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Abstract

Objective: In vitro experiments and in vivo studies on rodents demonstrate that N-terminal 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 kDa fragments prolactin-related vasoinhibin (PRL-V) of human PRL are natural inhibitors of neovascularization in the retina and elsewhere. These N-terminal PRL fragments belong to a family of peptides named vasoinhibins, which act as endogenous regulators of angiogenesis and vascular function. These observations led to the hypothesis that PRL-V could play a role in the pathophysiology of diabetic retinopathy in humans. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether patients with diabetes mellitus and diabetic retinopathy have aberrant concentrations of PRL-V in the circulating blood.

Research design: We performed a case–control study and developed a new technique to semi-quantitatively determine PRL-V in serum samples from 48 male subjects. The case group consisted of 21 patients with diabetes mellitus and proliferative or non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy. The control group consisted of 27 healthy subjects with no history of diabetes mellitus.

Methods: For the detection of PRL-V, we developed a new analytical method, consisting of immunologic and laser-induced fluorescence techniques.

Results: The case group had significantly lower PRL-V serum concentrations than the control group (P=0.041). There was no significant difference between patients with proliferative and those with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

Conclusion: We conclude that given the antiangiogenic and antivasopermeability actions of PRL-V, the decreased serum levels of PRL-V in patients with diabetes mellitus could contribute to the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy.

Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy is a common microvascular complication in patients with diabetes mellitus. Whereas early, non-proliferative stages of diabetic retinopathy are characterized by microaneurysms, hemorrhages, intraretinal microvascular abnormalities, and other pathological processes, the major characteristic of more advanced proliferative stages is neovascularization in the retina. Despite the identification of associated factors, such as chronic hyperglycemia (1), the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms leading to the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy are not fully understood (2).

Research in this field has revealed that besides its production and systemic release by the pituitary gland, prolactin (PRL) is also locally produced in multiple human tissues such as endothelial cells (3), where it acts as a cytokine (4). Studies revealed that full-length PRL is proteolytically cleaved to various N- and C-terminal fragments (5, 6). Further investigations showed that the N-terminal, in contrast to the C-terminal fragments (7), referred to as PRL-related vasoinhibin (PRL-V), have antiangiogenic properties and belong to a family of antiangiogenic peptides that are also derived from GH and placental lactogen (8, 9). Based upon their functional and structural similarities, these peptides from different sources are classified as vasoinhibins and are characterized as endogenous regulators of angiogenesis and vascular function (10).

In vitro experiments and in vivo studies in rodents revealed that PRL-Vs inhibit neovascularization by several mechanisms (11), such as apoptosis-mediated vascular regression, thus being a potent inhibitor of angiogenesis in the retina (12) and elsewhere. In addition, PRL-V can inhibit vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-induced endothelial cell proliferation (8) and VEGF-induced vasopermeability in the retinal
vessels of diabetic rats (13), both believed to be important factors in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy. These observations led to the hypothesis that PRL-V could be involved in the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy in humans (10, 11, 14).

We performed a case–control study to investigate whether the serum levels of PRL-V correlate with the presence of diabetic retinopathy. Additionally, we report on the development of a highly sensitive and semi-quantitative method to detect PRL-V in human serum.

Subjects and methods

General technical aspects

For the detection of PRL-V and the systematic screening of the samples, we used a combination of immunologic and laser-induced fluorescence techniques. During this procedure, protein samples obtained by immunoprecipitation are loaded on a commercial, microfluidic based lab-on-a-chip device. Electrokinetic forces move the samples through a network of microchannels containing a gel/dye mixture, which function as a protein separation matrix with a resolution comparable with a 4–20% gradient gel. The dye intercalates directly with the protein SDS-micelles and generates fluorescence, which is detected by a red laser. The amount of the protein is determined by the detection of the intensity of the fluorescence and the corresponding molecular weights are determined by an automated comparison with an internal standard.

Immunoprecipitation

One hundred and fifty microliter serum and 400 μl PBS containing pro tease inhibitors (Cat. No.: 04693124001, Roche) was incubated with 50 μl protein A-agarose (Cat. No.: 11134515001, Roche) for 1 h at 4 °C under rotation. The sample was centrifuged (centrifuge 5415R with rotor F45-24-11, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) for 30 s at 3800 g and 15 μl mouse MAB 5602 against hPRL (Cat. No.: CAN-hPRL-4100-12, Diagnostics Biochem Canada, London, Canada) was added to the supernatant. After incubation for 6 h at 4 °C under rotation, the sample was incubated with 50 μl protein G-agarose (Cat. No.: 11719416001, Roche) for 16 h under the same conditions. The sample was centrifuged for 5 min at 3800 g and the supernatant was discharged. The pellet was washed with PBS and then resuspended in 25 μl PBS. Six microliter of the suspension was analyzed with the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany).

Bioanalyzer 2100

Adhering to the manufacturer’s instructions for the protein 80 kit (Cat. No.: 5067-1515, Agilent Technologies), but with slight modifications, 6 μl of the sample was combined with 2 μl denaturation solution from the kit containing 3.5 v/v% β-mercaptoethanol. The vial was placed on a heating block for 5 min at 95 °C. Six microliter of the sample was loaded on the chip (Cat. No. 5067-1515, Agilent Technologies) and underwent analysis by the device.

Figure 1 (A) Positive control. Five nanogram recombinant human PRL (rhPRL). (B) Five hundred nanogram rhPRL was incubated with 10 ng cathepsin D from human liver in 100 μl citrate-buffer at pH 3 and 37 °C for 1 h. Analysis of 4 μl diluted in 8 μl sample buffer shows proteolytic cleavage of full-length PRL into PRL fragments. (C) Analysis of a serum-sample, immunoprecipitated with the anti-hPRL mouse MAB 5602 shows prolactin-related vasoinhibin – and 23 kDa-PRL signals.
Positive controls

For positive controls, we used recombinant human PRL (rhPRL) from *Escherichia Coli* (Cat. No.: 40–267, NatuTec, Frankfurt, Germany). Five nanogram rhPRL was loaded on the chip and was analyzed by the device (Fig. 1A). Proteolysis of full-length PRL to the N-terminal PRL-fragments was conducted according the method of Piwnica *et al*. (6). Ten nanogram cathepsin D from human liver (Cat. No.: C869-25UG, Sigma) was incubated with 500 ng rhPRL in 100 µl citrate-buffer at pH 3 and 37 °C for 1 h. The reaction was terminated by adding 100 µl SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Four microliter diluted in 8 µl sample buffer and denaturation solution underwent analysis with the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Fig. 1B). The immunoprecipitation of a serum sample was also conducted with another mouse MAB, specific to a different epitope on hPRL (SC-80303, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Negative control

For the negative control, we conducted the immunoprecipitation with a mouse MAB (Ab 5601) against hPRL with an epitope at the C-terminal end of PRL (Cat. No.: CAN-hPRL-4100-11, Diagnostics Biochem Canada). This antibody is specific to a C-terminal epitope (amino acids 150–199 of full length hPRL), which is not present on the N-terminal 16K PRL fragment. Consequently, the antibody binds to full-length but not to N-terminal PRL fragments.

Non-reducing conditions

Immunoprecipitation and subsequent analysis of the sample with the Bioanalyzer 2100 under non-reducing conditions were conducted without the addition of β-mercaptoethanol or any other reducing agent (Fig. 2C).

Electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay

In addition to the determination of PRL in our assay, the concentration of full-length PRL was measured with a commercial electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA; Roche Diagnostics, Device: Cobas Modular Analytics E170, Kit: PRL II, Cat. No.: 03203093 190). The sensitivity of the assay was 0.047 ng/ml.

Western blot analysis

One hundred microliter of serum was incubated with 450 µl PBS containing protease inhibitors (Roche) and 50 µl protein A-agarose (Roche) for 1 h at 4 °C under rotation. The sample was centrifuged for 20 s at 6000 r.p.m. and 10 µl rabbit polyclonal antibody against human PRL (A0569, DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA, USA) was added. After 3 h at 4 °C under rotation, 50 µl protein A-agarose was added and the sample was incubated for 12 h. Following centrifugation (20 s at 3800 g), the supernatant was discharged and the pellet was washed thrice in PBS. The pellet was then resuspended in 30 µl SDS-PAGE loading buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol, boiled for...
5 min and then left on ice for 5 min to cool down. After centrifugation (20 s at 3800 g), the supernatant was electrophoresed on a 17% SDS-PAGE and an electroblot was performed on a PVDF membrane. The amersham low-range rainbow molecular weight marker (3500–40 000 kDa, product code: RPN755E) has been used to determine the molecular weight. The transfer was conducted semi-dry at 0.8 mA/cm². The membrane was blocked for 12 h with tris buffered saline (TBS) containing 8% dry milk. Next, the membrane was incubated with a 1:500 dilution of another rabbit polyclonal antibody against hPRL (Cat. No.: Ab1971, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) for 1 h at 37°C and afterwards with a 1:1000 dilution of a peroxidase-conjugated swine anti-rabbit antibody (Cat. No.: P0399, DakoCytomation). ECL western blotting reagents (GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany) were used to visualize the immunocomplexes (Fig. 3).

Subjects

The case group consisted of 21 patients with diabetes mellitus with either proliferative (n = 14) or non-proliferative (n = 7) diabetic retinopathy, assigned to a respective subgroup. For the control group, 27 healthy control subjects with no history of diabetes mellitus were enrolled. Patients of the case group were recruited at the Eye Clinic of the University of Goettingen. Ophthalmologic diagnosis was acquired through indirect ophthalmoscopy according to the criteria of the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (15). All control subjects were ambulant patients, recruited in a practice for internal medicine in Bochum, Germany. Institutional ethics committee approval and written informed consent from all participants were obtained. Exclusion criteria for all patients were medications and conditions known to increase or decrease PRL levels: a medical history of prolactinoma, hypothyroidism, chronic renal failure, liver cirrhosis, lesions of the chest wall during the last 3 months before recruitment, and treatment with cimetidine, cyproheptadine, monoamine oxidase (MAO)-inhibitors, meprobamate, methyldopa, metoclopramide, antipsychotic drugs, opiate, estrogen, prostaglandin, reserpine, sulpiride, tricyclic antidepressants, or verapamil. Blood samples were drawn from the cubital vein between 0600 and 1200 h and underwent screening for full-length PRL and 16K PRL.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to report the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. Age and years since diagnosis of the patients is presented as means in years ± S.D. PRL concentrations are presented as means and their S.E.M. The signals of 16K PRL were read by signal strength, defined as peak height in fluorescence units (FU) shown in the electropherograms of the Bioanalyzer 2100 device. The Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test was used to determine significant differences. Differences in means with a P value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis performed by Prism 4 GraphPad Software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Immunocomplex constituent analysis

To identify the signals of the immunoprecipitate measurement and to evaluate the characteristics of each component in the Bioanalyzer 2100, we conducted an immunocomplex constituent analysis. Protein G-agarose, the affinity chromatography matrix used for the immunoprecipitation, was found to cause no peaks within the detection area. Analysis of the mouse MAB (anti-hPRL antibody 5602) under reducing conditions showed migration of the IgG light chains corresponding to a molecular weight of 26–28 kDa and the heavy chain at 65 kDa (Fig. 4), thereby not interfering with PRL signals. rhPRL was determined to migrate at ~22 kDa (Fig. 1A). Cathepsin D-cleaved PRL-fragments were determined to migrate at 11, 15, 16.5, and 17 kDa (Fig. 1B).

Signal identification of the immunocomplex measurement

Epitope mapping of the MAB 5602 by Piwnica et al. (5) demonstrates that this antibody binds to the N-terminal residues 1–9 of human PRL. It does not detect Δ1-9-hPRL (lacking the nine N-terminal residues). The immunoprecipitation is specific to N-terminal and full-length PRL but not C-terminal fragments. Thus, the 16–17 kDa component of the immunocomplex, consistent with positive and negative
controls, was identified to represent N-terminal PRL-V and not a C-terminal PRL-fragment (Fig. 1C). In accordance with rhPRL as a positive control, we identified the signal at 22 kDa to represent full-length PRL (Fig. 1C). A western blot analysis of the immunoprecipitates, using a rabbit polyclonal antibody against human PRL (A0569, DakoCytomation), also confirmed the identity of the 22 kDa-peaks but did not show any immunoreactive bands at 16–17 kDa (data not shown). Immunoprecipitation with another mouse MAB (SC-80303), binding to a different epitope on hPRL, and successive measurement of the immunoprecipitate according to the method described above, confirmed PRL-V and full-length PRL signals (Fig. 2A). This antibody binds to an epitope corresponding to amino acids 29–151. For the negative control, the immunoprecipitation was conducted with a mouse MAB against hPRL with an epitope at the C-terminal end of PRL (Ab 5601). Analysis of a sample previously shown to contain PRL-V by immunoprecipitation with two monoclonal antibodies with N-terminal epitopes (CAN-hPRL-4100-12, DBC; SC-80303, SCB) and subsequent analysis with the Bioanalyzer 2100 revealed a 23K PRL but no PRL-V signal (Fig. 2B).

Analysis of PRL-V under non-reducing conditions

Measurement of the immunoprecipitate under non-reducing conditions revealed, compared with analysis under reducing conditions, a similar pattern (Fig. 2C).

Generation of PRL fragments

Incubation of rhPRL with cathepsin D resulted in a proteolytic cleavage of full-length PRL into an 11, 15, 16.5 and 17 kDa PRL fragment (Fig. 1C).

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population

The patients in the case group had a mean age of 64.3 ± 11.8 years. The mean duration since the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus (Type I or II) was 19.6 ± 10.0 years. Two subjects (10%) in the case group had Type I diabetes mellitus and 19 (90%) Type II diabetes mellitus. A total of 17 individuals (80%) were treated with insulin and 4 (20%) received oral hypoglycemic medication. A total of 14 patients (66%) had proliferative diabetic retinopathy (Fig. 5) and 7 (33%) non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy. The mean

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. Data are presented as number of individuals or mean years ± s.d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case group (n=21)</th>
<th>Control group (n=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (♂)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>64.3±11.6</td>
<td>54.0±18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes type (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since diagnosis of DM</td>
<td>19.6±10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes therapy (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral medication</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmologic diagnosis (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM, diabetes mellitus; RDP, retinopathia diabetica proliferans; RDS, retinopathia diabetica simplex.
Serum PRL (mU/l) 238.6
Serum PRL-V (FU) 2.39
Serum prolactin levels of a patient of the control group (Fig. 7 A), a
demonstrates representative electropherograms of a patient of the control group (Fig. 7 B), a patient with retinopathia diabetica simplex (Fig. 7 C).

**Figure 6** Serum prolactin-related vasoinhibin concentrations. The bars represent prolactin-related vasoinhibin (PRL-V) signals in fluorescence units (FU), mean ± s.e.m., disclosed by immunoprecipitation of serum samples and subsequent analysis with the Bioanalyzer 2100. The case group had significantly lower prolactin-related vasoinhibin concentrations than the control group (*P* = 0.041). The case group had a mean prolactin-related vasoinhibin concentration of 2.39 ± 0.59 FU, whereas the control group had a concentration of 3.64 ± 0.51 FU.

Age of the patients in the control group was 54.0 ± 18.3 years. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

**Serum PRL-V and 23K PRL concentrations**

Statistical analysis revealed that the case group had significantly lower PRL-V concentrations than the control group (*P* = 0.041). The case group had a mean PRL-V concentration of 2.39 ± 0.59 FU, whereas the control group had a concentration of 3.64 ± 0.51 FU (Fig. 6, Table 2). Subgroup analysis showed no significant difference between either of the subgroups. Patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy had a mean PRL-V concentration of 2.51 ± 0.85 FU and patients with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy a mean PRL-V concentration of 2.15 ± 0.56 FU (Table 2). Figure 7 demonstrates representative electropherograms of a patient of the control group (Fig. 7 A), a patient with retinopathia diabetica simplex (Fig. 7 B), and a patient with retinopathia diabetica proliferans (Fig. 7 C). Comparison of 23K PRL serum concentrations, measured by ECLIA, revealed no significant difference between either of the groups. The patients of the case group had a full-length PRL concentration of 238.6 ± 19.38 mU/l; analysis of the control group revealed a concentration of 222.1 ± 19.02 mU/l (*P* > 0.05). Subgroup analysis of the case group showed that patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy had a concentration of 233.5 ± 26.20 mU/l and those with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy had a concentration of 248.9 ± 27.49 mU/l (*P* < 0.05; Table 2). Western blot analysis showed reproducible 23K PRL bands in all serum samples, but only weak, non-reproducible bands at 16 kDa, which were not sufficient for densitometric analysis (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

It is a widely accepted model in the understanding of angiogenesis that neovascularization, in health and disease, is controlled by pro- and anti-angiogenic factors (16, 17). There has been an ongoing effort during the last decades to identify these factors and investigate their relevance in pathophysiological conditions (18). PRL-V has been identified as a natural inhibitor of angiogenesis in the retina (12), by inhibiting VEGF-mediated retinal vasopermeability (13) and antagonizing proangiogenic effects of VEGF (8). Angiogenesis and increased vasopermeability of retinal vessels are pathologic processes occurring in diabetic retinopathy. In this context, a role of PRL-V in the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy in humans is being discussed (10, 14). The present study supports the theory and provides evidence that patients with diabetic retinopathy have, compared with healthy subjects, lower levels of PRL-V in circulating blood. In view of the fact that PRL-V has antiangiogenic properties and a preventive effect on retinal vasopermeability, the lower concentration of PRL-V could contribute to the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy. Our results corroborate the

**Table 2** Serum prolactin concentrations. The values are presented as means ± s.e.m. Serum prolactin (PRL) concentrations were determined with a commercial electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay. Serum PRL-related vasoinhibin (PRL-V) concentrations were determined by immunoprecipitation of serum samples and subsequent measurement with the Bioanalyzer 2100. Statistical analysis with the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test revealed that the case group had significantly lower prolactin-related vasoinhibin concentrations than the control group (*P* = 0.041).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Case group (n=21)</th>
<th>Control group (n=27)</th>
<th><em>P</em> value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum prolactin levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum PRL-V (FU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup (RDP) (n=14)</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.64 ± 0.51</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup (RDS) (n=7)</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum PRL (mU/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>238.6 ± 19.38</td>
<td>222.1 ± 19.02</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>248.9 ± 27.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RDP, retinopathia diabetica proliferans; RDS, retinopathia diabetica simplex.
assumption that pathological neovascularization in the eyes of patients with diabetes mellitus could be based on a systemic shift in the equilibrium of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors in favor of enhanced angiogenic potential.

Several studies show that patients with diabetes mellitus have higher levels of VEGF in serum and vitreous fluid (19). Since PRL-V can inhibit VEGF-stimulated endothelial cell proliferation (8, 20), the lower levels of PRL-V could result in decreased VEGF-inhibition, thereby contributing to a pro-angiogenic environment.

Investigating patients with preeclampsia, Leano-Miranda et al. reported that patients with acute renal failure exhibited higher urinary PRL concentrations and higher urinary frequencies of antiangiogenic PRL-fragments than patients without diminished renal function (21). Since impaired renal function is common in patients with diabetes mellitus, increased renal elimination could explain lower systemic concentrations of PRL-V. According to Ben-Jonathan et al. glycosylation may alter proteolytic cleavage of PRL (22). This may result in the decreased proteolytic production of PRL-V, and thus enhanced glycosylation due to hyperglycemia could explain decreased levels of PRL-V.

Besides a small study population, there are other limitations to our investigation. Clearly, as the blood samples were collected from the cubital veins, we cannot state any information of PRL-V distribution in the eye, e.g. ocular fluid. Nonetheless, systemic PRL can enter the eye (23) and potentially act in concert with, or in addition to, local factors. Although PRL is expressed by retinal tissues and PRL-V has been detected in the rat retina (24), the origin of ocular PRL could also be systemic. Furthermore, most of the information about PRL-V comes from either in vitro studies or in vivo studies on rodents. However, the antiangiogenic effects of PRL-V have been demonstrated for both rat and human PRL. Moreover, the variability in post-translational modifications between PRL from these species is not substantial (22).

Since, according to the manufacturer (Agilent Technologies) of the device Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, the sizing resolution is 10% and the sizing accuracy has a 10% coefficient of variation, we cannot discriminate between 16, 16.5, and 17 kDa PRL. To our knowledge, there is currently no method or assay available, which is capable of isolating, semi-quantitatively measuring, and discriminating between 16, 16.5, and 17 kDa PRL.

Measurement of the immunoprecipitate under non-reducing conditions revealed, compared with analysis under reducing conditions, a similar pattern (Fig. 2 C). Several measurements with and without the reducing agent (β-mercaptoethanol) demonstrate that it does not affect the immunocomplexes. When using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, the addition of the reducing agent does not change or improve the migration properties. We think that similar results under reducing and non-reducing condition show that the addition of a reducing agent does not introduce laboratory-made artifacts.

The western blot analysis showed reproducible 23K PRL bands in all samples, but only weak, non-reproducible bands at 16 kDa, which were not sufficient for densitometric analysis (Fig. 3). The two immuno-reactive bands correlate close to the 24 kDa band and the 17 kDa band of the marker, consistent with full-length PRL and PRL-V. In addition to Ab1971, we used the MAB mAb 5602 and the polyclonal antibody A0569 in multiple western blots, attempting to detect PRL-V. Both antibodies repeatedly and reproducibly detected full-length PRL but failed to show immuno-reactive bands at 16 kDa. Ab1971 was the only
antibody showing immunoreactive bands at 16 kDa, but even this signal was not reproducible and therefore not suitable for a quantitative analysis (optical densitometry). A reproducible qualitative and quantitative analysis of PRL-V from human serum by immunoprecipitation and subsequent western blot has not been described to our knowledge. We suspect the major reason for this is the extremely low amount of antigen. We recognized this by unsuccessfully attempting multiple western blots with dozens of variations in order to detect PRL-V, and subsequently started to combine immunoprecipitation with the laser-induced fluorescence technique instead of western blot.

PRL-V has been linked to the pregnancy-associated diseases post partum cardiomyopathy (25) and pre-eclampsia (21). In our investigation, the detection of PRL-V in serum samples with immunoprecipitation procedures followed by western blot analysis was either unsuccessful or not reproducible. In the study by Hilfiker-Kleiner et al. (25), the detection of PRL-V in serum samples by western blot analysis was only successful in samples from lactating women who have, due to its function in milk production and lactation, high systemic PRL levels. In our study, we detected PRL-V in a series of serum samples from male subjects with full-length PRL levels within the normal range. Therefore, we believe that the use of immunoprecipitation in combination with laser induced fluorescence is a new technique, which could facilitate further research in this important field.

This study aimed to show whether PRL-V serum levels correlate with the presence of diabetic retinopathy. In this context, we chose a control group of healthy subjects. A control group of patients with diabetes mellitus but no microvascular complications was considered inferior since a monocausal role of PRL-V in the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy is unlikely. However, since we found decreased levels of PRL-V in patients with diabetic retinopathy, the investigation of PRL-V levels in diabetic patients without microvascular complications is an important question, which requires further research.

In summary, we show that patients with diabetes mellitus and diabetic retinopathy have, compared with healthy subjects, decreased serum levels of PRL-V in systemic blood. In view of the antiangiogenic and antivasoconstrictory actions of PRL-V, we conclude that the decreased vasoinhibin serum levels could contribute to the development and progression of diabetic retinopathy. Future investigations, disclosing PRL-V levels in patients with diabetes mellitus and no microvascular complications, will help to further evaluate the role of PRL-V in diabetic retinopathy.
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