Successful use of weekly pegvisomant administration in patients with acromegaly
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Abstract

Context: Clinical trials using 80 mg once weekly pegvisomant (pegV) in active acromegaly led to a 30% fall in serum IGF1. Subsequent studies demonstrated that daily administration of up to 40 mg/day achieved an IGF1 within reference range in 97% of patients. PegV has a half-life of > 70 h suggesting weekly dosing may be possible but using higher doses than in the initial trials.

Objective: To determine the efficacy of weekly dosing of pegV.

Design: A two center, open-label prospective study in patients with acromegaly converted from a stable daily dose of pegV (median dose 15 mg daily (range 10–20 mg od), IGF1 normal for 3 months prior to inclusion) to twice-weekly (week 0–16) followed by once-weekly (week 16–32) administration.

Results: Seven patients (4M, age 57 ± 7 years, 6/7 prior transsphenoidal surgery, 7/7 prior radiotherapy) were recruited. Six patients completed the twice-weekly and five patients both the twice-weekly and once-weekly administration. Headaches led to two patient withdrawals at 0–24 weeks. Mean pre-dose serum IGF1 levels remained stable with the different administration regimens (IGF1 baseline 145 ± 39 ng/ml, twice-weekly 124 ± 39 ng/ml and once-weekly 127 ± 22 ng/ml) and all values were within age adjusted IGF1 reference range. PegV dose was reduced in two patients and five opted to continue weekly administration at trial termination. Safety and quality of life parameters remained stable.

Conclusions: Twice and once-weekly administration of pegV is effective in controlling serum IGF1 levels in acromegaly and although not formally assessed, continuation of weekly dosing in five patients at study conclusion suggests patient preference for this regimen.

Introduction

Pegvisomant (pegV) is a GH receptor antagonist licensed as a daily subcutaneous injection for the treatment of acromegaly and is capable of normalizing insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF1) in up to 97% of patients (1). However, as pegV has plasma half-life in excess of 70 h it is surprising that it is licensed as a once daily preparation (2). Indeed, initial phase I trials in healthy volunteers demonstrated that peak pegV levels occurred at ~72 h, with an IGF1 nadir at 5 days following a 1 mg/kg dose. The initial trials in patients with acromegaly (phase II) used weekly rather than daily administration. Eighty mg per week (the approximate equivalent of 1 mg/kg) of pegV led to a 30% reduction in IGF1 but only 3/15 patients achieved an IGF1 within the age-related reference range (3). This disappointing IGF1 normalization rate resulted in daily dosing being used in the definitive (phase III) study with up to 20 mg/day achieving a serum IGF1 within reference range in 89% of patients (1, 4).

IGF1 normalization was achieved in 20% of patients using 80 mg weekly in the phase II trials compared with 54% of patients administering the approximately equivalent 10 mg daily (i.e. 70 mg/week) in the phase III studies (3, 4). However, the inclusion criteria for these two studies differed (phase II: IGF1 > 150% of upper limit of normal (ULN) versus phase III: IGF1 > 130% of ULN) and when the decrease in IGF1 is expressed as a percentage of baseline, it is equivalent between the weekly and daily dose regimens (27 and 31% respectively). The difference in normalization rates was therefore probably a result of the different baseline IGF1 values. These data suggest that weekly dosing may suffice but that the doses of pegV required to normalize IGF1 are greater than initially anticipated, leaving the question of whether daily administration is more efficacious unanswered.

There is evidence that less than daily administration of pegV is effective (5). Furthermore, the addition of weekly pegV at doses of up to 160 mg/week in patients with acromegaly uncontrolled on maximum dose of
somatostatin analogues alone was effective at normalizing IGF1 in all 32 patients (6, 7).

In this report, we describe a prospective study with 6 months open-label extension data investigating the efficacy of converting patients with a normal IGF1 from a stable dose of daily pegV (10–20 mg daily) to twice and once weekly administration.

Methods and patients

Protocol

A two center, open label, prospective study was carried out at the Christie Hospital, Manchester and St Bartholomew’s Hospital, London with appropriate UK ethical and regulatory approval. Patients with acromegaly on a stable dose of sole pegV therapy with serum IGF1 within the reference range for 3 months were eligible for inclusion.

The daily pegV dose was converted to an equivalent total weekly amount. During the initial 16 weeks of the trial, pegV was self-administered twice-weekly at three and four day intervals. The second 16 weeks of the trial involved administration of the total dose on a weekly basis (i.e. a patient on 10 mg daily prior to the trial administered 40 and 30 mg twice-weekly and 70 mg weekly). Patients were instructed to reconstitute the daily dose of pegV in the recommended volume of solute (1 ml) and administer these as separate injections.

Serum IGF1 levels were monitored at weeks 0, 6, 16, 24, and 32. During week 32, patients attended for daily serum IGF1, GH, and pegV levels (patients attended, where possible, in the morning at 24 h intervals following the weekly injection). All samples were analyzed at each visit and also as a single batch at trial termination to eliminate inter-assay variation.

At each visit, vital signs, fasting glucose, and liver function tests (ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase and gGT) were monitored and an AcroQol questionnaire completed (8).

Patients’ characteristics

Seven patients (four males, age 57 ± 7 years) were recruited, six completed the twice-weekly protocol and five completed the whole 32 weeks. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. All patients were converted to pegV following failure to normalize IGF1 on prior treatments and those with hypopituitarism were on stable replacement. Tumor size was monitored by an annual magnetic resonance imaging.

Assays

All samples for IGF1, GH, and pegV were analyzed as a single batch.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient numbers</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Time since diagnosis (years)</th>
<th>Dose of pegV (mg/day)</th>
<th>Duration of PRL prior to trial (years)</th>
<th>Pituitary hormone deficiencies</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Dose of pegV (mg/day)</th>
<th>Time of pegV prior to trial (years)</th>
<th>Assays</th>
<th>Dose of pegV (mg/day)</th>
<th>Time of pegV prior to trial (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IGF1 Serum IGF1 levels were measured by Immulite-2000 solid-phase enzyme-labeled chemiluminescent immunometric assay (DPC). Within assay variability was 6.4, 2.9, and 2.6% at low (mean 45.6 ± 2.9 ng/ml), medium (mean 238 ± 6.9 ng/ml), and high (mean 550 ± 14.5 ng/ml) serum IGF values respectively. The age-related IGF1 reference range was based on 1499 samples analyzed on the DPC Immulite assay from a healthy adult population (9).

PegV drug level Serum concentrations of pegV were determined by an immunofluorometric sandwich type assay previously described (10). Within assay variability was 7.5, 4.6, and 5.2% at concentrations of 160, 650, and 3900 ng/ml respectively. The between assay variability was 13.5, 6.4, and 8.5% at the same concentrations.

GH Serum concentrations of GH were determined using a specific GH assay designed to exclude interference from pegV. This assay has been described in detail elsewhere (2, 11). Intra-assay variability was 4.1 and 3.9% at concentrations of 5,2 and 14.6 ng/ml respectively. Inter-assay variability at the same concentrations was 7.3 and 9.2% respectively.

Liver function and plasma glucose Plasma glucose was measured using glucose oxidase method and ALT by Automated ADVIA system.

Statistical analysis
Data are normally distributed and expressed as mean ± S.D. Serum IGF1 is expressed as an absolute concentration (ng/ml) and also as a percentage of the ULN for the age-related reference range.

Longitudinal data were compared using RM-ANOVA. A paired t-test was used to analyze pre- and post-dose IGF1 and pegV values. Results were considered significant if P < 0.05.

Results

Mean serum IGF1 and pegV levels
Mean serum IGF1 levels were unchanged during daily, twice-weekly or once-weekly administration of pegV (139 ± 27, 124 ± 26, and 126 ± 10 ng/ml respectively, RM-ANOVA, P = 0.6 Fig. 1). Mean IGF1 values
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**Figure 1** Trough serum IGF1 levels during each administration regime. ▲ 20 mg/day, ■ 15 mg/day, ● 10 mg/day, ● mean IGF1.
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**Figure 2** Serum IGF1 and pegvisomant levels in five patients (a–e) at week 32. Samples were taken at ~24 h intervals following the weekly injection: (b) and (e) = 70 mg/week, (a) and (c) = 105 mg/week and (d) = 140 mg/week; (a) and (c) = males, (b) and (d) = females. Upper and lower limits for the IGF1 reference ranges are indicated as horizontal lines. ● Serum pegvisomant (dashed line); ● serum IGF1 (complete line).
remained within reference range (IGF1 61 ± 14% of ULN at baseline, 54 ± 10% ULN during twice-weekly and 56 ± 10% ULN during once-weekly, Fig. 1). No dose adjustments in pegV were necessary. There was no significant difference in mean serum pegV levels between daily, twice- and once-weekly administration (16 100 ± 14 000, 14 800 ± 10 600, and 16 900 ± 9700 ng/ml respectively).

**Efficacy of weekly administration of pegV**

Serum IGF1 and pegV levels were measured one day post-dose and prior to the next dose in five patients during the weekly administration of pegV. There was no significant difference between serum IGF1 (post-dose 129 ± 6.9 versus pre-dose 137 ± 22 ng/ml) and pegV concentrations (post-dose 17 200 ± 11 500 versus pre-dose 14 900 ± 6600 ng/ml) although these decreased in four out of five patients over the week. Serum IGF1 values remained within reference range throughout the week following injection (Fig. 2). Serum GH levels showed no overall significant change (post-dose 22.6 ± 24 versus pre-dose 29.5 ± 36 ng/ml; Fig. 3b) patients with the higher serum GH levels receiving the higher doses of pegV.

**Safety and metabolic data**

Serum ALT, fasting plasma glucose, and weight were unchanged throughout the study (ALT 29 ± 11, 25 ± 6, 29 ± 11 IU/l; fasting plasma glucose (FPG) 4.6 ± 0.4, 5.7 ± 1.6, 4.7 ± 0.4 mmol/l; weight 82 ± 11, 83 ± 6, 83 ± 6 kg for daily, twice-weekly, and once-weekly respectively).

**Quality of life**

There was a trend to increase quality of life with conversion to less than daily dosing although this was not significant in this small number of patients (AcroQol scores 81 ± 15, 83 ± 18, 88 ± 15 for daily, twice-weekly, and once-weekly administration respectively).}

Adverse events

Two patients did not complete the study (Table 1). Patient seven developed headaches following the first 60 mg injection and declined to continue in the study. Patient six dropped out at the end of the twice-weekly administration due to headaches related to chronic sinusitis.

**Six month post-trial extension data**

All five patients completing the trial elected to continue with once-weekly administration of pegV. The dose of pegV was reduced to 60 mg from 70 mg/week in patient 5 and to 90 mg from 105 mg/week in patient 1. Mean trough serum IGF1 levels were not significantly different 6 months post-trial on weekly dosing (57 ± 14 vs 66 ± 11% ULN).

**Discussion**

This study illustrates for the first time that pegV as a sole therapy can be successfully administered as a weekly dose.

PegV was initially developed as a once-weekly preparation based on a half-life of over 70 h. PegV is a relatively inefficient competitive receptor antagonist as plasma concentrations w1000-fold higher than the GH are required to antagonize its action, which led to an initial underestimation of the dose that would be required to achieve normalization of IGF1. The importance of ensuring IGF1 normalization led to the use of a daily dosing strategy in the landmark pegV clinical trial and licensing as a daily preparation.

Studies using daily administration and individualized dose titration strategies demonstrate the wide range of doses, from 10 to 60 mg/day that may be required to normalize IGF1. We have demonstrated that it is possible to convert patients from daily dose requirements of up to 20 mg/day (between 70 and 140 mg of pegV per week) to a weekly administration regimen with no loss of efficacy with regards to serum IGF1 levels. This was maintained at 6 months after the end of the study, where all patients elected to continue the weekly dose. The number of patients in this study was small and therefore small differences in IGF1 levels between the different regimens cannot be excluded. From a practical point of view, however, all the individual IGF1 levels remained below the ULN with no requirement for an increase in pegV dose during the study or its extension.

All patients in this study had previously been treated with radiotherapy. It is known that radiotherapy minimally reduces the dose of pegV required to
normalize IGF1 (12) and that radiotherapy continues to reduce GH levels for up to 15 years post-treatment. Therefore we cannot completely exclude that the ongoing effect of radiotherapy may have contributed to the sustained control of IGF1 on conversion to weekly dosing. However, there was no evidence of a fall in circulating GH levels as would be anticipated if radiotherapy was a factor in the successful conversion to a weekly dose of pegV.

As would be expected in the case of a competitive receptor antagonist, maintaining serum levels are crucial to efficacy; in this study, serum pegV levels dropped in four out of five patients over the weekly administration period but these levels were still adequate to maintain an IGF1 within the age-related reference range. This study was not a pharmacokinetic study but three of the patients had a peak serum pegV level at 2–3 days post-pegV, and the single patient with an increased pegV level towards the end of the week remains unexplained. There was no overall significant change in pegV levels, which may indicate that the half-life of pegV is greater than the 72 h originally calculated, but detailed pharmacokinetic studies are required to address this. Serum GH levels remained stable on pegV over the weekly administration and were higher in those with greater serum pegV levels, reflecting the dose of pegV required to normalize IGF1.

All patients elected to continue the weekly administration frequency at the end of the study despite the same overall total number of injections and large volume of injection (up to 7 ml) required. The lack of a weekly formulation for pegV is the biggest obstacle to this administration regimen. We would predict that a weekly formulation involving the reduced number and volume of injections would improve patient satisfaction still further.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that conversion to sole pegV administration on a weekly basis can be achieved safely, with no loss of efficacy or increase in dose requirements and, although not formally assessed, continuation of weekly dosing in five patients at study conclusion suggests patient preference for this regimen.
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