Differences in the insulin tolerance test in patients with brain damage depending on posture
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Abstract

Objective: The insulin tolerance test (ITT) is the gold standard for the diagnosis of GH deficiency (GHD) and hypocortisolism. As hypopituitarism is a common disorder after traumatic brain injury (TBI) and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), the test is increasingly used in patients with pre-existing brain damage.

Design: A cross-sectional, observational study.

Methods: Fifty-six patients (41 TBI and 15 SAH) were tested with the ITT (0.15 IE/kg body weight, mean glucose 33 mg/dl). In 38 patients, the test was performed in a supine position; the other 18 patients were in a sitting position during the ITT.

Results: Hypocortisolism and GHD were more often diagnosed in a supine than in a sitting position (hypocortisolism: 55.3% supine versus 0% sitting, \( P < 0.0001 \); GHD: 42.1% supine versus 11.1% sitting, \( P = 0.03 \)). Patients in a sitting position suffered more often from symptoms such as tachycardia (61.1% sitting versus 15.8% supine, \( P = 0.001 \)), trembling (22.2 vs 7.9%, NS), and sweating (66.7 vs 28.9%, \( P = 0.007 \)). There were no significant differences between the groups in drowsiness (72.2% sitting versus 65.8% supine, NS), dizziness (44.4 vs 44.7%, NS), and fatigue (33.3 vs 15.8%, NS). Because of somnolence, the hypoglycemic state could only be stopped with i.v. administration of glucose in 25 supine patients (66%). In contrast, none of the 18 patients (0%) tested in a sitting position got somnolent or was in need of i.v. application of glucose (\( P < 0.001 \)).

Conclusions: In patients with brain injury, posture might affect rates of diagnosing GHD and hypocortisolism and sympathetic symptoms in the ITT. These findings are exploratory and need replication in a standardized setting.
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Introduction

Hypopituitarism is a common complication after traumatic brain injury (TBI) and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) (1–5). As the insulin tolerance test (ITT) is regarded as the gold standard to diagnose disorders of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis (6), the test is more often used in patients with brain damage. Hypoglycemia (<40 mg/dl or 2.2 mmol/l blood glucose level respectively) induced by i.v. application of insulin increases stress hormones such as ACTH, cortisol, and GH. Even though the ITT is used since the 1960s (7, 8), there is no published detailed standard operating procedure (SOP) concerning the posture for the test.

Recently, Kokshoorn et al. (9) compared 14 studies with 913 patients after TBI and reported a large variation in the prevalence rates of hypopituitarism. Methodological issues and differences were identified as possible reasons for the high variation. The ITT was still discussed as gold standard, but Kokshoorn et al. outlined the low number of patients with brain damage tested with the ITT.

Performing a screening program for patients after TBI or SAH, patients were further tested with the ITT. Hereby, we revealed different symptoms and test results in the ITT depending on posture, which are noteworthy to be reported. In this analysis, we aimed at comparing the effects of posture in the ITT on diagnosing hypocortisolism and GH deficiency (GHD) and on side effects in a cross-sectional, observational study.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

Data from 56 patients (33 men, 23 women, age 20–64 years, mean age 42 years) from the Schön Klinik Bad...
Aibling, Bad Aibling, Germany, were sampled from 2006 to 2009. The patients gave informed, written consent to participate in the ITT. For patients who were not able to understand the whole significance and character of the study, consent was provided by a legally accepted representative. The ITT was part of the study to determine the prevalence of pituitary deficiency in the chronic phase in 509 patients after TBI and SAH, in which 41 patients with TBI and 15 patients with SAH were further tested with the ITT. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Bavarian physicians’ chamber in Munich.

Inclusion criteria were TBI of all grades, SAH, a modified Rankin scale (MRS) of 0–3 (0, no symptoms; 1, no substantial disability despite symptoms; 2, slight disability; and 3, moderate disability) (10), age of 18–65 years, and a body mass index (BMI) from 17 to 30 kg/m². Patients with glucocorticoid therapy within 3 weeks or GH treatment within 12 months, with pre-existing pituitary diseases, history of cranial irradiation, severe cardiac, renal and hepatic diseases, sepsis, severe psychiatric disease or substance abuse, treatment with anabolic substances, participation in other clinical studies, pregnancy, and contraindications to ITT (coronary heart disease or known epilepsy) were excluded. If the seizure appeared within the first 24 h after brain damage, the ITT was performed at least 6 months after the epileptic seizure.

Time between brain injury and ITT was between 1 month and 13 years (mean time 2 years). From 2006 to 2007, 38 patients were tested supine. Patients with conspicuous test results (n = 21) were referred to an endocrinologist. Twelve patients were treated at the Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry in Munich, (Endocrinology Group). Retesting of eight patients with insufficient increase in GH (< 3 μg/l or < 0.140 nmol/l respectively) or cortisol (< 18 μg/dl or < 497 nmol/l respectively) in a sitting position in the Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry could not confirm our diagnosis of GHD or hypocortisolism in these patients. Discussing the possible reasons for the different test results, we compared both ways of performing the ITT in the Max Planck Institute, Munich, Endocrinology Group, and in the Schön Klinik Bad Aibling. Hereby, we discovered that patients were tested in the Max Planck Institute for environmental reasons in a sitting position, whereas patients were tested supine in the Schön Klinik Bad Aibling. Therefore, we changed our testing position in the Schön Klinik Bad Aibling for the ITT from a supine into a sitting position. Since September 2008, 18 patients were tested in a sitting position. Both groups were not different in mean age, MRS, BMI, and lowest blood glucose (Table 1). Because of a possible and often occurring secondary impairment, the initial GCS does not always reflect the severity of illness. Therefore, we used the MRS to describe the more important long-term disability.

### Table 1 Subject characteristics. Means ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Supine</th>
<th>Sitting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>22 M, 16 F</td>
<td>11 M, 7 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>42 ± 14</td>
<td>40 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25.16 ± 3.65</td>
<td>24.17 ± 4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS 3</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOmin</td>
<td>32 ± 5</td>
<td>35 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BGmin, minimal blood glucose; BMI, body mass index; MRS, modified Rankin scale at admittance to hospital; MRS 3, modified Rankin scale at ITT; TBI, traumatic brain injury; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.

### Hormonal and clinical assessments

Because of the diurnal rhythm of ACTH and cortisol, the ITT was performed in the morning (between 0800 and 0845 h). Patients were fasting since 2200 h the day before. Blood was drawn by an i.v. line. About 15 min after setting the i.v. cannula, basal hormones and fasting cortisol (normal range 5–25 μg/dl or 138–690 nmol/l respectively) were measured. For IGF1 measurement, the age-dependent SDS was calculated according to Brabant et al. (11).

After insulin dose of 0.15 IE/kg body weight was applied (Insuman Rapid 40 IU/ml, Sanofi-Aventis, Frankfurt on the Main, Germany), blood sugar was measured regularly with a B. Braun Ominitet Plus (Melsungen, Germany) at least every 15 min in the first hour of the test. In most cases, 30 min after i.v. insulin injection, the blood sugar level dropped to 40 mg/dl (2.2 mmol/l) or the patient suffered from typical symptoms of hypoglycemia for at least 15 min. GH and cortisol were measured after 0, 30, 45, 90, and 120 min after measuring the lowest blood sugar level. Patients with persisting high blood sugar levels and lack of symptoms were treated with a second insulin dose depending on the blood sugar level.

Typical symptoms of hypoglycemia such as hunger, tachycardia, trembling, sweating, dizziness, fatigue, loss of vigilance, heat sensations, and others declared by the patients were recorded. After achieving the lowest possible blood sugar level (range 17–47 mg/dl or 0.9–2.6 mmol/l respectively; mean 33 mg/dl or 1.8 mmol/l respectively), the hypoglycemic state was interrupted by food intake. In severe cases of somnolence (2 supine patients, no sitting patient), an i.v. solution of 20% glucose had to be administered.

### Hormone measurements

Blood was centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min, and the serum was stored at −20°C until further processing. GH and IGF1 were measured by chemiluminescence with the Nichols Advantage System (Nichols Institute Diagnostics, San Clemente, CA, USA) until February.
hypocortisolism or GHD could be confirmed by the criteria mentioned above (Table 2). Statistically, there was no difference in age, sex, BMI, MRS at admittance, and lowest blood glucose in the ITT in both groups. Of the 38 patients tested supine, two had capillary glucose levels > 40 mg/dl (> 2.2 mmol/l) (45 and 47 mg/dl or 2.5 and 2.6 mmol/l respectively); one of these two patients showed lower cortisol values and was suspected of hypocortisolism. In the group of patients tested in a sitting position, five patients revealed capillary blood glucose levels > 40 mg/dl (> 2.2 mmol/l) (40 mg/dl, 42 mg/dl, 45 mg/dl (2 ×), 46 mg/dl or 2.2 mmol/l, 2.3 mmol/l, 2.5 mmol/l (2 ×), and 2.6 mmol/l respectively), none of them showed hormonal disturbances. Since in most patients the serum glucose level, which was measured for dual control, dropped to < 40 mg/dl (< 2.2 mmol/l) and all patients showed clinically strong signs of hypoglycemia, no patient was excluded from the observational report.

A low age-related IGFI level was measured in 16% (6/38 patients) of the patients tested supine; in 84% of these patients, a low IGFI level corresponded with GHD. In 29% of the patients tested supine, GHD was not indicated by lowered IGFI levels. Similar to the patients tested supine, 16.7% of the patients tested in a sitting position (3/18 patients) revealed low age-related IGFI levels, but in none of them, GHD was confirmed with the ITT. In 16.7% of the patients tested in a sitting position, GHD was not indicated by lowered IGFI levels.

Patients tested supine showed a lower GH peak in the ITT (median 4.9 µg/l (0.23 nmol/l), minimum 0.1 µg/l (0.0047 nmol/l), maximum 46 µg/l (2.14 nmol/l)) than patients tested in a sitting position (median 8.7 µg/l (0.405 nmol/l), minimum 1.4 µg/l (0.065 nmol/l), maximum 30 µg/l (1.395 nmol/l); Fig. 1a). As shown in Fig. 1b, not only GH peak levels, but also cortisol peak levels in the ITT were lower in patients tested supine (median 16.8 µg/dl (464 nmol/l), minimum 8.6 µg/dl (237 nmol/l), maximum 38.3 µg/dl (1057 nmol/l)) than in patients tested in a sitting position (median 23.1 µg/dl (638 nmol/l), minimum 18.1 µg/dl (500 nmol/l), maximum 32.8 µg/l (905 nmol/l)).

Table 2 Retesting of previous corticotropic or somatotropic insufficient patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>GH peak retest sitting (previous supine) (µg/l)</th>
<th>Cortisol peak retest sitting (previous supine) (µg/dl)</th>
<th>Time to retesting (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>21.3 (15.4)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>22.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>22.2 (13.8)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8.73 (4.3)</td>
<td>19.9 (14.1)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>14 (0.1)</td>
<td>24.8 (14.2)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>19.9 (0.1)</td>
<td>22.3 (10.2)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>15 (0.1)</td>
<td>24.4 (12.9)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>20 (0.6)</td>
<td>24.3 (13.1)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>26.0 (15.9)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Endocrine insufficiency

We detected hypocortisolism (peak cortisol < 18 µg/dl or < 497 nmol/l respectively) in 21 patients (37.5%) after TBI and SAH (21 of 38 patients (55.3%) tested supine and 0 of 18 patients (0%) tested in a sitting position, $P < 0.00001$) and GHD (peak GH < 3 µg/l or < 0.140 nmol/l respectively) in 18 patients (32.1%) after TBI and SAH (16 of 38 patients (42.1%) tested supine and 2 of 18 patients (11.1%) tested in a sitting position, $P = 0.03$).

In none of the eight patients with hypocortisolism or GHD retested in a sitting position at the Department of Clinical Endocrinology, MPI of Psychiatry, Munich,
Symptoms

Patients in a sitting position suffered from symptoms of the sympathetic nervous system such as tachycardia (61.1% sitting versus 15.8% supine, \( P = 0.001 \)), trembling (22.2% sitting versus 7.9% supine, NS), and sweating (66.7% sitting versus 28.9% supine, \( P = 0.007 \); Fig. 2a). There was no significant difference in declaring central nervous symptoms such as drowsiness (72.2% sitting versus 65.8% supine, NS) and dizziness (44.4% sitting versus 44.7% supine, NS; Fig. 2b). Because of somnolence induced by hypoglycemia in the ITT, the hypoglycemic state could not be stopped by food intake but only with i.v. administration of glucose in 25 supine patients (25 of 38 patients (66%) tested supine versus 0 of 18 patients (0%) tested in a sitting position, \( P < 0.0001 \)). Of these 25 patients treated with a glucose solution, 15 (60%) showed hormonal disturbances in the ITT (ten patients with GHD in combination with hypocortisolism, one patient with GHD, and four patients with hypocortisolism). In 13 patients tested supine, no glucose infusion was necessary to normalize the blood glucose level. Of these 13 patients, eight (61.5%) showed hormonal insufficiencies. GHD in combination with hypocortisolism was diagnosed in four patients; in another four patients, hypocortisolism was diagnosed as single hormonal disturbance. Testing patients in a sitting position, all patients were able to intake food by themselves, and none of these patients got somnolent.

No epileptic seizure occurred during the tests in all 56 patients.

Discussion

We found that patients tested supine in the ITT showed significantly less adrenergic signs such as trembling, tachycardia, and sweating than patients tested in a sitting position. Furthermore, most supine patients got somnolent during the ITT. Hypocortisolism and GHD were significantly more often diagnosed in patients tested supine.

![Figure 1](https://www.eje-online.org)

**Figure 1** GH peak (a) and cortisol peak (b) in the insulin tolerance test in patients tested supine and in a sitting position; the central box covers the interquartile range, the median is indicated by the line within the box, whiskers extend to the most extreme values within 1.5 interquartile ranges of the quartiles, more extreme values are plotted individually as points or in case of extreme outliers as stars respectively. Statistical analyses were performed with the Mann–Whitney U test.

![Figure 2](https://www.eje-online.org)

**Figure 2** Adrenergic symptoms (a) and symptoms attributed to the central nervous system (b) during hypoglycemia in the insulin tolerance test assessed by Pearson \( \chi^2 \) and by Fisher’s exact test.
Physiologically, posture has a significant influence on vigilance and arousal reaction (12, 13), which is well known and applied daily, e.g. in rehabilitation therapy (14, 15). Vigilance and arousal reactions are mainly influenced by the brain stem areas such as the ascending reticular activating system, which is connected to the hypothalamic regions. In patients with brain damage as TBI or SAH, neuronal structures like the hypothalamus, the thalamus, the pituitary gland and the brain stem are often affected. This damage might lead to a lower stimulation of the hypothalamus and impair catecholamine release since the ventromedial hypothalamus is responsible for the release of catecholamines in hypoglycemia (16, 17).

Additionally, most patients tested supine got somnolent during the test. Thus, the hypoglycemic state had to be terminated by i.v. administration of glucose. Even if the aim of attaining a blood glucose level lower than 40 mg/dl (<2.2 mmol/l) was reached, the time to maintain this low level was probably too short and the normalization was too rapid to adequately stimulate the corticotrop/somatotrop axis. This could explain the high rate of hypocortisolism and GHD in patients tested supine possibly as false positive results. Retesting of patients in a sitting position could not confirm the diagnosis.

In line with our observations, Nye et al. (18) reported that the maximum cortisol level in the ITT depended on the duration of hypoglycemia. The influence of glucose applied during the hypoglycemic state in the ITT is discussed controversially in the literature (19, 20); therefore, a significant influence on the result of the ITT cannot safely be ruled out.

Since we present observations in the ITT while performing our screening program, our analysis has several limitations to be addressed. First of all, we did not perform ITT in supine and sitting positions in all subjects, and in those subjects in whom this was performed, the delay between the two tests was sometimes large. Hence, our findings must be considered observational. Potential alternative explanations for our findings are true differences in rates of hypopituitarism among groups and spontaneous recovery of pituitary function in those subjects tested. Even though, we cannot rule out these alternative explanations, such an unequal distribution in rates of pituitary deficits seems unusual, given the similar group characteristics. And, though spontaneous regeneration of the pituitary gland is possible, it is unlikely that the diagnosis of hypopituitarism could not be verified in even one patient (3–5).

In order to confirm our hypothesis, further investigations are necessary, such as a cross-over study or randomized study with patients after TBI or SAH and healthy controls. When the ITT was first applied in 1966, control subjects (7) and patients with hypothalamic or pituitary dysfunction (8) were tested in a horizontal position, at least before the first blood sample was drawn. But none of them suffered from brain damage as TBI or SAH.

Of note is that our results may partly explain the high variation in rates of hypopituitarism in patients with TBI and SAH in different studies, attributing them to the variance of SOP used.

Declaration of interest
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding
This work was part of the project ‘Patients after traumatic brain injury or subarachnoid hemorrhage – screening for hypopituitarism’ (project number NRA 6280051), which was supported by an unrestricted grant from Pfizer GmbH, Germany.

Acknowledgements
We thank B Schüpers for help in data assessment and in the performance of the ITT and I Kilimann for the performance of ITT in supine position.

References
7 Greenwood FC, Landon J & Stamp TC. The plasma sugar, free fatty acid, cortisol, and growth hormone response to insulin. I. In control subjects. Journal of Clinical Investigation 1966 45 429–436. (doi:10.1172/JCI105357)
8 Landon J, Greenwood FC, Stamp TC & Wynn V. The plasma sugar, free fatty acid, cortisol, and growth hormone response to insulin, and the comparison of this procedure with other tests of
pituitary and adrenal function. II. In patients with hypothalamic or pituitary dysfunction or anorexia nervosa. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 1966 **45** 437–449. (doi:10.1172/JCI105358)


17 Routh VI. Glucose-sensing neurons: are they physiologically relevant? *Physiology & Behavior* 2002 **76** 403–413. (doi:10.1016/S0031-9384(02)00761-8)


Received 11 October 2010
Accepted 27 October 2010

---

www.eje-online.org