD, DT, and RTx groups were significantly elevated over controls and the GHD group (P≤0.0004).
t-IGFBP3 concentration was highest in the DT group, where it was elevated even over the RTx group ($P < 0.0001$; Fig. 2, panel B). No interaction between age and diagnostic group was found for any of these two parameters.

The IGF1/IGFBP3 molar ratio has been viewed by some authors as a measure for inhibition of IGF1 action, with a low ratio due to excess IGFBP3 reflecting increased IGF sequestration and low IGF bioactivity (reviewed by [26, 27, 28]). When this ratio is calculated...
DT children (P ≤ 0.001) had lower ratios than children with GHD or SGA. RTx children had ratios higher than those of DT (P = 0.035) but lower than those of GHD (P = 0.016) children (data not shown).

**Association of IGFBP3 concentrations and GFR**

When controls and children with kidney diseases were analyzed together according to GFR, there was a significant negative correlation of GFR with t-IGFBP3 (Fig. 3, panel A) but not with f-IGFBP3 (Fig. 3, panel B).

**Group characteristics**

**Healthy controls** In healthy children serving as controls, the calculated GFR (107.83 ± 20.53 ml/min per 1.73 m²) was significantly higher than that of the patients with CKD, DT, and after RTx (P < 0.0001). The majority of the healthy control children had IGF1 SDS and t-IGFBP3 SDS in the normal range throughout CA (mean −0.68 ± 1.07 and 0.24 ± 0.92 respectively). In these children, both f-IGFBP3 and t-IGFBP3 increased with age (r = 0.80, P < 0.0001, and r = 0.49, P = 0.007; Fig. 1). f-IGFBP3 was lowest at an age < 6 years and increased to a mean concentration of 2.24 ± 0.25 mg/l in

Based on t-IGFBP3, the upper limit of the 95% CI does not exceed 0.23 in all groups (Fig. 2, panel D). The IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio is highest in the controls, exceeding that of the DT, GHD, and SGA children (P < 0.0001). CKD and DT children have lower ratios than the RTx children and higher ratios than the GHD children. RTx children have a higher ratio than those with GHD and SGA (P < 0.0001). Also, there is a significant influence of an interaction between age and diagnostic group on the IGF1/t-IGFBP3 ratio (P = 0.0004).

In contrast, IGF1/IGFBP3 molar ratio based on f-IGFBP3 concentrations is generally higher, with the lower and upper limits of the 95% CI for the groups spanning a range between 0.12 and 0.92 (Fig. 2, panel C). The IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio is lowest for the GHD and SGA children, being lower than that for the DT and RTx children (P < 0.0001) and the controls (P < 0.01). GHD children also have a lower ratio than CKD children (P < 0.01), whereas DT and RTx children have a higher ratio than CKD children (P < 0.05). There is no influence of an interaction between age and diagnostic group on the IGF1/f-IGFBP3 ratio.

The f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio serving as an index of IGFBP3 fragmentation was influenced not only by age (P < 0.0001) but also by the diagnostic group (P < 0.006). There was no influence of an interaction between age and diagnostic group. Control children had higher ratios than CKD (P = 0.002) and DT (P = 0.0003) children. CKD children (P ≤ 0.005) and

**Figure 2** Functional (f)-IGFBP3 serum concentrations (panel A), total (t)-IGFBP3 serum concentrations (panel B), IGF1/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio (panel C), and IGF1/f-IGFBP3 molar ratio (panel D) according to the diagnostic group for normal children, children with chronic kidney disease stage 3–4 (CKD), children undergoing dialysis treatment (DT), children after renal transplantation (RTx), children with GH deficiency (GHD), and children born small for gestational age (SGA) (ANCOVA; covariate chronological age). Circles represent least square means, error bars indicate the 95% CI. Horizontal lines indicate differences between groups, with P < 0.05 (dotted line), P < 0.001 (dashed line), or P < 0.0001 (solid line); NS, not significant.

**Figure 3** Correlation (Spearman rank) of IGFBP3 serum concentrations with glomerular filtration rate (GFR). (Panel A) Total (t)-IGFBP3. (Panel B) Functional (f)-IGFBP3. Closed circle, healthy children; open circle, children with chronic kidney disease stage 3–4; open square, children undergoing dialysis treatment; open triangle, children with post-renal transplantation.
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adolescents aged 14–18 years, and the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio increased from 0.20±0.13 at ages <6 years to 0.65±0.10 in the 14- to 18-year olds (both P<0.0001 for all age groups) (Table 2). The t-/IGFBP3 ratio significantly correlated with age (r=0.77, P<0.0001) and GFR (r=0.46, P=0.01). The IGFI/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio (mean 0.23±0.11 s.d.) showed a positive correlation with CA (r=0.74, P<0.0001) and a weak correlation with GFR (r=0.39, P=0.035). No correlation was seen between CA (GFR) and the IGFI/IGFBP3 ratio, IGFI SDS, and t-IGFBP3 SDS respectively.

**CKD (CKD stage 3–4)**

IGF1 was low normal for CA (mean −0.71±1.55 s.d.). Throughout the age range, many t-IGFBP3 SDS were above normal (mean SDS 2.1±1.13 s.d.), f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.48±0.96 mg/l), t-IGFBP3 (mean 4.88±1.58 mg/l), and the f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio (mean 0.29±0.16 s.d.) correlated with age (r=0.57, P=0.0006; r=0.63, P<0.0001; and r=0.43, P=0.013 respectively). Furthermore, there was a correlation between IGFI/t-IGFBP3 (IGFI/f-IGFBP3) and CA with a mean 0.15±0.09 s.d., r=0.75, and P<0.0001 (mean 0.59±0.29, r=0.48, P=0.004). No significant correlation was detected for the independent variables with GFR and for IGFI SDS or t-IGFBP3 SDS with age.

**DT group**

Mean GFR (13.9±6.9 ml/min per 1.73 m²) and the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.26±0.17 s.d.) were lowest in this group. With a few exceptions, the data for IGFI SDS were within the normal range according to CA (mean 0.35±1.70 s.d.), but serum levels of t-IGFBP3 SDS were above normal in most children (mean 3.62±1.12 s.d.) (Fig. 1). In this group, f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.91±1.36 mg/l, r=0.53, P=0.005) and t-IGFBP3 (mean 7.16±2.35 mg/l, r=0.45, P=0.02) and the IGFI/f-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.16±0.09 s.d., r=0.51, P=0.008) correlated with age. No significant correlation was seen between age and IGFI SDS, t-IGFBP3 SDS, the IGFI/f-IGFBP3 ratio, or the f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio and between GFR and all dependent variables.

**Renal transplantation**

The mean GFR (69.1±19.6 ml/min per 1.73 m²) was increased over that of the CKD and DT groups but lower in the controls (all P<0.0001). Most IGFI1 concentrations were normal (mean IGFI1 SDS 0.33±1.28 s.d.), but many t-IGFBP3 concentrations were still elevated (mean t-IGFBP3 SDS 1.71±1.02 s.d.) (Fig. 1). There was a negative correlation of both SDS with age (r=−0.39, P=0.0002 and r=−0.24, P=0.02 respectively). f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.78±0.73 mg/l) and t-IGFBP3 (mean 4.76±2.18 mg/l) correlated with age (r=0.51, P<0.0001 and r=0.26, P=0.01 respectively). The mean IGFI1/t-IGFBP3 ratio in this group of patients was 0.26±0.08 s.d. and the mean IGFI1/f-IGFBP3 ratio 0.76±0.29 s.d. The mean value for the f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio was 0.38±0.15 s.d. Positive correlations with age could be demonstrated for the IGFI1/t-IGFBP3 ratio and f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio (r=0.47 and r=0.41, both P<0.0001). No significant correlation was seen between GFR and all dependent variables.

**GH deficiency**

Due to the underlying disease, the IGFI SDS was below the normal range (mean −3.69±2.13 s.d.) in most children and correlated with age (r=0.43, P=0.004); t-IGFBP3 SDS was decreased much less with a mean −1.54±1.38 s.d. (Fig. 1). f-IGFBP3 (mean 1.19±0.57 mg/l), t-IGFBP3 (mean 2.61±0.82 mg/l), IGFI1/f-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.09±0.03 s.d.), and the IGFI1/t-IGFBP3 ratio (mean 0.20±0.10 s.d.) correlated with age (r=0.47, P=0.002; r=0.55, P=0.0002; r=0.61, P<0.0001; r=0.047, P=0.0015 respectively). The mean f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio was 0.45±0.13 s.d. No significant correlation with age was seen for the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio.

**Small for gestational age**

In short children born SGA, IGFI SDS was low for age (mean −1.88±1.41 s.d.) but t-IGFBP3 SDS was within the normal range for age (mean −0.47±1.27 s.d.) (Fig. 1). There was no correlation with age. f-IGFBP3 and the IGFI1/t-IGFBP3 ratio showed mean values of 1.29±0.87 mg/l and 0.11±0.03 s.d., respectively, and correlated with age.

---

**Table 2** Reference ranges of f-IGFBP3 and the f-/t-IGFBP3 ratio in healthy children and adolescents of different age all age groups or between pairs of groups labelled with.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronological age (years) and number of subjects (n)</th>
<th>≤6 (6)</th>
<th>6 to ≤10 (8)</th>
<th>10 to ≤14 (9)</th>
<th>14 to ≤18 (6)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f-IGFBP3 (mg/l) Mean±1 s.d.</td>
<td>0.46±0.40*‡</td>
<td>1.11±0.58‡</td>
<td>1.53±0.63*</td>
<td>2.24±0.23‡</td>
<td>All &lt;0.0001;*‡,‡ &lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.17±1.26</td>
<td>0.30–1.88</td>
<td>0.37–2.49</td>
<td>2.00–2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio Mean±1 s.d.</td>
<td>0.20±0.13*‡</td>
<td>0.33±0.16‡</td>
<td>0.45±0.17*</td>
<td>0.65±0.10‡</td>
<td>All &lt;0.0001;*‡,‡ &lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.10–0.42</td>
<td>0.10–0.58</td>
<td>0.15–0.74</td>
<td>0.61–0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values (ANOVA) indicate differences between all age groups or between pairs of groups labelled with * or †.
In our patients and controls, the rise observed in f-IGFBP3 described for a group of healthy adult blood donors (21). With age, the rise observed in f-IGFBP3 was also found for t-IGFBP3. The f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio then increases significantly with age, correlations showing values from 0.37 to 0.8. This would indicate that the influence of age on both f- and t-IGFBP3 is modified with age. The physiological relevance of this higher fragmentation at young age is yet unclear. These observations could be seen to indicate that the rate of IGFBP3 proteolysis decreases or the elimination of fragments from the circulation increases with age.

Discussion

IGF1 bioavailability is regulated by binding to IGFBP3; the release of IGF1 from its binding proteins depends on IGFBP3 fragmentation. During uremia, the IGF/IGFBP axis is altered with an increased proteolytic cleavage of IGFBP3 (29). Measurement of t-IGFBP3 with most commercial assays detects not only active moieties capable of IGF1 binding but also inactive fragments, whose proportion depends on the stage of renal insufficiency. Therefore, t-IGFBP3 is not a reliable parameter for the assessment of active IGFBP3 under this condition.

In search of alternatives for the assessment of alterations of the GH axis in CKD patients, we prospectively measured f-IGFBP3 in a cohort of pediatric patients with different stages of CKD, end-stage renal disease under DT, and after RTx. We compared these data with healthy controls and patients with GH and SGA.

Our data show highly elevated t-IGFBP3 in patients with different stages of CKD, with a strong negative correlation of this parameter with GFR. t-IGFBP3 declines after RTx, but as GFR may still be lower than in healthy children, t-IGFBP3 levels have not returned to normal in more than a third of transplanted patients. Some but not all children with GHD show low levels of t-IGFBP3 and low t-IGFBP3 SDS, consistent with the pathogenesis of their disease.

In contrast, f-IGFBP3 did not differ between healthy children and patients with CKD, GHD, and SGA. A correlation with GFR was not seen in the CKD group. These findings support the hypothesis that in CKD, available IGF1/IGFBP3 assays measure predominantly inactive IGFBP3 fragments. Inactive fragments may also cause the f-IGFBP3/t-IGFBP3 ratio to be significantly lower in CKD and DT children compared with healthy children and children with GH and SGA. RTx children had higher f-/t-IGFBP3 ratios than DT children.

In all diagnostic groups, f-IGFBP3 increases with age, correlations showing r values from 0.37 to 0.8. Except for the SGA children, positive correlations with age were also found for t-IGFBP3.

This would indicate that the influence of age on both f- and t-IGFBP3 present in healthy children is modified but not abolished by impaired renal function. Interestingly, in young healthy children, the f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio is low. In children below the age of 6 years, up to 80% of the t-IGFBP3 immunoreactivity consists of fragments. The f-/t-IGFBP3 molar ratio then increases significantly with age to adolescent values that are similar to those described for a group of healthy adult blood donors (21).

In our patients and controls, the rise observed in f-IGFBP3 throughout childhood and adolescence is higher than that of t-IGFBP3. This is in line with Gargosky et al. (30) who, studying the proportion of fragmented IGFBP3 from early childhood to adolescence, found the ratio of fragmented IGFBP3 over the sum of all IGFBP3 variants in urine to be higher in young children and to decrease with age. The physiological relevance of this higher fragmentation at young age is yet unclear. These observations could be seen to indicate that the rate of IGFBP3 proteolysis decreases or the elimination of fragments from the circulation increases with age.

Conclusions

Our data indicate that in CKD, DT, and RTx patients, the elevation of t-IGFBP3, as measured by
conventional assays, is probably due to the accumulation of non-IGF1-binding, inactive IGFBP fragments. The low functional to total ratio in these groups is compatible with this notion. Simultaneous measurement of f- and t-IGFBP3 could provide an index of IGFBP3 fragmentation. The fact that f-IGFBP3 concentrations in CKD, DT, and RTx are not different from controls would challenge the concept of growth failure caused by excessive IGFBP3 concentrations blocking IGF1 action under these conditions.
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