Combined RET and Ki-67 assessment in sporadic medullary thyroid carcinoma: a useful tool for patient risk stratification
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Abstract

Objective: Medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) derives from the parafollicular C cells, being sporadic in 75% of cases and familial in 25%, due to RET proto-oncogene germinal mutations. In sporadic forms, stage at diagnosis is the most important negative prognostic factor. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prognostic impact of molecular and immunohistochemical markers in sporadic MTC.

Design and methods: We studied 60 patients with sporadic MTC. For each case, we sought RET somatic mutations in the primary cancer and in lymph node metastases. The primary cancer also underwent immunohistochemical examination for Ki-67.

Results: A somatic RET mutation was found in 38% of patients, being M918T in 52% of them. We observed a statistically significant association between RET mutations and male gender (P < 0.01), tumor size (P < 0.05), lymph nodes (P < 0.05) and distant metastases (P < 0.001), advanced stage (P < 0.05), increased risk of persistent disease (P = 0.01), and low overall survival (P < 0.01). High Ki-67 levels were similarly associated with extra-thyroid spread (P < 0.05), lymph nodes (P < 0.05) and distant metastases (P < 0.001), advanced stage (P = 0.01), and low overall survival (P = 0.01). Combining somatic RET analysis with Ki-67 assessment seems to be useful for increasing the specificity of Ki-67 assessment alone and identifying patients with a more aggressive cancer: in our series, only the patients who died during the follow-up had both a somatic RET mutation and a Ki-67 expression level > 50 cells/mm².

Conclusions: The combined evaluation of RET and Ki-67 could act as an adjuvant prognostic marker useful for ameliorating the initial risk stratification of patients with sporadic MTC.
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Introduction

Medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) is a rare neuroendocrine cancer originating from parafollicular C cells producing calcitonin (Ct); it accounts for 5–10% of all thyroid carcinomas. About 75% of MTC are sporadic, whereas the remaining are hereditary due to germinal mutations that activate the RET proto-oncogene (1).

Total surgical resection is the only effective therapy for MTC. In a far from negligible number of cases, however, the initial diagnosis occurs late, when the cancer has spread beyond the thyroid bed to loco-regional or even distant sites, so only 50% of cancer patients can achieve a biochemical cure after surgery (2, 3).

Age at diagnosis and disease stage at the time of initial treatment currently represent the main prognostic indicators (2, 4). Although clinical and pathological variables alone may suffice to predict patient outcome in most cases, the variable behavior of MTC in some cases suggests that, in the same clinical and pathological conditions, cancer progression and survival may also be influenced by other biological elements (5, 6).

In times of molecular analysis, various genetic factors have been shown to play a part in the risk stratification of MTC patients (7–9). In particular, somatic RET mutations and/or RET polymorphisms were analyzed in several series of sporadic MTC, and RET status was found to correlate with patient outcome (10–12). Recent reports have demonstrated that somatic RET mutations occur in 30–50% of sporadic cases of MTC, and they are a negative predictor of cancer remission and survival (13–15). Such reports were not always homogeneous in terms of the frequency, type, and site of somatic RET mutations, or their association with clinical and pathological features, suggesting that other
biological markers could also be useful for ascertaining a patient’s risk. Given this scenario, a previous study conducted on a small series of familial and sporadic MTC patients with a long follow-up showed that a high Ki-67 expression can predict patient survival independently from other classic prognostic factors (16).

In this study, we combined somatic RET mutation analysis in paired primary MTC and metastatic lymph nodes with the immunohistochemical expression of Ki-67 in a large series of sporadic MTC patients followed up at our institution to ascertain whether the two molecular markers are related to one another and whether they can be used in combination to better characterize the clinical course of sporadic MTC.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

We studied 60 sporadic MTC patients (29 males and 31 females, median age 59, range 22–87 years) with a median follow-up of 39 months (range 6–226 months). All patients underwent total thyroidectomy and central neck compartment dissection, and unilateral or bilateral neck dissection was also performed in 31 cases. Tumors were staged according to the 6th TNM classification (17): 18 were stage I, ten were stage II, ten were stage III, and 22 were stage IV. At initial diagnosis, 48% (29/60) of the patients had an MTC with no evidence of lymph node or distant metastases: 52% (31/60) had cervical lymph node metastases, and ten of them had distant metastases too. Patients were considered biochemically cured on the basis of a Ct value below 10 pg/ml 1 year after primary surgery and/or at the latest follow-up. At the latest clinical examination, 57% (34/60) of the patients were considered biochemically cured, four patients died of progressive disease during the follow-up.

All patients were assumed to have a sporadic cancer because no germline RET mutations were found, their family history was negative, and no other endocrine neoplasia was identified.

**RET analysis**

All patients gave their informed consent to genetic testing. All primary MTCs were collected after surgery, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. DNA was extracted from primary cancers using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Handbook (Qiagen). For any metastatic lymph nodes available for genetic testing, DNA was extracted from ten sections each 5 μm thick of paraffin-embedded archived material using the same extraction kit. RET exon 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, and 16 germline mutations were assessed by direct sequencing.

**Ki-67 immunostaining and Ki-67 scores**

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed on paraffin-embedded tissue samples, in formalin-fixed sections 5 μm thick, using a standardized avidin–biotin complex method in an automatic system (Benchmark XT; Ventana, Tucson, AZ, USA) with CONFIRM anti Ki-67 antibody (Rabbit Monoclonal, clone 30-9 Roche). For the assessment of Ki-67 staining, nuclei were considered positive when the intensity of immunostaining ranged from weak to strong and when positivity was confined to the nucleoli; nuclei with no immunostaining were considered negative. For each patient, a 5 mm² area of neoplastic tissue was analyzed (corresponding to three random 10× fields of view, FOV). The number of positive nuclei in the FOV was recorded using a video-analytical method (the Image-Pro-Plus 7 analysis program) and the final result was reported as the number of positive nuclei per 1 mm² of neoplastic area. Ki-67 immunostaining was not quantifiable in six patients, one of whom died during the follow-up.

**Statistical analysis**

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the distribution of the different variables: age showed a normal distribution, whereas tumor size and Ki-67 values did not. The χ² and Mann–Whitney U tests were used according to the variable considered.

For Ki-67 values, we used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis to define different cutoffs showing the best sensitivity and specificity for each outcome variable. Then, we calculated the likelihood ratio (with 95% confidence interval (CI)) to obtain the post-test probability for different cutoffs.

The Kaplan–Meier method and standard log-rank tests were used to evaluate the effect of RET mutations and a done Ki-67 cutoff on the probability of a patient’s survival. The multiple logistic regression test was used to determine the independent effect of somatic RET mutation and Ki-67 scores on the outcome of MTC patients. A P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**RET analysis and genotype–phenotype correlations**

A total of 20 patients carried a somatic RET mutation in their primary cancer. Paired primary and metastatic MTCs were analyzed in 24 cases: the genotype was concordant in all but three patients whose primary cancer harbored a wild-type RET, while the RET was mutated in the corresponding node metastasis. Overall, 38% (23/60) of patients carried a somatic RET mutation in the primary and/or metastatic MTC. The majority of RET mutations was located in the exon 16 at
codon 918 (M918T in 12/23, 52%): this is also the only mutation to be seen, as a de novo event, in the metastatic nodes. Of the 11 patients, four patients carried somatic RET mutations in the exon 10, at codons 618 (C618R, C618S, and C618Y) and 611 (C611R); four patients carried somatic RET mutations in the exon 11 at codons 634 (C634W and C634Y) and 630 (C630R); two patients carried an RET mutation in the exon 15: one was at codon 883 (A883F), whereas the other showed a 12 bp deletion starting from codon 898; and one patient concurrently carried two mutations: one in the exon 16 (M918T) and the other in the exon 13, codon 781 (Q781R). All the patients who died during the follow-up carried a somatic RET mutation.

As shown in Table 1, somatic RET mutations were significantly more frequent in cases of male gender ($P<0.01$), young age ($P<0.05$), larger tumors ($P<0.05$), in the presence of node ($P<0.05$) and distant metastases ($P<0.001$), and more advanced stages ($P<0.05$). Considering the patient’s outcome, somatic RET mutations were statistically associated with persistent disease: only 35% of patients with somatic RET mutations were biochemically cured at the latest follow-up, as opposed to 70% of the RET-negative cases ($P=0.01$; Table 1). When the survival curves of MTC patients with and without somatic RET mutations were analyzed, the survival curve was significantly lower for patients positive for RET mutations ($P<0.01$), as shown in Fig. 1.

**Ki-67 immunohistochemistry**

Nuclear Ki-67 expression levels were not significantly associated with gender, age, or cancer size. Using Mann–Whitney U test, it was found that Ki-67 expression levels were significantly higher in MTC with extra-thyroid spread than in those remaining confined to the thyroid ($P<0.05$), in the presence of node ($P<0.05$) or distant metastases ($P<0.001$), in advanced stages ($P=0.01$), and in patients with persistent disease ($P<0.05$).

**Combined Ki-67 and RET analysis in relation to patient outcome**

On ROC analysis, significant Ki-67 cutoffs were found for the presence of distant metastases ($P<0.0001$) and the cancer-related death risk ($P=0.0001$). In patients with persistent disease, the presence of distant metastases was significantly associated with a Ki-67 cutoff > 25 cells/mm² (with 100% sensitivity and 81% specificity) and, for a likelihood ratio of 5, this cutoff was associated with a 43% post-test probability of distant metastases versus a pre-test probability of 16%. All 7/7 patients with distant metastases in the MTC group associated with a Ki-67 > 25 cells/mm² also carried a somatic RET mutation, although this was only true of 22% (2/9) of patients without distant metastases (Fig. 2a). The risk of cancer-related death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RET mutations</th>
<th>Negative (n=37)</th>
<th>Positive (n=23)</th>
<th>$P^*$</th>
<th>Ki-67</th>
<th>&lt;25 cells/mm² (n=38)</th>
<th>≥25 cells/mm² (n=16)</th>
<th>$P^†$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at diagnosis (years; median (range))</strong></td>
<td>62 (57–66)</td>
<td>53 (47–66)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>63 (57–64)</td>
<td>53 (44–66)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>12/25</td>
<td>17/6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>16/22</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cured (34)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not cured (20)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male; T, tumor; NA, not available; NS, not significant. * and † evaluated by $\chi^2$ or Fischer’s exact test.
was significantly associated with a Ki-67 cutoff > 50 cells/mm² (with 100% sensitivity and 93% specificity) and, for a likelihood ratio of 15, it was associated with a 66% post-test probability of cancer-related death versus a pre-test probability of only 6%. In the MTC group associated with a Ki-67 > 50 cells/mm², only patients carrying an RET somatic mutation died during the follow-up (Fig. 2b). These findings were confirmed on survival curves analysis, where a Ki-67 cutoff > 50 cells/mm² was associated with a lower probability of survival (P < 0.01; Fig. 3).

When Ki-67 and somatic RET mutations were taken together, the specificity increased from 81 to 95% for the prediction of distant metastases and from 93 to 100% for cancer-related death.

As expected, the Mann–Whitney U test showed that patients with somatic RET mutations also carried significantly higher Ki-67 levels than patients without RET mutations (median 25, 95% CI 13–81 cells/mm² versus 13, 95% CI 7–17 cells/mm², P = 0.02).

Finally, the multivariate analysis, by logistic regression, showed that only advanced stage at diagnosis and somatic RET mutations independently correlated with biochemical cure (P < 0.05), while only Ki-67 scores independently correlated with patient cancer-related death (P < 0.0001).

**Discussion**

In spite of the routine Ct assessment recommended in patients with thyroid nodes (18), sporadic medullary carcinoma is still often diagnosed only when the cancer has become clinically evident and, in most cases, spread beyond the thyroid, by which time the chances of biochemical cure after surgery are poor, given that stage at initial diagnosis and age are the main prognostic factors (2, 4).
by log-rank test). Only a few studies on small group of tumors (21). Only a few studies on small group of different patient sampling methods.

Several studies have shown that Ki-67 is of prognostic relevance in human cancers, especially neuroendocrine tumors (21). Only a few studies on small group of patients have addressed Ki-67 analysis (but not RET mutations) in the setting of MTC, with inhomogeneous results. Like Tisel et al., (16) we found that the nuclear expression of Ki-67, combined with RET mutation status, correlated with the clinical course of sporadic MTC, being significantly associated with extra-thyroid spread, advanced stages, progressive disease, and shorter overall survival. More interesting from a practical point of view, we showed that certain Ki-67 cutoffs, i.e. >25 and >50 cells/mm², can predict the presence of distant metastases and the risk of cancer-related death with a sensitivity of 100% in both cases, and a specificity of 81 and 93% respectively. These data are fully consistent with those reported by Faggiano et al. (22) who demonstrated that the MTC proliferative profile is a useful tool for selecting appropriate imaging techniques for persistent MTC patients: in particular, high Ki-67 scores earmarked patients expected to have positive FDG-PET results. In other words, high Ki-67 cutoffs could identify a subset of sporadic MTC cases with an aggressive behavior. Our data also demonstrated that RET findings parallel the results of Ki-67 expression; indeed, mutated RET protein promotes cancer cell proliferation in vitro.

Combining somatic RET analysis with Ki-67 assessment seems to be useful for increasing the specificity of Ki-67 assessment alone and identifying patients with a more aggressive cancer: in our series, only the patients who died during the follow-up had both a somatic RET mutation and a Ki-67 expression level >50 cells/mm² in their primary cancer. It is likely that the early, possibly pre-surgical, identification of aggressive MTC by such biomarkers adds little to the already available preoperative clinical and radiological setting. Anyway, as it is still debated if prophylactic lateral neck dissection should be performed on principle, in case of clinically apparent MTC (recommendation 61) (3), the identification of cases more at risk to spread to loco-regional lymph nodes could address to the most appropriate surgical strategy, with the aim to increase the probability of cure after surgery. More importantly, in our opinion, the early recognition of the MTC prone to develop progressive disease could lead post-surgical management in biochemically positive patients in terms of defining the timing of subsequent follow-up, planning the right moment for a complete imaging workup to localize metastatic disease, and then selecting the most appropriate radiological technique, such as FDG-PET/CT procedures.

In conclusion, our study shows that combined RET and Ki-67 analyses could be useful for the risk stratification of patients with sporadic MTC. Assessing these two molecular markers at the onset, preferably before any initial surgery, may help to orient decisions concerning the extent of initial surgery and the subsequent follow-up.
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