Improving glucocorticoid replacement therapy using a novel modified-release hydrocortisone tablet: a pharmacokinetic study
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Abstract

Background: Endogenous plasma cortisol levels have a well-defined circadian rhythm. The aim of this project is to develop a once daily oral dual-release formulation for cortisol replacement therapy that mimics the diurnal variation in the plasma cortisol profile.

Objective: To determine single-dose plasma pharmacokinetics and dose-proportionality of oral 5 and 20 mg dual-release hydrocortisone tablets in healthy volunteers. In addition, the effect of food intake was investigated for the 20 mg dose.

Design: A randomised, controlled, two-way cross-over, double-blind, phase I study of oral hydrocortisone (modified (dual) release; 5 and 20 mg) with an open food-interaction arm.

Methods: The single dose pharmacokinetic studies were performed with betamethasone suppression. The two first study days were blinded and randomised between morning administration of 5 and 20 mg tablet in a fasting state. The third day was open with a 20 mg tablet taken 30 min after a high-calorie, high-fat meal. The plasma samples were assayed using both a validated LC–MS/MS and an immunoassay. The plasma pharmacokinetic variables were calculated using non-compartmental data analysis.

Results: The time to reach a clinically significant plasma concentration of cortisol (>200 nmol/l) was within 20 min and a mean peak of 431 (± 126) nmol/l was obtained within 50 min after administration of the 20 mg tablet. Plasma cortisol levels remained above 200 nmol/l for around 6 h thereafter and all plasma concentrations 18–24 h after intake were below 50 nmol/l. In the fed state the time to reach 200 nmol/l was delayed by 28 and 9 min based on LC–MS/MS and immunoassay, respectively. The 5 and 20 mg tablets produced an increase in plasma exposure of cortisol that was not fully dose proportional.

Conclusion: The dual release hydrocortisone tablet with once-daily administration produced a diurnal plasma cortisol profile mimicking the physiological serum cortisol profile.
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Introduction

Glucocorticoids (GC) are important steroids for intermediary metabolism, immune function, musculoskeletal function, connective tissue and brain function. GC deficiency occurs in adrenal insufficiency (AI), which can be primary (Addison’s disease), secondary (central) due to hypopituitarism or tertiary due to a suppressed hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis after long-term high-dose GC treatment. In the 1950s, before the availability of GCs, the 2-year mortality rate in patients with Addison’s disease was more than 80% (1), demonstrating the importance of this steroid for health and survival.

The outcome of GC replacement therapy has been considered satisfactory (2) until recently. Patients with hypopituitarism have double the standardised mortality rate (SMR) (3, 4) and young adults with hypopituitarism and concomitant AI have more than 7-fold expected mortality rate (5). Moreover, patients with Addison’s disease have also shown to have more than double the SMR (6, 7). A possible explanation for this increased mortality rate is an inappropriate GC replacement therapy; i.e. both too high-maintenance doses and an inadequate GC exposure in response to stress and concurrent illnesses. Thorough re-evaluations of patients receiving GC replacement therapy have revealed that doses are too high and can be reduced in a majority
of patients (8). In addition, an attenuated diurnal variation in the plasma cortisol profile has been associated with abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome (9, 10). It is therefore likely that many patients are receiving overly high oral doses delivered with an unphysiological plasma concentration–time profile.

In an early attempt to improve well-being of patients with AI, Groves et al. increased the frequency of hydrocortisone oral administration from twice to thrice daily with the same daily dose in a small subset of patients and managed to improve their well-being, particularly during midday and afternoon (11). Recent studies have demonstrated that well-being and quality of life is compromised in patients with both primary and secondary AI (12–14). In a study, there was an association between high oral replacement doses of GC and poor scoring in quality of life questionnaires (12). In a recent study using a subcutaneous infusion pump to re-establish the physiological circadian rhythm of cortisol, patients were able to reduce their total daily doses while experiencing improved levels of subjective health and well-being (15). It is therefore likely that the pattern of hydrocortisone delivery and the total plasma cortisol exposure profile are of importance for patient outcome.

Hydrocortisone is the pharmaceutical name of the endogenous active steroid cortisol. Orally administered hydrocortisone is the most commonly used GC for cortisol replacement therapy (16, 17). This also means that the obtained plasma concentration–time profile of hydrocortisone in replacement therapy directly reflects the pharmacodynamic profile of the endogenous hormone cortisol (18).

We have developed a novel, once daily, modified release hydrocortisone tablet with combined immediate and extended release characteristics in order to obtain a more physiological plasma cortisol profile. The overall aim of this oral formulation is to simplify GC replacement, improve physiological GC replacement therapy and outcome, and improve compliance and safety of this treatment. The aim of this study was to investigate single-dose pharmacokinetics and dose-proportionality of oral 5 and 20 mg modified-release hydrocortisone tablets during fasting and fed conditions in healthy volunteers. The plasma pharmacokinetic variables were calculated from data obtained with a selective analytical method, i.e. LC–MS/MS. Plasma samples were also assayed using an immunnoassay method for comparison.

Subjects and methods

This was a randomised, controlled, two-way cross-over, double-blind study of oral hydrocortisone modified release tablets (5 and 20 mg) with an open food–drug interaction arm in healthy volunteers (Fig. 1). The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Sahlgrenska Academy and by the Swedish Medical Product Agency, Uppsala, Sweden, and performed according to the principles of Good Clinical Practice (CPMP/ICH/135/95) and the Declaration of Helsinki. All volunteers gave written, informed consent before entering the study.

Study participants

Healthy male ($n = 9$) and female ($n = 7$) volunteers were assessed for eligibility at a pre-study screening visit. Participants were eligible if they were aged 18–65 years, with a body mass index (BMI) between 18 and 27 kg/m$^2$. All participants were required to have vital signs within normal ranges. Participants were asked to use contraceptive methods for the duration of the study. The demographics of participants entering the study are shown in Table 1.

The medical history of the participants was assessed at the screening visit, paying particular attention to any previous metabolic or endocrine disorders, infective episode or any underlying disease that may need regular or periodic treatment with GCs. Volunteers were excluded from the study if they showed any clinically significant abnormalities in pre-study safety laboratory tests.

Other exclusion criteria were as follows: any medication or agents that may interfere with any pharmacokinetic properties of hydrocortisone within 14 days prior to study start, such as ritonavir, carbamazepine, rifampicin, ketoconazole, grapefruit juice, St John’s Wort and other herbal and pharmaceutical drugs. Further exclusion criteria were: history of hydrocortisone intolerance or severe allergic disease; administration of other investigational drugs within 8 weeks preceding the pre-entry examination; alcohol/drug abuse or any condition associated with poor healthy volunteer compliance, including expected non-cooperation, as judged by the investigator.

Interventions

The study was conducted as a randomised, two-period, cross-over, single-dose, double-blind trial in 16 healthy volunteers. The study used the oral modified-release
treatments of 5 and 20 mg hydrocortisone given in random order at study periods A and B. The study periods were separated by wash-out, a period of at least 24 h. At pre-entry, the subjects had undergone a full clinical examination including medical history, physical examination, blood pressure, heart rate, ECG, and routine clinical chemistry and haematology measurements within 10 ± 5 days before the first study day. A safety follow-up, including physical examination, routine clinical chemistry and haematology was performed 2–14 days after the last dose.

Subsequently, the same healthy volunteers as in study periods A and B received the 20 mg dose concomitantly with food at a separate occasion, with a wash-out period of at least 24 h. This was in order to study the effect of food on the plasma pharmacokinetics of the study drug.

The endogenous cortisol secretion was suppressed using betamethasone in order to be able to measure plasma pharmacokinetics of the preparations to be used. Betamethasone was orally administrated in the dose of 1 mg at 0600 h and at 2300 h, the night before the study day and at 0700, 1100, 1700 and 2300 h on the day of delivery of the study drug. This would assure undetectable levels of endogenous plasma cortisol in most healthy adults. Subjects with plasma concentrations of more than 50 nmol/l at any of the baseline samplings were not eligible for analysis.

The healthy volunteer arrived at 0700 h having fasted since 2200 h the previous day (no intake of solids, but water ad libitum). Indwelling cannulas for blood sampling were inserted in both forearm veins and secured. After collection of reference blood samples, the dual release hydrocortisone tablets were swallowed together with 240 ml water with the subject sitting in an upright body position for at least 30 min post dose. In the fasting treatment, the subjects would remain fasting for 4 h post dose administration. Pharmacokinetic plasma samples were taken at regular pre-specified intervals. Measurement of plasma sodium, potassium and plasma glucose concentrations were performed on the reference plasma samples during the same day for safety reasons.

The healthy volunteers remained in the laboratory for ~ 14 h. Standardised meals were served at pre-specified times (lunch 4–5 h post dose and dinner 6.7–8 h post dose). Each healthy volunteer would consume the same quantity of food (one serving of a ready meal containing 396 kcal of which 6% w/w derived from protein, 9% w/w from carbohydrates and 3% w/w from fat) and drink (3 dl milk or water and 1.5 dl of coffee or tea) during the study days except for the first 60 min post study drug administration. The subjects were also instructed to refrain from consuming grapefruit, grapefruit juice, liquorice or sevilla orange 72 h before dosing until the end of the trial.

In the fed state, the subjects consumed a standardised high-fat, high-calorie breakfast in less than 30 min and at least 30 min prior to study drug administration. The breakfast contained ~ 150, 250, and 500–600 calories from protein, carbohydrate and fat, respectively (http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/5194fnl.pdf).

Blood samples (7 ml each) for determination of hydrocortisone in plasma were collected at predetermined time-points on each study day. Blood samples for plasma pharmacokinetics were taken at pre-dose (−30), and at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360, 480, 600, 720, 840, 1440 and 1470 min post dose.

Tolerability and safety parameters such as blood pressure and heart rate were followed during the complete study day and plasma glucose was monitored before and at the end of each study day. Tolerability (subjective discomfort) was assessed by questionnaires completed at the end of each study day.

### Pharmaceutical formulation

A novel oral modified release formulation (dual release) in two different strengths was used in this pharmacokinetic study (DuoCort AB, Helsingborg, Sweden).

---

**Table 1** Summary of baseline demographics for participants in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>ITT population</th>
<th>PP population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>25.7 (3.3)</td>
<td>25.5 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>25.3 (20.3–32.0)</td>
<td>24.9 (20.3–32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (56.3%)</td>
<td>9 (64.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>175.5 (9.9)</td>
<td>177.3 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>176.5 (160.0–188.0)</td>
<td>178.5 (162.0–188.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>68.3 (10.2)</td>
<td>70.1 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>68.5 (53.1–82.4)</td>
<td>71.5 (53.1–82.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (BMI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>22.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>22.3 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>22.3 (18.3–26.3)</td>
<td>22.7 (18.3–26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco user</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current medication</td>
<td>5 (31.3%)</td>
<td>3 (21.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data is presented in mean (s.d.)/median (min–max) or n (%). ITT, intention to treat population and PP, per protocol population.
In principle, the formulation consists of one extended release core that is surrounded by an immediate release coating. The intention is that the immediate release part will be released and absorbed rapidly, as hydrocortisone has a high intestinal permeability. The remaining hydrocortisone will then be released from the controlled release part of the dosage form at a slower rate throughout the small and large intestine (19).

Assessments

Demographic and medical history data were recorded at the pre-study visit. Participants were monitored continuously during the study for the occurrence of adverse events (AEs), noting the duration, severity and relationship to the study drug.

Assays

All plasma samples were stored in −20 °C until analysis and performed in one run. Plasma cortisol was measured using a GLP validated HPLC–MS/MS assay with an assay range of 2.76–1380 nmol/l. The assay was validated according to linearity, selectivity, accuracy, precision, recovery and stability (20). As an internal standard an isotope labelled D₄-cortisol was used. The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 2.7 nmol/l. The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 2.0%. Plasma cortisol was also measured using a validated immunoassay at a certified university hospital clinical chemistry laboratory, using Cortisol Cal Set (Roche) as the calibrant on a Hitachi Modular E automated analytical system with an assay range of 2–1750 nmol/l, with a lower limit of detection of 0.5 nmol/l. The intra-assay CV was 3.0%.

Pharmacokinetic data and statistical analyses

All participants who received at least one dose of study drug were included in the safety population. All data from the 14 subjects who received all three doses were included in the pharmacokinetic data analysis.

Pharmacokinetic variables for hydrocortisone (cortisol) were calculated using a non-compartmental analysis by using the WinNonlin 5.2 (Pharsight Corp., Mountain View, CA, USA). The observed plasma concentrations at t min (C₀₋₂₅ h) and the first maximal value (Cₘₐₓ) respectively were derived directly from the plasma-concentration data for each healthy volunteer. In addition, the time to reach 200 nmol/l (T₂₀₀) and the time to reach Cₘₐₓ (Tₘₐₓ) were also derived directly from the individual plasma profile. T₂₀₀ was derived by interpolation directly from each individual plasma concentration–time profile. Due to the bi-phasic plasma concentration profile two Cₘₐₓ and Tₘₐₓ values were described in some individuals and were derived directly from each plasma profile.

The area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) was calculated by the linear/logarithmic trapezoidal method, more exactly when the measurement for t + 1 was greater than or equal to the measurement at t, then linear trapezoidal rule was used and when the opposite occurred the logarithmic trapezoidal rule was used. AUC₀₋₆ h was calculated from time zero to the last measurable concentration point (Clast). AUC₀–infinity was calculated by extrapolating the curve to infinity by adding Clast, extrapolated/λz to AUC₀₋₆ h. λz is the first-order elimination rate constant estimated from at least five of the last measurable concentrations in the interval 5–24 h. The terminal half-life (T1/2) was obtained from the elimination rate constant as ln2/λz. The terminal half-life was calculated in two intervals: 5–14 h (T1/2 5–14) and 5–24 h (T1/2 5–24). The residual area from the last observed data point to infinite time was obtained by linear extrapolation of values from 5 h and forward, i.e. by dividing the last predicted concentration by the terminal rate constant obtained by linear regression analysis of the time points from 5 h and forward.

Plasma concentrations below lower LOQ were excluded from the pharmacokinetic calculations. However, at time points prior to Cₘₐₓ, plasma concentrations below LOQ were taken as zero in the calculations. Furthermore, if there was more than one plasma concentration below LOQ prior to Cₘₐₓ, the last concentration before the first quantifiable plasma concentration was calculated as LOQ/2.

The pharmacokinetic variables, i.e. plasma cortisol concentrations, were calculated using baseline corrected values, which was defined as the average of the −30 and 0 min value subtracted from every plasma cortisol concentration value.

All pharmacokinetic variables, except for Tₘₐₓ and T₃₀₀, are presented as geometric mean and 95% confidence interval, while Tₘₐₓ and T₂₀₀ are reported as median and range.

Results

Study participants

Of 16 included and randomised subjects, a total of 14 completed all three visits. Two subjects completed only a first study day. One subject was excluded due to inability to suppress endogenous cortisol levels below the predefined level of 50 nmol/l and the other discontinued the trial due to poor compliance. Fourteen subjects are included in the efficacy analysis and 16 in the safety analysis.

All study participants were Caucasian, had a mean age of 25.5 ± 3.4 years and a mean BMI of 22.3 ± 2.6 kg/m². The two groups randomised to 5 and 20 mg respectively, were similar in terms of baseline demographic characteristics as shown in Table 1.
Safety
Number of AE during 5 mg fasted, 20 mg fasted and 20 mg fed treatments were 18, 17 and 19 cases respectively. Recorded AEs were of a mild and transient nature and predominantly procedure related, such as cannula related haematomas and transient low haemoglobin values, none of which were dose-related. No SAEs occurred during the trial.

From the questionnaires recorded for 5 mg, 20 mg fasted and 20 mg with food, it could be noted that most of the subjects felt well during the treatment periods. At the end of each 24 h treatment day, a small proportion of subjects felt worse and/or noticed minor changes in general well-being.

Plasma pharmacokinetics of modified release hydrocortisone tablets: 5 and 20 mg in fasted state
The individual and mean plasma concentration–time profiles and corresponding pharmacokinetic variables of hydrocortisone following a single dose of this novel dual release tablet for all three groups are reported in Figs 2–4 and Table 2 respectively. It is important to recognise that the pharmacokinetic variables of hydrocortisone in this study were calculated based on plasma concentrations determined with a highly selective LC–MS/MS analytical method. The plasma AUC$_{(0–24 ~h)}$ for the 5 and 20 mg treatments administered in the fasting state were 834.0 (578.1–1089.9) and 2404.7 (1727.1–3082.7) nmol h/l respectively (Fig. 5). The dose corrected plasma AUC$_{(0–24 ~h)}$ values (AUC$_{(0–24 ~h)}$/dose) were 166.8 (115.6–218.0) and 120.2 (86.4–154.1) for the 5 and 20 mg doses respectively. The mean $C_{\text{max}}$ was 188.4 (159.4–217.4) and 403.7 (337.8–469.6) nmol/l for 5 and 20 mg respectively. The dose corrected plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ values ($C_{\text{max}}$/dose) were 37.7 (31.9–43.5) and 20.2 (16.9–23.5) for the 5 and 20 mg dose ($P<0.001$) respectively. This is in accordance with the effect of dose on plasma AUC and supports the hypothesis of a lower absorption rate of the 20 mg dose in the fasted state.

The time to reach 200 nmol h/l in plasma, which is a clinical active concentration, was 36.2 (17.7–90) and 20.5 (8.7–72.3) min for the 5 and 20 mg treatment respectively. The plasma $C_{0.25 ~h}$ was 50.5 (25.7–75.3) nmol/l for 5 mg compared with 74.0 (4.81–143.3) nmol/l for the 20 mg treatment. There was no difference in time $T_{\text{max}}$ to $C_{\text{max}}$ in the fasting state between the two doses. It was 45 (20–90) and 45 (20–120) min for the 5 and 20 mg treatments respectively.

The plasma concentration–time profile had double peaks in some fasted and fed subjects most likely due to the dual nature of drug release from the modified release tablet (Figs 2–4). The numbers of subjects with double peak were 5, 5 and 8 for the 5 mg (fasted), 20 mg (fasted) and 20 mg (fed) respectively.

The terminal half-life calculated from the interval 5–14 h was 2.47 (2.11–2.83) and 3.25 (2.04–4.46) h for the 5 and 20 mg dose respectively. The terminal half-life calculated from the interval 5–24 h was 2.80 (1.83–3.77) and 3.90 (0–8.90) h for the 5 and 20 mg dose respectively. The extrapolated area (%) in the plasma concentration–time profile was low for both doses, 0.85% (0–2.21) and 1.06% (0–9.63) for 5 and 20 mg respectively, which clearly demonstrates that there is no risk of accumulation of this modified release product during repeated oral replacement therapy.
The longer half-life for the wider time interval (5–24 h) was due to the endogenous background values of cortisol. If subject 111, who had a terminal half-life and extrapolated area of 38.7 h and 58.4%, were deleted, the new mean values of terminal half-life, AUC (0–infinity) and extrapolated area for the 20 mg dose would be 3.22 (2.44–4.0) h, 2383 (1688.1–3078.1) nmol h/l and 0.72 (0–2.0) % (within brackets in Table 2).

**Food–drug interaction study: 20 mg fasted versus 20 mg with a high caloric meal**

The AUC$_{(0–24 \text{ h})}$ increased to 3234.2 (0–6481.8) nmol h/l when 20 mg of this novel modified release tablet was administered 30 min after food intake as compared with when the tablet was administered in the fasting state 2404.7 (1727.1–3082.2) nmol h/l ($P=0.0001$). The dose corrected plasma AUC$_{(0–24 \text{ h})}$ values (AUC$_{(0–24 \text{ h})}$/dose) were 161.7 (0–324.1) and 120.2 (86.4–154.1) for the 20 mg fed and 20 mg fasted dose respectively (Table 2).

The plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ was similar following administration of 20 mg in fed state, 364 (0–740.1) nmol/l, compared with 403.7 (337.8–469.6) nmol/l for the 20 mg treatment in fasting state. The dose corrected plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ values ($C_{\text{max}}$/dose) were 18.2 (0–37.0) and 20.2 (16.9–23.5) for the 20 mg fed and 20 mg fasted dose respectively. The time to maximal plasma concentration was longer for 20 mg administered 30 min after food intake to 135 (25–360) min compared with 45 (20–120) min for the 20 mg dose in the fasting state ($P<0.01$).

The time to reach 200 nmol/l was 39.8 (9.4–266) min in the fed state, which is significantly longer ($P>0.01$) than 20.3 (8.7–72.3) min for the 20 mg dose in the fasting state.

The terminal half-life calculated from the interval 5–14 h was 3.18 (0.19–6.16) and 3.25 (2.04–4.46) h for the fed and fasted state respectively. The terminal half-life calculated from the interval 5–24 h was 2.64 (0.02–5.26) and 3.90 (0–8.90) h for the fed and fasted state respectively. The extrapolated area in the fed state was 1.25 (0–2.57) %, which shows that there is no tendency of dose accumulation for this once daily modified release tablet in the fed state.

**Pharmacokinetic variables of hydrocortisone from plasma samples analysed using an immunoassay**

The pharmacokinetic variables and plasma concentration–time profiles of hydrocortisone analysed with an immunoassay and selective LC–MS/MS methods

![Figure 4](image-url) Individual and mean plasma concentration–time profile for hydrocortisone in healthy subjects ($n=14$) after a single oral administration of novel modified dosage form of 20 mg in the fed state. Endogenous cortisol secretion was suppressed using betamethasone. Each plasma concentration is determined with a selective LC–MS/MS method and has been corrected for background cortisol levels.

The longer half-life for the wider time interval (5–24 h) was due to the endogenous background values of cortisol. If subject 111, who had a terminal half-life and extrapolated area of 38.7 h and 58.4%, were deleted, the new mean values of terminal half-life, AUC$_{(0–infinity)}$ and extrapolated area for the 20 mg dose would be 3.22 (2.44–4.0) h, 2383 (1688.1–3078.1) nmol h/l and 0.72 (0–2.0) % (within brackets in Table 2).

**Table 2** Plasma pharmacokinetic variables of hydrocortisone following oral single dose administration of a novel dosage form (5 and 20 mg) in fasted (5 and 20 mg) and fed state (20 mg) in healthy subjects ($n=14$). The calculations of the pharmacokinetic variables are based on plasma concentrations determined with a selective LC–MS/MS method. All pharmacokinetic variables, except for $T_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{200}$, are presented as geometric mean and 95% confidence interval, while $T_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{200}$ are reported as median and range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 mg (fasted)</th>
<th>20 mg (fasted)</th>
<th>20 mg (fed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC$_{(0–24 \text{ h})}$ (nmol h/l)</td>
<td>834.0 (578.1–1089.9)</td>
<td>2404.7$^a$ (1727.1–3082.2)</td>
<td>3234.2$^a$ (0–6481.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (nmol/l)</td>
<td>188.4 (159.4–217.4)</td>
<td>403.7 (337.8–469.6)</td>
<td>364.0 (0–740.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$ (0.25 h) (nmol/l)</td>
<td>50.5 (25.7–75.3)</td>
<td>74.0 (4.81–143.3)</td>
<td>34.2 (4.21–64.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (min)</td>
<td>45$^b$ (20–90)</td>
<td>45$^b$ (20–120)</td>
<td>135$^{b,c}$ (25–360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ (200 nmol/l) (min)</td>
<td>36.2 (17.7–90)</td>
<td>20.5$^b$ (8.7–72.3)</td>
<td>48.3$^b$ (9.4–266)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal half-life 5–24 h (h)</td>
<td>2.80 (1.83–3.77)</td>
<td>3.90$^b$ (0–8.90)</td>
<td>2.64 (0.02–5.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal half-life 5–14 h (h)</td>
<td>2.47 (2.11–2.83)</td>
<td>3.25 (2.04–4.46)</td>
<td>3.18 (0.19–6.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC$_{(0–infinity)}$ (nmol h/l)</td>
<td>853.2 (593.6–1112.9)</td>
<td>2665.5$^a$ (1408.0–3923.0)</td>
<td>3340.7 (0–6702.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% extrapolated area under curve (%)</td>
<td>0.85 (0–2.21)</td>
<td>1.06$^c$ (0–9.03)</td>
<td>1.25 (0–2.57)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^aP<0.001$; $^bP<0.001$; $^cP<0.01$, $^dP<0.01$ (groups with the same letter in the suffix are different). $^e$Terminal half-life of 38.7 h, AUC$_{(0–infinity)}$ of 2665.5 and extrapolated area of 58.4% in subject 111. New values given in brackets.
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are shown in Table 3 and Figs 6–8. The general trend was that the plasma AUC ratio for the immunoassay and LC–MS/MS methods (AUC_{immuno}/AUC_{LC–MS/MS}) for the 5 and 20 mg dose in the fasted state increased from 41\% to 52\% (\(P!0.01\)) respectively. All individual AUC values for both analytical methods are shown in Fig. 9a–c and it is clear that the same pattern is valid for both 5 and 20 mg doses. The tendency for higher AUC immuno/AUC_{LC–MS/MS} suggests that the metabolic clearance is higher for the 20 mg dose as the immunoassay method also detects metabolites, which is in accordance with the saturable plasma protein binding and lower clearance of cortisol (hydrocortisone). The \(T_{\text{max}}\) values were obtained at the same time points as with the selective LC–MS/MS method, but the \(T_{200}\) values appeared earlier for the plasma concentration–time profile based on immunoassay method (Tables 2 and 3; Figs 6 and 7).

**Table 3**  
Plasma pharmacokinetic variables (mean±S.D.) of hydrocortisone following oral single dose administration of a novel dosage form (5 and 20 mg) in fasted (5 and 20 mg) and fed state (20 mg) in healthy subjects (n=14). The calculations of the pharmacokinetic variables are based on plasma concentrations determined with an immunoassay method. All pharmacokinetic variables, except for \(T_{\text{max}}\) and \(T_{200}\), are presented as geometric mean and 95% confidence interval, while \(T_{\text{max}}\) and \(T_{200}\) are reported as median and range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>5 mg (fasted)</th>
<th>20 mg (fasted)</th>
<th>20 mg (fed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{(0–24 h)} (nmol h/l)</td>
<td>1178.8 (870.6–1487.1)</td>
<td>3634.0 (2739.8–4528.1)</td>
<td>4840.2 (3591.2–6089.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{\text{max}}) (nmol/l)</td>
<td>253.1 (218.7–287.4)</td>
<td>614.2 (555–673.5)</td>
<td>496.1 (371.3–621)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C(0.25 \text{ h})) (nmol/l)</td>
<td>76.5 (42.5–110.4)</td>
<td>98.5 (6.40–190.7)</td>
<td>39.0 (0–107.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{max}}) (min)</td>
<td>37.5(^a) (25–90)</td>
<td>45(^b) (20–90)</td>
<td>105(^a,b) (30–360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T(200 \text{ nmol/l})) (min)</td>
<td>26 (15–46)</td>
<td>16.2(^c) (6–35)</td>
<td>25(^e) (6–130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal half-life 5–24 h (h)</td>
<td>2.99 (1.96–4.08)</td>
<td>3.68 (1.26–6.10)</td>
<td>2.68 (2.10–3.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal half-life 5–14 h (h)</td>
<td>2.60 (2.14–3.05)</td>
<td>3.20 (2.46–3.95)</td>
<td>3.20 (0–6.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{(0–\infty)} (nmol h/l)</td>
<td>1206.6 (892.3–1520.9)</td>
<td>3824.8 (2660.4–4989.2)</td>
<td>5054.5 (3833.5–6275.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% extrapolated AUC (%)</td>
<td>1.23 (0–2.54)</td>
<td>1.31 (0–6.0)</td>
<td>1.13 (0–4.56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(<P<0.001; ^{a,b}P<0.05; ^{c,e}P<0.05\) (but no significant difference if two extreme values are left out).

**Discussion**

In an attempt to improve GC replacement therapy a novel oral dual release formulation of hydrocortisone was developed. An outer coating layer with an immediately released fraction of hydrocortisone produced a rapid absorption in the fasting state and, together with the controlled release core, a more extend physiological plasma profile of cortisol was produced that allows for once daily administration. In this study, we investigated the plasma pharmacokinetics of hydrocortisone in healthy subjects after oral administration of an oral dual release single-unit dosage form.

The absorption of hydrocortisone was rapid as the time to reach 200 nmol/l of cortisol in plasma (based on LC–MS/MS) was 17–20 min for the 20 mg tablet in the fasted state. This plasma concentration can be considered an effective morning cortisol level, as it is the lower limit of normal in many immunoassays. As such, the level is arbitrary, but may at the same time reflect a clinically meaningful end-point. Accordingly, the time to reach 200 nmol/l was shorter when based on plasma concentrations determined with the immunoassay method. There was no difference in \(T_{\text{max}}\) between the 5 and 20 mg tablet in the fasted state and on average it occurred at ~40–50 min after oral dosing.

**Variability of main pharmacokinetic variables measured with both analytical methods**

The CV for \(C_{\text{max}}\) for the 5, 20 and 20 mg (fed) was 28, 29 and 49\% respectively, when based on LC–MS/MS (Table 2). The corresponding values for \(C_{\text{max}}\) based on the immunoassay were 18, 25 and 45\% for the 5, 20 and 20 mg (fed) respectively (Table 3). The CV for AUC_{(0–24 h)} for the 5, 20 and 20 mg (fed) was 52, 49 and 48\% respectively, when based on LC–MS/MS (Table 2). The corresponding values for AUC_{(0–24 h)} based on the immune assay were 46, 43 and 46\% for the 5, 20 and 20 mg (fed) respectively (Table 3).
This rapid intestinal absorption is a consequence of the design of the release rate of this novel oral dosage form, as a certain portion of the total dose (5 or 20 mg tablet) is released from an immediate release part of the formulation, as well as the favourable biopharmaceutical properties of hydrocortisone itself.

The bioavailability of hydrocortisone after oral administration has been reported to be more than 90% and the fraction of the dose absorbed is accordingly more than 90% (21, 22). The clearance value of hydrocortisone is, on the other hand, low. Using a selective analytical method (LC–UV) the clearance of hydrocortisone was 209–294 ml/min after 5–40 mg of intravenous hydrocortisone administration (23).

In addition, hydrocortisone has high intestinal permeability in vivo and in vitro (24, 25). The intestinal epithelial absorption in vivo is mediated mainly by rapid and passive transcellular diffusion, as hydrocortisone is a poor substrate for apical efflux membrane transporters in the intestinal epithelium, such as P-glycoprotein (25–28). Instead, dissolution rate kinetics in the gastrointestinal lumen is considered to be the rate-limiting step in the rate of intestinal absorption of hydrocortisone even if the solubility of hydrocortisone is in the range of 0.35–0.6 mg/ml in different media, including human gastrointestinal fluids (26, 29). Hydrocortisone is classified as a class II drug according to the biopharmaceutical classification system (26, 29, 30). As it is a non-proteolyte, its solubility is not strongly affected by pH in the media (29). This means that the plasma concentration–time profile of hydrocortisone can be controlled by the release rate of the drug from the formulation and that the drug release will not be affected by the pH differences that exist in various parts of the gastrointestinal system. As the elimination half-life of cortisol is short, the fluctuations in the plasma concentration–time profiles are expected to be highly dependent on the absorption rate.

The dose corrected plasma AUC and C\textsubscript{max} values obtained in this study suggest that the absorption and bioavailability is not fully dose proportional in the fasted state. The mean plasma AUC\textsubscript{0–24 h} and C\textsubscript{max} increased 2.9- and 2.1-fold respectively, when the oral dose of the dual release hydrocortisone increased from 5 to 20 mg in the fasting state. This observation is in accordance with previous reports for conventional immediate release tablets and suspensions of hydrocortisone in the same dose range. The pharmacokinetics of hydrocortisone following single oral doses of 5, 10, 20 and 40 mg hydrocortisone suspensions to healthy male volunteers demonstrated an increase in AUC and C\textsubscript{max} with increasing doses, but it was not directly proportional to dose size (31, 32). This is most likely due to reduced dissolution rate kinetics of hydrocortisone in the intestinal lumen at the higher dose that will in turn reduce the absorption rate (23, 26, 29, 31, 32). The tendency for a decreased plasma exposure at 20 mg compared with 5 mg may also be a consequence of an increased clearance due to saturable plasma protein binding as the clearance of hydrocortisone (a low extraction drug) increases with increasing i.v. doses (23). This increase in clearance might be explained by a non-linear plasma protein binding that could explain the tendency for decreased plasma AUC observed after oral administration at higher doses. This observation cannot be explained by decreased \textit{in vivo} intestinal permeability since it is high and the transport mechanism of hydrocortisone is mainly by passive diffusion (25, 28, 33). Moreover, the first-pass metabolic extraction of the parent drug is at most 20% and is not considered to be able to explain the difference in bioavailability between the 5 and 20 mg doses.
In this study, it was also shown by the use of selective and non-selective immunoassays that more metabolites were formed after oral administration of 20 mg. This supports the conclusion that a part of the relative lower exposure of the higher dose is also due to an increased clearance of hydrocortisone, which is a low extraction drug (hormone), due to saturable plasma protein binding.

The 20 mg dose was also given 30 min after a high-caloric breakfast. Compared with the fasting state, the intestinal absorption ($T_{\text{max}}$) of hydrocortisone was delayed by $\sim 60$ min and the time to reach 200 nmol/l increased by $\sim 9$ min when based on plasma concentrations determined with the immunoassay method. Food delayed the onset of gastrointestinal absorption of hydrocortisone but also showed a tendency to increase the total plasma exposure and bioavailability of hydrocortisone. It is very important from a safety aspect that concomitant food intake will not prevent absorption of hydrocortisone or impact on the predictability and appearance of the resulting plasma cortisol profile. The plasma concentration–time exposure, $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC, increased (AUC non-significantly) for the 20 mg dose in fed state, and was approximately superimposable with the 5 mg oral dose in fasted state. Altogether this suggests that incomplete dissolution and non-linear increase of clearance is the main reason for the non-linear increase in plasma exposure at increasing oral doses in fasted state. This is in accordance with a biopharmaceutic classification II drug with high intestinal permeability and low solubility (30, 34). This is a well-known food-effect for many drugs with similar biopharmaceutical properties to hydrocortisone and has been explained to be due to a higher degree of solubility and the dissolution rate of the drug in the gastrointestinal tract. This observation will be of importance when this new oral dual release formulation is used in replacement therapy of patients with AI. It means that the formulation will be safe and the pharmacokinetic properties can be used in individualising the replacement regime.

Figure 8 The mean (± s.e.m.) plasma concentration–time profiles for hydrocortisone in healthy subjects ($n=14$) after single oral administration of novel modified dosage form of 20 mg in the fed state. The higher plasma curve is based on an immunoassay and the lower of a more selective LC–MS/MS method.

Figure 9 (a–c) The individual plasma AUC$_{0-24}$ for hydrocortisone in healthy subjects ($n=14$) after single oral administration of novel modified dosage form of 5 mg (fasted), 20 mg (fasted) and 20 mg (fed). The higher plasma AUC$_{0-24}$ are based on an immunoassay and the lower of a more selective LC–MS/MS method.
The terminal half-life of hydrocortisone was independent of dose and concomitant intake of food and was about 1.0–1.5 h longer (i.e. about 2.5–3.5 h) than after administration of a conventional tablet (22, 31, 32, 35, 36). This prolonged terminal half-life is in accordance with the extended release part of this modified release dosage form. The terminal half-life is short enough, however, to provide a cortisol free interval during night, thereby avoiding dose accumulation during long-term replacement therapy. The elimination half-life of cortisol has been reported to be about 1.5 h following i.v. and oral immediate release dosing (23, 31, 32). The terminal half-life of endogenous secreted cortisol as approximated from endogenous cortisol plasma concentration–time profiles is longer and in the range of 2.5–4 h due to the continuous secretion from the adrenal cortex that occurs in a well-defined circadian rhythm (15, 18, 37–39). This longer half-life of endogenous cortisol means that the plasma pharmacokinetics of endogenous cortisol is secretion-controlled rather than elimination-controlled.

An important aspect to consider is the analytical method used to assay cortisol when pharmacokinetic data for hydrocortisone/cortisol are evaluated. The most commonly used cortisol assays in clinical studies are immunoassay systems (35–37, 39–41). This analytical method cannot fully distinguish between hydrocortisone and formed cortisol metabolites, which is a significant limitation, as hydrocortisone is a drug that is completely metabolised (21). Cortisol is metabolised to its inactive form cortisone and further to dihydrocortisone and tetrahydrocortisone. Other metabolites derived from cortisol include dihydrocortisol, 5α-dihydrocortisol, tetrahydrocortisol and 5α-tetrahydrocortisol (21). This lack of selectivity means that the immunoassay provides higher plasma concentrations than derived from a more selective analytical method. We demonstrated that the plasma AUC was 40–50% higher when the immunnoassay method was used as compared with the HPLC–MS/MS. This confirms the fact that a certain degree of steroid metabolites are included in the analysis and demonstrates the importance of applying selective analytical methods when assessing the pharmacokinetics of a hormone (20, 42). This is important to consider when designing new modalities of oral administration of hydrocortisone that will have a first pass across the intestinal wall and through the liver.

Previous studies on normal plasma cortisol profiles demonstrate large variations between individual plasma cortisol levels (43). For instance the peak morning cortisol levels range between 136–720 nmol/l whereas nadir midnight levels range from <50–331 nmol/l showing several fold differences among healthy subjects (44, 45). The nadir cortisol concentration varies among studies possibly dependent on the conditions in which these values have been collected. The lowest values reported were collected during sleep in healthy subjects who had acclimatised to the study milieu (46). The study found that during sleep and in an un-stressed condition, all healthy subjects had midnight serum cortisol levels below 50 nmol/l. A recent trial using deconvolutional analysis demonstrated variability of ~50% for mean 24 h serum cortisol concentration and total cortisol secretion rate (18), variability similar to what was produced with the modified release hydrocortisone formulation studied. The novel dual release oral formulation produced a peak morning level of about 600 nmol/l with levels >100 nM during the afternoon and <50 nM during night time. The bioavailability (h×nmol/l) for the 20 mg tablet both in the fasting and feed state was also similar to the total cortisol exposure, calculated from several different previous publications on the 24 h endogenous cortisol secretion (43). The tablet administered once therefore mimicked the normal plasma cortisol profile in most aspects except the early morning increase in cortisol that occurs during sleep. Of importance from a safety point of view is the nighttime cortisol free interval, in that it prevents dose accumulation during repeated dosing even if the tablet is administered together with food.

This novel once-daily modified release oral formulation cannot fully mimic the early morning cortisol peak. In the circadian serum cortisol profile a nocturnal rise in serum cortisol occurs 3–5 h after the onset of sleep followed by ~4 h of high plasma cortisol levels (47). The profile obtained with this new formulation is therefore skewed in time. This was a choice taken in order to produce a safe product with high bioavailability of hydrocortisone with the lowest possible variability. As hydrocortisone is a drug with high intestinal permeability, sufficiently high solubility and rather low dose, the absorption from both small intestine and proximal colon will work well from an orally administered modified release dosage form whereas if it is transferred beyond the proximal colon, absorption failure can occur.

The variability of the main pharmacokinetic variables Cmax and AUC(0–24 h) based on plasma samples assayed using a LC–MS/MS was similar to what is previously reported from conventional oral formulations of hydrocortisone using immunoassays for cortisol measurements (35, 48). The corresponding data for a single dose of 5 or 20 mg (fasted and fed) of dual release hydrocortisone measured with an immunoassay showed a trend towards lower variability. If on the other hand AUC(0–24 h) is assessed for a total daily dose administered three times daily, which is the optimised conventional hydrocortisone replacement therapy of today, it is expected that such a therapy would have a much higher variability in the plasma cortisol pharmacokinetics.

A once-a-day administration will most certainly improve compliance to medical therapy as compared with two or three daily administrations (49). It is
important to point out that the exact degree of benefit has to be determined for an individual therapeutic indication and treatment regime. From a more general health economic perspective, it has also been demonstrated that controlled release dosage forms have a beneficial economic value as increased compliance can be translated to an increase in efficacy (50). Therefore, by improving compliance, severe trough values during the day will decrease. This may in turn reduce the symptoms of GC insufficiency (12) and also prevent any escalation towards adrenal crisis in susceptible patients (5).

The data produced in this study of healthy volunteers demonstrate that the gastrointestinal absorption was rapid, the bioavailability of this hydrocortisone formulation was high and has a variability that is equal to or better than that previously described with conventional immediate release formulations. The food interaction study also demonstrated that the bioavailability increased with food, an important safety aspect. Moreover, there was no single observation of absorption failure in any of the investigated subjects, which suggests that this is a safe once daily oral replacement product. Taken together, this oral formulation has the pharmacokinetic properties allowing it to be taken into clinical trials with AI patients.
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