Should we treat all subjects with subclinical thyroid disease the same way?
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Several recent meta-analyses have examined the cardiovascular risk associated with SHyper with the aim of establishing whether treatment should be considered in young and middle-aged patients and in the elderly. In the meta-analysis by Völzke et al., only two prospective cohort studies were included. In these two studies, data pooling did not show an association between SHyper and circulatory mortality. The relative all-cause mortality was 40% higher in patients with SHyper than in euthyroid subjects. The pooled hazard ratio for all-cause mortality was 0.95 and 95% confidence interval (pooled hazard ratio 0.95 and 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.52–1.74). The same two studies were included in the meta-analysis by Singh et al. in which the pooled estimate of the relative risk of coronary artery disease at baseline and during follow-up, and the relative risk for mortality from cardiovascular causes were not significantly higher in patients with SHyper.

Several novel aspects emerge from the meta-analysis by Haentjens et al. that appears in this issue of the European Journal of Endocrinology. Seven cohorts affected by SHyper were evaluated, and the results were compared with those of two previous meta-analyses. The meta-analysis included the study by Parle et al., and studies conducted in elderly patients and in patients with co-morbidity conditions, such as patients affected by cardiac disease, patients recovering from stroke or hip replacement, and patients affected by diabetes mellitus in which untreated SHyper had more harmful effects. The pooled hazard ratio for all-cause mortality was 1.41 (95% CI, 1.12–1.79; P = 0.004) and the relative all-cause mortality was 40% higher in individuals with SHyper than in euthyroid subjects. The formal analysis based on the published survival curves available for five cohorts showed that the increased likelihood of death appeared 2 years after the diagnosis of SHyper and continued up to 10 years post diagnosis. Mathematical modeling suggested that absolute excess mortality after diagnosis might depend on age because it increased in patients over the age of 60; this was particularly evident in men. This finding argues strongly for a higher cardiovascular risk in elderly persons with SHyper, and supports the concept that treatment should be considered in this age group and in patients with associated cardiac disease or diabetes as well as in patients at high thromboembolic risk, i.e., patients recovering from stroke or hip fracture surgery. Indeed, SHyper was associated with an increased risk of osteoporosis and fractures in elderly subjects. Endogenous SHyper is more prevalent in the elderly and is common among patients.
with underlying heart disease in iodine-deficient areas. Therefore, the relationship between low serum TSH levels and increased mortality might be particularly important in iodine-deficient areas.

Treatment should certainly be considered in elderly SHyper patients with low or undetectable serum TSH, because of the increased cardiovascular risk in this age group; ablative therapy with $^{131}\text{I}$ is the preferred option for most patients, in the absence of a large goiter (18). Anticoagulation and antithyroid drugs should be administered before radiodiode in elderly subjects with atrial fibrillation to improve cardiac function and to reduce the thromboembolic risk (18). In young and middle-aged patients, especially those with undetectable serum TSH, the physician should look for associated cardiovascular risk factors (underlying heart disease, atrial fibrillation, left ventricular hypertrophy or associated co-morbidities) to establish the risk of the disease and to evaluate the potential beneficial effect of TSH normalization with radiodiode or surgery (18).

Also mild and subclinical hypothyroidism (SHypo) can be associated with important cardiovascular effects that could be responsible for the increased risk of atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease (18, 19). However, epidemiological studies of cardiovascular mortality and of the risk of atherosclerosis and coronary diseases have yielded discordant results. The risk of coronary disease was increased in SHypo in the cross-sectional analysis in the Rotterdam study in women (20), in the cross-sectional analysis in the Nagasaki study in men (21) and in the cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses in the Busselton study in subjects with serum TSH levels of $10\text{ mIU}/\text{l}$ or less and greater than $10\text{ mIU}/\text{l}$ (9). On the contrary, mild SHypo and autoimmune thyroid disease were not associated with coronary heart disease in the studies by Cappola et al. (7), Rodondi et al. (22) and Vanderpump et al. (23).

SHypo-induced mortality was assessed in six studies and it was increased only in men in the longitudinal follow-up study by Imazumi et al. (21), whereas it was decreased only in the 4-year follow-up by Guseklo et al. (14), which involved very elderly subjects. However, these two studies included selected populations affected by SHypo (i.e., atomic bomb survivors in the Nagasaki study and 85-year-old individuals in the Leiden study), thereby limiting generalization of the findings.

Several recent meta-analyses have evaluated the association of SHypo with all-cause and circulatory mortality. Not all possible relevant confounders for the association between SHypo and mortality were considered in each of the prospective cohort studies. Consequently, Völzke et al. (11) included only four studies in their meta-analysis (7, 9, 21, 22), and concluded that the evidence for a causal relationship between SHypo and mortality is weak and should not be used to decide whether or not to treat SHypo patients.

The meta-analysis by Haentjens et al. (13) included studies performed in SHypo patients with a specific associated disease, namely, cardiac patients (15), subjects with a history of stroke or hip replacement (16) and patients affected by diabetes mellitus (17). The pooled hazards ratio for all-cause mortality was 1.03 in cohorts from the community (95% CI, 0.78–1.35; $P=0.83$), and 1.76 (95% CI, 1.36–2.30; $P<0.001$) in cohorts of participants with co-morbidities ($P=0.014$ for heterogeneity among study groups). The relative risk of all-cause mortality was increased in patients with a concomitant disorder. In particular, cardiac death for ischemic heart disease was significantly higher in elderly cardiac patients with SHypo than in euthyroid subjects. Interestingly, the risk of mortality associated with SHypo seems to be increased only in subjects below 65 years of age, whereas it seems to exert a protective effect in subjects over the age of 65 (18).

Replacement therapy with levothyroxine ($\text{L-T}_4$) may improve cardiovascular function and may reverse the cardiovascular risk factors associated with SHypo (18). However, more randomized controlled trials and longitudinal studies are necessary to establish whether or not replacement therapy with $\text{T}_4$ can improve cardiac morbidity and mortality. In the meantime, it seems advisable to consider $\text{L-T}_4$ replacement therapy in all patients with SHypo with TSH above $10\text{ mIU}/\text{l}$ and in selected patients with mild SHypo associated with a high cardiovascular risk background (i.e., patients with documented diastolic dysfunction, diastolic hypertension, atherosclerosis, dyslipidemia or diabetes mellitus, and smokers) with the aim of reducing the cardiovascular risk and the risk associated with co-morbidity (18). The detection of specific cardiovascular risk factors may help to identify high-risk patients who require immediate attention, and the cardiovascular risk may be reduced by appropriate intervention. Replacement treatment with $\text{L-T}_4$ should be individualized: the target TSH level of replacement therapy should be between 1 and 2.5 $\text{mIU}/\text{l}$ in young and middle-aged patients, 3 and 4 $\text{mIU}/\text{l}$ in individuals aged between 60 and 75 years, and 4 and 6 $\text{mIU}/\text{l}$ in individuals older than 70 years (18).
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