Small for gestational age status is associated with metabolic syndrome in overweight children
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Abstract

Objective: Small for gestational age (SGA) children are at risk of both later obesity and metabolic syndrome (MetS). However, it is unknown whether obesity or SGA status leads to MetS in these subjects. We hypothesized that overweight children with former SGA status had more present components of the MetS than overweight children with former appropriate for gestational age (AGA) status.

Methods: We analyzed 803 overweight children (4% SGA, mean age 11.0 ± 0.1 years, body mass index (BMI) 27.3 ± 0.2, SDS-BMI 2.32 ± 0.02) concerning blood pressure, lipids, glucose, and insulin. Oral glucose tolerance tests (oGTT) were performed in all 35 former SGA children and 147 randomly chosen former non-SGA children.

Results: After adjustment for age, sex, pubertal stage, and BMI-SDS, former SGA status was significantly related to blood pressure, triglyceride, insulin, and 2 h glucose levels in oGTT. The MetS prevalence was more than doubled in overweight former SGA subjects (40% MetS) compared with overweight former AGA subjects (17% MetS). The corresponding adjusted odds ratio was 4.08 (95% confidence interval 1.48 to 11.22) for SGA compared with AGA children.

Conclusions: Overweight former SGA children had an increased risk for the components of the MetS compared with overweight former AGA children. Therefore, SGA status seems to be a risk factor for the MetS independently of weight status. Particularly overweight children with former SGA status should be screened for the MetS.

Introduction

The clustering of hypertension, dyslipidemia, and disturbed glucose metabolism has been summarized as the metabolic syndrome (MetS) (1) that is associated with atherosclerosis and an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) (2, 3). Additionally, hyperuricemia and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) are frequent comorbidities of the MetS. Insulin resistance is the postulated underlying mechanism of the MetS (1–3).

During the last decade, many components of the MetS such as high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinism, and type 2 diabetes as well as increased cardiovascular mortality, were observed in adults born small for gestational age (SGA) (4, 5). Some of the initial observations in adults have been replicated in children (6, 7).

In particular, individuals born SGA with catch-up growth had an increased risk for later CVD and disturbed glucose metabolism (8, 9). Additionally, postnatal accelerated growth and rapid weight gain in SGA infants were associated with later obesity (8, 9). Since obesity per se is associated with components of the MetS such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and impaired glucose metabolism (1, 10), the observed relationship between SGA status at birth and later MetS as a precursor of CVD may be further modified by obesity. If SGA status has a direct impact on insulin resistance as postulated (11, 12), overweight children with former SGA status might further deteriorate the cardiovascular risk factor profile as compared with overweight children with former adequate for gestational age (AGA) or large for gestational age (LGA).

To our best knowledge, we are not aware of research comparing cardiovascular risk factors between overweight children with former SGA status and overweight children with former AGA or LGA status. Therefore, we studied 803 overweight children to assess an association between SGA status and components of the MetS. We hypothesized that overweight children with former SGA status had a more unfavorable profile of cardiovascular risk factors compared with overweight children with former AGA or LGA status.
Methods

The local ethics committee of the University of Witten/Herdecke approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects and their parents prior to study start.

We examined 803 Caucasian overweight children and adolescents aged 4–16 years attending our outpatient center specializing in pediatric obesity following a standardized diagnostic procedure based on the guidelines of the American Obesity Associations (13). All children were screened for degree of overweight, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and disturbed glucose metabolism, as recommended and described below. Exclusion criteria were evidenced for syndromal, chromosomal, or infectious etiology of low birth weight; endocrine or syndromal disorders, systemic disease or acute illness.

Former SGA status was defined by a birth weight or length below the 10th percentile for gestational age using German birth weight and length standards, former LGA as birth weight or length above the 90th percentile for gestational age, and the remaining children as former AGA.

Degree of overweight was derived from body mass index (BMI). Height was measured to the nearest centimeter using a rigid stadiometer. Weight was measured in underwear to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated balance scale. Overweight was defined by a BMI above the 90th percentile for German children according to the International Task Force for Childhood Obesity (14, 15). Because the distribution of BMI is not comparable in children and adults, not even among the various childhood age groups, we used the LMS method to calculate SDS of BMI as a measurement for the degree of overweight. The LMS method summarizes the data in terms of three smooth age-specific curves called L (lambda), M (mu), and S (sigma) based on German population-specific data (16). The M and S curves correspond to the median and coefficient of variation (CV) of BMI for German children at each age and gender, whereas the L curve allows for the substantial age-dependent skewness in the distribution of BMI (16). The assumption underlying the LMS method is that after Box–Cox power transformation, the data at each age are normally distributed (16). Height-SDS and weight-SDS were calculated based on German percentiles (15).

Waist and hip circumference were measured as described previously (17). Pubertal stage was determined by well-trained physicians according to Marshall and Tanner. Pubertal developmental stage was categorized into two groups based on breast and genital stages (prepubertal: boys with genital stage I, girls with breast stage I, pubertal: boys with genital stage > I; girls with breast stage > II).

Blood pressure was measured using a validated protocol (18): systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressure were measured at the right arm twice after a 10 minute rest in the supine position by using a calibrated sphygmomanometer and averaged. The cuff size was based on the length and circumference of the upper arm and was as large as possible without having the elbow skin crease obstructing the stethoscope. The intra- and inter-operator variability was < 5% for SBP and DBP. Hypertension was defined by blood pressure above the 95th percentile for height, age, and gender (18).

Blood sampling was performed in the fasting status. Serum triglyceride, HDL-cholesterol, uric acid, aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), insulin, and glucose concentrations were measured in all children using commercially available test kits (HDLC Plus, Roche Diagnostics; ALT-, AST- Cobas Integra 400, Roche Diagnostics; Vitros analyzer Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Neckargemuend, Germany; MEIA, Abbott). Insulin concentrations were measured by microparticle enhanced immunometric assay (MEIA, Abbott). Intra- and interassay variations for the concentrations (CV) of these variables were less than 5%. Homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) as an assessment of insulin resistance was calculated as follows (19): resistance (HOMA) = (insulin (mU/l) × glucose (mmol/l))/22.5. Impaired fasting glucose was defined by > 5.5 mmol/l (100 mg/dl) (20).

An oral glucose tolerance test (oGTT) was performed in all SGA children and in a random sample of 147 AGA and 8 LGA children according to guidelines (21). Impaired glucose tolerance was defined by 2 h serum glucose > 140 mg/dl in the oGTT. In children with oGTT, we defined the MetS according to the definition of Weiss (22) (BMI > 97th percentile plus 3 of the following criteria: blood pressure > 95th percentile for height, age and gender (18); triglycerides > 95th percentile (23); HDL < 5th percentile (23); impaired glucose tolerance). We used this definition for the MetS, since intima-media thickness as a predictive marker for atherosclerosis and later cardiovascular events (24, 25) correlated more strongly to the definition of Weiss compared with any other proposed definition of the MetS in children and adolescents (25).

Statistical analysis

Mean values and corresponding confidence intervals (CI) of continuous variables were calculated based on the normal distribution and for binary variables based on the binomial distribution. Comparisons of study characteristics were carried out with ANOVA or χ² tests respectively. Comparisons of mean values of continuous variables by size status at birth (SGA, AGA or LGA) used dummy variables and were carried out using separate multivariable linear regression analyses adjusting for age, gender, pubertal stage, and degree of overweight. Multivariable logistic regression was used for binary outcomes and adjusted for the same confounders as the linear analysis.
All calculations were carried out with the software package SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

### Results

A total of 35 (4%) children of the examined 803 children had a former SGA status, 5% were LGA, and 91% of the children were AGA. The study characteristics are shown in Table 1. All infants had a gestational age between 34 and 42 weeks. Twenty-eight (80%) of the children with former SGA status were proportional at birth (birth height and birth weight > 10th percentile) and seven (20%) of children with the former SGA were disproportional (birth height < 10th percentile and birth weight > 10th percentile or vice versa). No differences in gestational age could be observed between SGA, AGA, and LGA children in the entire study sample. SGA children had on average a lower height (P = 0.037 and P < 0.001 for SDS-height). LGA children had the highest BMI-SDS values (P = 0.013). AGA, SGA, and LGA children did not differ significantly in pubertal stage. There was also no significant difference in pubertal stage, when analyzing only female subjects (P = 0.108).

In separate multivariable linear regression models considering size at birth in three categories (SGA, AGA, LGA) and adjusting for age, sex, SDS-BMI, and pubertal stage, former SGA status was significantly related to blood pressure, triglyceride, insulin, and 2h glucose levels in the oGTT (Table 2). Uric acids and HOMA levels were borderline increased in SGA children (P = 0.054 and P = 0.080). Mean levels of fasting glucose did not differ between SGA, LGA, and AGA children (P = 0.947). ALT levels were significantly higher in former SGA and LGA children compared with AGA children. HDL cholesterol levels and waist circumference did not differ between SGA, AGA, and LGA children (P = 0.879 and 0.299).

### Table 1

**Study characteristics of small for gestational age (SGA), appropriate for gestational age (AGA), large for gestational age (LGA) children.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SGA (n=35)</th>
<th>AGA (n=726)</th>
<th>LGA (n=42)</th>
<th>Total (n=803)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>10 (0.4)</td>
<td>11 (0.1)</td>
<td>10 (0.3)</td>
<td>10.9 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (%)</td>
<td>31 (7.8)</td>
<td>43 (1.8)</td>
<td>69 (7.1)</td>
<td>43 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepubertal stage (%)</td>
<td>54 (8.4)</td>
<td>47 (1.9)</td>
<td>56 (7.7)</td>
<td>48 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>145.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>152.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>152.4 (3.2)</td>
<td>152.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-height</td>
<td>0.28 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.14)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.04)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>57.4 (2.9)</td>
<td>65.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>66.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>64.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-weight</td>
<td>2.05 (0.11)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.03)</td>
<td>2.46 (0.10)</td>
<td>2.08 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>27.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>28.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>27.3 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-BMI</td>
<td>2.39 (0.08)</td>
<td>2.31 (0.02)</td>
<td>2.53 (0.08)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>2474 (77)</td>
<td>3489 (19)</td>
<td>4550 (84)</td>
<td>3500 (21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length (cm)</td>
<td>47 (0.6)</td>
<td>51 (0.1)</td>
<td>53 (0.3)</td>
<td>51 (0.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>39 (0.5)</td>
<td>39 (0.1)</td>
<td>39 (0.3)</td>
<td>39 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

**Mean levels of metabolic parameters among 803 children and adolescents.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SGA (n=35)</th>
<th>AGA (n=726)</th>
<th>LGA (n=42)</th>
<th>Exact adjusted P value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>0.96 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>86 (2.6)</td>
<td>91 (0.7)</td>
<td>94 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>120 (2.4)</td>
<td>115 (0.5)</td>
<td>113 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>70 (2.2)</td>
<td>64 (0.4)</td>
<td>63 (1.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.1)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.02)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h glucose in oGTT (mmol/l)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>7.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/l)</td>
<td>19.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>16.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>14.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA</td>
<td>4.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/l)</td>
<td>24 (1.9)</td>
<td>24 (0.4)</td>
<td>26 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/l)</td>
<td>32 (4.5)</td>
<td>26 (0.6)</td>
<td>36 (6.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (µmol/l)</td>
<td>285 (12)</td>
<td>279 (2)</td>
<td>267 (12)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Derived from multivariable linear regression considering size status at birth in 3 categories (SGA, AGA, LGA) and adjusted for age, sex, SDS-BMI, and pubertal stage; conversion factors: glucose: mg/dl = mmol/l × 18; HDL-cholesterol: mg/dl = mmol/l × 38.7; triglyceride: mg/dl = mmol/l × 88.57; uric acid: mg/dl = µmol/l × 0.017.

<sup>b</sup>n = 190.
In summary, all components considered in MetS definitions except low HDL-cholesterol levels were deteriorated in SGA children compared with AGA children and the proportion of hypertension, hypertriglyceridaemia, glucose intolerance, and MetS was significantly higher in SGA children compared with AGA and LGA children in separate multivariable logistic regression models considering size at birth in three categories (SGA, AGA, LGA; Table 3). The MetS prevalence was more than doubled in SGA subjects compared with AGA subjects. The corresponding adjusted odds ratio was 4.08 (95% CI 1.48 to 11.22) for SGA children compared with AGA children.

Sensitivity analyses focused on SGA and AGA children and considered the association of birth weight as an explanatory variable. Univariate analysis did not show significant associations between birth weight and cardiovascular risk factors (all \( P > 0.05 \)) except for impaired glucose tolerance (\( P = 0.003 \)). However, after adjustment for gestational age the analysis yielded similar results with respect to cardiovascular risk factors compared with the analysis considering the categorical SGA variable. However, triglyceride levels were not associated with birth weight adjusted for gestational age. Birth length adjusted for gestational age was not associated with any of the cardiovascular risk factors.

### Discussion

This is the first study in overweight children analyzing the impact of former SGA status on the prevalence of the MetS and its components. We observed an increase of cardiovascular risk factors in overweight children with former SGA status in terms of higher frequency of hypertension, hypertriglyceridaemia, impaired glucose tolerance, and consequently the prevalence of MetS as compared with overweight children with former AGA status. Furthermore, we observed factors associated with the MetS such as hyperuricemia and increased ALT levels as an indirect hint for NAFLD among children with former SGA status more frequent than among children with former AGA status. These findings suggest that SGA status is a risk factor for the MetS independently of weight status.

The association between SGA status and hypertriglyceridaemia, hypertension, and impaired glucose metabolism is in concordance with previous studies (7, 26–28). However, waist circumference and HDL cholesterol levels as major components of the MetS were not related to SGA status in our study of overweight children. Low HDL cholesterol levels were predominantly observed among overweight adolescents and not in younger children (29, 30), and might explain the lack of association between HDL cholesterol and SGA status in the present study due to an age of below 14 years in the great majority of the considered children. Values of waist circumference depend on age and gender and are only a weak measurement of abdominal fat mass possibly explaining the missing link between SGA status and waist circumference.

The former SGA children were shorter than AGA and LGA children. However, the mean SDS-height was greater than zero in the overweight children with former SGA status suggesting that these children have had an accelerated growth at some point after term. This finding is in accordance with the increased prevalence of obesity among SGA infants with catch-up growth (8). In most SGA children, catch-up was largely completed by 2 years of age (31). By contrast, other studies have reported that gain in BMI during early childhood, but after infancy, is an important factor for both, body fat mass and sequelae (32, 33).

One major consequence of early accelerated growth and rapid postnatal weight gain in SGA children seems to be a deterioration of glucose and insulin metabolism resulting in insulin resistance, which is the postulated underlying mechanism of MetS even in children (1, 34). Barker postulated that the postnatal increase in insulin resistance in SGA children may result from the fetal adaptation to an adverse intrauterine environment during a critical time period, leading to a long-lasting programming of fetal gene expression (5). Several underlying mechanisms have been suggested (35), each of them point to a detrimental fetal environment, a genetic susceptibility or an interaction between these two components. Insulin secreted by the fetal pancreas in response to maternal glucose concentrations is a suggested key growth factor. Monogenic diseases that impair sensing of glucose, lower insulin secretion or increased insulin resistance are associated with impaired fetal growth. Polygenic influences resulting in insulin resistance in the normal population are therefore likely to result in lower birth weight. Abnormal vascular development during fetal life and early childhood, as a result of genetic insulin resistance,
could also explain the increased risk of hypertension and vascular disease.

The extent of postnatal weight gain in SGA children contributes not only to adult size and body proportions but also has been linked to changes in insulin sensitivity (36, 37). By contrast, glucose and insulin levels were stronger related to childhood size than at birth in 10–11-year-old children (38). However in this study, size at birth was not adjusted for gestational age. In our study, birth weight not adjusted to gestational age was also not related to insulin, glucose or insulin resistance index HOMA or any components of the MetS, while former SGA status was related to insulin levels. 2 h glucose levels in oGTT and borderline in insulin resistance index HOMA. These findings suggest that SGA status with rapid postnatal weight gain is likely to be associated with impairment in insulin sensitivity. Nonetheless, the relative contribution of low birth weight, accelerated postnatal growth, and weight gain to the development of insulin resistance is not known.

By contrast to former SGA status, LGA status at birth was not associated to components of the MetS. However, former LGA children had a significantly higher BMI-SDS than former SGA and AGA children in this study. This finding is in line with the theory that SGA status and the associated insulin resistance is the underlying mechanism of components of the MetS and not the degree of overweight.

This study has some potential important limitations. First, we did not have exact information concerning the etiology of SGA status. For example, the effect of SGA status on cardiovascular risk factors may be different in children of mothers with pre-eclampsia, placental insufficiency or mothers who had smoked during pregnancy. Secondly, the time and degree of postnatal rapid weight gain and accelerated growth in children with former SGA status was unknown, possibly also modifying the prevalence of the MetS and its components. Thirdly, data from clinical samples may not be representative for general populations and selection and referral bias may have influenced our estimates of the prevalence of the MetS. However, the distribution of lipids, glucose, and blood pressure values in our study were similar to those reported from larger population based studies and selection and referral bias may have influenced our estimates of the prevalence of the MS. Nonetheless, the relative contribution of low birth weight, accelerated postnatal growth, and weight gain to the development of insulin resistance is not known.

In summary, overweight children with former SGA status had an increased risk for hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, impaired glucose tolerance, and a more than doubled risk for the MetS as compared with overweight children with former AGA status. Therefore, SGA status seems to be a risk factor for the MetS independently of weight status. In conclusion, overweight children with former SGA status should be screened for components of the MetS.
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