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Abstract

Objective: Circulating IGF1 declines with age, and reduced circulating IGF1 is associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in some but not all studies. The relationship between IGF-binding proteins 3 and 1 (IGFBP3 and IGFBP1) with risk of cardiovascular disease remains unclear. We sought to examine associations between IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 with metabolic syndrome in older men.

Design: Cross-sectional analysis of 3980 community-dwelling men aged ≥70 years.

Methods: Morning plasma levels of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 were assayed. Metabolic syndrome was defined according to National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATPIII) criteria.

Results: For IGF1 and IGFBP3, there was a U-shaped relationship, with middle quintiles possessing the lowest odds ratios (OR) for metabolic syndrome (reference Q1, Q3 IGF1: OR 0.74, 95% confidence intervals 0.57–0.96, Q3 IGFBP3: OR 0.67, 0.51–0.87). Increasing IGFBP1 was associated with reduced risk of metabolic syndrome with a dose–response gradient (reference Q1, OR for Q2 to Q5 IGFBP1: 0.56, 0.33, 0.22 and 0.12 respectively, \( P < 0.001 \)). IGF1 was associated with two, IGFBP1 with four and IGFBP3 with all five components of the metabolic syndrome. The ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 was not associated with metabolic syndrome.

Conclusions: In older men, both lower and higher IGF1 and IGFBP3 levels may be metabolically unfavourable. IGFBP1, as a marker of insulin sensitivity, is relevant in the assessment of metabolic syndrome, while the IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio is less informative. Longitudinal follow-up of this cohort would be needed to determine whether these distributions of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 predict incidence of cardiovascular events during male ageing.
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Introduction

Ageing is associated with gradual erosion of health and well-being, and by declining pituitary GH secretion resulting in a reduction in circulating insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) (1). Adults who are GH deficient are at risk of metabolic syndrome, and GH replacement therapy raised IGF1 levels, improved body composition and markers of cardiovascular risk (2–4). In older men who do not have pituitary disease, lower IGF1 has been associated with unfavourable lipid profiles, with occurrence of ischaemic heart disease and stroke (5–8), and with cardiovascular mortality (9, 10). By contrast, other studies have been inconclusive, or have associated higher IGF1 with increased cardiovascular risk or mortality (11–15). In normal men, there is a lack of clinical trial data supporting beneficial outcomes of GH supplementation. Thus, whether lower IGF1 levels are a treatable risk factor for cardiovascular disease in ageing men remains topical and controversial. It is possible that in the elderly, reduced GH secretion resulting in lower IGF1 levels may be a marker for cardiovascular risk rather than a cause of cardiovascular disease, or a secondary phenomenon in the presence of increasing age and adiposity. Therefore, the relationship between IGF1 and risk of cardiovascular disease merits further exploration.

IGF1 interacts in the circulation with several IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs), which regulate its binding to cellular IGF1 receptors (16). The majority of IGF1 circulates bound with high affinity to a complex containing IGFBP3, and IGFBP1 further modulates bioavailability of IGF1 (17). Higher IGFBP3 levels, which would be expected to reduce unbound or
bioavailable IGF1, have been observed to be correlated with cardiovascular risk or disease in a number of studies (6, 7, 12, 13). However, other studies have reported contrary results with lower IGFBP3 associated with increased risk of coronary events, stroke or mortality (8, 10, 11). IGFBP3 does interact with several cell signalling pathways (17); therefore, it possibly could modulate cardiovascular risk independent of IGF1. A reduced ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 has been proposed as a marker of metabolic syndrome (18), but the clinical utility of this ratio has not been confirmed. Reduced IGFBP1 has been identified as a marker of insulin resistance and the presence of metabolic syndrome (19, 20). Lower IGFBP1 has been associated with increased risk of ischaemic heart disease and with greater carotid intima-media thickness in the setting of type 2 diabetes (9, 21). Additional investigation of the associations between these IGFBPs and cardiovascular risk would be informative, particularly in the context of ageing men.

Clarifying associations of circulating IGF1 and its binding proteins with cardiovascular risk would help to establish whether these markers are of value in identifying older men at risk of ill-health. This would be a necessary prerequisite to designing effective intervention studies to examine the effects of either lifestyle modification or pharmacological treatment to prevent disease in older persons found to have low IGF1 levels. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that reduced levels of IGF1 and its binding proteins are associated with metabolic syndrome in a population-based cohort of older men.

**Subjects and methods**

**Study population**

Details of the Health In Men Study (HIMS) have been described in depth elsewhere (22). Briefly, between October 2001 and August 2004, a population-based sample of 4263 community-dwelling men resident in metropolitan Perth, Western Australia, participated in the study. Men were predominantly of Caucasian ethnicity. Demographic, medical and medications data were collected. Height (in centimetres), weight (in kilograms), waist and hip circumference (in centimetres) and blood pressure were measured using standard procedures. An early morning blood sample was collected for analysis of biochemistry and hormone levels. The Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Western Australia approved the study protocol, and all study participants gave their written informed consent.

**Assessment of medical comorbidity**

We used the Charlson score (23) to determine the presence of significant medical comorbidity in our cohort. The score takes into account 17 common medical conditions that predict 1-year mortality: myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, connective tissue disease, ulcer disease, liver disease, diabetes (including diabetes with end-organ damage), hemiplegia, renal disease, leukaemia, lymphoma, other tumours, metastatic tumours and AIDS. Medical diagnoses are weighted for severity and summed to provide a weighted index of medical comorbidity. Data were included from 1990 to the time of blood sampling, providing a measure of recent comorbidity.

**Definition of metabolic syndrome**

Metabolic syndrome was defined according to the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATPIII) classification as three or more of: waist circumference > 102 cm, fasting plasma glucose ≥ 5.6 mmol/l or a known diagnosis of diabetes, fasting serum triglycerides ≥ 1.7 mmol/l, fasting high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol < 1.03 mmol/l or blood pressure ≥ 130/85 mmHg. We used the recent modification of the definition, which lowered the threshold for an abnormal glucose concentration to ≥ 5.6 mmol/l (24). Men with a previous diagnosis of hypertension or taking anti-hypertensive therapy were regarded as fulfilling the criteria for hypertension.

**Laboratory assays**

Blood samples were collected between 0800 and 1030 h. Plasma was prepared immediately following phlebotomy and stored at −80 °C until assayed. Biochemical and hormone assays were performed in the Biochemistry Department, PathWest Laboratory Medicine, Fremantle and Royal Perth Hospitals, Western Australia. IGF1, IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 were assayed using reagent kits of single lot numbers from Diagnostics Systems Laboratories Inc. (DSL, supplied by Beckman Coulter, Gladesville, NSW, Australia). The non-extraction IGF1 ELISA, the total IGFBP1 ELISA and the active IGFBP3 ELISA kits were used. The assays were automated using a Grifols Triturus ELISA processor (Vital Diagnostics, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Between-run imprecision (coefficient of variation) was 12.2 and 8.6% at 117 and 216 μg/l IGF1, 8.6 and 5.2% at 3.1 and 49 μg/l IGFBP1, and 16.8 and 4.4% at 540 and 4300 μg/l IGFBP3. All assays were carried out on freshly thawed aliquots of EDTA plasma. In preliminary testing, EDTA plasma gave results that were <5% different from those for serum in the IGF1, IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 assays. Fasting serum glucose, total and HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides were estimated using a Roche Hitachi 917 analyser (Roche Diagnostic GmbH). Between-day imprecision for glucose was 2.9% at 4.8 mmol/l and 2.2% at 15.2 mmol/l, for cholesterol it was 2.3% at 3.2 mmol/l and 2.1% at 6.7 mmol/l, for HDL it was 2.4% at 0.8 mmol/l and 2.5% at 1.7 mmol/l, and for triglycerides it was 4.8% at 0.9 mmol/l and 2.4% at 2.0 mmol/l.
Statistical analysis

Data were analysed with the statistical package Stata, version 10.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA, 2007). Distributions of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 in men with and without metabolic syndrome were compared. Data are tabulated as mean ± S.D. or S.E.M. Mean comparisons were performed using Student’s t-test. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess odds ratio (OR) for metabolic syndrome across quintiles of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 adjusting for age, smoking and alcohol use. Associations of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 with individual components of the metabolic syndrome were examined. P values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 levels in community-dwelling older men

After excluding men for whom suitable plasma aliquots could not be retrieved and men with incomplete data, there were 3980 men included in the analysis. Distributions of plasma IGF1, IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and ln(IGFBP1) are shown in Fig. 1 (A–D respectively). Plasma IGF1 was strongly correlated with IGFBP3 level (r = 0.59, P < 0.001). There were weaker inverse correlations between IGF1 and ln(IGFBP1) and between IGFBP3 and ln(IGFBP1) (r = −0.16 and r = −0.11 respectively, both P < 0.001).

Associations of age, body mass index and medical comorbidity with IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 levels

There were 105 men who had an admission to hospital for cancer in the year prior to participation in HIMS, and in nine of these it was metastatic. The proportion of men who reported a history of diabetes was 13.9%. Both malignancy and diabetes are components of the Charlson weighted index of medical comorbidity. The proportion of men with one or more defined comorbidities was 31%. Univariate analyses of the associations between age, body mass index (BMI) and medical comorbidity (Charlson score) with each of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 are shown in Table 1. IGF1 and IGFBP3 levels decreased with increasing age, while IGFBP1 levels increased. IGF1 levels were lowest in men with BMI < 18.5 kg/m². IGFBP1 levels decreased with increasing BMI.

Stratification of men according to absence or presence of metabolic syndrome

For the assessment of metabolic syndrome, men who did not have fasting lipid profiles were excluded, leaving a total of 3241 men for this analysis. Of these, 935 had metabolic syndrome (28.8%). Characteristics of the men according to whether or not metabolic syndrome was present are shown in Table 2. Men with metabolic syndrome had higher IGF1 and lower IGFBP1 levels than men without (144.4 vs 139.7 μg/l, P = 0.04 and 22.2 vs 32.3 μg/l, P < 0.001 respectively). Neither IGFBP3 levels nor the ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 differed significantly between men without and with metabolic syndrome.

Figure 1 Distributions of IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 in older men. Distributions of plasma IGF1 (A), IGFBP3 (B), IGFBP1 (C) and ln(IGFBP1) (D) in 3980 community-dwelling older men. Units for IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 are μg/l. Continuous lines are fitted curves showing how closely the data approximate parametric (normal) distributions.
Table 1 Associations of age, body mass index (BMI) and medical comorbidity (Charlson score) with plasma insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), IGF-binding proteins 3 and 1 (IGFBP3 and IGFBP1) levels in community-dwelling men aged ≥70 years (univariate regression).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>IGF1 (µg/l)</th>
<th>IGFBP3 (µg/l)</th>
<th>IGFBP1 (µg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>148.8 (145.7–151.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3940 (3894–3985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>138.7 (135.9–141.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3778 (3736–3820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>134.4 (130.2–138.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3523 (3452–3593)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85–89</td>
<td>128.5 (119.6–137.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3443 (3290–3596)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>122.8 (103.3–142.2)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>3603 (3238–3968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5–24.9</td>
<td>138.7 (135.6–141.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3781 (3733–3830)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29.9</td>
<td>144.0 (141.4–146.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3815 (3776–3853)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>139.1 (134.4–143.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3716 (3640–3792)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>139.0 (136.8–141.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3799 (3766–3832)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>141.7 (136.2–147.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3716 (3631–3800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>148.5 (142.1–154.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3864 (3770–3958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>153.9 (144.1–163.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3690 (3547–3833)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>148.5 (135.4–161.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3706 (3486–3926)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>151.5 (139.2–163.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3711 (3532–3890)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Characteristics of older men stratified for the absence and presence of metabolic syndrome. Data shown are mean (s.d.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No metabolic syndrome</th>
<th>Metabolic syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>n=2306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.1 (3.7)</td>
<td>78.9 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.4 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>95.7 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>0.95 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>146.0 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.9 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.39 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.98 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1 (µg/l)</td>
<td>139.7 (57.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP3 (µg/l)</td>
<td>3791.3 (856.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP1 (µg/l)</td>
<td>0.037 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IGF1, IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 as predictors of metabolic syndrome in older men

Results of the logistic regression analysis of metabolic syndrome on IGF1, IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and the ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 are shown in Fig. 2, incorporating adjustment for age, smoking and alcohol use. For IGF1 and IGFBP3, there was a U-shaped relationship, with middle quintiles possessing the lowest ORs for metabolic syndrome. With the lowest quintile (Q1) as the reference group, men with IGF1 in Q3 had OR for metabolic syndrome of 0.74 (95% confidence intervals (CI) 0.57–0.96, P=0.025). There was a significant trend across quintiles of IGF1 (overall significance P=0.042). Compared to men with IGFBP3 in Q1, men with IGFBP3 in Q2 had OR for metabolic syndrome of 0.64 (95% CI 0.50–0.83, P=0.001); Q3, 0.33 (0.26–0.44, P<0.001); Q4, 0.22 (0.17–0.30, P<0.001); and Q5, 0.12 (0.09–0.17, P<0.001). There was a significant trend across quintiles of IGFBP1 (overall significance P<0.001). IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio was not associated with metabolic syndrome.

Inclusion of medical comorbidity (Charlson score) did not appreciably alter the results of the multivariate analysis. The manufacturer’s absolute range of IGF1 values for 18 normal men >60 years of age is 118–314 µg/l. Only 31 men had IGF1 values above the upper limit of this reference range, who might possibly have had undiagnosed acromegaly. Excluding these men from the analysis did not affect the results.

Association of IGF1, IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio with individual components of the metabolic syndrome

Relationships between IGF1, IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and the IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio with individual components of the metabolic syndrome are shown in Table 3. Higher IGF1 level was associated with triglyceride level ≥1.7 mmol/l and with the presence of hypertension. Lower IGFBP3 level was associated with waist circumference >102 cm and HDL <1.03 mmol/l, while higher IGFBP3 was associated with glucose ≥5.6 mmol/l, elevated triglycerides and hypertension. Lower IGFBP1 levels were associated with waist circumference, elevated glucose and triglyceride levels, and with lower HDL levels. The ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 was associated only with lower HDL.
Discussion

In this large cohort of community-dwelling older men, age-adjusted logistic regression analysis revealed a significant U-shaped association with men in the middle-range of the distributions of IGF1 and IGFBP3 having the lowest risk of metabolic syndrome. By contrast, a strong linear inverse relationship existed for IGFBP1 and metabolic syndrome, with men in the lowest quintile exhibiting an eightfold greater risk compared to men in the highest quintile of IGFBP1 values. The IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio was not associated with metabolic syndrome in our study.

These findings in older men aged $\geq$ 70 years contrast with previously reported studies in younger and middle-aged men (18, 25–27). In a report of 1463 younger men aged 20–49 years from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III), men in the lowest quartile of the IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio were three times more likely to meet the same definition of metabolic syndrome (18). A separate analysis based on NHANES III data including men aged 50 years and above found that metabolic syndrome was associated with lower levels of IGF1 and a reduced ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 (25). In a sample of 359 European men aged 30–64 years, IGF1 levels correlated inversely with fasting glucose, and were associated with lipid and obesity/glucose factors in a principal component analysis.

Figure 2: Associations of IGF1, IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and IGF1/IGFBP3 with metabolic syndrome in older men. Logistic regression analysis of metabolic syndrome on IGF1, IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and the ratio of IGF1/IGFBP3 modelled as quintiles and adjusted for age, smoking and alcohol use. Results are shown as odds ratios for the presence of metabolic syndrome in each quintile, and refer to effect estimates. Bars refer to 95% confidence intervals. Quintile 1 contained the lowest values. $P$ value is for test of overall significance.

Table 3: Associations between plasma insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), IGFBP3, IGFBP1 and IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio with individual components of the metabolic syndrome in community-dwelling older men. Data are shown as mean and S.E.M.
analysis (26). A study of 179 middle-aged Asian men (aged 39 ± 8 years) also identified the men in the lowest tertile of IGF1 as having increased frequency of metabolic syndrome (27). Therefore, one conclusion is that the association between IGF1 and IGFBP3 with metabolic syndrome differs in older compared with younger and middle-aged men. While lower IGF1 or IGFBP3 is associated with a greater likelihood of having metabolic syndrome in men across the range of ages, in older men those with the highest values of IGF1 or IGFBP3 are also at risk.

Differences in associations between IGF1 and IGFBP3 and individual cardiovascular risk factors could contribute to the relationship with metabolic syndrome. For example, a European study that included 200 men ranging in age from 18 to 80 years without pituitary and cardiovascular disease found that IGF1 levels in the low normal range were associated with hypertension and diabetes (28). Higher IGF1 levels were associated with insulin sensitivity in adults with normal or impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes (29), and with reduced odds of developing glucose intolerance or type 2 diabetes in middle-aged adults (30). Higher IGF1 levels have not been implicated previously as contributing to risk of metabolic syndrome. In addition, we found that a higher IGF1 level was associated with elevated triglyceride level and with the presence of hypertension. Therefore, the relationship between IGF1 and individual cardiovascular risk factors may differ in older compared with younger and middle-aged men. It has been postulated that IGF1 has direct actions in the vasculature, which may be detrimental (e.g. induction of smooth muscle proliferation) or beneficial (e.g. vasodilation and preservation of endothelial function; for review, see (31)). This would be in keeping with the concept that mid-range values for IGF1 are most favourable in terms of cardiovascular risk as reflected by association with metabolic syndrome.

Lower IGFBP3 level was associated with elevated waist circumference and reduced HDL level, while higher IGFBP3 was associated with impaired fasting glucose, elevated triglycerides and hypertension. Thus, in older men, lower and higher IGFBP3 levels are differentially associated with individual components of the metabolic syndrome, which corresponds to men with IGFBP3 values in the lowest and highest quintiles having the greatest risk of metabolic syndrome.

Therefore, the U-shaped relationship for IGF1 and IGFBP3 to risk of metabolic syndrome differs from that observed in most previously reported studies. Our cohort comprised men only, with a tight age range between 70 and 89 years rather than a spread across younger or middle-aged to older men. Furthermore, the large number of men in our study provided greater power to clarify associations between IGF1 and IGFBP3 with metabolic syndrome.

Men with higher IGFBP1 levels had lower OR for metabolic syndrome after adjusting for age. This is consistent with previous studies in men of varying ages in linking IGFBP1 levels with insulin sensitivity (19, 20, 32, 33). Thus, lower IGFBP1 levels were associated with impaired glucose tolerance in an ethnically diverse sample of 272 adults from Manchester (19) and with metabolic syndrome in 839 adults aged 40–65 years in the Cambridgeshire study (20). Another cross-sectional study found that lower IGFBP1 levels were associated with known cardiovascular risk factors in 273 adults aged 20–74 years (32). Our results are in keeping with a previous smaller study involving 331 Finnish men aged 70–89 years in which IGFBP1 levels correlated with insulin sensitivity (33). The inverse relationship between IGFBP1 and metabolic syndrome could be explained by its role as a marker of insulin sensitivity, as IGFBP1 correlates closely to whole-body and hepatic insulin sensitivity (34, 35). Thus, IGFBP1 represents an informative marker for metabolic syndrome in ageing men, with an eightfold reduction in the age-adjusted OR for metabolic syndrome for men in the highest quintile compared to those in the lowest quintile of values.

Unique features of this study are the size of the cohort and its focus on older men rather than on men across a range of ages. These men were community-dwelling and were not selected on the basis of an existing medical condition. We performed IGF1, IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 assays on plasma aliquots that had been preserved at −80 °C from the time of specimen collection until assay. The assays used were robust for plasma or serum samples. In the multivariate analysis, we adjusted for a range of potential confounders, including age, smoking and alcohol use (36). Limitations of the study include the cross-sectional nature of the analysis which limits conclusions as to the direction of causality, and that we did not have the opportunity to collect serial blood samples to determine changes in hormone levels over time. The cohort comprised 4263 men who returned for assessment and blood sampling between 2001 and 2004, from an original sample of 12 203 men screened in 1996–1999 (22). Therefore, a 'healthy survivor' effect is possible, and we cannot comment on associations in women. Furthermore, we did not attempt to measure 'free' IGF1 levels (5). A recently reported bioassay based on activation of the IGF1-specific kinase receptor may provide a means of assessing circulating IGF1 bioactivity (37), but this method is not in general use at present. Thus, we assayed total IGF1 as an accepted measure of IGF1 status.

The presence of metabolic syndrome is associated with greater risk of new onset diabetes and increased incidence of cardiovascular events (38, 39). Therefore, the observation that there is a U-shaped association between IGF1 and IGFBP3 levels with metabolic syndrome in older men may explain part of the variation in associations of IGF1 with cardiovascular outcomes reported in previous studies. For instance, lower total IGF1 levels were associated with increased...
mortality in a study of 633 men aged 51–98 years (9), and in a study of 1988 men aged 20–79 years (10). Low circulating IGF1 bioactivity predicted higher mortality in 374 men aged 73–94 years (37). However, lower IGF1 did not predict all-cause or cardiovascular mortality in the NHANES III study (14), and in a contrary result, high total IGF1 levels predicted increased all-cause mortality in a study of 642 adults aged 50–89 years (15). A U-shaped association may help to explain why other studies of men ranging from middle to older age have shown either lower IGF1 levels (6–10) or higher IGF1 levels (12, 13, 15) to be predictive of poorer cardiovascular outcomes. Similarly, our findings could be relevant to studies that have correlated either higher IGFBP3 levels (6, 7, 12, 13) or lower IGFBP3 levels (8, 11) with increased cardiovascular risk or cardiovascular events.

Our results indicate that in older men, the IGF1/IGFBP3 ratio, either as a distinct measure or as a surrogate for ‘free’ IGF1, may not be an important predictor of metabolic health. As associations between IGF1 and IGFBP3 with metabolic syndrome were comparable, these data do not suggest any independent effect of IGFBP3 distinct from its stoichiometric relationship with IGF1. It is possible that the link between IGF1 or IGFBP3 and cardiovascular mortality might be mediated independently of the metabolic syndrome. Thus, reduced IGF1 may be a marker for pre-existing ill-health (for example, poor nutritional status), accounting for its association with mortality. Longitudinal follow-up of our cohort could potentially determine whether the U-shaped relationship between IGF1 and IGFBP3 with metabolic syndrome translates into a corresponding association with incident cardiovascular events in older men.

In our study and in other reports, higher IGFBP1 levels are associated with insulin sensitivity and favourable metabolic status. However, while Laughlin et al. found that lower IGFBP1 levels predicted mortality over 9–13 years of follow-up (9), another study in 335 men aged 70–89 years found no relationship between IGFBP1 and 5-year mortality (40), while a study of 622 men aged 65–84 years reported that high IGFBP1 levels were associated with increased 5-year total and cardiovascular mortality (41). In contrast, our cross-sectional study supports the concept that reduced IGFBP1 levels might be associated with poorer cardiovascular outcomes, but additional prospective studies are needed to clarify this area.

The broader clinical utility of levels of IGF1 and its binding proteins also remains to be determined. One or more of them may eventually find their place as measures of the risk of developing significant cardiovascular or metabolic disease, but whether they play a causal role in such relationships and the prospects they offer for intervention if they do are not yet clear. Alternatively, levels of these species may actually be epiphenomena reflecting the state of underlying and directly causal pathophysiological processes, in which case whether or not their levels change when other interventions affect those processes may be the chief determinant of their clinical utility.

Conclusions

In our large study of older men, plasma IGF1 and its binding proteins 3 and 1 are differentially associated with metabolic syndrome. IGF1 and IGFBP3 levels in the middle of the distribution were associated with lowest risk, while increasing IGFBP1 was associated with reduced risk. These results may help to account for the divergent associations between IGF1, IGFBP3 and IGFBP1 with cardiovascular outcomes reported in several previous studies. Additional research is needed to clarify the potential utility of these measures to stratify cardiovascular risk in ageing men.
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