Acylation-stimulating protein increases and correlates with increased progesterone levels during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle
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Abstract
Objective: The menstrual cycle represents a continuous state of change in terms of female sex steroid environment. Progesterone is linked to increased fat storage while estrogen exerts anti-lipogenic effects. This study investigated variations in the potent lipogenic factor acylation-stimulating protein (ASP), and examined its association with hormonal and lipid profile alterations across the menstrual cycle.

Methods and design: Nineteen non-obese women with regular menstrual cycles were investigated in a longitudinal study during the follicular, ovulatory, and mid-luteal phases (ML) of the cycle. Fasting ASP, LH, FSH, progesterone, estradiol, insulin, lipid profile, and apoproteins were evaluated during different phases of the cycle.

Results: ASP levels changed significantly throughout the menstrual cycle (K-related Friedman test: P = 0.013). Interestingly, these changes coincide with variations in progesterone levels across the cycle as no significant change in the ASP levels was seen across the follicular phases of the cycle, followed by a significant increase in the ovulatory phase, which continued to elevate toward the ML. The ASP levels correlated positively with the progesterone levels normally elevated in the ML. No significant correlation was seen between ASP and estrogen or any other measured female hormone. Multiple regression analysis including all measured parameters and body mass index showed that progesterone was the only significant predictor of the ASP levels.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that during the menstrual cycle of normal women, the ASP levels coincidentally fluctuate with the progesterone levels, possibly reflecting cooperation between them in fat storage enhancement.
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Introduction
Acylation-stimulating protein (ASP) is an adipokine shown to affect lipid metabolism in humans and mice (1). It is a 8.9 kDa autocrine hormone produced through the alternate complement pathway by the interaction of complement factor C3 with factors B and D (also called adipsin), which results in the formation of C3a-des-Arg, also called ASP. In vitro, ASP increases triglyceride synthesis and storage in adipocytes through the activation of diacylglycerol acyltransferase, the rate-limiting enzyme in triglyceride synthesis, and by stimulating glucose uptake (2, 3). The effect of ASP on fat storage is supported by in vivo data showing that ASP administration increases triglyceride clearance in mice, and that ASP-deficient (C3−/−) mice exhibit delayed postprandial lipid clearance and reduced adipose tissue depots (4–7). In humans, ASP released from adipose tissue increased postprandially in coordination with triglyceride clearance (8, 9). The ASP levels decreased during fasting and after weight loss (10, 11), and increased in obesity (12) and dyslipidemic disorders (13, 14).

Differences in fat distribution and lipid profile exist between males and females of reproductive age. In females, changes in fat distribution coincide with the onset of ovarian production of estrogen and progesterone during puberty (15–19) and with cessation of hormone production during menopause (20–22). Marked changes in female lipid metabolism occur during pregnancy (23, 24) and in reproductive disorders accompanied with hormonal changes (25).

Obesity and weight gain are major clinical concerns for women of reproductive age. It is recognized that female hormone alterations are associated with enhanced subcutaneous fat storage in females.
However, the factors that directly determine weight gain and fat retention in women are not fully understood. Insulin and ASP are the most potent fat storage hormones known. Insulin has been the focus of studies concerning lipid metabolism in women (24). Limited information is available about the association of female hormones with ASP. Previously, it was shown that the ASP levels in obese females were higher than those in obese males (12). A significant correlation was found between the ASP levels and body mass index (BMI) in women (26), a factor that may contribute to increased subcutaneous fat retention and resistance to weight loss in women. It was also postulated that ASP binds with higher affinity to subcutaneous fat cells freshly prepared, when derived from women than when derived from men, suggesting a role for ASP that may be more effective in females than in males (39). These observations require further investigations about associations of ASP with female hormones.

Abundant in vitro and in vivo evidence showed that progesterone is the female hormone mainly associated with increased fat storage and adipocyte differentiation (27–29). Estrogen, on the other hand, exhibits anti-lipolytic effects such as inhibition of lipoprotein lipase activity and reducing fat storage in adipocytes (30–32). The effects of menstrual cycle hormone changes on factors directly linked to lipid metabolism as insulin and adipokines such as leptin and adiponectin have been thoroughly investigated (31–38). Limited evidence is available considering sex hormone effects on the ASP levels or function.

In this study, we investigate the ASP levels and their possible correlation with female hormone changes, lipid profile, and the lipogenic factor insulin during all the phases of the menstrual cycle (follicular, ovulatory (OV), and luteal) of normal women.

This is the first study to investigate in vivo variations in the ASP levels in association with major hormonal alterations in healthy women.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Nineteen women aged between 20 and 24 years enrolled for this longitudinal study. All of them were single and had regular menstrual cycles of an average ± S.E.M. 28.7 ± 1.4 days. They were non-obese, never pregnant and had not take oral contraceptives. All participants were non-smokers and non-alcohol consumers and were not receiving any medication. Women with menstrual abnormalities or abnormal female hormone levels that suggest anovulatory cycles were removed from the study. The subjects for this study come from a considerably homogeneous Omani population, which is characterized by large tribes of extended families with similar lifestyles.

The study was approved by the Sultan Qaboos University ethical committee. Informed consent forms were filled out by all the women participating in the study.

Blood collection

Fasting blood samples were collected by venipuncture at days 1, 7, 12, 14, and 21. These times were chosen to represent different phases of an average ‘28-day’ menstrual cycle. Days 1 and 7 marked the early follicular (EF) and mid-follicular (MF) phases respectively. Day 12 marked the late follicular (LF) phase (i.e. pre-ovulatory phase). Day 14 marked the OV phase, which marks the onset of the luteal phase. The mid-luteal phase (ML) was represented by day 21. Female hormone levels of all the samples were measured to verify the phase at which the samples were taken.

Analysis

Fasting, venous blood samples were collected in plain tubes with no anti-coagulant for serum lipid and hormone measurements and EDTA tubes for ASP measurements. The EDTA samples were immediately put on ice and centrifuged. Serum samples were centrifuged after 20 min. The serum and plasma were separated and stored at − 80 °C until analysis.

Lipid profile measurements

The samples were analyzed for the fasting lipid profile at different phases of the menstrual cycle including triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (T-CHOL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Analysis was performed using automated Roche Integra 800 analyzer, Switzerland-Germany. APOA1 and APOB were measured on the Beckman Coulter IMMAGE 800 Immunochemistry System (Chaska, MN, USA) in the central routine laboratory of the university hospital.

Hormone measurements

Hormone levels including estrogen, progesterone, LH, FSH, and insulin were measured at different phases of the menstrual cycle using an automated clinical chemistry analyzer Beckman Coulter ACCESS 2 Immunoassay System analyzer in the central routine laboratory of the university hospital.

ASP measurement

Fasting ASP levels at the different phases of the menstrual cycle were measured using a sensitive ELISA assay described elsewhere (8). The human plasma EDTA samples and controls were pretreated
with polyethylene glycol PEG 8000 to precipitate C3, thus preventing any artifactual generation of ASP, as described previously (8). The samples were centrifuged; the supernatant was separated and diluted. ASP was assayed immediately after dilution using an MAB as capture antibody and a polyclonal antibody as detecting antibody. The linear range of the standard curve was from 0.146 to 3.08 nM. Intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) for the ASP ELISA was 4% and inter-assay CV was 8%.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed as mean ± s.e.m. of variables at each phase of the menstrual cycle. Within-group differences at different phases were assessed by one-way repeated-measures ANOVA (RM ANOVA), and for non-parametric data Friedman’s K-related samples were used. Once it was determined that differences exist among the means, pairwise comparisons were performed by paired T-test to compare the means of variable levels at different phases. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed for pairwise comparisons of variables with skewed distributions. Correlations between plasma the ASP levels and all measured variables at different phases of the cycle were examined by bivariate analysis using Pearson coefficients. Spearman correlation coefficients were used for the parameters with skewed distributions. Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis was performed to determine the factors that were associated significantly with variations in the ASP levels. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Analysis was computer assisted using SPSS software.

**Results**

The women were non-obese with an average BMI ± s.e.m. of 21.5 ± 0.74 kg/m² and waist to hip ratio of 0.74 ± 0.01.

Fasting ASP, progesterone, estradiol, progesterone/estrogen ratio, and insulin levels are shown in Figs 1 and 2. LH, FSH, insulin, TG, T-CHOL, LDL-C, VLDL-C, HDL-C, APOA1, and APOB levels are shown in Table 1. All parameters were measured at the EF, MF, LF, OV, and ML phases.

**Plasma ASP and insulin level changes during the menstrual cycle**

Figure 2A showed that fasting ASP levels changed significantly during the different phases of the menstrual cycle (K-related Friedman analysis, $P = 0.013$). At the onset of menses on day 1 (EF phase), the ASP levels were (18.7 ± 1.9 nM). No significant change was seen in the MF (17.3 ± 1.8 nM) and LF (14.5 ± 1.5 nM) phases as determined by Wilcoxon pairwise comparisons. The significant increase occurred in the OV phase (18.6 ± 2.3 nM; $P < 0.05$) in coordination with the significant increase in the progesterone levels at that stage followed by a twofold increase during the ML phase (29.6 ± 6.6 nM) compared with the LF phase ($P < 0.02$). The luteal increase in plasma ASP levels coincided with the increase in the progesterone levels and the progesterone/estrogen ratio (P: E) in the luteal (ML) phase Fig. 2B.

By contrast, fasting insulin levels did not show significant change during the cycle (RM ANOVA, $P = NS$) and pairwise comparisons of insulin levels between different phases of the cycle were all non-significant.
Correlation of ASP with hormones and lipids during the ML

Increased ASP levels in the ML phase showed a significant positive correlation with the progesterone levels and with the progesterone/estrogen ratio (Table 2). ASP also positively correlated with BMI (Table 2). A multiple regression model was set in order to determine the factors that predicted the ASP levels in the ML phase. Plasma ASP was set as the dependent variable. Hormone, lipid, and subject characteristics were entered into the model as predictors. These included progesterone, estradiol, LH, FSH, insulin, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, VLDL-C, apoproteins, BMI, and age. The results showed that progesterone significantly associated with the ASP levels ($\beta = 0.516$, $P = 0.028$) and entered this model as the only significant predictor. BMI was excluded as a non-significant predictor of the ASP levels when included in the multiple regression model. Progesterone levels significantly accounted for 22% variation in fasting (as determined by adjusted $R^2$). All other measured hormones, lipid parameters, and age were excluded from the model as non-significant. This correlation was found in the ML phase. No correlation was found between the ASP levels and the corresponding hormone and lipid parameters in other phases of the menstrual cycle.

By contrast, although insulin levels in the ML phase correlated positively with BMI ($r = 0.44$, $P < 0.05$), it showed no significant correlation with the levels of progesterone, estrogen, or progesterone/estrogen ratio throughout the menstrual cycle phases.

Discussion

In this study, we showed expected reproductive hormonal alterations during the different phases of an average '28-day' menstrual cycle. The key finding in this study was that the ASP levels changed significantly across the phases of the menstrual cycle showing a similar pattern of change as the progesterone levels and progesterone/estrogen ratio. The ASP levels were low across the follicular phases and significantly increased in the OV phase, which marks the onset of the luteal phase, followed by a marked (twofold) increase in the ML phase. Importantly, this increase in the ASP levels correlated positively with the normally elevated progesterone levels in the ML, whereas no significant association of ASP was seen with the estrogen levels or other measured reproductive hormones. The correlation of the ASP levels with the progesterone/estrogen ratio was similar to the correlation of ASP with the progesterone levels alone, further indicating that the estrogen levels had no association with the plasma ASP levels. The ASP levels correlated with the BMI of the females in this study, which is consistent with the previous findings in Omani women (26); however, a stronger correlation was found with the progesterone levels. Multiple regression analysis showed that progesterone was the only significant predictor of the ASP levels and excluded BMI as non-significant from the regression model. This may be due to the fact that all females included in this study were non-obese, highlighting the association between the ASP and progesterone levels independent of weight.
Table 1 Hormone and lipid profile levels shown at different phases of the menstrual cycle of healthy women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>LF</th>
<th>OV</th>
<th>ML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone (nmol/l)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.55</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.85</td>
<td>8.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>42.6 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen (pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone/estrogen</td>
<td>27.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>21.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>68.7 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (IU/l)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>20.2 ± 4.8(^{1})</td>
<td>22.9 ± 5.3(^{2})</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (IU/l)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.67</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.79</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.73(^{3})</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.06(^{4})</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.04(^{4})</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-CHOL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.15</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.18</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.18</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.18(^{5})</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.17(^{6})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02(^{6})</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOA1 (g/l)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOB (g/l)</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.03(^{7})</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.03(^{8})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are shown as mean ± S.E.M. LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicular-stimulating hormone; TG, triglycerides; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; VLDL, very low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; T-CHOL, total cholesterol; APOA, apoprotein A; APOB, apoprotein B; GLU, glucose; EF, early follicular; MF, mid-follicular; LF, late follicular; OV, ovulatory and ML, mid-luteal. Significance is indicated by superscript numbers as shown above. Note: Significant differences across the different points of the cycle for progesterone, estrogen, and progesterone/estrogen ratio are shown in Figs 1 and 2. LH: 1OV and 1LF higher than EF, MF, and ML phases (P < 0.005). FSH: 2OV higher than EF, MF (P < 0.02), and ML phases (P < 0.001). TG: 5LF lower than EF (P < 0.05), 5OV lower than EF (P < 0.01) and MF phases (P < 0.05). LDL-C: 4LF and 4ML lower than EF (P < 0.02) and MF phases (P < 0.01). VLDL-C: 2OV lower than EF phase (P < 0.05). APOB: 5LF lower than EF and MF phases (P < 0.05). 5ML lower than EF and MF phases (P < 0.01).

gain. The rest of the female hormones, insulin, and lipid parameters measured in the study were also excluded as non-significant. Although insulin (a major fat storage factor) correlated positively with BMI in the ML, it did not show any significant changes during the cycle and did not correlate with progesterone or estradiol levels. This agrees with several findings in the literature where no significant changes in insulin levels were seen across the cycle in healthy females (37, 38).

Recent studies support our findings of increased ASP levels in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. These studies, although not in plasma, showed that C3 and factor B (precursors of ASP) are produced in the human endometrium in a cycle-specific manner. It was found that luteal phase endometrium synthesizes complement C3 de novo, whereas proliferative endometrium produces little or no C3. Likewise, factor B, which is critical to the activation of the complement alternative pathway, ‘which leads to ASP production’, has been shown to be present only in the luteal phase endometrium and not in the follicular phase. Also, factor B was found to be synthesized in the endometrial cells of patients treated with exogenous progesterone therapy. Therefore, these precursors are found in the presence of high progesterone and estrogen levels (40, 41).

Although progesterone is suggested to exert lipogenic effects in females, controversy still exists as to whether it mediates these effects directly or indirectly. Some studies suggested direct anabolic effects through specific transcription factors, whereas others suggested that it

Table 2 Bivariate correlation between acylation-stimulating protein (ASP) levels in the mid-luteal (ML) phase with anthropometric, hormonal, and lipid profile levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n = 19</th>
<th>ASP</th>
<th>Sig (two-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>r = 0.47*</td>
<td>P = 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>r = -0.005</td>
<td>P = 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone</td>
<td>r = 0.56*</td>
<td>P = 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol</td>
<td>r = 0.261</td>
<td>P = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P/E ratio</td>
<td>r = 0.60*</td>
<td>P = 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH</td>
<td>r = -0.023</td>
<td>P = 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH</td>
<td>r = -0.358</td>
<td>P = 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>r = 0.038</td>
<td>P = 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>r = -0.98</td>
<td>P = 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-CHOL</td>
<td>r = 0.27</td>
<td>P = 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-C</td>
<td>r = -0.067</td>
<td>P = 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>r = 0.32</td>
<td>P = 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>r = 0.10</td>
<td>P = 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOB</td>
<td>r = 0.421</td>
<td>P = 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOA1</td>
<td>r = -0.03</td>
<td>P = 0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P. Spearman correlation significant, two-tailed. Sig, significance level.

![Figure 3](https://via-free-access.s3.amazonaws.com/website/asset/62881.png)
enhanced insulin action (27–30, 42). This study is of particular interest as it is the first study in vivo to establish a link between progesterone, known for its lipogenic effects in females, and ASP, which is a potent fat storage factor. Showing progesterone as the only significant predictor of the ASP levels in non-obese women may suggest that this hormone may have a significant role in enhancing ASP production in females. This, however, does not exclude the possibility that high levels of ASP may also reflect ASP resistance as in hyperlipidemia during pregnancy or reproductive disorders (26, 43).

No evident correlation was seen between the ASP levels and the lipid profile of these healthy women, most probably because they are in the fasting state where lipid levels are normally low. Importantly, however, we found that lipid levels generally decrease during the second half of the cycle in agreement with the previous studies that suggest anti-atherogenic profiles in the females of reproductive age (44–46). Table 1 shows that during the ovulatory phase, which marks the beginning of the luteal phase, TGs were at their lowest levels showing 20% decrease ($P<0.01$) compared with the early and mid-follicular phases. Moreover, VLDL-C levels, which represent the major circulating TG-rich lipoprotein during fasting, showed a 18.4% decrease at the ovulatory phase ($P<0.05$) compared with the early and mid-follicular phases. This decrease in plasma TG parameters suggests enhanced TG clearance. Hence increased fat storage notably coinciding with the initial increase in the ASP levels. We therefore speculate that TG clearance may be most evident at optimal ASP levels during the ovulatory phase, and continues toward the ML, although, to a lesser extent as ASP exceeds its optimal functional levels. Interestingly, these effects were seen in coordination with the significant increase in the progesterone levels, which was the main predictor of the ASP levels in this study. This close coordination between these metabolic parameters and TG clearance may suggest a role for progesterone in enhancing ASP production and therefore fat storage in women. However, this association does not prove causality and more in vitro and animal studies are warranted to confirm this hypothesis.

This is the first study showing variations in the ASP levels during the menstrual cycle and its correlation with increased progesterone levels, which may contribute to further understanding of the mechanism of ASP regulation regarding fat storage and distribution in women.

These findings also lend importance to the fact that menstrual cycle phases should be taken into consideration when collecting blood samples to perform studies on ASP and lipid levels in women of reproductive age.
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