Higher maternal TSH levels in pregnancy are associated with increased risk for miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death
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Abstract

**Background:** To examine the relationship between maternal TSH and free thyroxine (FT4) concentrations in early pregnancy and the risk of miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death.

**Method:** Cohort study of 2497 Dutch women. TSH, FT4, and thyroid peroxidase antibodies concentrations were determined at first booking. Child loss was operationalized as miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death. Women with overt thyroid dysfunction were excluded.

**Results:** Twenty-seven cases of child loss were observed. The mean TSH and FT4 level in the women with child loss was 1.48 mU/l and 9.82 pmol/l compared with 1.11 mU/l and 9.58 pmol/l in women without child loss. The incidence of child loss increased by 60% (OR = 1.60 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.04–2.47)) for every doubling in TSH concentration. This association remained after adjustment for smoking, age, parity, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, previous preterm deliveries, and previous preterm stillbirth/miscarriage (adjusted odds ratio = 1.80 (95% CI: 1.07–3.03)). This was not true for FT4 concentrations (OR = 1.41 (95% CI: 0.21–9.40); P = 0.724).

**Conclusion:** In a cohort of pregnant women without overt thyroid dysfunction, the risk of child loss increased with higher levels of maternal TSH. Maternal FT4 concentrations and child loss were not associated.
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Introduction

Thyroid hormones are vital for the development of the brain both during fetal and early postnatal life. Impaired maternal thyroid hormone availability may induce irreversible brain damage with consequent neurological abnormalities (1–8). So far, only the effects of subclinical and overt hypo- and hyperthyroidism on pregnancy outcome have been studied. Overt maternal hyperthyroidism in the first trimester is associated with preterm delivery and fetal death (9). Haddow et al. (1999) reported that children of women whose TSH levels were increased during mid-term of pregnancy had a slight but significant reduction in intelligence quotient score between 7 and 9 years of age when compared with infants of euthyroid women (10). Allan et al. (2002) showed that TSH levels above 6 mU/l are significantly associated with a higher frequency of stillbirth (11). Women with RTH, (high free thyroxine (FT4) levels accompanied with normal or slightly elevated TSH levels) have a higher rate of miscarriage (12). In a study of Casey et al. (2007) there were no significant adverse effects on perinatal outcome in women with maternal hypothyroxinemia (low FT4 levels accompanied with normal TSH levels) in the first half of their pregnancy (13).

From the evidence in cases with overt hypo- or hyperthyroidism, we would expect both low and high TSH and FT4 to be associated with miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death (child loss). The purpose of the present study was to examine the association between maternal levels of TSH, FT4, thyroid peroxidase antibodies (TPO-Ab) measured in pregnancy, and subsequent child loss, excluding women with known thyroid disease or overt hypo- or hyperthyroidism.

The study was carried out using data from native Dutch pregnant women without known or clinical thyroid disease, who participated in a large population-based cohort study (Amsterdam Born Children and their Development (ABCD) study, Amsterdam 2003–2004). Other ethnic groups participating in this study were excluded from the present analysis, because of the significant differences in serum TSH and in pregnancy outcome that exist between the various ethnic groups (14).

Materials and methods

**Subjects**

Our study was nested within a prospective cohort study of pregnant women from the ABCD study (14). The main objective of the ABCD study is to examine
differences in pregnancy outcome, focusing on ethnic background, maternal lifestyle factors, and psychosocial conditions on the outcome of the pregnancy and the baby’s health. The ABCD study is a collaborative effort of the Municipal Health Services and all hospitals and midwife practices in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. All pregnant women living in the city of Amsterdam were invited to participate at their first visit to an obstetric caregiver between January 2003 and March 2004. Of 12 377 pregnant women invited, 8266 women agreed to participate (response rate 67%). These women filled out a questionnaire including questions about social demographic characteristics and ethnic background. Four thousand two hundred and sixty seven women gave additional informed consent for blood collection during their first visit that took place on average in the 13th week of gestation. The study protocol was approved by the medical ethnical committees of all Amsterdam hospitals and the Registration Committee of Amsterdam, and participants gave their written informed consent.

**Baseline characteristics**

All pregnant women received a pregnancy questionnaire at their home address 2 weeks after their first antenatal visit. The questionnaire contained demographic, health history, medication, and lifestyle questions, all from existing validated sources. All approached women were asked to return the pregnancy questionnaire by prepaid mail. A written reminder was sent 2 weeks after the initial mailing.

Smoking status during pregnancy, parity, age, and body mass index (BMI) were determined from the self-reported information. In this study, we recoded smoking as a dichotomous question for current smoking (yes/no), regardless of the amount of cigarettes smoked daily. Parity was recoded in a categorical variable (0, 1, and 2+). BMI was based on the length and weight of the mother before pregnancy. Alcohol was not included in the analysis of our study in view of the very low levels of alcohol use among the Dutch pregnant women in our study population.

Hypertension (no, pre-existent and gestational hypertension) and diabetes mellitus (no, pre-existent and gestational diabetes) were based on self-reported information from the questionnaire and completed by information from the national obstetric registry (Perinatal Registration Centre of the Netherlands) (14). This registry was linked to the ABCD data by probabilistic record linkage (15). These data were gathered by a trained health care provider.

We also recorded women who had a preterm delivery in previous pregnancies and previous miscarriage or stillbirth. In our stillbirth/miscarriage variable, we included intra-uterine deaths as well. All these data were based on the information from trained health care providers from the national obstetric registry (Perinatal Registration Centre of the Netherlands) (14). Validation has shown close to perfect results for these variables.

**Assays**

For our study serum TSH, FT4, and antibodies against TPO (TPO-Ab) were assayed. TSH (reference range (RR), 0.34–5.60 mU/l) and FT4 concentration (RR, 7.5–21.1 pmol/l) were measured in serum by means of access immunoanalyzer of Beckman Coulter Inc. The inter-assay variation for TSH was 5.0% and for FT4 the inter-assay variation was 3.1–5.0%. Antibodies against TPO (TPO-Ab) were determined by ELISA ELIZEN TG Ab (E-CK-96), Zentech, Luik, Belgium. A TPO-Ab concentration above 80 kU/l was considered as positive. The inter-assay variation was 13.4%.

Overt hyperthyroidism was defined as having a TSH concentration below 0.34 mU/l in combination with FT4 concentration above the upper limit of 21.1 pmol/l. Overt hypothyroidism was defined as a TSH above the 5.60 mU/l upper limit in combination with a FT4 below the lower limit of 7.5 pmol/l.

**Outcomes**

The occurrence of miscarriage, fetal death or neonatal death (child loss) was determined from three overlapping sources: i) the National Midwife Registry, ii) the National Obstetricians Registry and iii) the National Neonatal Registry. Miscarriage was defined as death of the fetus occurring before 22 weeks of gestation. Fetal death and neonatal death were defined according to existing standards (fetal death: death occurring from 22 weeks of gestation until delivery; neonatal death: death from 0 to 7 days after delivery). Fetal death includes stillbirth and intra-uterine deaths in our cohort.

**Statistical analysis**

Differences in demographic and clinical characteristics were analyzed in a descriptive way. Logistic regression models were built to explore the relationship between TSH, FT4 concentrations determinants, and the occurrence of miscarriage, fetal death, and neonatal death in more detail. Restricted also known as natural cubic splines (4 knots) were used to examine the functional relationship between TSH and FT4 levels in relation to the outcome (17, 18). Based on these graphical analyses, we used a log transformation (to the power of two) as this clearly improved the linear relationship between TSH and FT4. In addition to the univariate analysis, we also build a multivariate model containing the following potential confounders: current smoker (yes/no), mother’s age (continuous), parity (categorical: 0, 1, 2+), hypertension (no, pre-existent and gestational hypertension), diabetes mellitus (no, pre-existent, gestational diabetes), previous preterm deliveries.
(yes/no), previous stillbirth/miscarriages (yes/no) and TPO-Ab presence (yes/no) with TPO-Ab concentration below 80 kU/l or more as positive. The modeling strategy was the same whether examining the relationship between levels of TSH or FT4 with child loss. P values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

All statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences and problem solutions (SPSS version 15.0).

Results

The initial number of native Dutch women within the ABCD cohort giving informed consent for blood sampling was 2684. From this starting cohort, we excluded 116 women in whom blood sampling occurred after the 27th week of gestation (third trimester). Of the remaining, we excluded 29 women who gave birth to twins. We also excluded 18 women with overt hypo- or hyperthyroidism defined as TSH > 5.6 mU/l in combination with FT4 < 7.5 pmol/l and TSH < 0.34 mU/l in combination with FT4 > 21.1 pmol/l respectively. Of the 2521 women who remained in the study, we excluded another 24 with missing TSH values, leaving 2497 women in our analysis (Fig. 1).

Our study population of 2497 Dutch pregnant women had a mean age of 32.0 (s.d. = 4.0) years. In 60% of the women this was their first pregnancy and 32% their second. Of all women 9.2% smoked during their pregnancy. There were 129 (5%) women with a TSH concentration below 0.34 mU/l and 11 (0.5%) with a TSH value above 5.6 mU/l. A FT4 concentration below 7.5 pmol/l was seen in 94 women (4%) and there were no women with a FT4 value above the 21.1 pmol/l in our study cohort. The overall prevalence of elevated TPO-Ab (concentration above 80 kU/l) was 5.8% (146/2497; see Table 1).

TSH and FT4 levels were negatively correlated (Pearson’s r = -0.375, P < 0.001). The TPO-Ab concentration was positively associated with TSH (r = 0.322; P < 0.001) and negatively with FT4 concentration (r = -0.065; P < 0.001, two tailed). The median TSH value in women without TPO-Ab was 1.17 (IQR: 0.80–1.70) and 2.16 (IQR: 1.27–3.38) in women with TPO-Ab in serum. The median FT4 concentration was 9.59 (IQR: 8.81–10.43) and 9.00 (IQR: 8.16–10.17) respectively. This difference between the TPO-Ab positive and negative women was significant for both the TSH and the FT4 levels (P < 0.001).

In our cohort 11 women had a miscarriage (0.5%). There were 20 very premature (< 32 weeks) deliveries (0.8%), of which eight died (0.3%), 114 premature deliveries (32–36 weeks), (4.6%), of which two died (0.8%) and 2325 mature deliveries (93%), of which six died (0.1%), (Table 2). In total there were 27 miscarriages, fetal or neonatal deaths. There were three women who ended their pregnancy because the child...
had trisomy 16, 18, and 21. One pregnancy was terminated because of the life threatening cardiac pathology and one woman had a fetus with Down’s syndrome. These five women were excluded from our analysis since they actively terminated their pregnancy.

We observed a positive linear relationship between the log transformed TSH values and the risk for subsequent child loss (Fig. 2A). In the univariate analysis, TSH concentration was related to child loss with an odds ratio of 1.60 for every doubling in TSH concentration (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.04–2.47; Z=0.033; Table 2). After adjusting for smoking, parity, age, diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension, previous stillbirth/miscarriage, previous preterm delivery, and TPO-Ab presence in the multivariate model this effect remained with an OR of 1.80 (95%

Table 2  Logistic regression results examining the association between TSH (panel A) and free thyroxine (FT4; panel B) levels and other factors with child loss (miscarriage, fetal or neonatal death).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Child loss (n=27)</th>
<th>Alive after 7 days (n=2465)</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)b</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mIU/l)a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical mean (± s.d.)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.60 (1.04–2.47)</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO-Ab presence (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>5.8 % (144/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>31 (± 5.5)</td>
<td>32 (± 4.0)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.84–1.00)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>19 % (5/27)</td>
<td>9.1 % (225/2465)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.87–6.21)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>67 % (18/27)</td>
<td>60 % (1467/2465)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22 % (6/27)</td>
<td>32 % (778/2465)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.25–1.59)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.24–1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>7.4 % (2/27)</td>
<td>7.0 % (172/2465)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.22–4.12)</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existent</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>0.3 % (8/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>0.1 % (2/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3.7% (1/27)</td>
<td>2.8% (70/2465)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.16–8.89)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existent</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>9.3 % (230/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stillbirth/miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22 % (6/27)</td>
<td>19 % (459/2465)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.50–3.11)</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous preterm delivery</td>
<td>7.4 % (2/27)</td>
<td>1.2 % (30/2465)</td>
<td>6.49 (1.47–28.66)</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free T4 (pmol/l)c</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>2.31 (0.37–14.44)d</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO-Ab presence (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>5.8 % (144/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>31 (± 5.5)</td>
<td>32 (± 4.0)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.84–1.00)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>19 % (5/27)</td>
<td>9.1 % (225/2465)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.87–6.21)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>67 % (18/27)</td>
<td>60 % (1467/2465)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22 % (6/27)</td>
<td>32 % (778/2465)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.25–1.59)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.23–1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>7.4 % (2/27)</td>
<td>7.0 % (172/2465)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.22–4.12)</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existent</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>0.3 % (8/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>0.1 % (2/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3.7% (1/27)</td>
<td>2.8% (70/2465)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.16–8.89)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.15–8.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existent</td>
<td>0 % (0/27)</td>
<td>9.3 % (230/2465)</td>
<td>0.00 (–)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stillbirth/miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22 % (6/27)</td>
<td>19 % (459/2465)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.50–3.11)</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous preterm delivery</td>
<td>7.4 % (2/27)</td>
<td>1.2 % (30/2465)</td>
<td>6.49 (1.47–28.66)</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, †P<0.01.

TSH is log2 transformed.

The OR expresses the increase in risk for child loss for every doubling in TSH value.

Free T4 is log2 transformed.

The OR expresses the increase in risk for child loss for every doubling in free T4 value.
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Although the increased relative risk is considerable, the absolute risks are still small. For instance, for a mother with a TSH level of 0.54 mU/l (10th percentile of study population) the estimated absolute risk would be 0.8%, whereas the expected risk for a woman with a TSH level of 3.13 mU/l (90th percentile) is 2.2%.

The relationship between FT_4 levels and child loss was not statistically significant (Fig. 2B). This was true for both the univariate model with an OR of 2.31 for every doubling in FT_4 concentration (95% CI: 0.37–14.44; P = 0.372) and in the multivariate model after correction for parity, age, smoking, DM, hypertension, previous stillbirth/miscarriage, previous preterm delivery, and TPO-Ab presence in the multivariate model the OR was 1.41 (95% CI: 0.21–9.40; P = 0.724; Table 2).

Of the other factors included in our analysis, previous preterm deliveries was the only other factor next to TSH that was significantly associated with child loss.

**Discussion**

This study examined the association between thyroid function (TSH, FT_4) and thyroid autoimmunity (TPO-Ab) during pregnancy and the risk of child loss in terms of miscarriage, fetal death or neonatal death in a cohort of Dutch healthy women. The risk of child loss increased significantly with increasing TSH levels during early pregnancy. This effect remained after correction for parity, smoking, DM, hypertension, previous stillbirth/miscarriage, previous preterm deliveries, and TPO-Ab presence. Surprisingly, no association was observed between FT_4 levels and subsequent risk of child loss in these women.

Our data indicate that even in healthy women, without overt thyroid dysfunction, there is an increased risk of miscarriage, fetal death or neonatal death with increasing levels of TSH in pregnancy. The association extended even to pregnant women with TSH values within the normal range, implying a continuous relation between TSH levels and the risk of child loss. In the study of Allan et al. women with a TSH > 6 mU/l (2.2% of study population) had a significant increased risk for stillbirth (OR 4.40 (95% CI: 1.9–9.5)) (11). They did not distinguish between overt hypothyroidism and subclinical hypothyroidism. Nevertheless, our findings are basically in agreement with their study, although we excluded women with overt thyroid dysfunction and examined the association between TSH levels and the risk of child loss in a continuous way. In the study of Casey et al. (2005) who compared 404 women with subclinical hypothyroidism and control group of euthyroid women no excess mortality was observed. However, their study was relatively small, including only two fetal deaths and two neonatal deaths (9).

In search of an explanation of the relation one may consider human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG); high hCG is associated with low TSH and high FT_4 (19). HCG levels are used as markers for miscarriage; women with low hCG levels are at much higher risk of child loss (20). La Marca et al. (1998), however, showed in a case–control study that TSH levels are positively associated with miscarriage; this effect was not due to hCG levels since there was no correlation between TSH and hCG levels (20). We did not measure hCG, but confirmed the direct relation between TSH and child loss.

We found no significant effect of FT_4 on the risk of child loss, which is in accordance with the study of Casey et al. (2007) where they looked at maternal...
hypothyroxinemia. In their study, they found no adverse effects of low FT4 levels in the presence of normal TSH levels on perinatal outcomes (13).

A number of studies report that women with TPO-Ab have a higher risk of miscarriage (RR ranging from 1.9 to 4.4) than women without TPO-Ab (21–27). In our study, no association between TPO-Ab and child loss was observed, also when considering TPO-Ab as a continuous variable. The discrepancy can be explained by a lack of power, in our study only 5.8% of the women had TPO-Ab and of these women only 0% had an adverse pregnancy outcome.

The presence of TPO-Ab is associated with a slightly lower FT4 and higher TSH values, as again observed in our study. The risk for miscarriage in women with TPO-Ab decreases upon treatment with levothyroxine, even when pre-treated with FT4 and TSH concentrations which are within the RR of FT4 and TSH as demonstrated by Negro et al. (2006) (28). The lower risk of miscarriage via lowering TSH exogenous thyroxine is in agreement with our study findings. The absence of a correlation with FT4 in our study might be due to the much greater sensitivity of TSH to detect small changes in the set point of the hypothalamus–pituitary–thyroid axis compared with FT4 levels.

The large sample size of our study allowed us to demonstrate maternal TSH concentrations as a risk factor for child loss, higher TSH levels throughout the normal RR being associated with higher risk. Because the underlying increase in absolute risk is small, it might be of clinical relevance as active perinatal policies frequently involve avoiding adverse perinatal events which are uncommon in absolute terms (at least in a developed country). It also supports the notion that pregnancy outcome might be improved by treating women with mildly elevated TSH (as in subclinical hypothyroidism) or even with normal TSH (if TPO-Ab are present).
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