CLINICAL STUDY

Diet or exercise: what is more effective in preventing or reducing metabolic alterations?

Simona Bo, Giovannino Ciccone¹, Sabrina Guidi, Roberto Gambino, Marilena Durazzo, Luigi Gentile², Maurizio Cassader, Paolo Cavallo-Perin and Gianfranco Pagano

Department of Internal Medicine, University of Turin, Corso Dogliotti 14, 10126 Turin, Italy, ¹Unit of Epidemiology, S. Giovanni Battista Hospital, Turin, Italy and ²Diabetic Clinic, Hospital of Asti, Asti, Italy

(Correspondence should be addressed to S Bo; Email: sbo@molinetto.piemonte.it)

Abstract

Objective/design: The influence of diet and exercise on metabolic syndrome is controversial since fit individuals might also eat healthier foods. We evaluated the association of diet/exercise variation with reductions in metabolic variables and C-reactive protein (CRP) values in the experimental and control arms of a 1-year randomized lifestyle intervention trial performed in patients with multiple metabolic abnormalities.

Methods: A prospective study of 169 cases and 166 controls after a lifestyle intervention was performed. **Results:** In the intervention group, 15/169 (8.9%), 63/169 (37.3%), and 70/169 (41.4%) reached only dietary, only exercise, and dietary/exercise targets respectively. Reductions in weight, body mass index (BMI), and waist were significant only in patients who increased exercise. Most controls did not reach any target (131/166, 78.9%), while only few patients reached only dietary (13/166, 7.8%), only exercise (5/166, 3.0%), and dietary/exercise targets (17/166, 10.2%). Weight, BMI, and waist reduction was more pronounced in those reaching the exercise target. In the whole cohort, increased exercise was inversely associated with weight, BMI, waist, and CRP, increased saturated fat was directly associated with weight, BMI, waist, and diastolic pressure variations, while increased fiber intake was inversely associated with glucose values in a multiple regression model. After adjusting for waist changes, the associations between exercise and CRP ($\beta = -0.023$; 95% CI -0.028 -0.017 ; $P < 0.001$) and the associations between fiber and glucose ($\beta = -0.022$; -0.031 -0.013 ; $P < 0.001$) remained significant.

Conclusions: Independent of weight reduction, exercise level and fiber intake are inversely associated with CRP and fasting glucose values respectively. Change in lifestyle may lower inflammation and prevent metabolic deterioration.

European Journal of Endocrinology 159 685–691

Introduction

Intensive programs of lifestyle interventions have significantly reduced or delayed the progression to diabetes in subjects at high metabolic risk (1–3). The metabolic syndrome, a constellation of risk factors associated with a high diabetes and cardiovascular risk (4, 5), is increasing to an epidemic prevalence. There is a great interest in identifying the best lifestyle approach for these patients to reduce the clinical and economical impact of metabolic disorders.

In randomized trials, lifestyle interventions reduced the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome by 40–80% in the intervention groups (6–12). However, the respective influence of nutrient intake and exercise on the metabolic syndrome prevalence is difficult to disentangle since more fit individuals might also eat a healthier diet.

We have recently evaluated the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a cohort of adults representative

of the general population (13) and have demonstrated with a randomized trial that a lifestyle intervention based on general recommendations effectively reduced metabolic/inflammatory abnormalities within a subgroup of patients taken from this cohort and affected by multiple metabolic/inflammatory abnormalities (11). In particular, the intervention group significantly reduced the intake of total and saturated fat, and increased the intake of polyunsaturated fat, fiber, and the level of physical activity after the intervention, while no significant variation was reported in the controls (11). Furthermore, weight, waist circumference, body mass index (BMI), diastolic blood pressure, fasting glucose, triglyceride, and C-reactive protein (CRP) values significantly decreased in cases, while most variables worsened in the controls (11). The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome declined from 70.4 to 34.9% after the intervention.

The aim of the present paper was to evaluate the relative contribution of diet and exercise on metabolic

and inflammatory alterations within the experimental and control arms of the randomized lifestyle intervention trial (11).

Subjects and methods

The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome was evaluated in a representative sample of adults from Asti (Northwestern Italy) between 2001 and 2003 (13). Briefly, all subjects aged 45–64 ($n=1877$) from six family physicians, representative of the local Health Districts were contacted. For the 1658 subjects who agreed to participate by written informed consent (88.3%), we carried out a metabolic screening, including an interview on personal data, weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure measurement, as well as blood determination of fasting glucose, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglyceride, insulin, and CRP values.

The participants and the non-participants were both similar to the total resident population of the corresponding age-group in the same area with respect to the percentage of males, level of education, prevalence of known diabetes, and percentage living in a rural area (13).

Lifestyle intervention

Of the total cohort, 383 subjects (23.1%) had metabolic syndrome, according to the National Cholesterol Education Program – Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATPIII) (14). After excluding patients with diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, chronic liver or kidney disease, and advanced cancer, 335 patients from this cohort showed either the metabolic syndrome or two components of the syndrome plus CRP serum values ≥ 3 mg/l, the cut-off point that differentiates high-risk groups for future cardiovascular events. They were randomized to a lifestyle intervention program (11).

From December 2004 to December 2005, these 335 patients were randomized to receive either a lifestyle intervention program according to recommendations carried out by trained professionals (intervention group, $n=169$) or standard counseling given by the family physician (control group, $n=166$) (11). This randomized, prospective open trial was approved by the local ethical committee, all patients gave their written informed consent, and procedures conformed to the Helsinki Declaration principles.

All subjects received verbal information about diet and exercise from their family physicians, emphasizing the importance of a healthy lifestyle according to their usual clinical practice. No further specific individualized programs were offered to the controls and they were re-evaluated only at the end of the follow-up period (11). The intervention group received both the previously stated information and detailed verbal and

written individualized dietary and exercise recommendations from trained professionals during dedicated sessions. Five sessions of at least 60 min were held, covering diet, exercise and behavior modifications; the first of these was on a one-to-one basis, followed by group sessions. An individually prescribed diet was given, in line with existing guidelines (11). Recommended daily caloric distribution was as follows: 50–60% carbohydrates, 15–20% proteins, $<30\%$ fat, $<10\%$ saturated fat, up to 10% polyunsaturated fat, and 20–30 g fiber. Similarly, advice on exercise was individualized, mainly by suggesting moderate-intensity activity, such as brisk walking for at least 150 min/week (15). The group sessions were based on behavioral counseling to implement lifestyle recommendations.

Before and after the study, patients completed a validated semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (16) and the Minnesota Leisure Time Physical Activity questionnaire (17).

A dietician blindly checked all questionnaires for completeness, internal coherence, and plausibility.

Both in December 2004 and 1 year later, weight, waist circumference (measured by a plastic tape meter at the level of the umbilicus), blood pressure and blood glucose, HDL cholesterol, triglyceride, insulin, and CRP values were measured in all patients of both groups, after an overnight fast.

Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured twice with a standard mercury sphygmomanometer in a sitting position, after at least 10 min of rest. The reported values are the mean of the two determinations.

Laboratory methods have been previously described (13, 18).

Definition

The metabolic syndrome was defined by the presence of at least three of the following five criteria: fasting serum glucose ≥ 6.1 mmol/l; arterial blood pressure $\geq 130/85$ mmHg; plasma triglycerides ≥ 1.69 mmol/l; HDL cholesterol <1.29 mmol/l (females), <1.04 mmol/l (males); waist >88 cm (females), >102 cm (males) (14).

Insulin resistance was calculated from the Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance model (HOMA-IR) according to the published algorithm (19).

The physical activity level was calculated as the product of duration and frequency of each activity (in hours/week), weighted by an estimate of the metabolic equivalent of the activity, and summed for the activities performed.

Statistical analyses

Since the frequency distributions of CRP, HOMA-IR score, and triglyceride values were positively skewed, their values were log-transformed, thus approximating

a normal distribution. In all analyses, the log-transformed values of these variables were then used.

A multiple regression model was fitted, using changes in metabolic endpoints as dependent variables, and changes in values in exercise and dietary components as independent variables. To reduce the risk of type I statistical error, due to multiplicity of comparisons, only associations with $P < 0.01$ were considered as statistically significant.

A logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the association between the prevalence of metabolic syndrome at the end of the follow-up and changes in lifestyle components, after adjustments for age, sex, and actual BMI.

Results

The characteristics of patients who participated in the lifestyle trial are reported in Table 1, as previously reported (11).

Polyunsaturated fat up to 10%, total fat $< 30\%$, saturated fat $< 10\%$, fiber ≥ 20 g/day, and exercise ≥ 20 METS hour/week (i.e., about the mean value in the whole cohort) were identified as lifestyle targets. In the intervention group, at least one target was reached by 148/169 (87.6%) of the patients; 63/169 (37.3%) reached only dietary targets (at least one), 15/169 (8.9%) reached only exercise target, and 70/169 (41.4%) reached both dietary and exercise targets. Weight, BMI, and waist circumference reductions (absolute difference of the variable: end-of-study minus baseline values) were statistically significant both in patients who reached only the exercise objective and in those reaching both diet and exercise targets. Corresponding variations in subjects achieving only dietary targets were negligible (Table 2).

In the control group, most patients did not reach any target (131/166, 78.9%), while only few individuals reached only dietary (13/166, 7.8%), only exercise (5/166, 3.0%), and dietary/exercise targets (17/166, 10.2%). Weight, BMI, and waist reduction was more pronounced in those reaching the exercise target (Table 2).

In both groups, positive changes in exercise levels (expressed in METS) were inversely associated ($P < 0.01$) with weight, BMI, waist circumference, and CRP variations, increased saturated fat intake was significantly associated with increase in BMI, waist circumference, and diastolic pressure, while increased fiber intake was inversely associated with glucose values at multiple regression analyses. Therefore, the analyses were performed in the whole cohort (intervention and control groups, $n = 335$; Table 3). After adjusting for waist changes, the associations between METS and CRP ($\beta = -0.023$; 95%CI $-0.028 - 0.017$; $P < 0.001$), and fiber and glucose ($\beta = -0.022$; $-0.031 - 0.013$; $P < 0.001$) remained statistically significant.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients participating in the lifestyle intervention trial.

Variables	Patients enrolled in the trial		P
	Intervention group	Control group	
Number	169	166	
Age (year)	55.7 $\pm$ 5.7	55.7 $\pm$ 5.6	0.94*
Males (%)	41.4	42.2	0.89**
Primary school (%)	78.7	79.5	
Secondary school (%)	14.2	17.5	
University (%)	7.1	3.0	0.19**
Non smokers (%)	78.1	78.3	0.96**
Metabolic equivalent of activity (hour/week)	18.9 $\pm$ 13.3	18.1 $\pm$ 16.0	0.59*
Total calories (kcal/day)	1978.6 $\pm$ 692.5	1993.1 $\pm$ 633.8	0.84*
Total fat (% energy)	35.3 $\pm$ 5.2	35.0 $\pm$ 5.8	0.67*
Saturated fat (% energy)	12.3 $\pm$ 2.6	12.0 $\pm$ 2.6	0.35*
Polyunsaturated fat (% energy)	4.3 $\pm$ 1.3	4.1 $\pm$ 1.2	0.11*
Carbohydrate (% energy)	48.2 $\pm$ 7.1	48.7 $\pm$ 7.0	0.51*
Protein (% energy)	16.5 $\pm$ 2.3	16.3 $\pm$ 2.4	0.39*
Fiber (g/day)	19.2 $\pm$ 6.4	19.4 $\pm$ 7.8	0.82*
Weight (kg)	81.7 $\pm$ 14.9	81.3 $\pm$ 13.5	0.76*
Waist circumference (cm)	99.6 $\pm$ 11.6	99.8 $\pm$ 10.6	0.90*
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.7 $\pm$ 4.1	29.8 $\pm$ 4.6	0.87*
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	142.6 $\pm$ 14.1	141.5 $\pm$ 15.2	0.46*
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	88.2 $\pm$ 8.8	87.8 $\pm$ 9.5	0.71*
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	5.8 $\pm$ 0.8	5.8 $\pm$ 0.7	0.86*
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.8 $\pm$ 1.1	6.0 $\pm$ 1.1	0.10*
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.4 $\pm$ 0.3	1.4 $\pm$ 0.3	0.47*
Triglycerides (mmol/l) ^a	1.9 (0.9)	1.9 (0.9)	0.54*
Fasting insulin (pmol/l) ^a	20.4 (24.0)	21.3 (31.2)	0.92*
HOMA-IR (mmol/l $\times$ μ U/ml) ^a	0.81 (1.11)	0.84 (1.33)	0.95*
CRP (mg/l) ^a	3.5 (4.6)	3.1 (3.6)	0.43*

Means $\pm$ s.d. or percentage. Data previously published (see also Ref. (11)). *P-values were determined by Student's *t*-test. **P-values were determined by χ^2 test.

^aMedian (inter-quartile range) for non-normally distributed values.

Neither changes in exercise and nutrient intake showed statistically significant relationships with the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (Table 3), nor other (not reported) nutrient changes with the metabolic variables. Finally, no significant interaction between diet and exercise was found ($P > 0.20$).

Discussion

In the intervention and control groups of a lifestyle intervention trial, statistically significant reductions in weight and waist circumference occurred in patients

Table 2 Clinical and laboratory characteristic changes according to dietary and exercise targets after the intervention.

	No dietary/exercise targets	Only dietary target	Only exercise target	Diet and exercise targets
Intervention group				
Number	21	63	15	70
Δ Weight ^a	1.92 (0.05 3.79)	−0.05 (−1.33 1.24)	−2.00 (−3.26 −0.74)	−1.91 (−3.11 −0.72)
Δ BMI ^a	0.70 (0.03 1.37)	−0.02 (−0.46 0.42)	−0.77 (−1.25 −0.29)	−0.73 (−1.16 −0.29)
Δ Waist circumference ^a	0.69 (−0.65 2.03)	−0.32 (−1.61 0.98)	−3.33 (−4.33 −2.34)	−5.36 (−6.48 −4.23)
Δ Systolic pressure ^a	0.05 (−6.81 6.90)	−3.13 (−7.47 1.20)	−1.13 (−12.0 9.72)	−1.76 (−6.71 3.19)
Δ Diastolic pressure ^a	1.12 (−1.54 3.77)	−3.30 (−5.91 −0.69)	−1.17 (−3.87 1.54)	−3.31 (−5.58 −1.04)
Δ Fasting glucose ^a	−0.03 (−0.25 0.19)	−0.22 (−0.41 −0.02)	−0.16 (−0.45 0.13)	−0.39 (−0.52 −0.26)
Δ HDL cholesterol ^a	0.03 (−0.06 0.11)	0.01 (−0.02 0.04)	0.04 (0.01 0.06)	0.01 (−0.02 0.05)
Δ Log-triglycerides ^a	−0.14 (−0.31 0.03)	−0.15 (−0.24 −0.06)	−0.10 (−0.20 0.005)	−0.14 (−0.23 −0.05)
Δ Log-HOMA-IR ^a	0.38 (0.005 0.75)	−0.05 (−0.30 0.20)	−0.27 (−0.82 0.29)	−0.24 (−0.48 0.00)
Δ Log-CRP ^a	0.02 (−0.27 0.31)	−0.20 (−0.38 −0.02)	−0.64 (−1.07 −0.22)	−0.47 (−0.66 −0.27)
Control group				
Number	131	13	5	17
Δ Weight ^a	2.76 (2.00 3.53)	−1.28 (−3.72 1.16)	−2.80 (−5.46 −0.14)	−3.63 (−7.44 0.18)
Δ BMI ^a	1.03 (0.74 1.32)	−0.54 (−1.55 0.46)	−1.02 (−1.89 −0.14)	−1.25 (−2.59 0.09)
Δ Waist circumference ^a	3.55 (2.70 4.40)	−2.77 (−7.09 1.55)	−4.20 (−12.4 4.05)	−4.82 (−10.2 0.52)
Δ Systolic pressure ^a	4.80 (1.95 7.65)	−0.88 (−13.2 11.4)	19.0 (−2.7 40.7)	4.94 (−3.10 13.0)
Δ Diastolic pressure ^a	0.004 (−1.60 1.61)	−6.46 (−14.0 1.11)	−2.00 (−19.2 15.2)	2.79 (−2.55 8.14)
Δ Fasting glucose ^a	0.17 (0.07 0.27)	−0.48 (−0.93 −0.02)	0.21 (−0.51 0.93)	−0.30 (−0.55 −0.05)
Δ HDL cholesterol ^a	−0.07 (−0.10 −0.04)	−0.10 (−0.17 0.02)	−0.13 (−0.30 0.04)	−0.002 (−0.12 0.11)
Δ Log-triglycerides ^a	0.007 (−0.05 0.06)	−0.30 (−0.42 −0.18)	−0.11 (−0.53 0.31)	−0.23 (−0.43 −0.04)
Δ Log-HOMA-IR ^a	1.00 (0.82 1.16)	1.00 (−0.51 1.49)	1.33 (−0.61 2.06)	0.95 (−0.55 1.35)
Δ Log-CRP ^a	0.32 (0.22 0.43)	0.40 (−0.04 0.83)	−0.61 (−1.57 0.36)	−0.11 (−0.49 0.26)

^aΔ, Absolute difference of the variable (end-of-study minus baseline values) with 95% confidence intervals.

who increased their exercise level. In these subjects, inverse associations between exercise and CRP values and between fiber intake and fasting glucose concentrations were also found.

The impact of physical inactivity was suggested by the metabolic deterioration in the so-called control groups of some intervention studies (11, 12, 20). Accordingly, in the STRRIDE study, which investigated the effects of different amounts of exercise on metabolic risk factors, the inactive group gained ~1% body weight after 6 months, whereas all the exercise groups lost weight in a dose-responsive manner in the absence of reduced caloric intake (20). Thus, a very modest amount of exercise may prevent weight gain, even with no decrease in calorie intake (20). Exercise determined in obese men a more important reduction in fat mass than diet (21), and, even without weight loss, proved useful for reducing abdominal fat and preventing further increase in obesity (21).

Data on the associations between exercise and metabolic abnormalities are conflicting. In *post hoc* analyses of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study, individuals who increased their leisure time physical activity were about 70% less likely to develop diabetes (22) and metabolic syndrome (23). When adjusted for changes in diet, the risk reduction was attenuated (22, 23). Exercise without weight loss was associated with an improvement in glucose uptake, but the association did not remain significant after controlling for the associated visceral fat reduction (21, 24). An appreciable improvement in insulin sensitivity and hyperglycemia was determined only by a substantial increase in physical

activity but not by exercise levels according to current recommendations (25), in the latter case total and visceral fat being more important contributors than exercise (26–28). We did not find a significant relationship between exercise levels and the metabolic syndrome, in accordance with studies performed in patients with some metabolic abnormalities at baseline (21, 24–28), while strong relationships were found in healthier cohorts (29, 30). It could be hypothesized that in healthy subjects environmental factors play a more important role than in dysmetabolic patients. In the latter, indeed, the reduction in abdominal fat might be the main determinant for insulin sensitivity improvement (21, 24–28).

One of the hypothesized mechanisms to explain the independent beneficial role of physical activity may be the anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. The association between low-grade systemic inflammation and the metabolic syndrome has been supported by many studies (13, 18, 31). Inflammatory cytokines might act by impairing insulin-mediated glucose uptake, inhibiting insulin signaling, and increasing the release of free fatty acids from adipose tissue (31). Regular training suppressed the production of CRP and pro-inflammatory cytokines (31–33). Accordingly, we found significant inverse relationships between exercise levels and CRP values. Weight loss reduces CRP levels (34); thus, the exercise-induced changes in weight might explain the inverse association between physical activity and CRP concentrations. In our cohort, however, relationships remained significant even after adjusting for BMI or waist circumference variations. Thus, it

Table 3 Associations among variations in exercise/nutrient intake and metabolic/inflammatory endpoints in a multiple regression model in the whole cohort.

Dependent variables ^a	Δ METS		Δ Total fat		Δ Saturated fat		Δ Fiber	
	β 95%CI	P	β 95%CI	P	β 95%CI	P	β 95%CI	P
Δ Weight (kg) ^b	−0.12 −0.16 −0.08	<0.001	0.09 0.01 0.17	0.02	0.30 0.16 0.44	<0.001	−0.04 −0.12 0.04	0.38
Δ BMI (kg/m ²) ^b	−0.04 −0.06 −0.02	<0.001	0.04 0.007 0.07	0.01	0.12 0.07 0.17	<0.001	−0.02 −0.05 0.01	0.24
Δ Waist (cm) ^b	−0.14 −0.19 −0.09	<0.001	0.14 0.04 0.24	0.003	0.55 0.38 0.72	<0.001	−0.06 −0.16 0.04	0.22
Δ Systolic pressure (mmHg) ^c	0.07	0.40	0.18	0.20	0.07	0.79	−0.05	0.72
Δ Diastolic pressure (mmHg) ^c	−0.08 0.22 0.03	0.49	−0.03 0.45 0.07	0.39	−0.44 0.57 0.47	<0.001	−0.32 0.22 −0.10	0.18
Δ Fasting glucose (mmol/l) ^c	−0.05 0.11 −0.004	0.11	−0.08 0.21 0.002	0.67	0.20 0.74 0.02	0.03	−0.25 0.05 −0.02	<0.001
Δ Log-triglycerides (mmol/l) ^c	−0.009 0.001 −0.0003	0.84	−0.008 0.01 −0.001	0.70	0.002 0.04 0.003	0.54	−0.03 −0.01 −0.004	0.11
Δ HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) ^c	−0.003 0.002 0.002	0.01	−0.006 0.004 −0.0003	0.79	−0.007 0.01 −0.002	0.46	−0.009 0.001 0.001	0.45
Δ Log-HOMA-IR (μU/ml × mmol/l) ^c	0.001 0.003 −0.0003	0.94	−0.002 0.002 0.017	0.05	−0.006 0.003 0.03	0.04	−0.001 0.003 −0.008	0.38
Δ Log-CRP (mg/l) ^c	−0.009 0.009 −0.024 −0.03 −0.02	<0.001	0.0 0.34 0.02 0.005 0.03	0.01	0.003 0.06 0.02 −0.001 0.04	0.10	−0.02 0.009 −0.01 −0.02 −0.002	0.03
	OR^d 95%CI	P	OR 95%CI	P	OR 95%CI	P	OR 95%CI	P
Metabolic syndrome ^e	0.98 0.95 1.02	0.29	1.03 0.99 1.06	0.20	1.04 0.98 1.10	0.20	0.98 0.95 1.02	0.29

^aEnd of study – baseline values.^bMultiple regression model after adjusting for age and sex.^cMultiple regression model after adjusting for age, sex, and actual BMI.^dLogistic regression analysis evaluating the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome at the end of the follow-up, after adjustments for age, sex and actual BMI.^eThe metabolic syndrome was defined by the presence of ≥3 of the following five criteria: fasting glucose ≥6.1 mmol/l; blood pressure ≥130/85 mmHg; triglycerides ≥1.69 mmol/l; HDL cholesterol <1.29 mmol/l (females) and <1.04 mmol/l (males); waist circumference >88 cm (females) and >102 cm (males) (14).

might be hypothesized that exercise may have a direct effect on CRP, independent of any change in weight.

In our patients, total and saturated fat intake were associated with changes in weight, BMI, waist circumference, and diastolic blood pressure, but not (or slightly) with metabolic variables. This differs from other studies that found a correlation with insulin resistance (35, 36), but is in line with the results of many prospective or intervention trials (25, 27, 28, 37). Furthermore, beneficial effects were found for diets low in fats but also high in fiber, whole grain, and micronutrients (38, 39), according to the reported protective effect for increased dietary fiber, particularly whole grains, on reducing diabetes and cardiovascular risk factors (40–42). Fiber intake has been shown to improve insulin sensitivity by a delayed rate of carbohydrate absorption, weight gain prevention, and decrease in inflammation and oxidative stress. Accordingly, fiber intake in our patients was inversely correlated with fasting glucose values, independent of weight change.

Limitations

The intervention led to multiple changes in lifestyle patterns, and it is therefore difficult to attribute variations in a biomarker to a single component of the intervention. Participants were not randomized to receive different components of the intervention, and this could result in residual confounding. A randomized controlled trial with a two-per-two factorial design (diet-per-exercise) would be necessary. Nevertheless, though such trials are not available and few studies on this topic have been conducted so far, our data could contribute to the existing knowledge.

We acknowledge that the small number of participants in each group have underpowered the study, masking true differences within each group. The relationships observed were indeed robust and the significance limit was set at $P < 0.01$ to avoid inflated type 1 error caused by multiple tests.

Lifestyle variables are difficult to measure since they are subject to recall and misclassification bias. However,

data were consistent in the two groups, and there is also biological plausibility in our results. Nevertheless, our findings should be considered hypothesis generating only and need to be confirmed in larger cohorts.

The metabolic syndrome represents a surrogate endpoint, whose clinical value has been extensively criticized (43). However, metabolic abnormalities coexist in an individual more often than might be expected by chance, and combinations of sub-clinical abnormalities confer a significant surplus of cardiovascular risk not predicted by the classical risk engines (44). The NCEP-ATPIII definition for metabolic syndrome was used, and, while newer definitions have been proposed, it resulted in a better prediction for diabetes risk (45).

Finally, while the cut-off for exercise level (≥ 20 METS hour/week) is arbitrary, it is very similar to that used in the large Nurses' Health Study cohort to define the reference group of physically active individuals (≥ 21.8 METS hour/week) (26).

Conclusion

Exercise levels are inversely associated with CRP concentrations, and fiber intake is negatively related to fasting glucose values independent of weight change. If confirmed in larger cohorts, change in lifestyle may be an effective non-pharmacological strategy for lowering concentrations of inflammatory markers and for preventing metabolic deterioration.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that would prejudice their impartiality.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from Regione Piemonte 2005.

References

- Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, Hu ZX, Lin J, Xiao JZ, Cao HB, Liu PA, Jiang XG, Jiang YY, Wang JP, Zheng H, Zhang H, Bennet PH & Howard BV. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care* 1997 **20** 537–544.
- Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, Salminen V, Uusitupa M & Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. Prevention of type 2 diabetes by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2001 **344** 1343–1350.
- The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002 **346** 393–403.
- Isooma B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissén M, Taskinen MR & Groop L. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2001 **24** 683–689.
- Lorenzo C, Okoloise M, Williams K, Stern MP & Haffner SM. The metabolic syndrome as predictor of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2003 **26** 3153–3159.
- Orchard TJ, Temprosa M, Goldberg R, Haffner S, Ratner R, Marcovina S, Fowler S & Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The effect of metformin and intensive lifestyle intervention on the metabolic syndrome: the Diabetes Prevention Program randomized trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2005 **142** 611–619.
- Kukkonen-Harjula KT, Borg PT, Nenonen AM & Fogelholm MG. Effects of a weight maintenance program with or without exercise on the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial in obese men. *Preventive Medicine* 2005 **41** 784–790.
- Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, D'Armiento M, D'Andrea F & Giugliano D. Effect of a mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2004 **292** 1440–1446.
- Azadbakht L, Mirmiran P, Esmaillzadeh A, Azizi T & Azizi F. Beneficial effects of a Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension eating plan on features of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2005 **28** 2823–2831.
- Poppitt SD, Keogh GF, Prentice AM, Williams DEM, Sonnemans HMW, Valk EE, Robinson E & Wareham NJ. Long-term effects of *ad libitum* low-fat, high-carbohydrate diets on body weight and serum lipids in overweight subjects with metabolic syndrome. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2002 **75** 11–20.
- Bo S, Ciccone G, Baldi C, Benini L, Dusio F, Forestiere G, Lucia C, Nuti C, Durazzo M, Cassader M, Gentile L & Pagano G. Effectiveness of a lifestyle intervention on metabolic syndrome. A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2007 **22** 1695–1703.
- The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Impact of intensive lifestyle and metformin therapy on cardiovascular disease risk factors in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 2005 **28** 888–894.
- Bo S, Gentile L, Ciccone G, Baldi C, Benini L, Dusio F, Lucia C, Forestiere G, Nuti C, Cassader M & Pagano GF. The metabolic syndrome and high C-reactive protein: prevalence and difference by sex in a Southern-European population-based cohort. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews* 2005 **21** 515–524.
- National Institute of Health. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP). Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2001 **285** 2486–2497.
- The Practical Guide. Identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. October 2000 NIH Publication number 00-4084.
- Bo S, Menato G, Lezo A, Signorile A, Berdelli C, De Michieli F, Massobrio M & Pagano G. Dietary fat and gestational hyperglycemia. *Diabetologia* 2001 **44** 972–978.
- Taylor HL, Jacobs DR Jr, Schucker B, Knudsen J, Leon AS & Debacker G. Questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. *Journal of Chronic Diseases* 1978 **31** 741–755.
- Bo S, Gambino R, Uberti B, Mangiameli MP, Colosso G, Repetti E, Gentile L, Cassader M & Pagano GF. Does C-reactive protein identify a subclinical metabolic disease in healthy subjects? *European Journal of Clinical Investigation* 2005 **35** 265–270.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF & Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and β -cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 1985 **28** 412–419.
- Slentz CA, Duscha BD, Johnson JL, Ketchum K, Aiken LB, Samsa GP, Houmard JA, Bales CW & Kraus WE. Effects of the amount of exercise on body weight, body composition, and measures of central obesity. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2004 **164** 31–39.
- Ross R, Dagnone D, Jones P, Smith H, Paddags A, Hudson R & Janssen I. Reduction in obesity and related comorbid conditions after diet-induced weight loss or exercise-induced weight loss in men. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2000 **133** 92–103.

- 22 Laaksonen DE, Lindstrom J, Lakka TA, Eriksson JG, Niskanen L, Wikström K, Aunola S, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Valle TT, Ilanne-Parikka P, Louheranta A, Hämäläinen H, Rastas M, Salminen V, Cepaitis Z, Hakumäki M, Kaikkonen H, Härkönen P, Sundvall J, Tuomilehto J, Uusitupa M & Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Physical activity in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *Diabetes* 2005 **54** 158–165.
- 23 Laaksonen DE, Lakka HM, Salonen JT, Niskanen LK, Rauramaa R & Lakka TA. Low levels of leisure-time physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness predict development of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2002 **25** 1612–1618.
- 24 Segal KR, Edano A, Abalos A, Albu J, Blando L, Tomas MB & Pi-Sunyer FX. Effect of exercise training on insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism in lean, obese, and diabetic men. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 1991 **71** 2402–2411.
- 25 McAuley KA, Williams SM, Mann JI, Goulding A, Chisholm A, Wilson N, Story G, McLay RT, Harper MJ & Jones IE. Intensive lifestyle change are necessary to improve insulin sensitivity. *Diabetes Care* 2002 **25** 445–452.
- 26 Rana JS, Li TY, Manson JAE & Hu FB. Adiposity compared with physical inactivity and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* 2007 **30** 53–58.
- 27 Hamman RF, Wing RR, Edelstein SL, Lachin JM, Bray GA, Delahanty L, Hoskin M, Kriska AM, Mayer-Davis EJ, Pi-Sunyer X, Regensteiner J, Venditti B & Wyllie-Rosett J. Effect of weight loss with lifestyle intervention on risk of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2006 **29** 2102–2107.
- 28 Stewart KJ, Bacher AC, Turner K, Lim JG, Hees PS, Shapiro EP, Tayback M & Ouyang P. Exercise and risk factors associated with metabolic syndrome in older adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2005 **28** 9–18.
- 29 Carnethon MR, Gidding SS, Nehgme R, Sidney S, Jacobs DR & Liu K. Cardiorespiratory fitness in young adulthood and the development of cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2003 **290** 3092–3100.
- 30 LaMonte MJ, Barlow CE, Jurca R, Kampert JB, Church TS & Blair SN. Cardiorespiratory fitness is inversely associated with the incidence of the metabolic syndrome. *Circulation* 2005 **112** 505–512.
- 31 Petersen AMW & Pedersen BK. The anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 2005 **98** 1154–1162.
- 32 Bruun JM, Helge JW, Richelsen B & Stallknecht B. Diet and exercise reduce low-grade inflammation and macrophage infiltration in adipose tissue but not in skeletal muscle in severely obese subjects. *American Journal of Physiology. Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2006 **290** E961–E967.
- 33 Church TS, Barlow CE, Earnest CP, Kampert JB, Priest EL & Blair SN. Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and C-reactive protein in men. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 2002 **22** 1869–1876.
- 34 Selvin E, Paynter NP & Erlinger TP. The effect of weight loss on C-reactive protein. A systematic review. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2007 **167** 31–39.
- 35 Wang L, Folsom AR, Zheng ZJ, Pankow JS & Eckfeldt JH. Plasma fatty acid composition and incidence of diabetes in middle-aged adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003 **78** 91–98.
- 36 Freire RD, Cardoso MA, Gimeno SG, Ferreira SR & Japanese-Brazilian Diabetes Study Group. Dietary fat is associated with metabolic syndrome in Japanese Brazilians. *Diabetes Care* 2005 **28** 1779–1785.
- 37 Finley CE, LaMonte MJ, Waslien CI, Barlow CE, Blair SN & Nichaman MZ. Cardiorespiratory fitness, macronutrient intake, and the metabolic syndrome: the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2006 **106** 673–679.
- 38 Millen BE, Pencina MJ, Kimokoti RW, Zhu L, Meigs JB, Ordovas JM & D'Agostino RB. Nutritional risk and the metabolic syndrome in women: opportunities for preventive intervention from the Framingham Nutrition Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2006 **84** 434–441.
- 39 Esmailzadeh A, Kimiagar M, Mehrabi Y, Azadbakht L, Hu FB & Willett WC. Dietary patterns, insulin resistance, and prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2007 **85** 910–918.
- 40 Salmeron J, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Wing AL & Willett WC. Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in women. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1997 **277** 472–477.
- 41 McKeown NM, Meigs JB, Liu S, Wilson PWF & Jacques PF. Whole-grain intake is favorably associated with metabolic risk factors for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in the Framingham Offspring Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2002 **76** 390–398.
- 42 Steffen LM, Jacobs DR Jr, Stevens J, Shahar E, Carithers T & Folsom AR. Associations of whole-grain, refined-grain, and fruits and vegetable consumption with risks of all-cause mortality and incident coronary artery disease and ischemic stroke: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003 **78** 383–390.
- 43 Kahn R, Buse J, Ferrannini E & Stern M. The metabolic syndrome: time for a critical appraisal. Joint statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005 **28** 2289–2304.
- 44 Gami AS, Witt BJ, Howard DE, Erwin PJ, Gami LA, Somers VK & Montori VM. Metabolic syndrome and risk of incident cardiovascular events and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2007 **49** 403–414.
- 45 Mannucci E, Monami M, Cresci B, Pala L, Bordini G, Petracca MG, Dicembrini I, Pasqua A, Buiatti E & Rotella CM. National Cholesterol Education Program and International Diabetes Federation definitions of metabolic syndrome in the prediction of diabetes. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2008 **10** 430–435.

Received 26 July 2008

Accepted 9 August 2008