Sheehan's syndrome in modern times: a nationwide retrospective study in Iceland
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Abstract

Background and objective: Half a century ago the prevalence of Sheehan’s syndrome (SS) was 10–20 per 100 000 women. With better obstetric help the prevalence is assumed to have decreased, especially in developed countries. The aim of this study is to estimate the prevalence of SS in modern times in Iceland.

Design: We studied the prevalence of SS in 2009 in a nationwide retrospective population-based study.

Methods: All patients with diagnosed SS were identified, and information regarding obstetric care, clinical presentation and hormonal assays was collected. Correlation was calculated with Kendall’s tau-b. Significance level: \( P < 0.05 \).

Results: Eight women were identified with SS; thus, the prevalence of SS in 2009 was 5.1 per 100 000 women. The mean age at delivery and diagnosis was 33.0 and 36.6 respectively, resulting in a diagnostic delay (DD) of 1–240 months. Four women had low blood pressure during delivery, and five had massive blood loss (> 1000 ml). Six had complicated deliveries. The most common clinical presentation was failure to lactate and failure to resume menstruation. The patients had three to five failing pituitary axes. There was no correlation between bleeding at delivery or the number of hormonal axes affected and DD.

Conclusion: The prevalence of SS in Iceland was higher than we expected in a country with modern obstetric care. Long DD and incidental diagnosis indicate that women might be lacking correct diagnosis and treatment, and thus the prevalence of SS is even higher. As SS is easily diagnosed and treatable, but can be life-threatening if unrecognised, doctors need to be aware of the disease.
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Introduction

Sheehan’s syndrome (SS) is a pituitary failure occurring in women after labour (1). Half a century ago, the prevalence of SS was 10–20 per 100 000 women (2). With better obstetric help, it is assumed the incidence and prevalence has decreased, especially in the developed countries, and has therefore received little attention in recent years (3). The current incidence and prevalence of SS in developed countries is thus not well known. In the underdeveloped countries where home deliveries are widely practised and obstetric help is poor, SS is a big health issue. The prevalence of SS in India is estimated to be 2.7–3.9% among parous women older than 20 years (4). In an international database (KIMS database) containing 1034 patients with GH deficiency (GHD), SS is the cause in 3.1% of the cases, and in a Spanish cross-sectional study, SS is the culprit of GHD in 6–10% of the cases (5, 6). It is therefore possible that SS is more common in the western world than was previously thought. The aim of this study is to estimate the prevalence of SS in modern times in Iceland.

Materials and methods

Patients

This is a retrospective study of the prevalence of SS in Iceland. The study population \( n=157300 \) was composed of all women in Iceland on 1st of January 2009. The fertility rate in Iceland is 2.11 for the years 1981–2005, and still births per 1000 live births for the same years are 3.1 (Hagstofa Islands, hagtolur. [cited 14th of February 2010]; Available from www.hagstofa.is). The patients were identified by asking all practicing endocrinologists in Iceland \( n=9 \) to provide
information about their patients with SS. Patients were further identified through the electronic medical record system (from 1983) at the Landspitali University Hospital in Iceland, by scanning for the diagnosis of hypopituitarism (E23) under the International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition (ICD-10), where patients with SS were extracted.

The study protocol was approved by the National Bioethics Committee (ethical licence no: VSNb 2009/06/0004/03.15) and Data Protection Committee in Iceland.

**Data collection, hormonal measurements and statistical analysis**

Data on demographic and obstetric features, mode of presentation, diagnostic delay (DD), and blood and radiographic test results were collected. *Post-partum* haemorrhage (PPH) was defined as little if $<500$ ml, medium if $500–1000$ ml and gross if more than $1000$ ml had occurred in the first 24 h after delivery. Transfusion was documented if needed in the first 24 h after delivery. Hypotension at delivery was defined as documented systolic blood pressure below 90 mmHg. Results of hormonal blood values were from the time of diagnosis. All diagnostic testing was done in a standardised manner at that time. No attempt was made to criticise the methods used for investigation of the patients: values are presented with given reference values as provided in a respective patient’s medical record. Information on the results of hormonal measurements in relation to the menstrual cycle was not available for any of the women. Synacthen testing was performed with $250 \mu g$ Synacthen, and in the insulin tolerance tests, an injection of Actrapid 0.1 U/kg was given intravenously according to the clinical guidelines.

A professional statistician was consulted for statistical analysis. Excel was used for calculating prevalence. Numerical variables are presented as averages with the range. Correlation calculations were done with Kendall’s tau-b, a non-parametric rank test, and results were considered significant if $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

We found 8 women with confirmed SS, leading to a prevalence of 5.1 per 100,000 women.

**Demographic and obstetric features**

One patient was excluded from further investigation as clinical data was largely missing. She was born in 1928 and gave birth in the 1960s. For seven patients, detailed histories were available and are referred to as numbers 1–7 (see Table 1). The age range at the time of the research was 41–55 years, and the women gave birth between the years 1985 and 2008. The DD was from 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pat no.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Year of birth</th>
<th>Year of delivery</th>
<th>Year of diagnosis</th>
<th>Diagnostic delay (months)</th>
<th>Blood pressure</th>
<th>Blood loss g</th>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>Loss of lactation</th>
<th>Menstr.</th>
<th>Hormonal deficiency</th>
<th>CT/MRI taken</th>
<th>Later pregnancy</th>
<th>Menstr.</th>
<th>CT/MRI taken</th>
<th>Pat, patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/G</td>
<td>CT: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/G</td>
<td>CT: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/G</td>
<td>MRI: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/P/G</td>
<td>CT: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/P/G</td>
<td>MRI: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/G</td>
<td>MRI: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S/A/T/P/G</td>
<td>MRI: PES</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age refers to the age when included in the study. ACS, acute C-section; Menstr., menstruation; ART, assisted reproductive technology; N, normal sella; PES, partially empty sella; CES, completely empty sella; S, somatotrop insufficiency; A, corticotrop insufficiency; T, thyrocotrop insufficiency; P, prolactin insufficiency; G, gonadotrop insufficiency; Pat, patient.

*a*Estimated blood loss: $<500$ ml, not significant; $500–1000$ ml, significant; $>1000$ ml, multiple more transfusions.

*b*Patient had hypothyreosis already treated with thyroxin.

*c*Patient had Cabercolinum after delivery to stop lactation.

**Table 1** Demographic features, mode and complications of delivery and clinical outcome of seven patients with Sheehan’s syndrome.

www.eje-online.org
month to 20 years. Four of the women gave birth at Landspitali University Hospital (tertiary hospital), two in smaller hospitals and one in a birth centre. Four patients had documented low blood pressure. All seven women had medium to gross blood loss, and six of them received blood transfusions. Most of these deliveries were complicated (see Table 1). Patient no. 1 had an acute Caesarean section (C-section) and medium blood loss. Patient no. 2 had an acute C-section and gross blood loss. Patient no. 3 had a vaginal delivery, inversion of the uterus and gross blood loss. Patient no. 4 had a vaginal delivery that resulted in rupture of the cervix and gross blood loss. Patient no. 5 had atonic bleeding with gross blood loss after vaginal delivery of twins, and patient no. 6 had a ruptured uterus and an acute C-section with intra-partum fetal death. Patient no. 7 had an uncomplicated vaginal delivery with medium blood loss. A summary of demographic and obstetric features can be seen in Table 1.

**Symptoms and radiographic features**

Loss of lactation \((n=6)\) and failure to resume menstruation \((n=6)\) were the most common symptoms. All of the women had various unspecific symptoms such as lethargy, intolerance of cold, heat flushes, decreased libido, dry skin and mood changes. Some had diminished genital and axial hair. One patient conceived again with assistive reproductive technology. Six of seven patients had partially or completely empty sella on computed tomography/magnetic resonance imaging (CT/MRI) though patient no. 7 had a normal pituitary on MRI that was taken 6 weeks after delivery.

**Pituitary function**

The results of serum levels and a review of hormonal axis are given in Tables 2–4. Patient nos 1, 2 and 5 had deficiency of all anterior pituitary hormones, and another four women had failure of two to four of the five pituitary axes. Patient no. 7 had low prolactin (PRL) level, and all of the other women had low-normal or normal PRL levels. As none of the women were able to lactate after delivery, a relative PRL deficiency was assumed. Patient no. 6 had received Cabercolinum to hinder lactation after delivery, and analysis of serum levels of PRL was not performed. Patient no. 1, serum IGF1 was low, and she was assumed to have GHD, and no further testing for GHD was done. Patient no. 2 had normal cortisol at diagnosis (1988), but due to persistent symptoms she was repeatedly evaluated for cortisol deficiency and treated with hydrocortisone due to low urinary cortisol excretion in 1998 (89 nmol/24 h, reference value 97–330 nmol/24 h). Patient no. 3 had four pituitary axes affected. Her TSH axis was first assumed to be normal according to reference values, but due to her symptoms she was re-evaluated as having subclinical hypothyroidism, and
as her clinical response to treatment was undoubtful, she was assumed to have central hypothyroidism. Patient no. 4 had two axes affected. Patient no. 7 was taking levothyroxin (L-T4) before delivery, as most of her thyroid gland had been removed years earlier because of colloid cysts, but as she had normal serum levels of free T4 (fT4) and very low levels of TSH, it was assumed she had central hypothyrosis as well.

There was no correlation between bleeding at delivery or number of hormonal axes affected or DD. Significant correlation ($P = 0.042$, Kendall’s tau-b correlation coefficient = 0.767) was seen between adrenal axis failure and the number of axes affected but not between adrenal axis failure and bleeding at delivery or DD. No patient had clinical symptoms of diabetes insipidus, so the posterior part of the pituitary was not evaluated further.

### Discussion

The prevalence of SS in the Icelandic population in 2009 is 5.1 per 100 000 women. This, as expected, is much lower than results from India but higher than expected in a developed country with good obstetric help, indicating that SS should not be ignored in modern societies (4).

Our study is a nationwide population-based study in a well-defined population. Few endocrinologists (altogether nine) are responsible for treatment of adult patients in Iceland, and there is only one University Hospital. The most advanced obstetric department in the country is at this hospital as well as the only Department of Endocrinology. Furthermore, Iceland has a complete birth registry since 1972 and a complete national registry since 1914 (Hagstofa Islands, hagto- [cited 14th of February 2010]; Available from www.hagstofa.is, Landlæknir. [cited 1st of May 2010]; Available from www.landlaeknir.is/Heilbrigdistolfraedi/Gagnasofn/Faedingraskra). We therefore believe the study is a reliable source of descriptive data on patients with SS as well as representative of the prevalence of SS in western countries with modern obstetric help. For the sake of comparison, the population in our study was defined as all women alive, as Dr Sheehan did in his publication, which has often been cited (2).

We found great variation in DD, from 1 month to 20 years, which is in line with the few published data (4, 7–9). The patient with the longest DD was incidentally diagnosed (no. 3) even though she was found to have four pituitary axes affected. This indicates that women with SS might be lacking correct diagnosis and treatment. Thus, the prevalence of SS in western countries may be even higher than presented in this study.

We did not find a correlation between DD and bleeding at delivery or the number of hormonal axes affected. PPH and/or hypotension causing pituitary necrosis have been thought to be the main cause of SS,

### Table 3

Results of hormonal analysis of gonadotropins in seven patients with Sheehan’s syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pat no.</th>
<th>FSH (U/l)</th>
<th>LH (U/l)</th>
<th>Oestradiol (pmol/l)</th>
<th>FSH (U/l)</th>
<th>LH (U/l)</th>
<th>Oestradiol (pmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Women 1.0–8.0</td>
<td>73–515</td>
<td>Women 1.0–8.0</td>
<td>Men 1.0–8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Women 1.0–8.0</td>
<td>1.0–900</td>
<td>Women 1.0–8.0</td>
<td>3.0–10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>1.0–900</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>2.0–12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>1.0–900</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>2.0–12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>1.0–900</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>2.0–12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>1.0–900</td>
<td>Women 1.0–12.0</td>
<td>2.0–12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Women 1.0–21.0</td>
<td>1.0–900</td>
<td>Women 1.0–21.0</td>
<td>2.0–12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; Oestradiol, oestradiol; PM, postmenopausal.
which is in line with Sheehan’s definition made in 1937 (1, 10). Five of the women had more than 1000 ml PPH and would therefore fit Dr Sheehan’s definition well. Two of the women had less PPH (nos 1 and 7) and interestingly woman No. 7 had the shortest DD and the most serious symptoms. She did not have a traumatic delivery or hypotension during or after the delivery, and the estimated blood loss was 700 ml. On the other hand, she had psoriasis diagnosed before pregnancy, indicating that the cause of her post-partum hypopituitarism might be autoimmune hypophysitis. As antibodies against the pituitary are not on the open market, we have not been able to test this theory. Theoretically, women with autoimmune diseases might be at higher risk for post-partum hypopituitarism.

All women in this study failed to resume menstruation and were not able to lactate, which is in line with earlier studies (7, 11, 12). Serum levels of PRL were not measured until the time of diagnosis or 1–240 months post delivery. Only one patient (no. 7), the one with the shortest DD (1 month), had low PRL at the time of diagnosis. It is therefore impossible to interpret the PRL levels post delivery for the other patients, but as none of the women could lactate, they are assumed to have had PRL deficiency. Evaluation of the serum levels of PRL is a simple and relatively inexpensive method to test for the cause of failure to lactate, and by responding to these specific and obvious early symptoms of SS by evaluating PRL, the DD can be shortened considerably. Failure to lactate should be evaluated by measurements of serum PRL levels. Doctors should be aware of failing to lactate, and by responding to these symptoms of SS as it can be easily diagnosed and treated, and it causes increased morbidity and can be life-threatening if unrecognised.
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