Fiber intake predicts ghrelin levels in overweight and obese postmenopausal women

David H St-Pierre1,†, Rémi Rabasa-Lhoret1,3,4, Marie-Ève Lavoie1, Antony D Karelis5, Irene Strychar1, Eric Doucet6 and Lise Coderre2

Département de 1Nutrition et de 2Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada, 3Montreal Diabetes Research Centre, Centre Hospitalier de l’Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada, 4Institut de Recherches Cliniques de Montréal, 110 Ave Des Pins Ouest, Montréal, Québec, Canada H2W 1R7, 5Département de Kinanthropologie, Université de Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada and 6Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Human Kinetics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

(Correspondence should be addressed to R Rabasa-Lhoret at Institut de Recherches Cliniques de Montréal; Email: remi.rabasa-lhoret@umontreal.ca)

†(D H St-Pierre is now at Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Molinette Hospital, University of Turin, Turin, Italy)

Abstract

Background: Ghrelin levels are decreased upon food intake, but the impact of specific diet-derived macronutrients on its regulation remains unclear. In addition, because of ghrelin’s association with body weight regulation, it is important to understand the mechanisms regulating its levels in obese individuals.

Objective: To examine the effect of specific macronutrients on ghrelin levels in overweight and obese postmenopausal women.

Methods: Thirty-five subjects underwent a euglycemic/hyperinsulinemic clamp (EHC) to examine glucose disposal and total ghrelin (TotG) and acylated ghrelin (AG) levels. Macronutrient intake was evaluated with a 3-day food questionnaire.

Results: Under fasting conditions, positive associations were observed between fiber intake and TotG and AG levels. Fasting AG also correlated positively with the intake of total energy, as well as monounsaturated and polyunsaturated lipids. Importantly, fiber consumption explained up to 26 and 23% of the variation in TotG and AG respectively. During the EHC, TotG levels were significantly reduced at all times, while AG was decreased at 60 min only. TotG area under the curve (AUC) values were positively associated with fiber and polyunsaturated lipid intake, while AG AUC values correlated positively with fiber, total energy, carbohydrate, and lipid intake. Interestingly, fiber intake explained up to 21% of the variation in TotG AUC, while total energy intake predicted up to 21% of the variation in the AG AUC.

Conclusion: The present study suggests that fiber intake is an important regulator of ghrelin levels both in fasting and in hyperinsulinemic conditions. Overall, these results reinforce the importance of the intimate association between eating habits and gastrointestinal hormonal regulation.
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Introduction

Ghrelin is a 28 amino acid hormone mainly derived from the fundus of the stomach (1). Although it was first recognized for its stimulatory effect on somatotrope cells of the anterior pituitary gland, ghrelin also plays a physiological role in the regulation of food intake and metabolic functions (2–4). In lean healthy subjects, ghrelin levels increase before meals, whereas they are significantly lower under postprandial conditions (5, 6). By contrast, in individuals with obesity, insulin resistance (IR), or type 2 diabetes, fasting ghrelin levels are lower and the postprandial inhibition is either of lesser amplitude or undetectable compared with lean individuals (7, 8). Thus, metabolic alterations are associated with important changes in circulating ghrelin levels.

In the circulation, total ghrelin (TotG) is present as acylated ghrelin (AG) and unacylated ghrelin (UAG) forms. Adding to the complexity, these two distinct forms share some common functions, but also display opposite biological activities. For instance, orexigenic and diabetogenic effects are associated with AG (3, 4), whereas evidence suggests that UAG could have anti-diabetogenic functions (9–13). Although there is a characteristic decrease in ghrelin levels following food intake, the molecular mechanisms underlying this physiological response are still unclear. Importantly,
there is limited information regarding the impact of specific dietary macronutrients on both fasting and postprandial ghrelin fluctuations (14, 15), and no consensus has emerged regarding the acute effects of high-carbohydrate, high-lipid, or high-protein intake on ghrelin levels (16–19).

Fiber-rich diets have a beneficial effect on insulin sensitivity and plasma lipid profiles (20). In addition, epidemiological studies demonstrated that high fiber intake has a beneficial effect on body weight (21), and could help lower the risks of both diabetes (22) and cardiovascular diseases (23, 24). Furthermore, fiber consumption has been shown to promote satiety through modulation of the perception of satiety (21). These effects have been attributed, in part, to the slower gastric emptying and macronutrient absorption from the gut after fiber consumption (20).

Due to ghrelin’s gastrointestinal nature and its dual acute and chronic impact on the regulation of energy balance (25, 26), we hypothesized that ghrelin levels may be influenced by the consumption of specific dietary macronutrients. Since the mechanisms underlying AG and UAG regulation may differ (7, 27), the present study evaluates the impact of food intake, as measured by a 3-day food questionnaire, on ghrelin profiles (determined by TotG and AG values) in both fasting conditions and during a hyperinsulinemic steady state induced by the euglycemic/hyperinsulinemic clamp (EHC) technique.

Materials and methods

Subjects

The study population consisted of 35 non-diabetic overweight or obese postmenopausal women aged between 46 and 68 years old. These women were recruited as part of the larger Montreal Ottawa New Emerging Team study. Women were included in the study if they met the following criteria: i) body mass index (BMI) ≥ 27 kg/m²; ii) FSH levels ≥ 30 U/l; iii) sedentary (≤ 2 h/week of structured exercise); iv) non-smokers; v) low to moderate alcohol consumption (< 2 drinks/day); vi) absence of any known inflammatory disease; and vii) no use of hormone replacement therapy within the last 3 months. On physical examination or biological testing, all participants had no history or evidence of: i) cardiovascular diseases, peripheral vascular diseases, or stroke; ii) diabetes as evaluated by a 2-h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test; iii) orthopedic limitations; iv) uncontrolled thyroid or pituitary diseases; v) infection (medical questionnaire examination and complete blood count); and vi) medication that could affect cardiovascular function and/or metabolism. The study was approved by the University of Montreal Ethics Committee.

Sequence of tests

After reading and signing the consent form, each participant was invited to the metabolic unit for a series of tests. At inclusion, all subjects had a BMI ≥ 27 kg/m². On testing day, one subject displayed a BMI slightly below 27 kg/m², due to a slight weight loss during the stabilization period. This subject was included in the analysis based on the observed BMI at inclusion. After a 4-week weight stabilization period, patients underwent a 3-h EHC. Body composition was determined by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

Euglycemic/hyperinsulinemic clamp

The test began at 0730 after a 12-h overnight fast following the procedure described by DeFronzo et al. (28). A catheter was introduced in the antecubital vein for the infusion of 20% dextrose and insulin (Actrapid, Novo-Nordisk, Toronto, Ontario, Canada). Another catheter was inserted into the other arm for blood sampling. Three fasting samples of plasma glucose and insulin were collected over 40 min. Then, insulin was infused at the rate of 75 μU/m² per min for 180 min. Plasma glucose was measured every 10 min with a glucose analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA, USA) and maintained at fasting level with a variable infusion rate of 20% dextrose. Glucose disposal (insulin sensitivity) was calculated as the mean rate of glucose infusion measured during the last 30 min of the clamp steady state and was expressed as mg/min per kg of fat-free mass.

Blood samples

After a 12-h overnight fast, blood samples were collected at times 0, 60, 160, 170, and 180 min during the EHC for TotG and AG measurements. Fasting serum samples of triglyceride, high-density lipoproteins (HDL)-cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins (LDL)-cholesterol, insulin, and glucose concentrations were analyzed at 0 min. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3900 g for 10 min at 4 °C and kept at −80 °C until further analyses. For AG samples, 1 ml of plasma was treated with 50 μl/ml HCl (1 M) and 10 μl/ml phenylmethyl-sulfonyl fluoride (57.4 mM; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) to prevent peptidic degradation and/or loss of the acyl group on Ser1. Plasma immunoreactive TotG and AG levels were measured in duplicate with a commercial RIA procedure using 125I-labeled bioactive human TotG and AG as tracers and a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against full-length peptides (Linco Research, St-Charles, MO, USA). As indicated by the manufacturer, inter- and intra-assay percent coefficients of variation (CV) were respectively under 18 and 10%. Blood glucose and the lipid profile were analyzed on the day of collection, while insulin samples were kept at −80 °C until analysis. Analyses for total cholesterol,
HDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides were done on the COBAS INTEGRA 400 analyzer (Roche Diagnostic). Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides were used in the Friedewald formula (29) to calculate LDL-cholesterol concentration. Insulin levels were determined by RIA (Linco Research). Homeostasis model assessment for IR was calculated according to the formula of Matthews et al. (30).

**Body composition**

Body weight was measured using an electronic scale (Balances Industrielles, Montreal, Quebec, Canada), and standing height was measured using a wall stadiometer (Perspective Enterprises, Portage, MI, USA). Lean body mass and total adiposity were measured by DXA (General Electric Lunar Corporation version 6.10.019, Madison, WI, USA). Percentage CV was 0.8% for lean body mass and 1.1% for total adiposity.

**Computed topography**

We evaluate visceral and subcutaneous fat areas at the L4–L5 level using a scout image of the body with a GE High-Speed Advantage computed topography (CT) scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Subjects were examined in the supine position with both arms stretched above their head. Visceral adipose tissue (VAT) area was quantified by delineating the intra-abdominal cavity at the most internal aspect of the abdominal and oblique muscle walls surrounding the cavity and the posterior aspect of the vertebral body. Test–retest measures of the different body fat distribution compartments on ten CT scans yielded a mean absolute difference of 1% in obese postmenopausal women (31).

**Nutrient intakes**

Food intake was assessed using a 3-day food record (1) at baseline, during the weight stabilization period. Subjects were instructed by a registered dietitian to keep a record of food intake, including condiments and beverages, over 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day while maintaining their usual habits. Each food record was reviewed by a registered dietitian with the subject to complete missing information. Analyses were conducted with the Food Processor SQL program (Food Processor SQL Edition, version 9.6.2, 2004, ESHA Research, Salem, OR, USA) using the 2001 Canadian nutrient data file and the USDA database. The total energy intake was calculated by the Food Processor program and represents gross energy intake.

The data entry of the food records was done by a registered dietitian and independently verified by a second one. Discrepancies between the two registered dietitians were discussed and modifications were made according to their mutual decision. Mean intake of 3 days for total energy, carbohydrates, protein, and fat was calculated for each subject (32).

**Statistical analysis**

The data are expressed as the mean ± S.D. A repeated measures ANOVA was used to detect a significant effect of time within the EHC (0 vs 60, 160, 170, and 180 min). If a significant time interaction was observed, a Bonferroni test was used to identify the differences between fasting and other values throughout the clamp. The area under the curve (AUC) was assessed to provide an overall index of ghrelin suppression throughout the EHC and was calculated by the trapezoidal method. The relationships of TotG and AG with macronutrient intakes were evaluated by Pearson's correlation. Partial correlations were used to control for specific variables. A stepwise multi-linear regression model determined which variables (fiber, carbohydrate, total lipid, polyunsaturated and monounsaturated lipids, omega-3 fatty acids, and total energy intake) explained the unique variance in TotG and AG profiles. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS for Windows version 11.5. Significance was accepted at P < 0.05.

**Results**

Thirty-five overweight and obese postmenopausal women were included in the present study with an average age of 57.6 ± 5.0 years. As presented in Tables 1 and 2, these subjects displayed important variations in body composition, lipid profile, glucose disposal, and nutrient intake.

As described in Table 3, fasting TotG was positively associated with fiber intake (r=0.51; P<0.005). Similarly, and as shown in Table 4, fasting AG levels also correlated positively with fiber intake (r=0.47; P<0.01). In addition, fasting AG correlated with total energy intake (r=0.37; P<0.05) as well as monounsaturated (r=0.40; P<0.05), polyunsaturated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean ± S.D.</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>33.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>26.9–45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral adiposity (cm²)</td>
<td>187 ± 5.4</td>
<td>96–346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.51 ± 0.66</td>
<td>4.01–6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.99–2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.68</td>
<td>1.43–5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glycaemia (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.66</td>
<td>0.94–3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin µU/ml (n=34)</td>
<td>15.8 ± 7.4</td>
<td>7.3–44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA (n=34)</td>
<td>3.50 ± 1.69</td>
<td>1.45–9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose disposal rate (mg/min per kg FFM)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.7–19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoproteins; LDL, low-density lipoproteins; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; FFM, fat-free mass.

www.eje-online.org
(r = 0.41; P < 0.05), and omega-3 (r = 0.35; P < 0.05) lipid intake. Importantly, the correlation between fiber intake and fasting AG and TotG levels remained statistically significant after correcting for fasting glycemia, glucose infusion rate during the EHC and total energy intake (data not shown). Furthermore, fiber consumption explained up to 26 and 23% of the variation in TotG and AG respectively (Table 5).

During the EHC baseline values were significantly reduced at all times for TotG and only at time 60 min for AG (Figure 1). TotG AUC values were positively associated with fiber (r = 0.46; P < 0.01) and polyunsaturated lipid intake (r = 0.34; P < 0.05). Similarly, AG AUC values were also positively correlated with fiber (r = 0.46; P < 0.01) and carbohydrate (r = 0.41; P < 0.05) intake. In addition, total (r = 0.43; P < 0.05), monounsaturated (r = 0.41; P < 0.05), polyunsaturated (r = 0.46; P < 0.01), and omega-3 (r = 0.41; P < 0.05) lipid intake values were significantly associated with those of AG AUC. Association between fiber intake and ghrelin TotG AUC remained significant after correction for fasting glycemia, glucose infusion rate during the EHC, and total energy intake. However, the association between AG AUC and fiber intake did not remain significant after correction for total energy intake. Fiber intake explained up to 21% of the variation in TotG AUC, while total energy and omega-3 intake explained 33% of the variation in AG AUC.

### Table 2: Energy and nutrient intakes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients</th>
<th>Mean ± s.d.</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total energy intake (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1999 ± 524</td>
<td>1118–3552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein intake (g/day)</td>
<td>82.8 ± 20.6</td>
<td>38.3–132.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate intake (g/day)</td>
<td>244 ± 66</td>
<td>160–433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lipid intake (g/day)</td>
<td>74.5 ± 27.8</td>
<td>32.3–148.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated lipid intake (g/day)</td>
<td>25.4 ± 11.1</td>
<td>10.6–56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated lipid intake (g/day)</td>
<td>11.9 ± 6.3</td>
<td>4.1–30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 intake (g/day)</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.63</td>
<td>0.00–2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber intake (g/day)</td>
<td>19.6 ± 7.6</td>
<td>9.7–40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Association between total ghrelin profile and nutrient intake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>TotG (fasting)</th>
<th>TotG AUC (EHC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total energy intake</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate intake</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lipid intake</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated lipid intake</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated lipid intake</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>r = 0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 intake</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber intake</td>
<td>r = 0.51*</td>
<td>r = 0.46†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TotG, total ghrelin; AUC, area under the curve; EHC, euglycemic/hyperinsulinemic clamp. *P < 0.05; †P < 0.01; ‡P < 0.005.

Furthermore, VAT was also negatively associated with AG values, both in the fasted state (r = −0.42; P < 0.05) and during the clamp (AUC: r = −0.43; P < 0.01) but not with TotG. Fasting and clamp AG values also correlated with total body weight (fasting: r = −0.38; P < 0.05; AUC: r = −0.34; P < 0.05) and total fat mass (fasting: r = −0.38; P < 0.02; EHC AUC value: r = −0.36; P < 0.03).

### Discussion

Although ghrelin is a well-recognized orexigenic factor that can exert potent metabolic effects (2–4), the specific mechanisms regulating its levels remain to be clarified. Previous investigations have suggested that while insulin is an important modulator of ghrelin (33, 34), additional factors also influence its levels (35). The primary objective of this study was to evaluate whether consumption of specific macronutrients derived from the diet could influence TotG and AG profiles in both fasting and hyperinsulinemic conditions. The main result of the present study is that fiber intake may predict, at least in part, the unique variance of fasting AG and TotG as well as TotG AUC during an EHC in our cohort of overweight and obese postmenopausal women. By contrast, AG AUC was predicted primarily by total energy intake. This suggests that fiber consumption and energy intake could be associated with distinct regulatory mechanisms of AG in fasting and hyperinsulinemic conditions.

Compared to individuals with obesity, IR, and type 2 diabetes, lean healthy individuals present increased ghrelin levels in fasting conditions and showed an enhanced inhibitory capacity in postprandial conditions (6). As previously reported, during the EHC, baseline values were significantly reduced at all times for TotG and only at time 60 min for AG (7). Studies have also demonstrated that subjects displaying a greater magnitude of TotG and AG reduction during the clamp display better metabolic profiles (7). In addition, increased fiber intake has been associated with a reduced risk for both type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (22–24).
In the present study, high fiber intake was positively associated with increased ghrelin levels in the fasted state as well as AG and TotG AUC values during the EHC. Thus, the consumption of a fiber-rich diet was associated with a ghrelin profile that is more representative of the one observed in healthy lean individuals. Other studies have suggested that fiber ingestion can modulate ghrelin profiles. Both acute fiber ingestion as well as the consumption of lupin-enriched bread containing a high proportion of fiber (25–30%) were shown to reduce postprandial ghrelin levels (36, 37). The present study indicates the importance of total fiber consumption on the regulation of ghrelin profiles. However, other factors such as the physico-chemical nature (soluble versus insoluble) of the ingested fibers are also likely to have an impact (reviewed by Kathunen (38)). For instance, the ingestion of non-caloric soluble psyllium fibers reduced TotG AUC to an equivalent extent as the one observed following the ingestion of a mixed meal. Similar results were observed following the consumption of bread enriched with insoluble oat fibers (39, 40). The addition of carob pulp to an isocaloric liquid meal reduced postprandial AG but not TotG levels (36). Carob pulp is rich in compounds such as polyphenols, and it is not presently possible to distinguish whether this effect is directly mediated by the fibers or by other bioactive factors present in the pulp. Further investigations should focus on the impact of dietary fiber types on ghrelin levels in both the fasted state and postprandial condition.

High fiber consumption is associated with improved glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity (20, 22). Since glucose and insulin are important modulators of ghrelin levels (6, 7), we also evaluated whether these factors influence the association between ghrelin and fiber intake. Interestingly, fiber intake remained significantly associated with ghrelin levels (total or acylated) after correcting for insulin sensitivity. Recent studies have shown that high fiber intake increased the perception of satiety, reduced appetite, and lowered food intake in patients submitted to a standardized meal (41), and it was suggested that this effect could be due to increased gastric transit time (42–44). Potentially, decreased gastric emptying could result in better inhibition of ghrelin secretion in the upper section of the gastrointestinal tract. Fiber can have a delayed effect, reducing the glycemic response to the subsequent meal (45). In addition, fiber intake has also been associated with reduced IL-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α-receptor-2 (46). Together, these effects may result in improved ghrelin profiles. Further studies exploring the influence of high fiber consumption on ghrelin levels will be needed to address the mechanism by which fiber influences ghrelin levels.

Table 5 Stepwise regression analysis regarding independent predictors of total ghrelin (TotG) and acylated ghrelin (AG) variations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Relationship (±)</th>
<th>Partial $r^2$</th>
<th>Total $r^2$ cumulative</th>
<th>$\beta$-Coefficients</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting TotG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fiber intake</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TotG AUC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fiber intake</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting AG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fiber intake</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG AUC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Omega-3 intake</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TotG, total ghrelin; AG, acylated ghrelin; AUC, area under the curve.
Total energy intake was the best predictor of AG AUC. In normal weight subjects, AG is recognized to be an important orexigenic factor (47) and postprandial TotG suppression is proportional to the ingested energy load (48). However, in obese subjects the latter effect could not be observed (49). Consumption of a high caloric meal (40% of daily calories) effectively suppressed TotG levels in obese subjects. Since only TotG levels were evaluated, AG regulation remains to be further examined.

Ghrelin plays an important role in promoting adiposity (3, 14), and it was suggested that its dysregulation could influence the development of metabolic disturbances associated with diet-induced obesity (50). In addition, excessive VAT accumulation is considered an important risk factor in the development of both type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (51). Interestingly, AG correlated negatively with both fasting and AG AUC values. Based on this information, it is tempting to hypothesize that reduced AG concentrations may mediate an adaptive feedback mechanism to prevent further increases in visceral adiposity. The present data also indicate that VAT was negatively correlated with consumption of fiber-rich diet. Numerous studies have highlighted the positive effect of high fiber consumption on lipid metabolism (20, 22, 23, 36, 52). For example, the ingestion of dietary fibers may decrease postprandial triglycerides’ and fatty acids’ levels, possibly through reduced fat absorption. Furthermore, high fiber intakes were shown to increase fat oxidation and improve lipid metabolism while also modulating glucose homeostasis through the delay of glucose absorption. Thus, supplementation with dietary fibers could help prevent the development of metabolic disturbances through multiple mechanisms, which, in turn, could be mediated through the regulation of gastrointestinal hormones such as ghrelin.

The current study is limited by several factors. First, our cohort is composed of non-diabetic overweight and obese sedentary postmenopausal women. Therefore, our findings are limited to this population. Secondly, we used a cross-sectional approach, which does not allow us to make conclusions on any causal associations. Thirdly, we infused high insulin levels to completely inhibit hepatic glucose production even in insulin-resistant individuals (53). Thus, it is possible that a lower and more physiological dose of insulin might have influenced the association between fiber intake and AG levels differently. Despite these limitations, our results are strengthened by the use of gold standard techniques for the evaluation of various metabolic risk factors in a relatively well-characterized cohort.

In conclusion, the present results provide new evidence of the association of TotG and AG profiles with fiber intake in a population of overweight and obese postmenopausal women. Ultimately, a better understanding of the relationship between ghrelin and fiber intake may lead to new clinical strategies aimed at improving metabolic profiles.
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