Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels are associated with increased all-cause mortality risk in a general population: the Tromsø study
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Abstract

Objective: Ecologic and observational studies have suggested an association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, CVD mortality, and cancer mortality. Based on this, low serum 25(OH)D levels should be associated with higher all-cause mortality in a general population. This hypothesis was tested in the present study.

Design: The Tromsø study is a longitudinal population-based multipurpose study initiated in 1974 with focus on lifestyle-related diseases. Our data are based on the fourth Tromsø study carried out in 1994–1995.

Methods: Information about death and cause of death was registered by obtaining information from the National Directory of Residents and the Death Cause Registry. Serum 25(OH)D was measured in 7161 participants in the fourth Tromsø study. Results are presented for smokers (n=2410) and non-smokers (n=4751) separately as our immunoassay seems to overestimate 25(OH)D levels for smokers.

Results: During a mean 11.7 years of follow-up, 1359 (19.0%) participants died. In multivariate regression models, there was a significantly increased risk of all-cause mortality (hazard ratio (HR) 1.32, confidence interval (CI) 1.07–1.62) among non-smoking participants in the lowest 25(OH)D quartile when compared with participants in the highest quartile. Equivalent results for smokers were not significant (HR 1.06, CI 0.83–1.35).

Conclusions: Low serum 25(OH)D levels were associated with increased all-cause mortality for non-smokers, but the results did not reach statistical significance for smokers. However, low 25(OH)D levels are known to be associated with impaired general health, and randomized controlled studies are needed to address the question of causality.

Introduction

Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent in populations globally, and there is accumulating evidence of a possible association with several common diseases (1). Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels have been proposed to influence risk of cancer, autoimmune diseases, infectious diseases, and cardiovascular disease (CVD), as well as the known effect on bone health (2). Supporting this hypothesis is the broad tissue distribution of the 1α-hydroxylase enzyme and the vitamin D receptor (VDR) (2).

There is no consensus as to what is the optimal serum concentration of 25(OH)D, but a serum level of 90–100 nmol/l has been suggested as the most advantageous serum concentration for all endpoints in a recent review (3). Vitamin D levels below 50 nmol/l are associated with an increase in serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels (4), and a decrease in physical performance among older persons (5). Very high levels of serum 25(OH)D also seem to be disadvantageous. Data from the NHANES III showed a lower risk of mortality at levels of 75–125 nmol/l, but a higher risk of mortality among women at levels > 125 nmol/l (6).

Ecologic studies (7, 8), observational data (6, 9–15), and clinical studies (16) have suggested a possible association between serum 25(OH)D levels and mortality, but findings have not been conclusive. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials addressing the association between vitamin D supplementation and total mortality concluded that intake of ordinary doses of vitamin D supplements was associated...
with decreases in mortality rates (16). Vitamin D regimens used in these trials ranged from 300 to 833 IU/day, and no toxic effects were described (16).

Accordingly, there are strong indications of an association between vitamin D level and risk of mortality. In the present study, we were able to test this hypothesis in a general population based on the measurement of 25(OH)D in serum samples from the fourth Tromsø study (1994–1995) and information about death and cause of death from national registers.

Materials and methods

Study population

The Tromsø study is a longitudinal population-based multipurpose study initiated in 1974 with focus on lifestyle-related diseases (17). The fourth Tromsø study was conducted in two phases in 1994–1995. All individuals aged 25 years or older living in the city of Tromsø were invited to participate in the first phase of the study, resulting in 27 158 participants and an attendance rate of 77%. Men aged 55–74 years, women aged 50–74 years, and a random sample of 5–10% of the remaining age groups between 25 and 84 years were preselected for the second phase of the survey, and 78% of the 10 213 preselected individuals attended (18). The study was conducted by the University of Tromsø in cooperation with the National Health Screening Service. The study was recommended by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics, and was approved by the Norwegian Data Inspectorate. Each participant gave written informed consent prior to the examinations. Serum 25(OH)D was measured in 7161 of 27 159 participants using an automated clinical chemical analyzer (reference range 2.20–2.60 mmol/l at the time of the study) was analyzed within a week of sampling using an automated analyzer (Modular P, Roche Diagnostics) with reagents from Boehringer Mannheim. Serum PTH was analyzed in 2001 in a subgroup of 3570 participants using an automated clinical chemical analyzer (Immulite 2000, Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, USA), with a reference range of 1.1–6.8 pmol/l for those who were 50 years and younger, and 1.1–7.5 pmol/l for those who were older than 50 years. Serum creatinine was analyzed in 1994–1995 by a modified Jaffe reaction (Roche Hitachi 911). Reference range was 55–100 μmol/l for women and 70–120 μmol/l for men. Serum total cholesterol (reference range 18–29 years, 2.9–6.1 mmol/l; 30–49 years, 3.3–6.9 mmol/l; >49 years, 3.9–7.8 mmol/l) and serum triglycerides (reference range 0.20–1.80 mmol/l) were analyzed by enzymatic colorimetric methods and commercially available kits (CHOD-PAP for cholesterol and GPO-PAP for triglycerides: Boehringer Mannheim). Serum high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol (reference range women, 1.0–2.7 mmol/l;
men, 0.8–2.1 nmol/l) was measured in 1994–1995 by an enzymatic method using an automated analyzer (Modular P, Roche Diagnostics) after precipitation of lower density lipoproteins with heparin and manganese chloride.

For analysis of 25(OH)D, sera from the second phase of the study were stored at −70 °C. After a median storage time of 13 years, sera were thawed in March 2008 and analyzed for 25(OH)D3, which was determined by immunometry (ECLIA) using an automated clinical chemistry analyzer (Modular E170, Roche Diagnostics). According to the manufacturer, the assay has, for total analytical precision, a coefficient of variation ≤7.8% as judged in any of three different concentrations (48.6, 73.8, and 177.0 nmol/l). The cross-reactivity with 25(OH)D2 was <10%, and the analytical sensitivity was 10 nmol/l. Five subjects had 25(OH)D below the detection limit, and their values were set to 5 nmol/l. At present, the laboratory has no analytical sensitivity was 10 nmol/l. Five subjects had 25(OH)D below the detection limit, and their values were set to 5 nmol/l. At present, the laboratory has no reference values for 25(OH)D3, but the manufacturer provides a population-based reference range of 27.7–107.0 nmol/l for adults as a guideline. With this method, we have found smokers to have 15–20% higher serum 25(OH)D levels than non-smokers. We have not found this difference when measuring serum 25(OH)D with other immunological or LC–MS–MS methods (data not shown). For this discrepancy, we have no explanation presently. We have chosen not to adjust for smoking status, as a possible dose–response effect of smoking would make correct adjustment in multivariate regression models difficult. We have therefore presented results for non-smokers and smokers separately.

### Statistical analyses

The distributions of dependent variables were considered normal when evaluated by visual inspection of histograms and Q–Q plots, skewness, and kurtosis. The population was divided into intra-monthly vitamin D quartiles by calculating 25(OH)D quartiles within each month of blood sampling separately. Differences between means of continuous variables were evaluated using linear regression with P for trend. Differences between categorical data were evaluated using logistic regression.

To evaluate differences in all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality risk, survival analysis was performed with multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models, using the highest 25(OH)D quartile as a reference to the lower quartiles. Kaplan–Meier curves and log rank tests were also performed.

Variables directly or indirectly correlated with vitamin D metabolism and cardiovascular risk factors were included in the final model. Inclusion was based on both a priori determination of known confounders and/or backward and forward stepwise omnibus tests of model coefficients. The following covariates were

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics according to smoking status and intra-monthly serum 25(OH)D quartiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D quartile</th>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D quartile smokers</th>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D quartile non-smokers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous data are presented as mean (S.D.) and categorical data as percentage of study participants at risk. 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CVD, cardiovascular disease; BMI, body mass index; PAS, physical activity score; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; PTH, parathyroid hormone.</td>
<td>values for trend were calculated using binary logistic regression for categorical data and linear regression for continuous data with covariates age and gender.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All-cause deaths, n
Study participants at risk, n
www.eje-online.org
Cancer mortality
CVD mortality
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considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses
Full model: as extended model plus adjustment for hypertension, creatinine, prior CVD, and prior cancer.
Extended model: as limited model plus adjustment for BMI, physical activity score, and diabetes.
Limited model: adjusted for age and gender.

Table 2 Number of deaths and mortality hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals for non-smokers according to intra-monthly 25(OH)D quartiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D quartile non-smokers</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study participants at risk, n</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>1188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>247 (20.9)</td>
<td>198 (16.7)</td>
<td>190 (15.9)</td>
<td>163 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>106 (9.0)</td>
<td>81 (6.8)</td>
<td>62 (5.2)</td>
<td>76 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>72 (6.1)</td>
<td>69 (5.8)</td>
<td>74 (6.2)</td>
<td>58 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to calculate hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Values are presented as n (percentage of study participants at risk) and as hazard ratio (95% confidence interval). 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ref, reference.

included in the final model: age, gender, BMI, PAS, hypertension, diabetes, prior cancer, prior CVD, and creatinine. PTH was not included in the primary analysis as PTH was only measured in half of the study population.

The proportional hazards assumption was tested by stratifying the categorical variables and creating log–log graphs. These were all parallel. When examining the partial residuals in scatter plots against survival time, the residuals were found to be evenly distributed.

Confounding was assessed by adding age, gender, hypertension, BMI, PAS, creatinine, diabetes, prior cancer, and prior CVD to the model. Interaction was tested by adding product terms to the full model.

Data are presented as percentage or mean ± S.D. or hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). All tests were done two-sided, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Mean 25(OH)D level in the total non-smoking population was 52.3 ± 16.5, 53.5 ± 16.0 and 51.5 ± 16.8 nmol/l for men and women respectively (P<0.001). Mean 25(OH)D level for smokers was 72.0 ± 20.1, 70.5 ± 19.0 and 73.0 ± 20.7 nmol/l for men and women respectively (P=0.002).

The highest 25(OH)D levels for non-smokers were found in September (mean 61.0 ± 17.1 nmol/l) and the lowest in March (mean 44.7 ± 15.5 nmol/l). The same pattern was seen for smokers with highest levels in August (mean 79.7 ± 20.0 nmol/l) and lowest levels in March (mean 65.5 ± 17.6 nmol/l).

Mean age in the total population was 58.9 ± 10.2. Background characteristics are presented in Table 1. In the non-smoking population, PAS, creatinine, and HDL increased significantly, while age, BMI, total cholesterol, triglycerides, PTH and percentage of women and hypertension decreased significantly across 25(OH)D quartiles. Background characteristics for smokers were similar, and are also presented in Table 1.

Mean follow-up time for non-smokers and smokers was 11.8 ± 2.6 and 11.4 ± 3.0 years respectively. During this period, 798 non-smokers (16.8%) and 561 smokers (23.3%) died. Among non-smokers and smokers respectively, 325 (40.7%) and 188 (33.5%) died of CVD (165 and 102 of ischemic heart disease, and 77 and 29 of cerebrovascular disease), and 273 (34.2%) and 225 (40.1%) died of cancer. The risk of all-cause, CVD, and cancer mortality for individuals in the lower 25(OH)D quartiles in reference to the highest quartile is shown in unadjusted, limited (adjusted for age and gender), extended (adjusted for age, gender, BMI, diabetes, and PAS), and full models (adjusted for the previously mentioned plus prior CVD, prior cancer, hypertension, and creatinine) for non-smokers in Table 2 and for smokers in Table 3. In the non-smoking
Vitamin D and mortality risk

Table 3 Number of deaths and mortality hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals for smokers according to intra-monthly 25(OH)D quartiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D quartile</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study participants at risk, n</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>156 (26.1)</td>
<td>143 (23.6)</td>
<td>138 (22.7)</td>
<td>124 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>45 (7.5)</td>
<td>57 (9.4)</td>
<td>46 (7.6)</td>
<td>40 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>55 (9.2)</td>
<td>54 (8.9)</td>
<td>60 (9.9)</td>
<td>56 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All-cause mortality

- Unadjusted: 1.30 (1.03–1.65) 1.17 (0.92–1.49) 1.09 (0.85–1.39) 1 (ref)
- Limited: 1.08 (0.85–1.37) 1.01 (0.80–1.29) 0.98 (0.77–1.25) 1 (ref)
- Extended: 1.05 (0.82–1.33) 0.96 (0.75–1.23) 0.97 (0.76–1.25) 1 (ref)
- Full: 1.06 (0.83–1.35) 0.97 (0.76–1.25) 1.04 (0.81–1.33) 1 (ref)

CVD mortality

- Unadjusted: 1.16 (0.76–1.78) 1.44 (0.96–2.16) 1.12 (0.73–1.71) 1 (ref)
- Limited: 0.92 (0.60–1.42) 1.23 (0.82–1.84) 1.01 (0.66–1.54) 1 (ref)
- Extended: 0.90 (0.58–1.39) 1.14 (0.75–1.73) 0.98 (0.64–1.51) 1 (ref)
- Full: 0.93 (0.61–1.44) 1.10 (0.73–1.67) 1.04 (0.67–1.60) 1 (ref)

Cancer mortality

- Unadjusted: 1.02 (0.70–1.48) 0.98 (0.67–1.42) 1.05 (0.73–1.51) 1 (ref)
- Limited: 0.88 (0.61–1.29) 0.87 (0.60–1.27) 0.96 (0.66–1.37) 1 (ref)
- Extended: 0.85 (0.58–1.25) 0.85 (0.58–1.25) 0.96 (0.66–1.38) 1 (ref)
- Full: 0.82 (0.56–1.21) 0.86 (0.59–1.26) 1.02 (0.70–1.48) 1 (ref)

**Discussion**

In this population-based study, all-cause mortality risk was significantly increased among non-smoking study participants in the lowest vitamin D quartile when compared with the highest quartile. The same tendency was seen for smokers, but these results were not significant. Serum 25(OH)D is the preferred biomarker for an individual’s vitamin D status as serum levels are not tightly regulated and increase in proportion to vitamin D intake (19). 25(OH)D is also very stable in stored serum or plasma samples (20). This characteristic makes accurate, long-term epidemiological studies of circulating 25(OH)D possible (20). Measured serum 25(OH)D is preferable to predicted 25(OH)D by vitamin D intake, as dietary sources provide only a portion of total vitamin D, with supplements and synthesis of vitamin D in the skin in association with solar u.v.-B radiation providing the balance (21). Because of the known seasonal variation for 25(OH)D (22), we used intra-monthly vitamin D quartiles in the analyses. Individuals can be assumed to remain in the lowest 25(OH)D quartile throughout the year.
The inverse association between all-cause mortality and vitamin D level could in part be explained by an association between CVD and vitamin D level. Several studies have described an inverse association, in some cases graded, between serum 25(OH)D and CVD (13, 25–27), and CVD risk factors (28). Giovannucci et al. found increased risk of fatal and non-fatal myocardial infarction in men with low levels of 25(OH)D (13). Low levels of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)₂D were shown to be independently predictive of fatal stroke among patients referred to coronary angiography in the LURIC study (29). In the Framingham Offspring study, low serum 25(OH)D levels were associated with higher CVD risk among individuals with hypertension, but not among normotensive individuals (27).

Observational and cross-sectional studies have also indicated an inverse association between serum 25(OH)D level and incident hypertension (30, 31). Furthermore, low serum 25(OH)D levels are associated with elevated PTH levels (32), and excess PTH levels may also contribute to CVD by increasing blood pressure (33) and inducing cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and interstitial fibrosis of the heart (32, 33). In the Tromsø study, men with left ventricular hypertrophy had higher PTH levels compared with men without left ventricular hypertrophy (34), and a recent study showed that plasma PTH levels predict cardiovascular mortality even in individuals with PTH within the normal range (35).

Another plausible mechanism for the association between vitamin D and hypertension is the activation of the renin–angiotensin system (RAS) (32). 1,25(OH)₂D is known to be a negative endocrine regulator of the RAS (36), and experiments on VDR knockout mice suggest that cardiac hypertrophy is a consequence of activation of both the systemic and cardiac RAS (37). In addition, the immune system appears to be involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (38), and 1,25(OH)₂D appears to have immunosuppressive effects with reduction of lymphocyte proliferation and production of cytokines (39).

Although some other studies (40, 41) have reported an inverse association between serum 25(OH)D level and cancer mortality, we did not find such an association in our study. Observational studies have shown cancer survival to be better when cancer is diagnosed in summer and autumn months when vitamin D levels are higher (9, 42, 43), and in one of the few intervention studies published, improving calcium and vitamin D nutritional status reduced all-cancer risk among healthy postmenopausal women (40). However, the evidence is not conclusive, as pointed out in a recent review asking for more randomized controlled trials (44).

In subgroup analysis of our data, there was a tendency towards higher mortality risk among men in the lower 25(OH)D quartile when compared with women. The same trend was found for CVD.

Table 4 Adjusted associations of 25(OH)D and all-cause mortality risk in specific participant subgroups (non-smokers).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup (n at risk/n deaths)</th>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D quartile non-smokers</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 65 (3214/272)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.09–2.16)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 65 (1537/526)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.98–1.64)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (2940/375)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.79–1.45)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (1811/423)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.15–2.03)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS (h/week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5 h/week (3948/696)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.03–1.60)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5 h/week (743/94)</td>
<td>2.21 (1.21–4.04)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (3683/615)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.84–2.11)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (1879/178)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.05–1.67)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (159/81)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.87–3.76)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (4571/712)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.07–1.65)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (368/150)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.17–3.08)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (4363/641)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.95–1.51)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (266/94)</td>
<td>2.34 (1.24–4.40)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (4485/704)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.97–1.51)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30 (3936/623)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.07–1.70)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30 (8031/74)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.60–1.52)</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to calculate hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Values are presented as hazard ratio (95% confidence interval). P values for interactions were calculated by adding product terms to the full Cox regression model. 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; PAS, physical activity score; CVD, cardiovascular disease; BMI, body mass index; ref, reference.
and cancer mortality. The gender difference found in our study has not been described in other studies. On the contrary, results from NHANES III showed the association between 25(OH)D levels and mortality to be more pronounced among women (6). We have no good explanation for this discrepancy. As most participants were aged up to 74 years, a possible explanation for the gender difference could be that women experience CVD later in life compared with men.

The main limitation of our study is that we cannot draw any conclusions about causality as it is an observational study. Also, as our immunoassay seems to overestimate serum 25(OH)D in smokers, and bearing in mind the probable dose–response effect of smoking which makes it difficult to adjust for smoking status in the analyses, our results for smokers should be interpreted with care. A further limitation is that low vitamin D status is known to be associated with impaired general health, probably because of less time spent outdoors (45). Serum 25(OH)D level is known to predict decline of physical performance (5) and nursing home admission (46) among older persons. We tried to adjust for this by including PAS, age, BMI, prior CVD, prior cancer, diabetes, and hypertension in the regression models, but it is impossible to fully adjust for impaired general health. Subgroup analysis of our data was ambiguous with regard to this aspect, as higher mortality risk was found in the lowest 25(OH)D quartile among those with high PAS, BMI < 30 kg/m², and age < 65 years, but also among those with diabetes, prior cancer, and prior CVD. Lastly, data used in our analyses were obtained from a general health survey not specifically designed for the present purpose.

However, the study also has considerable strengths. The Tromso study has a high attendance rate, and reflects the general population in Tromso County. We also had a long follow-up time, a relatively old population, and thus a relatively high percentage of death among participants.

In conclusion, survival analyses of data from our prospective, population-based cohort study show that being in the lowest intra-monthly serum 25(OH)D quartile is associated with higher risk of all-cause mortality, but conclusions about causality cannot be drawn. Further observational studies and randomized clinical trials are needed in order to conclude whether serum 25(OH)D is associated with mortality, and if so, whether vitamin D supplementation could be beneficial in reducing mortality risk.
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