Metabolic and cardiovascular outcomes in a group of adult patients with Turner's syndrome under hormonal replacement therapy
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Abstract

Objective: Turner’s syndrome (TS) is a rare genetic disorder caused by complete or partial X chromosome monosomy in a phenotypic female, and it is associated with increased morbidity and mortality for cardiovascular diseases, impaired glucose tolerance, and dyslipidemia.

Subjects and methods: In 30 adult TS patients under chronic hormonal replacement therapy (HRT), 17β-estradiol (E2), body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, fasting glucose and insulin, homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index, serum lipids, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), 24 h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM), and intima–media thickness (IMT) were evaluated and compared with those in 30 age- and sex-matched controls (CS).

Results: No difference was found between TS and CS in E2 and BMI, whereas waist circumference was higher (P < 0.05) in TS (77.7 ± 2.5 cm) than in CS (69.8 ± 1.0 cm). Fasting glucose in TS and in CS was similar, whereas fasting insulin, HOMA index, and 2 h glucose after OGTT were higher (P < 0.0005) in TS (13.2 ± 0.8 mUI/l, 2.5 ± 0.2, and 108.9 ± 5.5 mg/dl respectively) than in CS (9.1 ± 0.5 mUI/l, 1.8 ± 0.1, and 94.5 ± 3.8 mg/dl respectively). Total cholesterol was higher (P < 0.05) in TS (199.4 ± 6.6 mg/dl) than in CS (173.9 ± 4.6 mg/dl), whereas no significant differences in high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, and triglycerides were found between the two groups. In 13% of TS, ABPM showed arterial hypertension, whereas IMT was <0.9 mm in all TS and CS. A negative correlation between insulin levels, HOMA index, or 2 h glucose after OGTT and E2 was present in TS.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that adult patients with TS under HRT are connoted by higher frequency of central obesity, insulin resistance, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertension.
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Introduction

Turner’s syndrome (TS), a rare congenital disease affecting about one in every 2500–3000 live-born females, is the result of chromosomal abnormalities in a phenotypic female, associated with characteristic clinical features, the most consistent being short stature, ovarian failure, and specific somatic abnormalities (1). Complete 45,X monosomy accounts for 40–60% of the karyotypes, whereas 5–10% of patients have a duplication of the long arm of one X (isochromosome Xq) and most of the remaining karyotypes show a mosaicism (1). A correlation between karyotype and phenotype has been reported, as the presence of an isochromosome Xq coupled with an increased risk for diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, and inflammatory bowel disease (1).

Subjects with TS usually receive intensive medical care during childhood, but the majority are discharged from specialist clinics after the induction of puberty and attainment of final height. Since the description of TS by Henry H Turner in 1938 (2), a wealth of information has been added and our current understanding of the syndrome is continuously being broadened. It has long been known that left-sided congenital cardiac abnormalities are more prevalent in women with TS, and recent studies have demonstrated that these women have a threefold increase in mortality, primarily as a results of cardiovascular complications (3–6), and several risk factors for ischemic heart disease including hypertension (4, 7), insulin resistance (8–10), and hyperlipidemia (10, 11).

By contrast with the intensive medical follow-up in childhood, follow-up is often grossly inadequate in adult patients with TS, although early medical intervention may reduce morbidity and improve life expectancy, as pointed out by The Turner Syndrome Consensus Study Group in 2007 (12).
In spite of the negative results of Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement (HERS (13)) and Women’s Healthy Initiative (WHI (14)) studies, over the past 20 years, numerous observational, retrospective, interventional, and meta-analytic studies as well as studies using animal models have supported the hypothesis that hormonal replacement therapy (HRT) exerts important beneficial effects on heart disease, diabetes and obesity. The mechanisms are not completely understood but include a favorable effect on lipids and insulin sensitivity as well as beneficial effects on endothelial and vascular smooth muscle function (15).

As HRT was shown to only partially normalize some metabolic alterations in TS (10), it was hypothesized that the X chromosome deletion per se, apart from the effects of estrogen deficiency, might be causally related to the metabolic impairment in this syndrome.

Based on this background, we designed a clinical study aimed at evaluating the metabolic and cardiovascular profile in a group of adult patients with TS under chronic HRT, in comparison with a group of age- and sex-matched normal healthy subjects.

Subjects and methods

We studied 30 patients with TS (age, mean ± S.E.M.: 32.4 ± 1.3 years) of Caucasian origin.

The diagnosis of TS was based on peripheral leukocyte karyotype analysis and the following karyotypes were identified: 45,X (n = 18); 45,X/46,XX (n = 4); 45,X/46,XY (n = 1); 46,X,i (Xq) or 45,X/46,X,i (Xq) (n = 3); 45,X/46,Xr (n = 2); and 46,XXp- or 46,XXq- (n = 2).

In all the 45,X patients, the diagnosis was made at birth or in early childhood for the presence of characteristic clinical features; in two patients with 45,X/46,XX mosaicism, the diagnosis was made for secondary amenorrhea and short stature during adulthood, whereas in the remaining patients, the diagnosis was made during mid-childhood for short stature or at pubertal age for primary amenorrhea.

All the patients were under HRT with oral or transdermal 17β-estradiol (E2, 2 mg daily or 100 μg/24 h in 25 patients and 1 mg daily or 50 μg/24 h in the remaining five patients), in association with oral synthetic progestagen (dydrogestosterone 10 mg in 10 patients, nomegestrol acetate 5 mg or medroxyprogesterone acetate 10 mg in the remaining 20 patients), for a mean period of 18 years (range, 7–32 years). They were all studied during the estrogen phase of treatment, on days 5–10.

None of the patients was receiving any drug influencing blood pressure, glucose, or lipid metabolism at the time of the study; all patients were non-smokers, and none had familiar history of cardiovascular disease.

Among the patients enrolled in the study, five patients were affected by congenital cardiovascular disease (two with coarctation of aorta, two with bicuspid aortic valve, and one with mitral valve prolapse) but normal ventricular function, ten patients by autoimmune hypothyroidism under appropriate treatment with thyroxin at the time of the study (leading to normal circulating TSH, free tri-iodothyronine, and free thyroxine levels), and two patients by celiac disease under gluten-free diet at the time of the study. Moreover, 20 patients had short stature that had been treated with rhGH during childhood; they showed normal IGF1 levels as well as a normal GH response to ARG + GHRH test at the time of the study.

The main genetic, anthropometric, clinical, and hormonal findings of the patients are reported in Table 1.

In this study, 30 age-matched women were enrolled as control group (CS) and were evaluated in their early follicular phase. The control group was matched with respect to age but not to body mass index (BMI), as TS patients have been reported to have a higher waist circumference than normal women given the same BMI (16). None of the controls were taking medications known to interfere with glucose or lipid metabolism or influence blood pressure; all controls were non-smokers, and none had familial or personal history of cardiovascular disease.

All the patients and the controls gave their informed consent to participate in the study, which had been approved by the ethical committee of the University of Turin, in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical evaluation

Weight, height, BMI, and waist circumference were measured using standard methods. A BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m² was classified as overweight and a BMI of 30 kg/m² or more as obesity (17); a waist circumference > 88 cm was used to define abdominal adiposity (17).

Biochemical evaluation

Fasting blood samples were drawn in the morning, between 0800 and 0900 h, from all patients and from all controls.

E2, glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglyceride levels were measured in duplicate by different assays as detailed in Table 2, whereas HOMA index and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol were calculated as follows: fasting insulin (mU/l) × fasting glucose (mmol/l)/22.5 and total cholesterol – (HDL + (triglycerides/5)) respectively. An oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT, 75 g glucose, measuring blood glucose concentrations at 0’ and 120’) was also performed.

According to ADA criteria, impaired fasting glucose (IFG) was defined as glucose levels ≥ 100 mg/dl (5.6 mmol/l), whereas impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was diagnosed when 2 h glucose levels after OGTT were between 140 (7.8 mmol/l) and 200 mg/dl (11.1 mmo/l) (17).
Table 1: Anthropometric, clinical, hormonal, and metabolic data in patients with Turner syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Waist (cm)</th>
<th>E₂ (pg/ml)</th>
<th>HRT type</th>
<th>Duration (years)</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Insulin (mIU/l)</th>
<th>HOMA</th>
<th>2 h Glu (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Total C (mg/dl)</th>
<th>HDL (mg/dl)</th>
<th>LDL (mg/dl)</th>
<th>TG (mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45,X</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>OE₂ (2) + MAP</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45,X</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>TE₂ (50) + NA</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>172.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>112.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45,X</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>OE₂ (2) + DY</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>233.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>168.2</td>
<td>134.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45,X/46,XX</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>TE₂ (100) + MAP</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45,X/46,Xr</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>TE₂ (100) + DY</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45,X/46,XX</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>TE₂ (50) + NA</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45,X</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>OE₂ (2) + DY</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>46,Xp-</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>OE₂ (2) + MAP</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>252.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td>254.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>45,X/46,XX</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>TE₂ (100) + DY</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>187.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>46,Xq</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>OE₂ (2) + MAP</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>213.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>126.6</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 32.4 23.9 77.7 77.0

1.3 1.0 2.5 7.3

S.E.M. 1.0 0.8 0.2 5.5 6.6 2.9

E₂, 17β-estradiol; HRT, hormonal replacement therapy; duration, HRT duration in years; OE₂, oral estradiol (mg/daily); TE₂, transdermal estradiol (µg/24 h); DY, dydrogesterone 10 mg; NA, nomegestrol acetate 5 mg; MAP, medroxyprogesterone acetate 10 mg; 2 h Glu, 2 h glucose after OGTT; total C, total cholesterol; HDL, HDL-cholesterol; LDL, LDL-cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.
Hypertriglyceridemia was diagnosed when triglycerides were above 150 mg/dl (1.7 mmol/l), whereas hypercholesterolemia was diagnosed when total cholesterol levels were above 240 mg/dl (6.2 mmol/l) (17).

Blood pressure and vascular evaluation

All the patients and controls underwent standard 12 lead electrocardiogram (ECG), 24 h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM TM 2430, A&D Instrument by Intermed; Veris Srl, Italy), and echo color Doppler ultrasonography (Technos MPX Esaote; Genoa, Italy) for intima–media thickness (IMT). The 24 h ABPM was measured using an oscillometric method obtaining readings every 15 min during the daytime and 20 min during the nighttime; the monitoring started the same morning when blood samples were drawn, between 0800 and 0900 h, and ended the following morning at the same time. IMT was measured at right and left carotid arteries that were scanned longitudinally, about 1 cm before carotid bulbs; each measure was repeated at least three times and the mean was taken into consideration.

A 24 h mean systolic blood pressure below 125 mmHg and a 24 h mean diastolic blood pressure below 80 mmHg were considered normal according to PAMELA Study (18). According to the European Society of Hypertension and European Society of Cardiology 2007 Guidelines for the Management of Arterial Hypertension, an IMT <0.9 mm was considered normal (19).

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The statistical analysis was carried out using the Mann–Whitney U test for comparison between patients and controls. Correlations between E2 levels or age, BMI, waist and fasting glucose, insulin, 2 h glucose after OGTT, and serum lipids were carried out using the Spearman correlation coefficient. Multiple linear regression analysis among the above-mentioned variables was then performed. Statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$. Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS 17.0 for Windows: SPSS, Inc., 1989–2005, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the analysis (20).

Results

No difference was found between TS and CS in E2 (mean ± S.E.M.: 77.0 ± 7.3 vs 80.7 ± 6.0 pg/ml) and BMI (23.9 ± 1.0 vs 22.8 ± 0.5 kg/m²), whereas waist was higher ($P<0.05$) in TS (77.7 ± 2.5 cm) than in CS (69.8 ± 1.0 cm); considering individual cases, central obesity was present in five TS (17%) and in none of the CS (Table 1 and Figs 1 and 2).

Mean fasting glucose was similar in TS (77.5 ± 2.2 mg/dl) and in CS (80.4 ± 1.5 mg/dl), whereas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units (SI)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Coefficients of variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17β-Estradiol</td>
<td>pg/ml (pg/ml × 3.671 = pmol/l)</td>
<td>RIA (Ultra sensitive RIA DSL-4800, Pantect S.r.l, Italy)</td>
<td>2.2 pg/ml</td>
<td>Inter-assay: 7.5–12.2, Intra-assay: 6.5–8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>mg/dl (mg/dl × 0.0555 = mmol/l)</td>
<td>Colorimetric method (Roche Diagnostic GmbH)</td>
<td>2 mg/dl</td>
<td>1.7–1.9, 0.8–1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>mIU/l (mIU/l × 7.175 = pmol/l)</td>
<td>IRMA (INSIK-5, SORIN Biomedica, Saluggia, Italy)</td>
<td>2.5 mIU/l</td>
<td>6.2–10.8, 5.5–10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dl (mg/dl × 0.025 = mmol/l)</td>
<td>Enzymatic colorimetric method (Roche Diagnostic GmbH)</td>
<td>3 mg/dl</td>
<td>1.7–2.7, 0.7–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>mg/dl (mg/dl × 0.025 = mmol/l)</td>
<td>Enzymatic colorimetric method (Roche Diagnostic GmbH)</td>
<td>3 mg/dl</td>
<td>1.1–1.8, 0.6–0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>mg/dl (mg/dl × 0.011 = mmol/l)</td>
<td>Enzymatic colorimetric method (Roche Diagnostic GmbH)</td>
<td>4 mg/dl</td>
<td>1.8–2.4, 0.9–1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Biochemical details of parameters assayed.
fasting insulin, HOMA index, and 2 h glucose after OGTT were higher (P<0.0005) in TS (13.2 ± 0.8 mIU/l, 2.5 ± 0.2, and 108.9 ± 5.5 mg/dl respectively) than in CS (9.1 ± 0.5 mIU/l, 1.8 ± 0.1, and 94.5 ± 3.8 mg/dl respectively). Specifically, one TS (3%) and none of the CS were IFG, whereas four TS (13%) and none of the CS were IGT; none of the TS or CS was affected by diabetes mellitus (Table 1 and Figs 1 and 3).

Total cholesterol was higher (P<0.05) in TS (199.4 ± 6.6 mg/dl) than in CS (173.9 ± 4.6 mg/dl), whereas no significant differences in HDL-cholesterol (68.6 ± 2.9 vs 58.9 ± 1.7 mg/dl), LDL-cholesterol (112.8 ± 5.8 vs 96.4 ± 4.1 mg/dl), or triglycerides (89.8 ± 8.8 vs 90.3 ± 6.6 mg/dl) were detected. Considering individual cases, total cholesterol was >240 mg/dl in five TS (17%), LDL-cholesterol was >160 mg/dl in three TS (10%), whereas no TS or CS showed either HDL <40 mg/dl or LDL-cholesterol >190 mg/dl. Triglycerides were >150 mg/dl in two TS and two CS (7%) respectively (Table 1 and Figs 1 and 4).

In all CS, 24 h blood pressure monitoring showed a normal profile with preserved circadian variation, whereas a loss of nocturnal reduction in blood pressure and a diagnosis of arterial hypertension were made in four TS (13%), independent of HRT type or karyotype; in none of the hypertensive patients, renal or cardiac diseases were present (Fig. 1).

No significant difference in the metabolic parameters were found between TS under standard dose E2 treatment and low-dose E2 treatment (data not shown).

IMT was <0.9 mm in all TS and CS, and no ECG abnormalities were found in either TS or CS (data not shown).

**Correlation analysis**

In TS, but not in CS, the E2 levels negatively correlated with fasting insulin (r = -0.41; P = 0.02), HOMA index (r = -0.44; P = 0.01), and 2 h glucose after OGTT (r = -0.66; P < 0.0001), whereas total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol positively correlated with waist circumference (r = 0.45 and 0.40; P = 0.01 and 0.02).

In TS, at the multiple regression analysis, E2 remained the best predictor of fasting insulin (β = -0.53, P = 0.005), HOMA index (β = -0.53, P = 0.006), and 2 h glucose after OGTT (β = -0.64, P = 0.0001), whereas waist circumference was the best predictor of HDL-cholesterol (β = 1.43, P = 0.003).

In TS, no significant correlations between BMI, waist or the glucolipids parameters, and karyotypes or E2-treatment duration were found.

**Discussion**

The results of our present study show that patients with TS are characterized by a higher prevalence of central obesity, IGT, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertension, when compared with age- and sex-matched normal subjects, even under chronic HRT.

Central obesity was present in a higher percentage of patients than controls; however, no correlation between BMI or waist circumference and estrogen levels was detected in our patients, thus suggesting a lacking influence of estrogens on these anthropometric parameters. In our study, the control group was not matched with respect to BMI, as TS patients have been reported to have a higher waist circumference than normal women given the same BMI (16), and therefore such a matching would have been misleading. These data are at variance from those of the previous studies indicating a direct correlation between gonadal status and visceral adiposity, with TS at diagnosis having a higher waist than normal women with similar BMI (16, 21). On the other hand, these results do not support either the evidence that HRT is able to improve fat-free mass and waist–hip ratio in women with TS as
reported by some authors (21) or the role of HRT in preventing abdominal fat accumulation in post-menopausal women (22). Unfortunately, we did not perform a body composition evaluation that would have better differentiated patients from controls and better characterized TS adult women.

In agreement with other studies (8–10), we found an impaired insulin sensitivity, in term of higher HOMA index and abnormal glucose response to OGTT, in a higher percentage of patients than controls. The lack of any correlation between BMI or waist circumference and glucose tolerance is in agreement with the previous studies that demonstrated a higher frequency of type 2 diabetes mellitus and IGT in women with TS than in normal women, independent of BMI (4). Interestingly, the existence of a negative correlation between these glycemic parameters and circulating E₂ levels in our patients confirms the possible role of E₂ in the derangement of glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity, as previously reported in TS (10, 23) and in normal post-menopausal women with diabetes mellitus (24), although circulating E₂ levels alone do not reflect the adequacy of HRT in the absence of adjunctive clinical evaluation. At present, data concerning the effects of estrogens on glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity in humans are conflicting. In particular, short-term supraphysiological estrogen administration possesses an adverse effect on glucose tolerance, resulting from the suppression of first-phase insulin secretion and increased insulin, whereas the main long-term effect of estrogens is preservation of the pancreatic insulin responses to glucose (25). Despite the limitations of using OGTT-derived parameters to evaluate insulin sensitivity, our findings strongly support the concept of an insulin resistance state as the main mechanism of Turner diabetogenic phenotype that was not completely normalized by HRT.

As far as the lipid profile is concerned, we found a higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in patients than in controls, although no significant differences between the two groups were found for LDL- and HDL-cholesterol. However, none of the patients showed LDL-cholesterol > 190 mg/dl, the cutoff level for pharmacological intervention, and 10% of them displayed LDL-cholesterol > 160 mg/dl, the cutoff level for lifestyle intervention (17). The absence of correlation between lipid profile and E₂ levels recorded in our study suggests that the influence of E₂ in the development of hypercholesterolemia in TS is minor, whereas the positive correlation between lipids and waist circumference implies that central obesity may be involved in the derangement of the lipid profile in this disease. Our findings are in reference with those of the other authors' findings who have reported high cholesterol levels in untreated TS, independent of BMI and karyotype (26). On the other hand, other investigators have not confirmed that in TS, cholesterol values differ from those of normal women (10), whereas a higher prevalence of hypertriglyceridemia in TS was reported in other studies (11, 27). Our results do not support a possible role of HRT in the improvement of lipid profile, as reported in post-menopausal women (28–31), but this is not surprising given the different clinical and hormonal conditions of TS patients that makes the comparison with post-menopausal normal women inappropriate. Randomized controlled trials in larger group of patients are required to definitively assess the effect of HRT on lipid profile in females with TS.

In agreement with other reports, we showed a higher prevalence of hypertension in our patients, although lower than that estimated by epidemiological studies (7). Furthermore, the 24 h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring revealed a loss of nocturnal reduction in blood pressure suggestive of a diagnosis of arterial hypertension in patients otherwise considered normotensive by single ambulatory blood pressure evaluation. The exact mechanism of hypertension in TS has not been clearly identified: an increase in plasma renin activity has been found in 50% of cases by some authors and abnormal vagosympathetic tone, explaining relative tachycardia, has recently been described (7). As hypertension is an important risk factor for cardiovascular complications, it is important that TS patients undergo 24 h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring to detect the presence of hypertension that would be missed by a single blood pressure measurement. The lack of effect of HRT on blood pressure is not consistent with some studies in women with TS (10) as well as in post-menopausal women (32), whereas other authors reported a reduced diastolic blood pressure during HRT in TS (33).

As increased IMT is considered a precursor of clinically detectable atherosclerosis and is associated with higher cardiovascular risk (34), we also evaluated this parameter in our patients, compared with controls,
and were unable to detect any abnormality; this result agrees with that of other studies in women with TS that reported no effect of HRT on ambulatory arterial stiffness index (33), although it opposes previous trials showing beneficial effects of HRT on IMT in comparably aged TS women (35).

The absence of correlation between IMT and E2 in TS seems, once again, to make a significant role of estrogen in the development of human atherosclerosis and endothelial dysfunction in adult TS unlikely. Our results are in contrast with other studies in TS and post-menopausal women, where IMT has been reported to be high at diagnosis and normalized by estrogen treatment (32, 35–39). A possible explanation for these contradictory results may be a differential effect of estrogens at different stages of the atherosclerotic disease process, with beneficial anti-atherogenic effects earlier in life but pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic effects predominating in the older, post-menopausal age group.

As central adiposity together with insulin resistance and hyperlipidemia are well-recognized risk factors for cardiovascular disease, our overall results confirm that adult patients with TS had a more harmful metabolic and cardiovascular profile than healthy women, independent of the karyotype.

Considering that the management of adult patients with TS is a critical issue of debate, the results of our study, together with those of the previous studies, indicate that an evaluation of cardiovascular risk factors and optimal hormonal replacement is mandatory in this rare syndrome.

Our study suffers from some limitations: first, the small number of patients, as well as their heterogeneity, did not allow us to draw definitive conclusions on morbidity; secondly, we were unable to correlate the type of karyotype or HRT with the metabolic/cardiovascular profile, as we included different karyotypes and therapeutic regimens; thirdly, the absence of comparative cohort group of patients without HRT did not allow us to draw definitive conclusions on the role of HRT in metabolic and cardiovascular impairment of TS.

In conclusion, our results indicate that adult patients with TS under HRT are characterized by a higher frequency of central obesity, insulin resistance, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertension, suggesting an increased cardiovascular risk. Whether the cardiovascular risk is intrinsic to the disease or related to the HRT deserves further investigation.
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