Comparative reduction of the visceral adipose tissue depot after a diet-induced weight loss with or without aerobic exercise in obese subjects: a 12-week randomized intervention study
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Abstract

Objective: Weight loss with preferential effect on the visceral adipose tissue (VAT) depot could have important clinical benefits. In this study, we investigated the independent and combined effect of regular exercise and diet induced weight loss on body fat distribution.

Design: Randomized control design of i) exercise-only (EXO; 12 weeks of exercise without diet restriction), ii) hypocaloric-diet (DIO; 8 weeks of very low energy diet (VLED 600 kcal/day) followed by 4-weeks weight maintenance diet) and iii) hypocaloric-diet and exercise (DEX; 8 weeks VLED 800 kcal/day + a 4-week weight maintenance diet combined with exercise throughout the 12 weeks).

Subjects: Seventy-nine obese males and females were included.

Measurements: Body fat distribution was quantified by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-technology.

Results: In the EXO group, the weight loss (3.5 kg) and the relative reduction in VAT (18%) was significantly lower compared with the weight losses in the DIO and DEX groups (12.3 kg; \( P < 0.01 \)) and to the reduction in VAT (30–37%; \( P < 0.01 \)). In all the three groups, the relative reduction of VAT was higher as compared with the reduction in fat mass (FM; combining all fat depots determined by MRI; \( P < 0.01 \) for all comparisons). The changes in VAT were associated with changes in FM and related to the initial VAT/FM ratio (\( r^2 = 0.72 \); \( P < 0.01 \)).

Conclusion: Exercise has no additional effects in reduction of the VAT depot, compared with the major effects of hypocaloric diet alone. In addition, the effects of exercise per se on VAT are relatively limited. The effects on the VAT depot are closely associated with changes in total FM.
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Introduction

Obesity, in particular excess visceral adipose tissue (VAT), is associated with the metabolic syndrome (1) resulting in increased morbidity and mortality (2). By contrast, accumulation of body fat in the subcutaneous gluteal-femoral adipose tissue (GFAT) is generally less associated with health problems or may even mediate some protection against cardiovascular diseases (3–5). These findings suggest that fat distribution and particularly the ratio between VAT and GFAT may be of importance for the obesity-related health complications. The cornerstone in the treatment of obesity is diet-induced weight loss, but it is well-known that weight loss is generally difficult to obtain and to maintain (6, 7). Since VAT seems to be the most important fat depot in relation to health complications, treatments that could have preferential effects in reducing VAT have attracted much interest. Both endogenous factors (e.g., genes and sex) and exogenous factors (e.g., diet, hormones (corticosteroids and some sex hormones)) and pharmacology such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)γ-agonists and some antiviral treatments (protease inhibitors) have been demonstrated to be able to affect fat distribution (8–10).

Investigations from several groups suggest that exercise may also favor a reduction in VAT with or without general weight loss (11–16). Regular exercise without diet restriction has been found to reduce the VAT depot with up to 48% (15) and improvement of \( \text{VO}_2 \text{max} \) during exercise interventions has been associated with higher reduction in VAT (17). This possible specific effect on VAT may also explain some of the positive 'weight independent' effect of exercise on the metabolic syndrome (18). However, not all investigations have found this preferential effect of exercise on VAT (19, 20). One explanation for the observed differences could be due to the fact that VAT
has been determined by different and sometimes less accurate methods.

With newer technologies such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) it is possible to accurately quantify the size of the various fat depots, as well as to estimate changes induced by interventions such as calorie restriction and exercise (21). Owing to CT-induced radiation and the relatively high cost of image analysis with MRI, abdominal adiposity (the VAT and abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue (ASAT depot)) is in general characterized using a single cross-sectional image at L2/L3 or L4–L5 level (22). A multi-slice protocol using slices covering the whole abdomen is, however, more accurate when intersubject comparisons are performed (23, 24).

In the present study, we want to investigate whether exercise alone or in combination with diet-induced weight loss has a preferential effect in reducing VAT. We used a precise MRI multi-slice technique to determine the size and the changes of the various fat depots. The investigation was a 12-week intervention with three groups of obese subjects randomized to regular exercise alone, diet-induced weight loss, and a combination of exercise and diet-induced weight loss. In the last two groups, we intended to obtain similar weight losses in order to see the possible specific – weight independent – effect of exercise.

Methods

Subjects

Seventy-nine obese but otherwise healthy males and females were recruited via advertisements in local newspapers. The subjects were eligible for inclusion if they were aged 18–45 years, obese (30 kg/m² < body mass index (BMI) < 40 kg/m²), physically inactive (< 30 min/day), and weight stable for at least three months (± 2 kg of current body weight). Exclusion criteria were cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and pregnancy or orthopedic difficulties causing inability to undertake an exercise program. No subjects received medication that could affect the investigated metabolic markers. Prior to participation, the subjects gave a written informed consent. The study was approved by the local ethics committee in the county of Aarhus and followed the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study design

During a 6-month period, the 79 subjects were randomized into the 12-week intervention study consisting of i) exercise only (EXO, n = 25), ii) hypocaloric diet (DIO, n = 29), and iii) hypocaloric diet and exercise (DEX, n = 25). Twenty subjects did not complete the study (8 women and 12 men; BMI 35.7 ± 4 kg/m²; P = 0.2 as compared with subjects who completed the study). The dropout rate was the highest in the DIO group (n = 10) and similar in the EXO (n = 6) and DEX groups (n = 4). The most frequent reason for subjects in the DIO group leaving the study was dissatisfaction with the group assignment (five subjects).

Diet regime

Subjects in the DIO and DEX groups were prescribed a liquid very low energy diet (VLED; Nupo, Copenhagen, Denmark) of respectively 600 and 800 kcal/day (proteins 41 g, carbohydrates 29 g, fat 5.6 g/100 g) for 8 weeks followed by a weight maintenance diet for 4 weeks. In these two groups, we intended the subjects to obtain similar weight losses in order to see the possible specific – weight independent – effect of exercise. Thus, the subjects in the DIO group were allowed to consume 150–200 kcal more per day as compared with the DIO group, reflecting the estimated extra energy expenditure of 1500 kcal/week during exercise activity. To ensure compliance to the diet, subjects in both groups were allowed to consume ad libitum low-energy vegetables and were followed every second week by clinical staff. In the weight maintenance phase, the subjects consumed a diet with the following energy contents: 55% from carbohydrates, 15% from protein, and < 30% from fat. The daily energy requirement for the subjects during this period was determined by estimating resting energy expenditure multiplied by a factor of 1.5 for subjects in the DIO group and 2.5 in the DEX group. The subjects in the EXO group were advised to maintain an isocaloric diet for the duration of the intervention. Thus, the possible change in the body composition would be due to the differences in the energy expenditure during the exercise activity. Their daily energy expenditure during the intervention was determined by estimating the resting energy expenditure multiplied by a factor of 2.5. All subjects in the three groups were asked to keep dietary intake records over a 2 week period.

Exercise regime

The exercise intervention for subjects in the EXO and the DEX group consisted of supervised aerobic exercise three times per week with a duration of 60–75 min per training session, with an estimated energy expenditure of 500–600 kcal per session. The subjects could choose between different modes of exercise: stationary bicycling, jogging on a treadmill or stair stepping. The Karvonen method for exercise intensity was used to target an exercise intensity of 70% of heart rate reserve: HR = ((HRmax − HRrest) × % Intensity) + HRrest, where the heart rate maximum was determined during the VO2 max test. The exercise intensity was monitored using heart rate monitors (Polar S810i, Polar Electro
Oy, Kempele, Finland). The subjects were required to keep records of training sessions during the whole intervention.

**Maximal rate of oxygen uptake**

At baseline and after 12 weeks, each subject completed a progressive maximal exercise test using a stationary cycle ergometer (Monark 828, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden) and standard open-circuit spirometry techniques (AMIS 2001, Innovation, Odense, Denmark). All subjects fulfilled two of the following three criteria for maximal aerobic exercise: respiratory exchange ratio > 1.1, a maximal heart rate within the 15 beats of age-predicted maximal heart rate, and a leveling of VO₂ despite increase in Watt.

**Anthropometry and metabolic risk factors**

At baseline, at week 8 and after 12 weeks, the body weight was measured to the nearest 0.05 kg with a calibrated scale. The waist circumference was measured with a flexible measuring tape placed between the costal inferior border and the iliac crest. The hip circumference was measured at the widest point of the hip. Blood pressure was measured on the left arm with the use of an automated blood pressure monitor after the subjects had rested 5 min at rest. Blood samples were collected after an overnight fast and at least 24 h after the subjects had finished the last exercise session and were analyzed at the local department of clinical biochemistry. Cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose were quantified in plasma. Insulin was analyzed with an ELISA kit assay. The homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) insulin resistance index was calculated using the formula: fasting insulin (μU/ml) × fasting glucose (mmol/l)/22.

**MRI-protocol**

To quantify the body fat distribution and the effects of the intervention on the regional distribution of adipose tissue (AT) and skeletal muscle (SM), whole body MRI (270 equidistant images) data were obtained at baseline and after 12 weeks with a Philips Gyroscan Achieva 1.5 Tesla MR scanner, (Philips Medical systems, Best, The Netherlands). After a rapid survey scan, a sequence consisting of six stacks each with 45 transversal images were acquired. The sequence was a T1 weighted fast spin echo sequence with slice thickness of 6 mm and interslice spacing of 1 mm, field of view of 530×530 mm² and acquisition matrix of 288×202. The repetition time was 210 ms, echo time 17.5 ms, and the total sequence time was 1109 min.

During acquisition of the images, the subjects rested on the scanner bed in supine position with their arms extended above their heads. To minimize respiratory artifacts a breath hold technique was used at the level of L5/L4 and 20 images up. To determine the regional effect of the intervention, the whole body was divided into an upper region and a lower region. The upper region: the abdominal adiposity (VAT and ASAT depots) was assessed from the superior position of the femur until no more visceral fat was visible at the level of TH9/TH8 (on average 53 slices). The lower region: GFAT was quantified using 12 slices from the superior position of the femur and 10 cm down using six slices from the top of patella and 5 cm up. Intermuscular femoral AT (IMAT) and muscle mass was calculated with six slices from the top of patella and 5 cm up. The single slice analysis was performed at the L3/L2 level. Fat mass (FM) was calculated as the sum of VAT, ASAT, GFAT, and IMAT. Analysis: To quantify the volume of the respective regions all images were loaded into the Hippo Fat software (26). The program uses a fuzzy c-mean algorithm to segment the image in three tissue classes (air, AT, and non-AT). After this step, external and internal ASAT boundaries were defined by an active contour algorithm. A third contour was computed surrounding the area where VAT was present together with other tissues. VAT itself was assessed by the analysis of the signal in the visceral region by identifying the second peak of the signal histogram. All the images for each subject were manually examined after the automatic analysis in order to correct eventual errors. Analyses using the Hippo fat software have shown high inter- and intra-tester reliability (27, 28).

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical software packet SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical evaluation. Descriptive statistics are presented as means±s.d. or as means with confidence interval (CI)=95%. Group differences at baseline were examined using MANOVA with adjustment for multiple comparisons. The absolute changes and percent changes from baseline to week 12 were calculated for selected variables. MANOVA was used to test the interaction of treatment (EXO, DEX, DIO) and gender (male and female). A linear regression model was used to test the association between selected variables. A non-parametric test was used for variables with a non-normal distribution. The chosen significance level was a two-tailed P value of <0.05.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

The subjects were all obese with a mean BMI of 34.3 kg/m² (103.5± 3 kg) but otherwise healthy. No differences between the three groups in relation to anthropometrical were observed (Table 1).

Of importance, no difference was observed in BMI at baseline between completers and subjects who left prior to the last measurements at week 12.
During the 12-week intervention, subjects in the two exercise groups were required to attend 36 supervised exercise sessions with duration of 60–75 min at an intensity of 70% of calculated heart rate reserve (HRR) per session. The actual attendance was 96% with duration of 65 min and a mean heart rate of 145 beats/min (70% of HRR). The compliance to the VLED in the DIO and DEX groups was 92% throughout the intervention.

The values for average daily energy intake in the EXO group were comparable before and at the end of the intervention (2610 ± 582 vs 2467 ± 410 kcal/day; P = 0.6).

**Body weight, VO₂ max, and fat distribution**

Changes in body weight, fat distribution, and VO₂ max after the 12-week intervention are displayed in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Subjects in the EXO group improved their VO₂ max by 18% (2.8 ± 0.7 to 3.4 ± 0.7 l/min; P < 0.01). Body weight was reduced by 3.5% (−3.5 ± 3 kg; P < 0.01) together with a significant reduction in waist circumference (−5.3 cm; P < 0.01) as shown in Table 1. The relative reduction in all of the anthropometrical parameters was, however, significantly lower as compared with the reductions found in the DIO and DEX groups (Table 1). Weight loss in the DEX and DIO groups were ~11% after 12 weeks. Reduction of all the other anthropometric parameters in the DEX and DIO groups were also comparable (Table 1; for all comparisons P > 0.05). Subjects in the DEX group increased their VO₂ max with 14% (P < 0.01) whereas, no changes in VO₂ max were observed in the DIO group.

From the MRI-scanning, it was found that the GFAT, the ASAT, and the VAT in the EXO group were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Baseline values and changes at week 12 in anthropometry and VO₂ max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EXO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>29 (10 and 9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>102.9 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMJ (kg/m²)</td>
<td>37.5 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>104.1 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ max (l/O₂ per m)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline data are presented in mean ± S.D. Changes from baseline to week 12 are presented with mean and CI 95. Exercise-only (EXO), VLED-hypocaloric diet (DIO), and VLED-hypocaloric diet and exercise (DEX). *P &lt; 0.05 as compared with baseline; † P &lt; 0.05 compared with changes in the EXO group.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Changes in body weight and body fat distribution. Relative changes in body weight, fat mass (FM, determined as the combination of ASAT + VAT + GFAT + IMAT), subcutaneous abdominal AT (ASAT), visceral abdominal AT (VAT), gluteal femoral AT (GFAT), and in intermuscular adipose tissue (IMAT) in the three groups determined by MRI. Exercise-only (EXO), VLED-hypocaloric diet (DIO), and VLED-hypocaloric diet and exercise (DEX). *P < 0.01 as compared with baseline, **P < 0.01 compared with changes in the EXO group.
significantly reduced but to a similar degree in the three depots (reduction by 14–18%; \( P < 0.01 \), Fig. 1). Moreover, the IMAT was non-significantly reduced by 2% after 12 weeks of exercise. The reduction in ASAT and VAT was significantly lower in the EXO group as compared with the DIO and DEX groups (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 2, the relative reduction of VAT was significantly higher as compared with the relative reduction in FM (16%; \( P < 0.01 \)) in the EXO group. In the DIO group GFAT, ASAT, and VAT were significantly reduced compared with baseline but to a similar degree in all three depots (reduction by 24–30%, \( P < 0.01 \)). In the DEX group ASAT, GFAT, and VAT were reduced with 25–37%; \( P < 0.01 \) as compared with baseline, which were similar to the observed reductions in the DIO group (24–30%; Fig. 1). As illustrated in Fig. 2, the intervention resulted in a relatively higher loss of VAT as compared with FM both in the DIO group (27% higher; \( P < 0.01 \)) and in the DEX group (31% higher; \( P < 0.01 \)). The observed changes in the VAT/FM ratio in the DEX and DIO groups were not significantly different from each other (\( P = 0.9 \), Fig. 2) and these differences were neither different from the 16% higher reduction of VAT as compared with FM in the EXO group (\( P = 0.5 \)). The IMAT was reduced by 7% after the diet-induced weight loss (\( P < 0.01 \), Fig. 1) in the DEX group, whereas subjects in the DEX group obtained a reduction of 11% (\( P < 0.01 \) as compared with baseline but only a non-significant reduction as compared with the DIO group (\( P = 0.06 \)). The muscle mass determined by MRI was reduced with 4–8% in the DEX and DIO group (\( P < 0.05 \)) and unchanged in the EXO group (+0.2%; \( P = 0.6 \); data not shown).

The relationship between VAT and FM

The relationship between the initial ratio of VAT and FM and the ratio between changes in VAT and FM in the three groups is illustrated in Fig. 3. It was found that the initial VAT/FM ratio was similar between the three groups (data not shown) and we observed a linear relationship (\( R^2 = 0.72 \); \( P < 0.01 \)) between changes in VAT and changes in FM (y-axis). As shown, no type of intervention deviated from the regression line. reflecting that changes in VAT were primarily determined by changes in FM and the initial VAT/FM ratio and independent of the intervention.

Influence of gender

As expected, males had lower ASAT volume (7897 ± 2788 vs 11 523 ± 2307 cm\(^3\); \( P < 0.001 \)), lower GFAT volume (1975 ± 624 vs 3680 ± 821 cm\(^3\); \( P < 0.001 \)), and significantly higher VAT volume (3846 ± 1072 vs 2268 ± 938 cm\(^3\); \( P < 0.001 \)) as compared with females (data not shown). The VAT/ASAT ratio (0.5 ± 0.1 vs 0.2 ± 0.1; \( P < 0.001 \)) and VAT/GFAT ratio (2.0 ± 0.7 vs 0.7 ± 0.3; \( P < 0.001 \)) were higher in males as compared with females. Concerning the relative changes in the different AT depots during the intervention, there were no differences between females and males – EXO group: reduction in VAT (females 16 ± 9% versus males 20 ± 6%; \( P = 0.4 \)); GFAT (females 15 ± 5% versus males 16 ± 9%; \( P = 0.8 \)); ASAT (females 14 ± 5% versus males 16 ± 10%; \( P = 0.7 \)) – DIO group: VAT (females 28 ± 10% versus males 31 ± 16%; \( P = 0.6 \)); GFAT (females 24 ± 4% versus males

![Figure 2](image-url) Changes in visceral fat and fat mass. The bar represents (\( \Delta FM\% \) – \( \Delta VAT\% \times 100)/\( \Delta FM\% \)) in the three groups. Exercise-only (EXO), VLED-hypocaloric diet (DIO), and VLED-hypocaloric diet and exercise (DEX). Fat mass (FM) was calculated as the sum of VAT, ASAT, GFAT, and IMAT. There are no significant differences between the groups (EXO 16% versus DEX 31%; \( P = 0.5 \)) and DEX 31% versus DIO 27%; \( P = 0.9 \). **\( P < 0.01 \) – the relative reduction in VAT as compared with the relative reduction in FM.

![Figure 3](image-url) Changes of visceral fat relative to changes in fat mass. Changes of VAT relative to changes in FM (\( \Delta VAT/\Delta FM \) – y-axis) versus the initial VAT/FM ratio (x-axis) in the three groups: ●, exercise-only (EXO), ▼, VLED-hypocaloric diet (DIO), and ○, VLED-hypocaloric diet and exercise (DEX). Fat mass (FM) was calculated as the sum of VAT, ASAT, GFAT, and IMAT from MRI determinations.
24 ± 12%; \( P = 0.9 \)); ASAT (females 22 ± 5% versus males 25 ± 10; \( P = 0.5 \)), and in the DEX group: VAT (females 35 ± 12% versus males 38 ± 13%; \( P = 0.7 \)); GFAT (females 24 ± 8% versus males 27 ± 12%; \( P = 0.6 \)); ASAT (females 25 ± 9% versus males 28 ± 10%; \( P = 0.4 \); data not shown). No differences were observed between males and females in the three groups in relation to relative changes in anthropometry (data not shown).

**MRI multi-slice versus MRI single-slice**

To investigate the possible discrepancy using a MRI multi-slice protocol versus a single-slice protocol at L3/L2 level in relation to the relative reduction in VAT and ASAT, we compared the two MRI protocols. In all the three groups, the relative reduction in VAT was comparable between the two MRI-protocols; EXO (single-slice 14% versus multi-slice 17%; intraclass correlation (ICC) 0.55; \( P < 0.05 \)), DIO group (single-slice 33% versus multi-slice 30%, ICC 0.52; \( P < 0.01 \)), and DEX group (single-slice 34% versus multi-slice 37%; ICC 0.55; \( P < 0.05 \)). Similarly comparable results between the two MRI protocols were observed in relation to the relative ASAT reduction; EXO group (single slice 14% versus multi-slice 17%; intraclass correlation (ICC) 0.55; \( P < 0.05 \)), DIO group (single-slice 14% versus multi-slice 17%; ICC 0.58; \( P < 0.01 \)), and DEX group (single slice 27% versus multi-slice 28%; ICC 0.8; \( P < 0.01 \); data not shown).

**Association between VO\(_2\) max increment and the decrease in VAT**

To investigate if the magnitude of the VO\(_2\) max was associated with the reduction of VAT, the subjects in the two exercise groups (EXO and DEX) were divided into three groups (tertiles) in relation to their increment of VO\(_2\) max at week 12. Comparing subjects in the lowest quartile of VO\(_2\) max (increase in VO\(_2\) max with 5%) with subjects in the highest quartile (increase in VO\(_2\) max with 25%), we found a comparable reduction of VAT in the two groups (28% vs 32%; \( P = 0.4 \); data not shown).

**The metabolic profile**

The changes in the metabolic profile after 12 weeks in the three groups are illustrated in Table 2. Total cholesterol and blood pressure were significantly reduced in all three groups, whereas only in the DIO and DEX groups, a significant decrease in triglycerides, glucose, and insulin was observed. A trend toward a significant decrease in insulin (\( P = 0.06 \)) and HOMA (\( P = 0.09 \)) was observed in the EXO group. Of importance, high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol was significantly increased in the DEX group (\( P < 0.05 \)).

### Table 2. Baseline values and changes at week 12 in high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, total cholesterol, triglyceride, glucose, insulin, homeostasis model assessment (HOMA), and blood pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Change week 12</th>
<th>Change week 12</th>
<th>Change week 12</th>
<th>Change week 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.3±0.8</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, 0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, 0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4±1.5</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol)</td>
<td>5.6±1.2</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pg/l)</td>
<td>65.0±35</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126±15</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4, 1.0)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4, 1.0)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4, 1.0)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4, 1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4±1.5</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol)</td>
<td>5.6±1.2</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pg/l)</td>
<td>65.0±35</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126±15</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4±1.5</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol)</td>
<td>5.6±1.2</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-0.7, -0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pg/l)</td>
<td>65.0±35</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
<td>12.6±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>126±15</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
<td>-6 (-12, 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline data are presented in mean ± S.D. Changes from baseline to week 12 are presented with mean and CI 95. Exercise-only (EXO), VLED-hypocaloric diet (DIO), and VLED-hypocaloric diet and exercise (DEX). * \( P < 0.05 \) as compared with baseline; † \( P < 0.05 \) as compared with exercise only; ‡ \( P < 0.05 \) as compared with hypocaloric diet.
Discussion

The primary finding in the present study was that the carefully matched weight loss in the two groups (DIO 12.3 kg versus DEX 12.3 kg) displayed that exercise has no weight independent effect on the VAT depot. Instead, changes in the VAT depot seems to be closely associated with changes in the FM and related to the initial VAT/FM ratio and independent of the intervention program. This interpretation is also in agreement with the results obtained in the EXO group where the combined effect of exercise – effect through exercise-induced weight loss (negative energy balance) and the effect of exercise per se – on fat distribution was examined.

The health complications of obesity are particularly related to the amount of VAT. Accordingly, it is of great clinical interest whether preferential reduction of VAT can be induced. This might be an easier way of obtaining health benefits for obese subjects, since large weight loss and weight loss maintenance are difficult to obtain (6, 7). Exercise has by some groups been suggested to have a preferential effect in reducing the VAT depot (12–17). It has been shown that various hormones (e.g. corticosteroids) and drugs (e.g. PPARγ-agonists) may have specific effects on fat distribution (8, 29). Exercise might affect fat distribution by different mechanisms. It is known that exercise increases the level of catecholamines (30) and catecholamines have effects on adipocyte lipolysis through β-adrenergic receptors (stimulation) and α2-adrenergic receptors (inhibition) (31). Previously, we have shown that catecholamines are more lipolytically active in visceral adipocytes as compared with subcutaneous adipocytes (32) which may result in more pronounced reduction of VAT induced by exercise. Another mechanism for a preferential reduction of VAT induced by exercise might be through central effects of exercise on for example, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis that may reduce the VAT depot through reduced cortisol turnover (33). However, since we found no preferential effect of exercise on VAT, increased physical activity as obtained in the present study was not able to activate these suggested biological pathways.

The observation that the loss of VAT was proportional to the loss of FM and related to the initial ratio of VAT and FM is in accordance with the suggestions in a recent review (20) that an allometric relationship exists between changes in VAT and FM implying that subjects undergoing obesity treatment will reduce their VAT by about 30% more as compared with the FM, independently of type of weight loss (exercise, diet, surgery). Thus, the suggestion of a preferential reduction of the VAT depot may often be due to misinterpretation of the weight loss results. For example, the moderate exercise programs inducing preferential losses of VAT shown in the studies by Thomas et al. (16) and Mourier et al. (15) were not compared with diet restriction programs, and the more prominent reduction in VAT adding exercise to a restricted diet compared with a diet restriction alone found by Okura et al. (14) did not take into account that changes in VAT and FM are parallel and determined by the initial VAT/FM ratio. In addition, the observation that exercise was essential for a decrease in the VAT depot in type 2 diabetes (13) was made on the absolute changes in the VAT depot and not on the relative changes and again not taking into account that changes in VAT and FM are parallel and determined by the initial VAT/FM.

Our findings in the present study are in agreement with Redman et al. (34) who found that subjects who lost ~10% of their initial body weight after calorie restriction or combined calorie restriction and exercise achieved a reduction in the VAT depot of ~27% with no differences between the two groups. Since our sample size is larger than the study by Redman et al. (n = 12 in each group) the observed reduction in the VAT depot in the two studies may not be due to any type two error. We therefore suggest that an allometric relationship exists between VAT and FM which determines the reduction of the VAT depot and that the reduction of the VAT depot will be 20–30% higher than the reduction of FM independent of the type of intervention. Adding exercise to a weight reducing diet results in greater improvements in the metabolic abnormalities compared with a weight reducing diet alone (35, 36). In the present study, we found only an additive effect of exercise on HDL-cholesterol when comparing the results in the two hypocaloric groups. This may be due to the fast and massive impact of a VLED on metabolic parameters with near-normalization of insulin, glucose, and lipid-levels (37), which could have obscured a more gentle effect of exercise. In addition to the increase in HDL-cholesterol, the observed increase in VO2 max in the DEX group is of importance. Increased VO2 max is associated with several physiological adaptations in SM, e.g., improved mitochondrial function and enhanced muscle oxidative capacity, whereas a low level of aerobic fitness is a predictor of cardiovascular diseases (38). The effect of exercise on body composition and metabolic parameters is well described (39) and is generally confirmed in this study. Subjects in the EXO group reduced their body weight with 3.5% and their VAT and ASAT with 17% and 14% respectively. The alteration in body composition was accompanied with significant reductions in blood pressure, total cholesterol, and a trend toward a significant decrease in HOMA (P = 0.09). In relation to the IMAT, we found a trend toward a higher relative reduction in the DEX group after 12 weeks as compared with the DIO group (P = 0.06). IMAT is associated with impaired insulin sensitivity and increased cholesterol levels (40).

The effect of diet-induced weight loss and exercise on metabolic parameters and changes in the regional fat distribution seem to be independent of gender, since the changes observed in females and males were comparable. In this study, we used a multi-slice protocol to
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cover VAT and ASAT (on average 53 slices) and to cover GFAT (on average 20 slices). When comparing the ratio between the relative reduction of VAT and ASAT obtained using a multi-slice protocol and single-slice protocol, we found relatively consistent results. This observation implies that the discrepancy in literature, of whether exercise has preferential effect on VAT or not is not related to the MRI protocol (multi-slice protocol versus single-slice protocol) but may be more down to the interpretation of the results.

A major strength of the present study was the use of a multi-slice protocol to cover VAT and ASAT depots, use of a randomized design including males and females, a carefully monitored diet of all subjects, and the supervised exercise session in the EXO and DEX groups. There are some limitations to the study. The relative high dropout rate in the DIO group (10 subjects) could introduce a selection bias but since the weight loss in the DIO and the DEX groups was similar as planned we do not think this dropout rate had any effect on our results. As mentioned, the weight loss independent effects of exercise may have been somehow obscured in the present study by the pronounced diet-induced weight loss and more effects of exercise on metabolic parameters would presumably have been observed with more moderate weight loss. However, the conclusion concerning no specific effect of exercise on fat distribution is valid since we have also included an exercise-alone group in the study where weight loss was allowed and the reduction in VAT in this group was as predicted from the allometric relationship.

In summary, we found that weight loss induced by exercise has no preferential effect in reducing the VAT depot as compared with diet-induced weight loss. Furthermore, changes in the VAT depot are paralleled with the change in FM and determined by the ratio of VAT to FM independent of the weight loss program applied. In relation to the observed changes in the metabolic parameters, our observations suggest that the independent and additive effect of exercise cannot be related to a specific reduction of the VAT depot induced by exercise. Finally, it was found that the single slice MRI is as good as the multi-slice MRI to determine changes in fat distribution.
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