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Abstract

Objective: Evaluation of postmenopausal women with suspicion of androgen-secreting tumor.

Design and patients: We retrospectively studied 22 postmenopausal women referred to our center for suspicion of androgen-secreting tumor. All patients had clinical, biological, and morphological evaluation. In absence of adrenal tumors, ovarian surgery was most often proposed and immunohistochemistry (IHC) studies were performed.

Results: Ovarian tumors were detected by ultrasound and/or magnetic resonance imaging in eight patients. Two adrenal androgen-secreting tumors were diagnosed by an adrenal computed tomography (CT) scan. The clinical presentation of the women with or without tumors was similar. Nevertheless, women with tumor exhibited significantly higher testosterone levels and lower basal FSH and LH levels than the other women (2.6±2.7 vs 0.9±0.9 ng/ml, P<0.05; 26.5±22.9 vs 66.5±26.0 IU/l, P<0.01; and 12.0±8.6 vs 24.1±8.9 IU/l, P<0.05 respectively). Based on a likelihood ratio test, patients with a tumor had 8.4 and 10.8 times higher risk of having a testosterone level R1.4 ng/ml or an FSH level %35 IU/l. Finally, IHC analysis with an anti-P450c17α antibody allowed the identification of an elevated number of ovarian androgen-producing cells in five patients in whom no tumor was found.

Conclusions: Androgen-secreting tumors are clinically difficult to discriminate from other causes of postmenopausal hyperandrogenism. Testosterone and FSH were the two discriminative markers in a multivariate analysis. Ovarian and adrenal tumors were detected by imaging studies. However, ovarian non-tumoral causes of hyperandrogenism may be difficult to detect with conventional histology.
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Background

Clinical signs of androgen excess may occur during menopause as part of the normal aging process but biochemical androgen excess connotes a pathogenesis in older women as in cycling women. The main differential diagnosis of androgen excess in postmenopausal women is either tumoral causes, namely androgen-secreting ovarian or adrenal tumors, or non-tumoral causes, i.e. polycystic ovarian syndrome, hyperthecosis, Cushing’s syndrome, congenital adrenal hyperplasia, and iatrogenesis (see for review (1)). Symptoms of androgen excess are associated with a tumoral pathology in <1% of women of all ages (2–5). Androgen-producing ovarian tumors include lipoid, Leydig cell, granulosa–theca cell, and Sertoli–stromal cell tumors (6, 7). Adrenal androgen-secreting tumors are rare but highly suggestive of malignancy (8). The main non-tumoral cause of postmenopausal ovarian biochemical hyperandrogenism seems to be ovarian hyperthecosis (9). This typically bilateral ovarian biochemical hyperandrogenism is characterized by a hyperplastic ovarian stroma associated with cellular luteinization and is believed to result from abnormal ovarian steroidogenesis secondary to gonadotropic stromal hyperstimulation (10–13). It is classically associated with insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in almost all reported cases (12, 14, 15), but, in contrast to polycystic ovary syndrome, the mechanisms correlating hyperinsulinemia and hyperthecosis remain unstudied (16–18). This pathology is benign and must be differentiated from androgen-producing tumors. However, to date,
biochemical hyperandrogenism in postmenopausal patients is a poorly characterized condition whose prevalence and multiple etiologies have never been evaluated. Furthermore, no discriminating factors between tumoral and non-tumoral etiology have been established in postmenopausal patients. Ovarian and/or adrenal venous sampling can be helpful (19), but the diagnostic specificity of this technique seems disappointing even in experienced hands (4) and there is no need to lateralize an ovarian tumor in postmenopausal patients. The performance of a 2- to 5-day low-dose dexamethasone suppression test has been suggested, but this approach has not been studied for hyperandrogenism in postmenopausal women (20). Finally, there are small and contradictory results concerning the discriminatory effect of gonadotropin suppression in the evaluation of postmenopausal hyperandrogenism (12, 21). Immunohistochemical analysis is not directly involved in discriminating tumor lesions from non-tumor pathology but has already been used to elucidate the mechanism of an ovarian tumoral hyperandrogenism (22). The expression of steroidogenic enzymes in human ovaries has been studied and it has been shown that the steroidogenic enzymes are either absent or present in a limited percentage of cells in postmenopausal ovaries (23–25).

The main aim of our study was then to build up a detailed phenotype of postmenopausal biochemical hyperandrogenism. We thus looked for the possible presence of specific characteristics permitting to discriminate between tumoral and non-tumoral postmenopausal biochemical hyperandrogenism. We then decided to specify the diagnosis by using ovarian immunohistochemical analysis in five women in which the ovarian conventional histology was negative despite being a confirmed ovarian source of androgens (sharp decrease in testosterone level after bilateral ovariectomy).

Patients and methods

Patients

This retrospective study included 22 consecutive postmenopausal women with biochemical hyperandrogenism referred to our center between 1995 and 2009. All these women have natural menopause over 40 years and were referred to our center for the apparition of clinical signs suggesting the presence of an androgen-secreting tumor. Testosterone dosage confirmed the presence of hyperandrogenism, with testosterone levels superior to the normal range of testosterone for cycling women (>0.4 ng/ml or 1.4 nmol/l) (26). As there is a decline in androgens with age, a biochemical androgen level higher than the normal range for cyclcal women was a restrictive criterion in our postmenopausal population, permitting selection of women for whom clinicians must rule out a tumoral diagnosis (27, 28). All women had clinical, hormonal, and morphological evaluation. The clinical data taken into consideration were age at investigation, time lapse since menopause, clinical signs of hyperandrogenism and virilization (hirsutism, alopecia, deepening of the voice, and clitoromegaly (29)), clinical signs of hyperestrogenism (postmenopausal bleeding, mastodynia > 5 days/month, and hormonal impregnation of a cervical smear without hormonal treatment), clinical signs of Cushing’s syndrome, the onset of symptoms, and, finally, the presence or absence of associated clinical signs of metabolic syndrome, i.e. overweight or obesity (body mass index (BMI) ≥ 25 and 30 kg/m² respectively), type 2 diabetes, and high blood pressure (systolic > 160 mmHg and/or diastolic > 90 mmHg). Hormonal measurements included FSH, LH, testosterone, androstenedione, estradiol (E2), DHEA-S, and sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) determination. Morphological evaluation was performed by pelvic sonography and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In the absence of adrenal tumors or Cushing’s syndrome, resection of both ovaries was proposed for these women.

Hormone measurements

All hormone measurements were performed in a single assay. Plasma LH and FSH were measured by immuno-fluorometric assay (Cis-Bio, Gif-sur-Yvette, Paris, France). The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 1.5 and 5.2% for LH and 2.6 and 4% for FSH respectively. The detection limit was 0.15 IU/l in both assays. Testosterone concentration was determined by RIA (Orion Diagnostica, Espoo, Finland). The intra-assay CV was between 3.8 and 7.5% and inter-assay CV between 4.8 and 7%. The sensitivity of the testosterone assay was 0.029 ng/ml (domain of measurement from 0.14 to 14.4 ng/ml). Androstenedione concentration was determined by RIA (Immunotech, Marseille, France). The intra- and inter-assay CV was ≤ 8.1 and ≤ 11.9%. E2 concentration was determined by RIA (DiaSorin, Antony, France). The intra-assay CV was between 2.6 and 6.1% and inter-assay CV was between 4.6 and 6.1%. DHEA-S plasma levels were measured by conventional RIA (Immunotech, Beckman Coulter, Ville-pinte, France). Normal range was based on the results obtained from healthy women during a normal menstrual cycle and provided by our laboratory of hormonal investigations. The intra-assay CV varied from 2.6 to 8.1% whereas the inter-assay CV varied from 3.7 to 11.9%.

The SHBG was measured by a commercially available RIA (Chemiluminescence, Roche modular). Blood was collected and plasma was immediately separated by centrifugation for 15 min at −4°C and was stored at −20°C until assayed.

Imaging studies

Grayscale and color Doppler ultrasonography were obtained in the longitudinal and transverse planes by
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using an anterior and a transvaginal approach. All ultrasonographic examinations were performed by a staff radiologist experienced in pelvic ultrasound.

The MR studies were performed using a 1.5-T scanner (Magnetom Vision, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany), body phased-array coil, for imaging of the ovaries. Unenhanced T1-weighted images (TR/TE = 800 ms/12 ms, matrix 150×256, number of excitations = 2) and T2-weighted images (TR/TE = 4400 ms/132 ms, matrix 150×256, number of excitations = 3) of the ovaries in the sagittal plane, with and without fat suppression, were obtained. Enhanced T1-weighted images were obtained immediately after i.v. administration of 15 ml gadolinium (dose: 0.1 mmol/kg over 6 s with a flow rate of 2.5 ml/s). Pre- and post-contrast images were subtracted. Adrenal helicoidal CT scan with contiguous 3-mm-thick slices was performed in 16 patients.

Histological and immunocytochemical studies of the ovaries

The formol-fixed and paraffin-embedded ovarian biopsies of the five patients without a cause of hyperandrogenism detectable by conventional histology were available for further studies. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed as described previously on deparaffinized serial tissue sections after microwave antigen retrieval in pH 6 citrate buffer or Tris-EDTA pH 9 buffer for P450c17α and luteinizing hormone receptor (LHR) immunodetection respectively. The sections were then incubated overnight with the anti-P450c17 antibody (30) (dilution 1/5000) or with the LHR29 MAB (31) (at the concentration of 12 μg/ml) at 4 °C in a humid chamber. Serial sections incubated with rabbit IgG and mouse IgG1 immunoglobulins at the same concentrations as the specific antibodies were used as negative controls. After primary antibody incubation, endogenous peroxidases were quenched with 3% H2O2 in PBS (pH 7.4) for 5 min and the bound immunoglobulins were revealed with a commercial biotin-free immunolabeling kit (IMMIPRESS kit Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA). Aminoethylcarbazole (Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co.) was used as a chromogen, and the sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin. Each experiment was performed at least twice on nonconsecutive sections of each ovarian tissue sample. Microscopical examination was performed with a conventional optical microscope (Provis, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were performed for each variable; quantitative results are presented as individual values in the figures and tables and as mean±s.d. in the text; qualitative results are presented as a distribution of a number of patients. Between-group comparisons were performed using the Mann–Whitney non-parametric test and correlations between variables were described using Spearman’s correlation coefficients. Proportions for the two groups were compared using a χ² test or a Fisher’s exact test, when appropriate. A P value <0.05 was accepted as significant. Parameters with P values ≤0.2 in the univariate analysis were included in a multivariate logistic regression analysis. The predictive accuracy of the model was measured by the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Cut-off values for significant biological markers in the logistic model were then determined using an ROC curve. According to Youden’s index, appropriate cut-off values for the hormone levels were selected as those maximizing the sum (specificity + sensitivity). To construct confidence interval (CI) for sensitivity and specificity, we used the binomial tables. A likelihood ratio (LR) test was then calculated.

Results

Clinical, biological, and pathological features of the 22 women of the two groups are presented in Table 1.

Etiology of hyperandrogenism

Ten of the 22 women with postmenopausal hyperandrogenism had a tumor (T group, Table 1). Two of them had an adrenal tumor detected at tomography. Both were carcinomas (Weiss score >3), one was an androgen-secreting tumor and the other an androgen- and cortisol-secreting tumor. Eight patients had ovarian tumors. The neoplastic cells were the androgen source in six of these patients, two had fibrothecomas, two had Leydig cell tumors, one had Sertoli–Leydig cell, and one had a granulosa cell tumor. The remaining two patients had a bilateral metastatic endocrine ovarian tumor and a serous cystadenoma, respectively, both associated with ovarian stromal hyperplasia. In one of these patients, it was demonstrated that the ectopic human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) produced by tumor cells stimulated steroid production by the ovarian stroma (22).

The other 12 women with non-tumoral conditions (NT group, Table 1) had various afflictions: one suffered from Cushing’s disease, one from pseudo-Cushing’s syndrome, and four cases underwent spontaneous resolution. The remaining six patients had a bilateral ovariectomy revealing a serous cyst and a mucous cyst in two of them, both associated with a normal stroma, an isolated stromal hyperplasia in one patient, and a normal histology in the remaining three patients.
Table 1 Clinical, biological, and radiological characteristics of the ten patients with a tumor (T group) and the 12 women with a non-tumoral conditions (NT group).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Testosterone (ng/ml)</th>
<th>E₂ (pg/ml)</th>
<th>DHEA-S (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Androstenedione (ng/ml)</th>
<th>FSH (IU/l)</th>
<th>LH (IU/l)</th>
<th>US (mm)</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group T</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Estrogenic impregnation of a cervical smear</td>
<td>0.5 (1.2×N)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>Hirsutism, alopecia, and clitoromegaly</td>
<td>3.2 (8.0×N)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Leydig cell tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Hirsutism, alopecia, and deepening of voice</td>
<td>9.8 (24.5×N)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Leydig cell tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hirsutism and alopecia and clitoromegaly</td>
<td>3.8 (9.5×N)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Sertoli–Leydig cell tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Alopecia and clitoromegaly</td>
<td>1.4 (3.5×N)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Fibrothecoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>None (endometrium hyperplasia)</td>
<td>0.6 (1.5×N)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Fibrothecoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Hirsutism and alopecia</td>
<td>1.1 (2.7×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Serous cystadenoma and stromal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>1.9 (4.7×N)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Bilateral metastatic endocrine ovarian tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>1.8 (4.5×N)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14 806</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adrenal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>Postmenopausal bleeding</td>
<td>2 (5.0×N)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4012</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adrenal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group NT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>1 (2.5×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>0.6 (1.5×N)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal ovarian histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Postmenopausal bleeding</td>
<td>0.5 (1.2×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal ovarian histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hirsutism and alopecia</td>
<td>1.1 (2.7×N)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mucinous cyst and normal ovarian histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Hirsutism, alopecia, and clitoromegaly</td>
<td>3.7 (9.2×N)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal ovarian histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>0.7 (1.7×N)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal ovarian histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>0.5 (1.2×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Spontaneous resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>1.3 (3.2×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Spontaneous resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mastodynia</td>
<td>0.5 (1.2×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Spontaneous resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.5 (1.2×N)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Spontaneous resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cushing’s syndrome suspicion</td>
<td>0.6 (1.5×N)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pseudo-Cushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>Cushing’s syndrome suspicion</td>
<td>0.5 (1.2×N)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Cushing’s disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E₂, estradiol; US, ultrasound; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NA, not available. Normal range: testosterone, 0.2–0.4 ng/ml; DHEA-S, 355–2500 ng/ml; androstenedione, 0.5–2 ng/ml.
Comparison between the T and NT groups

Clinical characteristics There were no statistical differences between the two groups concerning mean age at investigation and mean time since menopause (64.0 ± 7.0 and 65.5 ± 5.3 years, respectively in the T and NT groups; Table 1). Hirsutism was more frequent in the tumor group (6/10 (60%) vs 6/12 (50%)) with no statistical difference. Alopecia was the most frequent but not statistically different, sign of virilization noted; it was found in 50% of the T group (5/10) and in 17% of the NT group (2/12). Other signs of virilization were rare, three patients had clitoromegaly, two in the T group, one in the NT group, and one reported a deepening voice (patients no. 2, 5, 15, and 3 respectively, Table 1). Onset of symptoms as perceived by patients was 20.5 ± 17.0 months (range: 3.0–48.0 months) versus 132.6 ± 261.3 months (range: 9.0–600.0 months) in the T and NT groups, respectively, without statistical differences. Only one patient in the NT group had a long history of clinical hyperandrogenism (patient no. 18, Table 1) from early adulthood associated with infertility and probably secondary to an undiagnosed polycystic ovary syndrome (32). There were also no statistically significant differences between the two groups concerning the prevalence of associated clinical signs of a metabolic syndrome, i.e. BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², diabetes mellitus, and high blood pressure (6/10 (60%) vs 8/11 (73%), 2/9 (22%) vs 5/12 (42%), and 4/9 (44%) vs 7/12 (58%) respectively).

Biological characteristics Mean testosterone and mean E₂ levels were significantly higher in the T than in the NT group (2.6 ± 2.7 vs 0.9 ± 0.9 ng/ml, P < 0.05 and 80.0 ± 122.7 vs 11.3 ± 8.9 pg/ml, P < 0.01 respectively; Fig. 1A and B) with an extremely large range of testosterone levels in the T group. Mean androstenedione levels were higher in the T than in the NT group (4.1 ± 3.3 vs 1.5 ± 0.7 ng/ml, P = 0.07). Conversely, mean basal FSH (26.5 ± 22.9 and 66.5 ± 26.0 IU/l, P < 0.01; Fig. 1C) and mean basal LH levels (12.0 ± 8.6 and 24.1 ± 8.9 IU/l, P < 0.05; Fig. 1D) were significantly lower in the T than in the NT group. Only two patients (no. 9 and 10) with adrenal carcinomas had suppressed levels of FSH and LH (range: 0.1–2 IU/l). Only in the NT group, there is a significant correlation between testosterone and E₂ levels (P = 0.0003).

A binary logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the discriminating power of these features. Two biological markers were significant in the model: basal testosterone and basal FSH levels. The predictive accuracy for the model including these two parameters, as measured by the area under the ROC curve, was of 0.97. Predictive accuracy for basal testosterone levels alone and for basal FSH levels alone was of 0.82 and 0.90 respectively. Cut-off values maximizing sensitivity and specificity for the two significant biological markers in the logistic regression model are provided in Table 2.

Figure 1 Individual values of serum total testosterone (A), estradiol (B), FSH (C), and LH levels (D). A significant difference between the two groups is observed in testosterone (P < 0.05), estradiol (P < 0.01), basal FSH (P < 0.01), and basal LH (P < 0.05).

The likelihood ratio (LR) when affected by a tumor in this population was 8.4 and 10.8 for basal testosterone level ≥ 1.4 ng/ml (3.5 × N) and FSH ≤ 35 IU/l respectively. Consequently, a postmenopausal woman with a tumoral pathology has 8.4 and 10.8 times higher risk for presenting basal testosterone level ≥ 1.4 ng/ml (3.5 × N) or basal FSH level ≤ 35 IU/l.

Radiological characteristics according to tumor type Sixteen patients had a CT scan, permitting the diagnosis of adrenal carcinomas in two of them. Eighteen ovarian sonographies were performed, eight in the T and ten in the NT groups (see Table 1). Ultrasonography showed ovarian masses in seven patients: five tumors were diagnosed in the T group and two cystic formations were identified in the NT group. Eleven ovarian sonographies (patients no. 1, 5, and 6) were normal. The ovarian sonography score was positive predictive value (PPV) = 5/(5 + 2) = 71% and negative predictive value (NPV) = 8/(8 + 3) = 73%. Fifteen patients had an ovarian MRI, seven in the T and eight in the NT groups (see Table 1). Seven tumors were diagnosed in the T and two cystic formations in the NT groups. The ovarian MRI score was PPV = 7/9 = 78% and NPV = 8/8 = 100%.

IHC studies of histologically normal ovaries The ovarian source of androgens in five patients with hyperandrogenemia of unequivocal ovarian origin (patients no. 12–16, Table 1) could not be identified.
Indeed, three patients in the T group, two bearing ovarian tumors, and one with an adrenal carcinoma (patients no. 1, 6, and 10, Table 1) presented signs of hyperestrogenism such as postmenopausal bleeding, endometrial hyperplasia, or signs of estrogenic impregnation on a cervical smear. Indeed, these tumors may induce estrogen excess (33, 34).

The onset of clinical manifestations of hyperandrogenism and their progression were not different in the two groups. Thus, in contrast to current wisdom, a clinical presentation characterized by rapidly progressive hyperandrogenism is not always associated with a tumor as described previously (20, 35). Indeed, some tumors in our study only induced moderately

Discussion

We describe the first cohort of exclusively postmenopausal patients with biochemical hyperandrogenism. This inclusion criterion was necessary to select women in whom the presence of a tumoral pathology was suspected and must be excluded by the clinicians. The cohort comprised 22 women, among whom ten were affected by an androgen-producing tumor. This finding demonstrates that a relevant number of cases of postmenopausal hyperandrogenism have a tumoral origin and underlines the importance of a correct diagnosis.

The clinical presentation was remarkably similar in both the T and the NT groups. Surprisingly, clinical signs of hyperandrogenism were not always present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity % (CI)</th>
<th>Specificity % (CI)</th>
<th>LR+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TT ≥ 1.4 ng/ml</td>
<td>70 (39–89)</td>
<td>92 (62–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH ≤ 35 IU/l</td>
<td>90 (57–100)</td>
<td>92 (62–100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, 95% confidence intervals; LR+, likelihood ratio; TT, total testosterone.

by conventional histology. However, immunohistology allowed detecting numerous steroidogenic cells expressing the P450c17α enzyme involved in androgen synthesis in all cases and demonstrating LH receptors expression in three cases.

In patients no. 14 and 16, the androgen-producing cells were situated in the ovarian medulla and hilus (Fig. 2A and E), they had a round nucleus, polygonal shape and abundant cytoplasm, with lipid vacuoles (Fig. 2C and G), and expressed P450c17α (CYP17A1) (Fig. 2B, D and F, H arrow), about 20% of these cells were also LHR immunopositive (Fig. 3A and B).

In patients no. 12 (Fig. 2I), 13, and 15, androgen-producing cells were situated in the cortical stroma but had a different aspect.

The stromal androgen-producing cells of patients no. 12 and 15 were large, luteinized, with abundant vacuolated cytoplasm (Fig. 2I, K and M, O), and strongly steroidogenic (Fig. 2J, L and N, P). They were LHR negative in patient no. 12 and LHR positive (25%) in patient no. 15 (Fig. 3C).

The cortical androgen-producing cells in the ovary of patient no. 13, situated near a benign serous cyst, were fibroblast like, elongated, and with scanty cytoplasm (Fig. 2Q and S) and strongly immunopositive for P450c17α (Fig. 2R and T). No residual material was available for LHR immunodetection.

The control sections for both P450c17α (not shown) and for LHR immunolabeling (Fig. 3D–F) were negative.

Figure 2

Histology and P450c17α expression in the patients’ ovaries. The patients were grouped according to a similar localization of the androgen-producing cells in the ovary. Columns I and III contain micrographs of hematoxylin–eosin-stained sections at low and high magnifications (×10 and ×40) respectively. Columns II and IV illustrate P450c17α immunoreactivity, also at the same low and high magnifications. The arrows allow identifying the androgen-producing cells in the low-magnification micrographs. (A–D and E–H) ovaries of patients no. 14 and 16: large nests of cells situated in the medulla (A and E) express P450c17α (B, D and F, H respectively), they have a round nucleus, abundant eosinophilic, and grossly vacuolated cytoplasm (C, D, and G, H respectively). (I–L and M–P) ovaries of patients no. 12 and 15. Nests and cords of cells situated in the cortex, and, for patient no. 15, also arranged around a small cyst (I, K, and M, O respectively) express P450c17α (J, L and N, P respectively). These cells are large, with an abundant, vacuolated cytoplasm, and resemble luteinized cells (K and O). Inset in (L) distribution of the steroidogenic enzyme in a luteinized cell in the cortex of patient no. 12 (×60). (Q–T) ovary of patient no. 13: elongated cells are dispersed in the stroma or partially adjacent to a small cyst (Q), they are undistinguishable from fibroblasts (S), and immunoreactive for P450c17α (R and T).
elevated androgen levels (Table 1) and mild clinical symptoms. Surprisingly, whereas hyperinsulinemia is linked to non-tumoral cause of hyperandrogenism, the BMI (27.7 ± 5.3 vs 27.9 ± 6.5 kg/m² respectively) and the incidence of metabolic syndrome were also similar in the two groups. Thus, the presence of a metabolic syndrome cannot be useful to orientate the diagnosis toward a non-tumoral cause. In contrast to the clinical presentation, we found some significant biological differences between the two groups: testosterone, E₂, and androstenedione levels were higher while FSH and LH levels were lower (P<0.05, P<0.01, P=0.07, P<0.01, and P<0.05 respectively) in the T than in the NT groups. Furthermore, we found a correlation between testosterone and E₂ levels only in the NT groups. Furthermore, we found a correlation between testosterone and E₂ levels only in the NT groups. Additionally, we found a correlation between testosterone and E₂ levels only in the NT groups.

In a previous study (4), ovarian androgen-secreting tumors were detected only in patients with testosterone levels >1.30 ng/ml, i.e. 1.5×N of the testosterone assay use in our study (or 4.5 nmol/l). In the context of hyperandrogenism, the diagnosis of an ovarian tumor with a level of testosterone under 0.6 ng/ml, i.e. 1.2×N, has never been previously described. Interestingly, these two patients presented symptoms associated with hyperesrogenism suggesting that their lower testosterone levels might be secondary to an increased rate of aromatization of the androgenic substrate or a tumoral production of E₂. No patient in the NT group exhibited a serum testosterone level exceeding 3.7 ng/ml, but higher testosterone levels (up to 4 ng/ml) associated with postmenopausal non-tumoral hyperandrogenism have been reported (36). These findings explain the difficulty in identifying a critical threshold of serum testosterone suggestive of a diagnosis of ovarian neoplasm. However, we suggest that basal testosterone and basal FSH levels can be helpful in orienting the diagnosis, indeed basal testosterone levels ≥ 1.4 ng/ml have 70% specificity (CI: 39–89) and 92% sensitivity (CI: 62–100%) and FSH levels ≤ 35 IU/l have 92% specificity (CI: 62–100%) and 90% sensitivity (CI: 57–100%). Furthermore, the LR for these values in patients bearing a tumor is 8.4–10.8 times higher, respectively. Giving to these markers a powerful impact. Measurement of baseline androstenedione levels in our patients did not add further information to that of testosterone levels, and we found no cases of predominantly androstenedione-secreting tumors as described previously (37). DHEA-S levels are of great interest in this context, as they were elevated only in the two patients with adrenal carcinoma, but there is a known overlap of DHEA-S levels when comparing a large cohort of adrenal androgen-secreting tumor to non-tumoral causes of androgen excess in women of all ages (20). Unfortunately, we do not have any results of testosterone decrease during low-dose dexamethasone suppression test in our cohort, considered a valuable test to distinguish androgen-secreting tumors from non-tumorous hyperandrogenism in women of all ages (20). Unlike the more easily identifiable adrenal tumors, ovarian androgen-secreting tumors are mostly small sized and thus difficult to detect by imaging techniques. Their ultrasonographic aspect depends on tumor type (38), and ultrasonography studies for Sertoli–Leydig, steroid cell tumors, and thecomas have been reported negative in premenopausal women (39–41). In our study, the calculated PPV and NPV of ultrasonography for tumor detection was 71 and 73% respectively. In contrast, ovarian MRI allowed a diagnosis of tumor in the three ultrasound-negative patients of the T group but also confirmed the presence of two ovarian cystic masses in two patients classed in the NT group (PPV and NPV, 78 and 100% respectively). Thus, in our study, ovarian imaging allowed adequate tumor detection.

The ovarian tumors of six of our T patients are known to secrete androgens, although this occurs infrequently in granulosa cell tumors (42). Two ovarian tumors found in our cohort, a gastrointestinal tumor with bilateral ovarian metastases and a serous cystadenoma,
were not known to be steroidogenic. However, immunohistological analysis of the former showed that the metastatic tumor cells of the gastrointestinal endocrine tumor were not the primitive steroid source but instead ectopically produced hCG, stimulating the steroidogenic cells in the ovarian stroma through a paracrine mechanism (22). Histological examination of the second tumor, a serous cystadenoma, showed the presence of an adjacent zone of stromal hyperplasia, suggesting an analogous mechanism; however, no immunohistological study could be performed to confirm it. The association of epithelial ovarian tumors with interstitial androgen production in postmenopausal women has been described (43). In all these cases, histopathological examination of the tumor revealed stromal hyperplasia.

The diagnostic heterogeneity of the NT group reflects the multiple etiology of non-tumor postmenopausal hyperandrogenism. Hyperandrogenism was related to ovarian hyperthecosis (presumed or with histological confirmation) and Cushing’s or pseudo-Cushing’s disease in seven patients.

Five cases remained elusive for histopathological examination: three had a normal ovarian histology and two presented a small benign ovarian cyst without stromal hyperplasia. In these five cases, IHC revealed numerous P450c17α immunopositive cells undetectable by conventional histology. Localization and morphology of the androgen-producing cells differed among patients: in two (patients no. 14 and 16) patients, large steroidogenic cells were arranged in nests at the ovarian hilus (Fig. 2), while in three (patients no. 12, 15, and 13) patients, the androgen-producing cells were situated in the cortical stroma. They had a typical steroidogenic aspect in two patients and were fibroblasts in the other.

The morphology and location of the steroidogenic cells of cases no. 14 and 16 (Fig. 2) suggest their analogy to ovarian, androgenic, Leydig-like hilus cells (44). The aspect and cortical localization of the P450c17α immunopositive cells of patients no. 12 and 15 (Fig. 2) suggest instead an origin from steroidogenic, LH-responsive luteinized theca interna cells, which persist in the cortex after follicular atresia (44) analogous to stromal hyperthecosis (45). Finally, the fibroblastic phenotype of the cortical androgen-producing cells in the ovary of patient no. 13 could be compared to that of immature thecal cells surrounding small follicles, responsive to LH, and capable of androgen synthesis (44), suggesting an origin from this type of cell (46).

The steroidogenic enzyme P450c17α lyase is under LH control (44). The LHR immunopositivity of the steroidogenic hilus cells in patients no. 15 and 16 and of the cortical stromal cells of patient no. 13 suggests that their hyperandrogenemia could be related to the elevated post-menopausal LH levels (47). However, the steroidogenic cells did not express LHR in one patient and were only partially LHR positive in three patients. This could be secondary either to receptor down-regulation in the presence of continuously elevated hormone levels, as demonstrated in Leydig cells, (48, 49) or to acquired independence of the steroidogenic ovarian cells from pituitary control, analogously to some Leydig adenomas. Alternatively, destruction of available epitopes recognized by the anti-LHR antibody after formol fixation could allow labeling only of the minority of cells strongly expressing LHR.

In conclusion, postmenopausal biochemical hyperandrogenism is a rare condition that needs careful evaluation in order not to misdiagnose an underlying tumor. While the clinical phenotype does not permit discrimination between tumoral and non-tumoral conditions, the hormonal phenotypes, especially total testosterone and basal FSH levels together with adrenal androgen levels for adrenal carcinomas, can help orientating the diagnosis. Ovarian tumors are efficiently detected by MRI imaging. Finally, our findings underline the difficulty for the pathologist to identify non-tumoral ovarian sources of hyperandrogenemia and the usefulness of IHC with the appropriate antibodies to reach a diagnosis.
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