Pilot study on the assessment of the setpoint of the hypothalamus–pituitary–thyroid axis in healthy volunteers
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the log-linear relationship between TSH and free thyroxine in healthy subjects, and the variation in baseline TSH/free thyroxine (FT4) combination in each individual.

Subjects and methods: Twenty-one healthy volunteers (nine males and 12 females; mean age 60 years, range 51–74) were randomized to receive at 2300 h with 2-week intervals a single dose of placebo, 125 µg T4 and 250 µg T3 (arm 1, n = 10), or placebo, 25 µg triiodothyronine (T3) and 50 µg T3 (arm 2, n = 11). Blood samples were taken in the morning (0800–1100 h) before and following the administration of the drug for the assessment of TSH, FT4 and T3.

Results: Intra- and inter-individual variation and the individuality index of the four baseline serum samples were respectively 21.6%, 41.9% and 0.52 for TSH; 9.9%, 16.5% and 0.60 for FT4; and 9.3%, 16.0% and 0.58 for T3. Substantial differences existed in the location of individual working points within the reference range. T4 administration increased FT4 (but not T3) and decreased TSH, resulting in a log-linear relationship (log TSH = 1.50–0.059 × FT4, P < 0.05) for the whole group. T3 administration increased T3 and decreased TSH (but not FT3), resulting in a log-linear relationship (log TSH = 0.790–0.245 × T3, P < 0.001) for the whole group. Log-linear relationships were not always significant when assessed for each subject separately.

Conclusion: Individuality indices of TSH, FT4 and T3 are all ≤ 0.6, thereby limiting the usefulness of the population-based reference values. Accurate assessment of individual setpoints of the HPT axis was not possible with the applied single doses of T4 or T3, and will require either prolonged administration or higher single doses of thyroid hormone.

Introduction

Many studies report a log-linear relationship between serum TSH and free thyroxine (FT4) over a wide concentration range (1, 2). This has been demonstrated in cross-sectional studies encompassing hyperthyroid, euthyroid and hypothyroid subjects (2), but also within individuals in longitudinal studies. When patients with primary hypothyroidism are treated with levoT4 (l-T4), the interrelated changes in serum FT4 and TSH move along a straight line (3). Conversely, when euthyroid subjects are treated with large doses of T4 to render them hyperthyroid, serum FT4 and TSH values also move along a straight line, but in the opposite direction (2). The straight line represents the setpoint of the hypothalamic–pituitary–thyroid (HPT) axis (Fig. 1). The slope of the line is an indicator of the sensitivity of the HPT axis for changes in ambient thyroid hormone concentrations. Indeed in patients with thyroid hormone resistance, the slope is flattened (4). Only small variations in the slope have been observed between healthy individuals as evident from parallel straight lines representing individual setpoints (2), but studies on this subject are few. It is thus assumed that each individual has about the same slope for his/her log-linear TSH/FT4 relation, but intercepts vary.

There is a considerable variation in serum TSH and thyroid hormone concentrations in healthy subjects, in whom the inter-individual variability is much greater than the intra-individual variability (5–12). It looks like every individual has his/her own peculiar combination of TSH and FT4, which is determined by genetic and environmental factors (13). We like to refer to this specific TSH/FT4 combination as the working point on the straight line depicting the log-linear TSH/FT4 relationship which represents the setpoint of the HPT axis.

Determination of the working point and the setpoint of the HPT axis is of much theoretical interest, but it may also have clinical relevance (14). For instance, the distance along the setpoint line to reach supranormal TSH values (that is the decrease in serum FT4) is much greater when...
the working point is located in the lower part of the reference area as compared to the upper part (Fig. 1).

Up to now, very few studies have assessed individual setpoints of the HPT axis. The aim of the present study was to develop a feasible and practical method for assessing not only the individual working point but also the setpoint of the HPT axis in healthy subjects.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

We included healthy volunteers aged 50 years or older who were recruited by advertisements in local newspapers. Exclusion criteria were past or present thyroid disease, thyroid function tests outside the reference range, active cardiovascular disease, severe illness and thyroid-influencing medication or oestrogens. All subjects lived in the iodine-replete region of Amsterdam, The Netherlands. An electrocardiogram (ECG) was performed before inclusion to exclude patients with cardiac arrhythmias. This study was carried out with the approval of the local ethics committee of the Academic Medical Centre of the University of Amsterdam. All subjects gave written consent before entering the study.

Study design

Subjects were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to either the T₄ or triiodothyronine (T₃) intervention arm (Fig. 2). In each arm, oral medication was given three times: either placebo, 125 µg T₄ and 250 µg T₄ (arm 1), or placebo, 25 µg T₃ and 50 µg T₃ (arm 2). The subjects always started with placebo, followed as determined by a second randomization by the low dose or the high dose of T₄ or T₃. In both arms, there was a minimum 2-week interval between the medication intakes (washout period). Subjects were instructed to take the medication at 2300 h. A blood sample was collected in the morning (0800–1100 h) of the day before and in the morning of the day after the medication was taken. Pulse rate, blood pressure and body weight were measured during each visit. Subjects were blinded with regard to the prescribed drugs and doses.

Assays

Non-fasting venous blood samples were taken for thyroid hormone measurements and stored at −20 °C until assay. Serum T₃ was measured with in-house RIA. Serum FT₄ was assayed by time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay (Delfia, Turku, Finland). Serum TSH was determined with a fluoroimmunometric assay.
(Delfia hTSH, Perkin–Elmer, Turku, Finland). All samples of one individual were measured in the same assay run. Reference values are 0.4–4.0 mU/l for TSH, 10–21 pmol/l for FT4 and 1.30–2.70 nmol/l for T3 (15).

**Statistical analysis**

Serum FT4 values were normally distributed. TSH distribution was skewed, but it became normal after transformation (natural logarithm) evaluated by the Shapiro–Wilk test and normality plots. The intra-individual variance of baseline thyroid function tests (four measurements for each individual) was calculated by the variation for each individual, and the inter-individual variance was calculated by the variation of individual means. The individuality index was the ratio of intra- to inter-individual variation (16). Calculations using ANOVA gave similar variances. Differences in thyroid function level tests within each arm were evaluated with the paired t-test. The log-linear relationship between lnTSH and FT4 or T3 was tested by mixed model analysis, exponential model analysis and linear regression analysis for the whole group as a total and for each intervention arm separately. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package for social sciences version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

We recruited 21 healthy subjects (9 males and 12 females) with an average age of 60 years (range 51–74); all except one were Caucasians. The average body mass index was 25.4 (range 18.4–31.1). All volunteers completed the study without complaints or side effects.

Figure 3 depicts the mean values ± S.E.M. of individual baseline thyroid function tests derived from four separate blood samples, three taken the morning before the administration of the study drug and one taken the morning after the administration of placebo the previous evening. The intra-individual variation coefficients (mean and range) are 21.6% (4.4–46.9) for TSH, 9.9% (1.9–22.4) for FT4, and 9.3% (0.0–22.1) for T3. Taking the mean value of each individual, the mean value ± S.D. of the whole group of 21 healthy subjects are 1.86 ± 0.78 mU/l for TSH, 14.83 ± 2.45 pmol/l for FT4 and 1.81 ± 0.29 nmol/l for T3. The mean group intra- and inter-individual variation is listed in Table 1. The within-individual differences of all three thyroid function tests are lower than the between-individual differences, resulting in an intra-individual to inter-individual variation coefficient ratio ≤0.60. The particular combination of each individual mean TSH and FT4 or mean TSH and T3 values reflects the actual working point of the HPT axis in that individual, which is graphically depicted in Fig. 4. Although all working points lie within the reference area, their location is widely scattered.

The results of thyroid function tests before and after the administration of T4 (arm 1, n=10) or T3 (arm 2, n=11) are shown in Fig. 5. In both intervention arms, the serum TSH, FT4 and T3 levels were similar in the three blood samples withdrawn in the morning before the study drugs were taken. This indicates that the 2-week washout interval was sufficient. In treatment
arm 1, serum FT₄ increased (significantly) and TSH decreased (not significantly) after T₄ administration, but T₃ remained unchanged (Fig. 5). There existed a significant log-linear relationship between TSH and FT₄ in this group as a whole (log TSH $= 1.50 - 0.059 \times$ FT₄, $P$ for slope $< 0.05$; Fig. 6A), but the relationship was not always significant if evaluated in each individual separately. In treatment arm 2, serum T₃ increased and TSH decreased after T₃ administration, but FT₄ remained unchanged. A significant log-linear relationship between TSH and T₃ was observed in this group as a whole (log TSH $= 0.790 - 0.245 \times$ T₃, $P$ for slope $< 0.001$; Fig. 6B), but not in every individual subject.

**Table 1** Individuality indices of serum TSH, FT₄ and T₃ in healthy subjects as reported in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples per subject</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling period, weeks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH variation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-individual variation, %</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-individual variation, %</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuality index</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT₄ variation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-individual variation, %</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.7ᵇ</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-individual variation, %</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>20.3ᵇ</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuality index</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃ variation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-individual variation, %</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-individual variation, %</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuality index</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵇIndividuality index = ratio of intra- to inter-individual variation.

A significant log-linear relationship between TSH and FT₄ in this group as a whole ($\log \text{TSH} = 1.50 - 0.059 \times \text{FT}_4$, $P$ for slope $< 0.05$; Fig. 6A), but the relationship was not always significant if evaluated in each individual separately. In treatment arm 2, serum T₃ increased and TSH decreased after T₃ administration, but FT₄ remained unchanged. A significant log-linear relationship between TSH and T₃ was observed in this group as a whole ($\log \text{TSH} = 0.790 - 0.245 \times \text{T}_3$, $P$ for slope $< 0.001$; Fig. 6B), but not in every individual subject.

**Discussion**

The first finding of our study is that the working point of the HPT axis in a particular individual can be determined reasonably well from four separate morning blood samples withdrawn over a 4-week period. The intra- and inter-individual variation coefficients and the individuality index of TSH, FT₄ and T₃ in our study are in close agreement with those observed in other studies in which 12 samples were collected over a 52-week period (Table 1). Only one study reports much higher individuality indices (9), which is likely explained by a very short sampling period of 1 week. The data further suggest a very limited effect of seasonal variation in thyroid function tests on the working point of the HPT axis (14). Estimation of an individual’s working point becomes more precise by full standardization of the conditions under which blood samples are drawn. In this respect, the intra-individual variation observed in the present study could have been narrower by sampling at more precisely the same hour in the morning, thereby avoiding the circadian variation (especially in TSH) occurring in the presently allowed sampling period of 3 h between 0800 and 1100 h.
Our second finding is that substantial differences exist between individuals in the location of their working point of the HPT axis within the reference area (Fig. 4), limiting the usefulness of population-based reference ranges in the identification of disease in an individual as noted before (5). When the individuality index is <0.6, the population-based reference range is an insensitive measure in the large majority of individuals; when the index is >1.4, the reference range works as intended (16). The individuality indices of TSH, FT4 and T3 are mostly below 0.6 and below 1.0 in all the studies published so far (Table 1). Consequently, values within the population-based references ranges do not necessarily indicate a normal thyroid function in that individual.

As a third finding, the present study suggests that the setpoint of the HPT axis can be determined from the TSH/FT4 response to the separate administration of three single doses of oral T4 (0, 125 and 250 μg respectively), at least at a group level. Assessment of the setpoint per individual was less reliable in the present setting because the individual log-linear relationship was not significant in all subjects.

Possible explanations for the failure to establish individual setpoints of the HPT axis in our study are i) differences in intestinal absorption of the administered T4 between individuals, ii) too low doses of the administered T4, and iii) differences in particular genotypes affecting the ambient TSH and FT4 levels between individuals. The latter possibility would indicate real differences in the setpoint of the HPT axis between our subjects. Indeed ethnic differences in ambient TSH values but not in FT4 values suggest an effect of race (17, 18), and slightly lower TSH values have been observed in carriers of the Asp727Glu polymorphism in the TSH receptor gene occurring in about 20% of a Caucasian population (19). The low prevalence of this TSH receptor polymorphism does not favour possibility iii) in our (mostly Caucasian) subjects. Possibility i) also is an unlikely explanation because the TSH/FT4 relationship is determined by their interdependent serum concentrations, irrespective of the extent of intestinal T4 absorption. Therefore, we consider a too low dose of administered T4 as the most likely explanation for the failure to establish individual setpoints of the HPT axis.
likely explanation for our failure to determine the setpoint of the HPT axis in each individual separately. Supporting this view is the absence of a difference in TSH and T4 at individual values before and after receiving 125 µg T4. Only within a group (T4-arm), there was a significant increase in the measured FT4 not in the TSH and T3 (Fig. 5). The relatively small differences in TSH and FT4 values after ingestion of 125 or 250 µg T4 might be related to the increase of i-T4 metabolic clearance rate when the i-T4 dose exceeds 2.0 µg/kg per day (3). We conclude from our pilot study that prolonged administration of i-T4 or higher single doses of T4 (e.g. 250 and 500 µg) are required to determine accurately one’s individual setpoint of the HPT axis.

Nevertheless, the log-linear TSH/FT4 relationship was significant when the ten subjects in the T4 intervention arm were considered together: log TSH = 1.50–0.059 × FT4, P < 0.05. Extrapolating this relationship, TSH will be 32 mU/l at a FT4 of 0 pmol/l, and FT4 will be 59.3 pmol/l at a given TSH value of 0.01 mU/l. The data can be compared with those of Spencer et al. (2) who reported the following log-linear TSH/FT4 relationship in 505 stable ambulatory patients who were either hypothyroid, euthyroid or hyperthyroid: log TSH = 2.56–0.022 × FT4 index, r = −0.84, P < 0.001. In this formula, TSH will be 360 mU/l at a FT4 index of 0, and FT4 index is 207 at a given TSH value of 0.01 mU/l. From clinical practice, it is evident that undetectable FT4 values are associated with much higher TSH values than 32 mU/l. Consequently, our study underestimates the slope of the setpoint of the HPT axis, which is most likely caused by too low doses of administered T4.

We encountered similar problems in the T3 intervention arm. Although the log-linear relationship between TSH and T3 was significant for about 50% of nuclear T3 in the pituitary. Burmeister et al. reported that the relationship between free T3 index and TSH in stable hypothyroid patients on i-T4 treatment is similar to that between TSH and FT4 index (23). The most appropriate study design in this respect would be the administration of various doses of T3 and T3 to the same subjects.

In summary, four separate blood samples taken over a 4-week period allow for a reasonable estimate of an individual’s working point at the HPT axis. Substantial differences between individuals in the location of their working point within the reference area limit the usefulness of the population-based reference ranges. Accurate assessment of the log-linear relationship between TSH and FT4 (the setpoint of the HPT’ axis) was not possible by the administration of single doses of T4 (125–250 µg) or T3 (25–50 µg), but it requires either prolonged administration or higher single doses of thyroid hormones.
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